

Fault Models

Halstead

Difficulty

- 1.1 Operands are missing from the Token list and are unaccounted for in the tests
- 1.2 Difficulty is not calculated due to the helper log function.
 - 1.2.1 Abandoning that helper function and doing calculations in the finishTree()

Length

- 2.1 Operands are missing from the Token list and are unaccounted for in the tests

Effort

- 3.1 Operands are missing from the Token list and are unaccounted for in the tests
- 3.2 Effort is not calculated due to the helper log function.
 - 3.2.1 Abandoning that helper function and doing calculations in the finishTree()
- 3.3 Not counting the unique tokens, operands, and operators
 - 3.3.1 Utilizing a hash set to work around this issue.

Vocabulary

- 4.1 Valid operands are unaccounted for even though they are in the Token set
 - 4.1.1 Removing the helper `contains ()` function and utilizing the hash set

Volume

- 5.1 Operands are missing from the Token list and are unaccounted for in the tests
- 5.2 Volume is not calculated correctly
 - 5.2.1 Removing the helper log function

Number of Checks

Comments

6.1 Number of comments are calculated incorrectly

6.1.1 Changing the token type to COMMENT_CONTENT rather than single line and begin comment block

Expression

7.1 Expression is not calculated correctly

7.1.1 Removing count = 0 in FinishTree

Line Comment

8

Loop

9.1 Having a hard time retrieving accepted, required tokens

9.1.1 Missing a for_loop token in the types. Adding that fixed the issue.

Operand

10.1 Operands are missing

10.1.1 Adding and updating operands in the token types along with related files

Operator

11.1 Operators are missing

11.1.1 Adding and updating operators in the token types along with related files

PIT Results

| Number of Classes | Line Coverage | Mutation Coverage | Test Strength |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| 11 | 100% | 72% | 72% |
| | 424/426 | 63/87 | 63/87 |

Breakdown by Package

| Name | Number of Classes | Line Coverage | Mutation Coverage | Test Strength |
|----------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| HalsteadChecks | 5 | 99% | 70% | 70% |
| | | 319/321 | 37/53 | 37/53 |
| NumOfChecks | 6 | 100% | 76% | 76% |
| | | 105/105 | 26/34 | 26/34 |

Pit Test Coverage Report

Project Summary

| Number of Classes | Line Coverage | Mutation Coverage | Test Strength |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| 11 | 100% 424/426 | 72% 63/87 | 72% 63/87 |

Breakdown by Package

| Name | Number of Classes | Line Coverage | Mutation Coverage | Test Strength |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| HalsteadChecks | 5 | 99% 319/321 | 70% 37/53 | 70% 37/53 |
| NumOfChecks | 6 | 100% 105/105 | 76% 26/34 | 76% 26/34 |

Report generated by [PIT](#) 1.6.8

=====

- Statistics

=====

>> Line Coverage: 424/426 (100%)

>> Generated 87 mutations Killed 63 (72%)

>> Mutations with no coverage 0. Test strength 72%

>> Ran 153 tests (1.76 tests per mutation)

Black Box Testing

I've thought covering lines would cover most, if not all logic but after writing black box tests I was proven wrong. I realized I didn't count unique tokens for some of the checks, I was counting unary operators wrong, I didn't include array operations.

Black box testing helped me find holes in my logic, pointed out things that were obvious I didn't think of checking. Like missing operands, operators, me randomly setting count back to zero and spending hours trying to figure out why it is not returning the correct amount.

I included the test drivers in each black box rather than having a test driver as a package, hindsight, that would've been a better approach to this.