

Computability: Proof by Construction and Disproof by Contradiction

Oluwafunke Alliyu, Ethan Balcik, Paul John Balderston, Sen Zhu

April 2021

1 Introduction

In the age of digital communications, it is difficult to imagine how, in a world without digital computers, one might work toward the development of one. As a result, often overlooked are the initial developments which led to today's digital age. Nevertheless, the emergence of digital computers would not be possible without initial, groundbreaking developments in mathematical logic and information theory from significant engineers and mathematicians like Alan Turing and Claude Shannon. Throughout this paper, we discuss computability in a rigorous, self-contained manner - both its proof by construction and its disproof by contradiction, with examples of each. Furthermore, we aim to provide our readers with some historical insight into the development of these methods in order to build both an appreciation of their significance, and of the current challenges researchers face as attempts are made to further progress in theoretical computer science [1].

2 Background

Here we introduce the background section

2.1 Historical Background

Here we discuss the history behind computer science and its foundations in mathematics

2.2 Mathematical Logic and Set Theory

Here we discuss relevant concepts in mathematical logic and set theory

2.3 Finite State Machines

Here we discuss finite state machines, state diagrams, etc. to provide the necessary background to understand conceptual machines and understand Turing Machines graphically

3 Turing Machines

An effective model for the general-purpose computer is the Turing Machine, developed by Alan Turing in 1936 [2]. The Turing Machine is a conceptual model of a general-purpose computing machine which involves the following conceptual components:

- A "control box" which stores a finitely-large program
- A tape with infinite spaces in which symbols can be stored, read, and written
- A read-write mechanism for the tape [3]




Figure 1: A simple visualization of a Turing machine. Note that spaces along the tape would be filled with symbols which can be read and written using the read-write head on the machine.

Formally, a **Turing Machine** is defined as a 7-Tuple, $(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, q_{accept}, q_{reject})$, where:

- Q is a finite set containing the states of the machine
- Σ is a finite set containing the machine's **input alphabet**
- Γ is the finite set containing the machine's **tape alphabet** such that the **blank symbol** $\sqcup \in \Gamma$ and $\Sigma \subseteq \Gamma$
- δ is the **transition function** $\delta : Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R\}$
- q_0 is the **starting state** $q_0 \in Q$
- q_{accept} is the **accept state** $q_{accept} \in Q$
- q_{reject} is the **reject state** $q_{reject} \in Q$ such that $q_{reject} \neq q_{accept}$

A **configuration** represents the state of a Turing machine's read-write head along its tape, as well as the characters on the tape. For feasibly-sized tape inputs, a configuration is given as a string of the tape's characters listed starting from the left-most character and working right, with the state $q_n \in Q$ inserted to the left of the character currently being read by the read-write head of the machine. To exemplify this, we can introduce a new instance of a Turing Machine with a specific input, and an algorithm running on the input.

Example 3.1. Let's imagine a Turing machine running an algorithm which verifies whether or not a binary string of length $n > 1$ has an even weight (number of 1s in the binary string). It might achieve this by running the following algorithm:

1. Read each bit from left to right on the input string and cross off every other '1' character.
2. If in step 1 the tape contained no '1' characters, accept.
3. If in step 1 the tape contained a single '1' character, reject.
4. Return to the left-most character on the tape.
5. Return to step 1.

This particular Turing machine can be given formally as $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_1, q_{accept}, q_{reject})$ such that:

- $Q := \{q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, q_5, q_{accept}, q_{reject}\}$
- $\Sigma := \{0, 1\}$
- $\Gamma := \{0, 1, x, \sqcup\}$
- The transition function δ is given as a state diagram (see figure 2) [2]




Figure 2: The transition function δ given as a finite state machine

Using the transition function δ as given by figure 2, we can show every configuration for a given input string. For the sake of the example, let's consider the string $\vec{x} = 1101$. We have the following configurations:

q_11011
 $1q_2011$
 $10q_211$
 $10xq_31$
 $10x1q_4$
 $10xq_{reject}1$

We can clearly see that, since our input string has three '1' characters, our turing machine rejects it. However, let us walk through each configuration of the input string $\vec{x} = 1001$. We have the following configurations (read down each column, and then from left to right):

q_11001	$10q_50x$	$x0q_30x$	q_5x00x	$x00xq_2$
$1q_2001$	$1q_500x$	$x00q_3x$	q_5x00x	$x00xq_{accept}$
$10q_201$	q_5100x	$x00xq_3$	q_2x00x	
$100q_21$	q_5x100x	$x00q_5x$	xq_200x	
$100xq_3$	q_2100x	$x0q_50x$	$x0q_20x$	
$100q_5x$	xq_300x	xq_500x	$x00q_2x$	

Now, since our input string has two '1' characters, our turing machine accepts it.

4 Proof of Computability by Construction

Definition to look into: Turing-recognizable, Turing-decidable; also provide at least one proof of computability for a function

5 Disproof of Computability by Contradiction

Definitions/theorems to look into: Rice's Theorem; also provide at least one disproof of computability using Rice's Theorem

6 Conclusions

7 References

References

- [1] National Research Council. 1999. Funding a Revolution: Government Support for Computing Research. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/6323>.

- [2] Sipser, Michael. 2013. Introduction to the Theory of Computation. Cengage Learning. Third Edition. Print.
- [3] Mainzer, Klaus. 2018. Proof of Computation: Digitization in Mathematics, Computer Science, and Philosophy. World Scientific. <https://doi.org/10.1142/11005>
- [4] Van Glabbeek, Rob. (n.d.). Decidability. stanford.edu. Web. <http://kilby.stanford.edu/rvg/154/handouts/decidability.html>