

# The New Econometrics of Structural Change: Dating Breaks in U.S. Labor Productivity

Bruce E. Hansen

**A**ppplied time series analysis and forecasting is based on the assumption of stationarity—the constancy of parameters like the mean, variance and trend over time. But what happens if the parameters change? A particularly common assertion is that United States labor productivity experienced a “slow-down” around 1973 and a “speedup” in the second half of the 1990s. While one can certainly pick groups of years in the 1970s and 1980s where the average annual productivity growth is lower than it was in the 1960s and the late 1990s, this ad hoc selection of convenient time periods hardly qualifies as serious analysis.

Structural change is a statement about parameters, which only have meaning in the context of a model. To focus our discussion, we will discuss structural change in the simplest dynamic model, the first-order autoregression:

$$y_t = \alpha + \rho y_{t-1} + e_t$$

$$Ee_t^2 = \sigma^2,$$

where  $e_t$  is a time series of serially uncorrelated shocks. The parameters are  $(\alpha, \rho, \sigma^2)$ . The assumption of *stationarity* implies that these parameters are constant over time. We say that a *structural break* has occurred if at least one of these parameters has changed at some date—the *breakdate*—in the sample period. While it may seem unlikely that a structural break could be immediate and might seem more reasonable to allow a structural change to take a period of time to take effect, we most

■ *Bruce E. Hansen is the Stockwell Professor of Economics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin. His e-mail address is <bhansen@ssc.wisc.edu>.*

often focus on the simple case of an immediate structural break for simplicity and parsimony.

A structural break may affect any or all of the model parameters, and these cases have different implications. Changes in the autoregressive parameter  $\rho$  reflect changes in the serial correlation in  $y_t$ . The intercept  $\alpha$  controls the mean of  $y_t$  through the relationship  $E(y_t) = \mu = \alpha/(1 - \rho)$ . Since  $y_t$  is the growth rate in labor productivity, changes in  $\mu$  are identical to changes in the trend and are probably the issue of primary interest. Finally, changes in  $\sigma^2$  imply changes in the volatility of labor productivity.

The econometrics of structural change looks for systematic methods to identify structural breaks. In the past 15 years, the most important contributions to this literature include the following three innovations: 1) Tests for a structural break of unknown timing; 2) Estimation of the timing of a structural break; and 3) Tests to distinguish between a random walk and broken time trends. These three innovations have dramatically altered the face of applied time series econometrics. We discuss these three topics in turn and use U.S. labor productivity data to illustrate their applicability.

## Testing for Structural Change of Unknown Timing

The classical test for structural change is typically attributed to Chow (1960). His famous testing procedure splits the sample into two subperiods, estimates the parameters for each subperiod, and then tests the equality of the two sets of parameters using a classic  $F$  statistic. This test was popular for many years and was extended to cover most econometric models of interest. For a recent treatment, see Andrews and Fair (1988).

However, an important limitation of the Chow test is that the breakdate must be known a priori. A researcher has only two choices: to pick an arbitrary candidate breakdate or to pick a breakdate based on some known feature of the data. In the first case, the Chow test may be uninformative, as the true breakdate can be missed. In the second case, the Chow test can be misleading, as the candidate breakdate is endogenous—it is correlated with the data—and the test is likely to indicate a break falsely when none in fact exists. Furthermore, since the results can be highly sensitive to these arbitrary choices, different researchers can easily reach quite distinct conclusions—hardly an example of sound scientific practice.

To illustrate this point, let's take United States labor productivity in the manufacturing/durables sector. We measure this as the growth rate of the ratio of the Industrial Production Index for manufacturing/durables to average weekly labor hours, a monthly time series available from February 1947 to April 2001 (yielding 651 observations).<sup>1</sup> If we compute a Chow statistic using 1973 as the

<sup>1</sup> Average weekly hours is the number of employees multiplied by average weekly hours, as measured by the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

breakdate, we obtain a value of 3.9.<sup>2</sup> The 5 percent critical value from the chi-square distribution is 6.0, so we fail to find evidence of a structural break. If we instead compute a Chow statistic using 1975 as the breakdate—just two years forward—we obtain a value of 7.1. As this exceeds the 5 percent critical value, it appears to provide evidence of a structural break. But how can we be confident, as the two similar breakdates give such different answers?

