

# Theory: Wildcards

🕒 51 minutes

0 / 5 problems solved

Skip this topic

Start practicing

742 users solved this topic. Latest completion was about 1 hour ago.

Earlier, when we were discussing type bounds, we’ve mentioned *Wildcards* as a feature that does the same trick and has wide application. Wildcards are a specific Java tool that allows the implementation of some compatibility between different generic objects. The wildcard is basically “?” sign used to indicate that a class, a method, or a field is compatible with different type parameters.

## §1. Why Wildcards?

Since Java is an object-oriented language, the concept of inheritance is essential. However, since generics are type-safe structures it is impossible to introduce inheritance for Generic objects. To illustrate the problem, let’s consider two classes:

```
1 class Book{}
2 class Album extends Book {}
```

Logically we assume that a list of albums can be treated as a list of books, because `Album` is a subclass of `Book`. However, the compiler thinks in a different way:

```
1 List<Album> albums = new ArrayList<>();
2 List<Book> books = albums; // compile-time error
```

The root cause of the problem lies In the fact that `List<Album>` is not a subclass of `List<Book>`: a usual inheritance rule of Java does not work this way with generic classes. Such behavior is known as **invariance**. It doesn’t matter that `Album` extends `Book`, their containers like a `List<T>`, `Set<T>` and others are treated like independent classes. It is extremely important to mind this fact every time you use generic classes.

The example above is exactly where wildcards could help. A generic class or a method declared with wildcards can take any type parameter and there won’t be any collisions with inheritance. To implement wildcards, use “?” inside angle brackets ( `<?>` ). Let’s use it to make the compiler error go away in the example above:

```
1 List<Album> albums = new ArrayList<>();
2 List<? extends Book> albumsAndBooks = albums; // it is ok
```

or

```
1 List<Album> albums = new ArrayList<>();
2 List<? super Album> albumsAndBooks = albums; // it is ok as well
```

Wildcards are commonly used with some limitations that we called type bounds before: there we used only an `extends` keyword. Now we will take a look at another keyword `super`. Since wildcards are used for type bounding, they can be divided into three groups: unbounded wildcards, upper bounded wildcards, and lower bounded ones.

## §2. Upper Bounded Wildcards

Upper Bounded Wildcards are used when we want to set an upper bound. It is done with the `extends` keyword, like this:

```
1 ? extends ReferenceType
```

It can be read as “any type that is a subtype of `ReferenceType`”. In other words, if `S` is a subtype of `T` then type `List<S>` is considered to be a subtype of `List<? extends T>`. That feature is known as **covariance**.

Current topic:

[Wildcards](#)

Topic depends on:

✗ [Generics and Object](#)

✗ [Generic methods](#)

Topic is required for:

[Type Erasure](#)

Table of contents:

[1 Wildcards](#)

[§1. Why Wildcards?](#)

[§2. Upper Bounded Wildcards](#)

[§3. Lower Bounded Wildcards](#)

[§4. Get and Put Principle](#)

[§5. Wildcard Capture](#)

[§6. Conclusion](#)

[Feedback & Comments](#)

Now imagine again that we are dealing with a library, where we have books of different types (normal books, booklets, albums and so on). We also may have some other media files like audio recordings. Let's introduce two classes:

```
1 public class Booklet extends Book {}
2 public class AudioFile {}
```

Now say we want to create storage for all types of books:

```
1 List<? extends Book> storage = new ArrayList<>();
2
3 List<Album> albums = new ArrayList<>();
4 storage = albums; // it works, Album is a subtype of Book
5
6 List<Booklet> booklets = new ArrayList<>();
7 storage = booklets; // it works, Booklet is a subtype of Book
8
9 List<AudioFile> recordings = new ArrayList<>();
10 storage = recordings; // compile-time error, AudioFile is not a subtype of Book
```

This way we made sure that only subtypes of the `Book` can be put to the storage.

Now let's consider another limitation of upper bounding.

```
1 /**
2  * Hierarchy: Book -> Album
3  *             -> Booklet
4  * Allowed types: List<Book>, List<Album>, List<Booklet>
5  */
6 public void upperBoundedMethod(List<? extends Book> books) {
7     Book book = books.get(0); // It is fine
8
9     books.add(new Album()); // compile-time error
10    books.add(new Booklet()); // compile-time error
11
12    books.add(null); // also fine, because of null is a special type-independent value
13 }
14 }
```

It may be surprising, but some lines of `upperBoundedMethod` won't compile. Upper bounded wildcards are completely fine with reading content as `Book` type, but writing is prohibited except a `null` value.

Let's explain the logic. The compiler doesn't know which type of argument will be passed to the method in runtime. As we already learned, the method accepts list parameterized by `Book` or any of its subtypes: `List<Books>`, `List<Album>` or `List<Booklet>`. This is a reason why any object from `books` argument can be read as `Book`. However, writing is prohibited to avoid future runtime errors. Imagine the case when `List<Album>` was passed, but then we try to add an instance of `Book`. It can potentially lead to a runtime error because an added object will be treated as `Album` in the future.

## §3. Lower Bounded Wildcards

Lower Bounded Wildcards are introduced with the `super` keyword followed by the lower bound:

```
1 ? super ReferenceType
```

It means "any type that is a supertype of `ReferenceType`" and that if `S` is a supertype of `T` then `List<S>` is considered to be a supertype of `List<? super T>`. The feature is called **contravariance**.

