

Diagonalization of integer matrices

1. Diagonalization of integer matrices

Linear algebra

Normalizing

Smith normal form

Linear algebra

Definition 1

Any group isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^n , for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is called a **free abelian group of rank n** .

The group \mathbb{Z}^n is analogous to the vector space \mathbb{R}^n ; for example, the definitions of rank coincide. In this spirit, we shall call n -tuples in \mathbb{Z}^n **vectors**.

Definition 2

Let G denote a finitely generated abelian group and suppose $S = \{\mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_k\} \subset G$.

(a) S is **independent** means if

$$a_1 \mathbf{g}_1 + \dots + a_k \mathbf{g}_k = 0 \text{ with } a_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, k, \quad (1.1)$$

then $a_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$.

(b) Any instance where Equation (1.1) fails is called a **relation**.

(c) S is a **basis** means it is independent and generates G .

The vectors \mathbf{e}_i defined in Section ?? are called **standard basis vectors**.

Exercise 1

Let $G \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$. Use Equation (1.1) to show that if $S \subset G$ forms a basis for G then every vector $\mathbf{g} \in G$ has a unique expression as a (\mathbb{Z}) -linear combination of the elements in S .

A finitely generated abelian group has a basis if and only if it is free.

Using bases, we have a concise way to express homomorphisms between (finitely generated) free abelian groups.

Suppose $\{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$ is a basis for $G \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_m\}$ is a basis for $H \cong \mathbb{Z}^m$. Let $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$ denote a group homomorphism such that

$$\varphi(\mathbf{b}_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} \mathbf{c}_i, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

for integers a_{ij} . Let A denote the $m \times n$ integer matrix whose (i, j) th entry is a_{ij} for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$.

By Exercise 1, every element in G can be written $\mathbf{g} = g_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + \dots + g_n \mathbf{b}_n$ for unique $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let us abuse notation and write $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_n) = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ \vdots \\ g_n \end{pmatrix}$.

In this way we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi : \mathbf{g} &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \\ \vdots \\ g_n \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}g_1 + a_{12}g_2 + \cdots + a_{1n}g_n \\ a_{21}g_1 + a_{22}g_2 + \cdots + a_{2n}g_n \\ \vdots \\ a_{m1}g_1 + a_{m2}g_2 + \cdots + a_{mn}g_n \end{pmatrix} = A\mathbf{g}.\end{aligned}$$

In other words, the homomorphism φ is left multiplication by A .

Summary: Upon choosing bases for $G \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $H \cong \mathbb{Z}^m$, we can express $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$ using the matrix A . We simply define φ by assigning an image to each of the basis elements of G ... the caveat, of course, is the dependence on choice of bases.

Question

How do we get around this caveat?

Normalizing

Recall: In Linear Algebra, given bases $\mathfrak{B} = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathfrak{C} = \{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we have a **change of basis matrix** obtained by writing the vectors \mathbf{b}_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$) as linear combinations of the vectors \mathbf{c}_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$). The matrix is $U = (u_{ij})$, where

$$\mathbf{b}_j = \sum_{i=1}^n u_{ij} \mathbf{c}_i, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Note, U is necessarily invertible. Left multiplication by U expresses vectors with respect to \mathfrak{B} as vectors with respect to \mathfrak{C} ; given

$\mathbf{v} = \sum_{j=1}^n v_j \mathbf{b}_j$ put $\mathbf{w} := U\mathbf{v}$. Then $U^{-1}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v}$.

Example 1

Suppose \mathbb{R}^n has basis $\mathfrak{B} = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a linear transformation given, with respect to \mathfrak{B} , by the matrix B . For $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{j=1}^n v_j \mathbf{b}_j$, define

$$\varphi_{\mathfrak{B}} : \mathbf{v} \mapsto B\mathbf{v}.$$

Suppose $\mathfrak{C} = \{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_n\}$ is also a basis for \mathbb{R}^n and we have a change of basis matrix from \mathfrak{B} to \mathfrak{C} given by $U = (u_{ij})$. Because a change of basis is an isomorphism from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^n (also called an **automorphism** on \mathbb{R}^n), for $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \mathbf{c}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we may write $\mathbf{v} = U^{-1}\mathbf{w}$ for some $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$\varphi_{\mathfrak{C}} : \mathbf{w} \mapsto UB\mathbf{v} = UBU^{-1}\mathbf{w}$$

gives the same transformation φ , but with respect to \mathfrak{C} .

The conjugation of B by U is equivalent to performing row and column operations on B . Left multiplication by U performs the row operations and right multiplication by U^{-1} performs the column operations. Furthermore, there is always a basis \mathfrak{E} such that $\varphi_{\mathfrak{E}}$ is given by (left) multiplication by a **normalized** matrix E , a matrix consisting of zeros everywhere except for 1s on the first $r \leq n$ diagonal entries. Furthermore, we attain E by row and column reducing B .

We call the process of attaining the basis \mathfrak{E} **normalizing** the matrix B .

More generally, a linear transformation $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ given by a matrix A can be expressed as left multiplication by a normalized matrix $D = BAC$, where B is an $n \times n$ invertible matrix which applies column operations to A , and C is an $m \times m$ matrix performing row operations on A .