The necessary solution is to treat the breakdate as unknown. This idea—and solution—goes back to Quandt (1960), who proposed taking the largest Chow statistic over all possible breakdates.<sup>3</sup> For illustration, imagine a devilish trickster who is willing to go to any extreme to prove the existence of a structural break in labor productivity. This devilish trickster performs every possible Chow test imaginable, searching across possible breakdates.<sup>4</sup> Through this search, this trickster finds the worst-case Chow statistic, the breakdate where the test is largest. This is Quandt's statistic.

One way to see the construction of this statistic is to plot the sequence of Chow statistics as a function of candidate breakdates. I have done so in Figure 1 for our labor productivity example. The candidate breakdates are along the x-axis; the values of the Chow statistics on the y-axis. To compute these Chow statistics for a particular breakdate, you split the sample at that breakdate and estimate the model parameters separately on each subsample, as well as their covariance matrices. If the true parameters are constant, the subsample estimates should be (roughly) constant across candidate breakdates—subject to estimation error. On the other hand, if there is a structural break, then the subsample estimates will vary systematically across candidate breakdates, and this will be reflected in the Chow test sequence.

In Figure 1, we can see considerable variation of the Chow test sequence across candidate breakdates, reaching a high of 20.2 in May 1991. This value—20.2—is the Quandt statistic.

If the breakdate is known a priori, then the chi-square distribution can be used to assess statistical significance. We sketch this 5 percent critical value with dashes in Figure 1. For breakdates where the Chow test sequence lies below this critical value, the test appears to be “insignificant,” and conversely for breakdates where the Chow test lies above the critical value.


However, if the breakdate is unknown a priori, then the chi-square critical values are inappropriate. What critical values should be used instead? For many years, this question remained unanswered, and the Quandt statistic had no practical application. In the early 1990s, the problem was solved simultaneously by several sets of authors, with the most elegant and general statements given by

<sup>2</sup> I use the Wald form of the statistic computed with a heteroskedasticity-consistent covariance matrix.

<sup>3</sup> This is the likelihood ratio test under normality.

<sup>4</sup> Actually, we do not consider literally “all” possible breakdates. We cannot consider breakdates too close to the beginning or end of the sample, as there are not enough observations to identify the subsample parameters. The conventional solution is to consider all breakdates in the interior  $\tau$  percent to  $(1 - \tau)$  percent of the sample, where the trimming parameter  $\tau$  is typically between 5 percent and 15 percent. In the examples presented here, I use 5 percent trimming.

Figure 1

**Testing for Structural Change of Unknown Timing: Chow Test Sequence as a Function of Breakdate**

Andrews (1993) and Andrews and Ploberger (1994).<sup>5</sup> These authors provide tables of critical values, and Hansen (1997) provides a method to calculate  $p$ -values.

These asymptotic critical values are considerably larger than the comparable chi-square critical values, depending on the number of parameters in the model and other factors. In our example (which has two parameters), the Andrews 5 percent critical value is 12.9, just over twice the chi-square critical value. We have sketched this critical value as well (dotted line) in Figure 1. A visual way to assess significance is to see if the Chow test sequence breaks above the critical value (as this is equivalent to the maximum of the sequence exceeding the critical value). We can see that the maximum (20.2) easily exceeds the Andrews critical value (the  $p$ -value is 0.0016), so we are easily able to reject the hypothesis of no structural break.<sup>6</sup> We are therefore quite confident that this time series has a structural break.

If we find evidence of one structural break, could there be more than one? Bai and Perron (1998) develop tests for multiple structural changes. Their method is sequential, starting by testing for a single structural break. If the test rejects the null hypothesis that there is no structural break, the sample is split in two (based on the breakdate estimate presented in the next section) and the test is reapplied to each

<sup>5</sup> Andrews and Ploberger (1994) show that improved power can be obtained by taking exponential averages of the Chow test sequence.

<sup>6</sup> Hansen (2000) shows that Andrews' critical values are not robust to structural change in the *marginal* distribution of the regressors, which is undesirable in tests focusing on *conditional* relationships. He shows how to simulate robust critical values on a case-by-case basis. In this example, the robust  $p$ -value (calculated with 10,000 bootstrap replications) is 0.0044, yielding the same conclusion.

subsample. This sequence continues until each subsample test fails to find evidence of a break.

The Quandt-Andrews and Andrews-Ploberger family of statistics have essentially replaced the Chow statistic in recent econometric practice. One comprehensive application is Stock and Watson (1996), who apply the tests systematically to 76 monthly time series using both univariate and bivariate regressions. They reject stability at the 10 percent level for over half of their models.