Let's think of books again. Now we would like to write a code that will enable `List` of `Albums` and its superclasses to be added to a general library.

Take a look at the following code:

```
1 List<? super Album> storage = new ArrayList<>();
2
3 List<Album> albums = new ArrayList<>();
4 storage = albums; // it works
5
6 List<Book> books = new ArrayList<>();
7 storage = books; // it works, Book is a supertype for Album
8
9 List<Booklet> booklets = new ArrayList<>();
10 storage = booklets; // compile-
time error, Booklet is not a supertype for Album
```

Here we made sure that only supertypes of the `Album` class can be put to the storage.

Now let's consider another limitation of lower bounding.

```
1 /**
2  * Hierarchy: Album <- Book <- Object
3  * Allowed types: List<Album>, List<Book>, List<Object>
4  */
5  public void lowerBoundedMethod(List<? super Album> albums) {
6
7      Object object = albums.get(0); // it is ok. Object is upper bound of Album
8      Book book = albums.get(0);    // compile-time error
9      Album album = albums.get(0);  // compile-time error
10
11      albums.add(new Object()); // compile-time error
12
13      albums.add(new Book());    // compile-time error
14
15      albums.add(new Album());   // OK
16
17      albums.add(null);         // OK, null is type-independent
18
19  }
```

There are also some compile-time errors as well as for upper bounded wildcards. Let's explain why the compiler suspects these lines as potential danger.

Since any of `List<Album>`, `List<Book>`, `List<Object>` can be passed to the `lowerBoundedMethod`, we can't assert that read object has a certain type `Album` or `Book`. We can only assume its type as `Object` for sure.

On the other hand, only an instance of `Album` can be treated as `Book` and `Object` simultaneously, that is why we are allowed to add only `Album`. Otherwise, if we pass `List<Album>` to the method and add an instance of `Book`, it will lead to the instance of `Book` being treated as `Album` in the future. Such errors are prevented by the compiler.

## §4. Get and Put Principle

To detect and memorize whether `extends` or `super` should be used it is worth remembering the *Get and Put principle*:

Use Upper Bounded Wildcards (i.e., `<? extends Number>`) when you only get values out of a structure (when you use only getters or similar methods), use Lower Bounded Wildcards (i.e., `<? super Integer>`) when you only put values into a structure (when you use only setters or similar methods) and do use Unbounded Wildcards (simple `<?>`) when you both get and put (when it is essential for you to use all kind of methods).

To memorize this principle, you can also use PECS: Producer Extends, Consumer Super. This means that if you get a value from a generic class, method or any other object (it can *produce* for you what you need), you use `extends`. And vice versa, if you put or set a value into a generic class, method or any other object (it can *consume* what you put in it), you use `super`.

Remember, that it is not possible to put anything into a type declared with an `extends` wildcard except for the `null` value since it can represent any reference type. Similarly, it is not possible to get anything from a type declared with `super` wildcard except for a value of an `Object` type: a super type for every reference type.

You cannot use a lower and an upper bound simultaneously in wildcards in particular and in type bounds in Java in general.

**Note**, that a class or an interface that is used after an “extends” or a “super” keyword itself is included in the inheritance. For example, `Box<T>` is absolutely compatible and covariant with `Box<? extends T>` or `Box<? super T>`.

In the end, it is important to note that a frequently used unbounded wildcard `?` is equivalent to: `? extends Object`.

It is interesting that an inheritance prohibition in generics is made specifically to prevent run-time errors: otherwise, generics would lose their type safety feature.

## §5. Wildcard Capture

Let's consider the example:

```
1 public static void reverse(List<?> list) {
2     List<Object> tmp = new ArrayList<Object>(list);
3     for (int i = 0; i < list.size(); i++) {
4         list.set(i, tmp.get(list.size() - i - 1)); // compile-time error
5     }
6 }
```

On the first look, this example may seem ok to you, but compile-error hints us it is not. As you know `<?>` equivalent to `<? extends Object>`, so by PECS principle, we cannot mutate the content of `list`, just read it. The scenario is known as **wildcard capture** problem and can be solved by the trick:

```
1 public static void reverse(List<?> list) {
2     reverseCaptured(list);
3 }
4
5 private static <T> void reverseCaptured(List<T> list) {
6     List<T> tmp = new ArrayList<T>(list);
7     for (int i = 0; i < list.size(); i++) {
8         list.set(i, tmp.get(list.size() - i - 1));
9     }
10 }
11 }
```

Here we introduced a helper method `reverseCaptured` which has a parameter of a certain type `T` for all elements of list. The method is completely fine from the compiler point of view because it is a merely **generic method**.

## §6. Conclusion

Wildcards are a very convenient and safe way of implementing an equivalent of inheritance in Generics. They are declared as a “?” in angle brackets and are widely used with upper or lower bounds to restrict type parameters. Wildcards are mainly used inside different libraries and frameworks, as well as generics themselves.

 Report a typo

67 users liked this theory. 1 didn't like it. What about you?



Start practicing

[Comments \(10\)](#)[Hints \(0\)](#)[Useful links \(1\)](#)[Show discussion](#)