For the most part, we can apply these same ideas to integer matrices. But keep in mind \mathbb{Z}^n does not quite have the structure of \mathbb{R}^n . One of the permissible matrix operations in $\text{Mat}_{\mathbb{R}}(m, n)$ is scalar multiplication. A scalar is just a non-zero number in \mathbb{R} . But what characterizes a scalar is its existence of an inverse. In \mathbb{Z} the only scalars, or **units**, are ± 1 . Hence when we perform matrix operations we are only allowed to divide by ± 1 .

Question

How does the normalization process change for integer matrices?

Suppose $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$ is a group homomorphism where $G \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $H \cong \mathbb{Z}^m$. It would be very convenient to find bases $\mathfrak{B} = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\} \subset G$ and $\mathfrak{C} = \{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_m\} \subset H$ so that for some $r \leq n, m$,

$$\varphi(\mathbf{b}_i) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{c}_i & i \leq r \\ 0 & i > r \end{cases}.$$

Suppose φ is given by the $m \times n$ integer matrix A . Since $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$, the following operations are permissible:

- add an integer multiple of one column (resp. row) to another
- interchange two columns (resp. rows)
- multiply a column (resp. row) by ± 1

Under these constraints, the desired matrix D will not be normalized, per se, but it will be diagonal. Theorem 1 gives an algorithm for producing a **canonical** $m \times n$ diagonal matrix D , given an $m \times n$ integer matrix A .

Smith normal form

Theorem 1 (Smith normal form)

Let A denote an $m \times n$ integer matrix. There exists an invertible $n \times n$ matrix B and an invertible $m \times m$ matrix C such that

$$D := BAC = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 & \cdots & & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & d_r & & \\ & & & 0 & \\ & & & & \ddots \\ 0 & \cdots & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $r \leq n, m$ and $d_i | d_{i+1}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, r-1$. In other words, each successive diagonal entry is an integer multiple of the last.

D is called the **Smith normal form** of A .

Proof.

We shall perform a sequence a row and column operations which culminate in the invertible matrices B, C . At each step we let $A = (a_{ij})$ denote the resulting matrix. Let R_i denote the i th row of A and let C_j denote the j th column.

Step 1: Locate the entry a with the smallest non-zero absolute value and permute rows and columns until $a_{11} = a$. If $a < 0$ then replace R_1 with $-R_1$.

Step 2: Clear the first column; given a non-zero entry a_{i1} , write

$$a_{i1} = aq + r,$$

where q, r are as in the Division Algorithm (Equation (??)). In particular, $r < a$. Replace R_i with $R_i - qR_1$, and if $r \neq 0$, return to Step 1.

Repeating this process, each time we return to Step 1 the entry a gets strictly smaller. It will only take finitely many repetitions before either $a_{i1} = 0$ for all i or $a = 1$. But if $a = 1$ then Step 2 is required at most more time per entry to clear any remaining non-zero entries in the 1st column.

Clear the first row using an analogous process; given a non-zero entry a_{1j} , write

$$a_{1j} = aq + r$$

as in the Division Algorithm and replace C_j with $C_j - qC_1$. If $r \neq 0$ then return to Step 1. After finitely many repetitions a will be the only non-zero entry in the first row.

Step 3: Ensure the divisibility condition; let B denote the submatrix of A given by omitting its first row and column. If an entry b of B , in the j th column of A , does not divide a , then replace C_1 with $C_1 + C_j$. The first column of A is no longer cleared so return to Step 2.

In Step 2 the Division Algorithm will either replace b with zero or else direct us back to Step 1 where the entry a will become strictly smaller. Hence this process will end after finitely many steps. \square

The divisibility condition on the diagonal entries simply gives a canonical form for the matrix D and ensures its uniqueness.

The algorithm in the proof of Theorem 1 is more clear when seen in an example.

Example 2

Here, we apply the algorithm from Theorem 1 to a matrix A .

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 5 & 1 & -5 \\ -3 & -3 & 29 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\substack{C_2 \rightarrow C_2 + C_1 \\ C_3 \rightarrow C_3 - C_1}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 5 & 6 & -10 \\ -3 & -6 & 32 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\xrightarrow{\substack{R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - 5R_1 \\ R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - 5R_1}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & -10 \\ 0 & -6 & 32 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{C_3 \rightarrow C_3 + 2C_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 2 \\ 0 & -6 & 20 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\xrightarrow{C_2 \leftrightarrow C_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 6 \\ 0 & 20 & -6 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{C_3 \rightarrow C_3 - 3C_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 20 & -66 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\xrightarrow{R_3 \rightarrow -(R_3 - 10R_2)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 66 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 2 (cf. Problem 76)

Reduce the following matrices to Smith normal form.

(a) $\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$

(b) $\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & -4 \\ 2 & -3 & 1 \\ -4 & 6 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$

Exercise 3 (cf. Problem 77)

Let Γ be the graph of Figure ?? . Reduce the transposed reduced Laplacian $\tilde{\Delta}$ of Γ .

Exercise 4 (cf. Problem 78)

Use the Sage command `smith_form` to diagonalize

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & -4 \\ -5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ -9 & -10 & 11 & 12 \\ 13 & 14 & -15 & 16 \end{pmatrix}.$$

What other information is obtained from `smith_form`?