Another interesting application is Ben-David and Papell (1998), who look for evidence of “slowdowns” (a decrease in the trend function) in the Summers-Heston GDP data from 74 countries. They find statistically significant evidence of a slowdown in 46 countries. In 21 of these cases, the postbreak trend function is actually negative.

A final example getting considerable recent attention is McConnell and Perez-Quiros (2000). They test for the stability of the volatility of United States GDP growth rates and find overwhelming evidence of a substantial decrease in volatility around 1984.

## **Estimating the Timing of Structural Change**

In many applications, it is useful to know when the structural change occurred. Treating the date of structural change—the breakdate—as an unknown parameter, the issues are how to estimate the breakdate and how to obtain confidence intervals for the breakdate.

An obvious candidate for a breakdate estimate is the date that yields the largest value of the Chow test sequence (in our labor productivity example, May 1991). It turns out that this is known to be a good estimate only in one special case—in linear regressions when the Chow test is constructed with the “homoskedastic” form of the covariance matrix.<sup>7</sup>

In regression models, an appropriate method to estimate the parameters—including the breakdate—is least squares. Operationally, the sample is split at each possible breakdate, the other parameters estimated by ordinary least squares and the sum of squared errors calculated and stored. The least squares breakdate estimate is the date that minimizes the full-sample sum of squared errors (equivalently, minimizes the residual variance).

A theory of least squares estimation has been developed in a sequence of papers by Jushan Bai, both alone and with coauthors. Bai (1994, 1997a) derives the asymptotic distribution of the breakdate estimator and shows how to construct

<sup>7</sup> When the Wald test is calculated using the homoskedastic covariance matrix, it is algebraically a transformation of the sum of squared residuals. Thus, the date that maximizes this Wald test sequence is algebraically identical to the date that minimizes the sum of squared residuals—the least squares estimator.

confidence intervals for the breakdate.<sup>8</sup> These confidence intervals are easy to calculate and hence are very useful in applications, as they indicate the degree of estimation accuracy. Bai, Lumsdaine and Stock (1998) extend this analysis to multiple time series with simultaneous structural breaks. They show that using multiple time series improves estimation precision. Bai and Perron (1998) discuss simultaneous estimation of multiple breakdates.

Chong (1995) and Bai (1997b) show how to estimate multiple breakdates sequentially. The key insight is that when there are multiple structural breaks, the sum of squared errors (as a function of the breakdate) can have a local minimum near each breakdate. Thus, the global minimum can be used as a breakdate estimator, and the other local minima can be viewed (cautiously) as candidate breakdate estimators. The sample is then split at the breakdate estimate, and analysis continues on the subsamples. Bai (1997b) shows that important improvements are obtained by iterative refinements: reestimation of breakdates based on refined samples.


These methods can be best illustrated through our application to labor productivity. In Figure 2, we plot the residual variance (sum of squared errors divided by sample size) as a function of a single breakdate. The breakdates are on the x-axis and the residual variance on the y-axis. The sample is split at each breakdate and the regression parameters estimated separately on each subsample. The sum of squared errors is calculated (for the entire sample) and the residual variance plotted. If the true parameters are constant, the subsample estimates (and hence, sum of squared errors) will vary randomly and erratically across candidate breakdates. On the other hand, if there is a structural break, then the subsample estimates will vary systematically across candidate breakdates, and the sum of squared errors will have a well-defined minimum near the true breakdate.

The plot in Figure 2 is partially erratic, but we can discern three well-defined minima: a global minimum in January 1982 and two local minima in July 1962 and September 1993. The visual evidence suggests that two or three structural breaks are possible in this sample period.

We break the sample at the estimated breakdate (January 1982) and test for structural breaks on the two subsamples. We find no evidence for a break in the period [1947, 1982], but find evidence for a break in the period [1982, 2001]. For this latter period, the residual variance has a strong *V* shape as a function of the breakdate, indicating good identification, and the minimum is obtained in December 1994. Now we split the sample in December 1994 and reestimate on the sample period [1947, 1994]. The Quandt test rejects the hypothesis of parameter constancy at the 5 percent level, indicating a structural break, and the least squares estimate of the breakdate is December 1963, with a second local minimum in January 1982. Now taking the sample [1964, 1994], the Quandt test fails to find evidence for a structural break. The point estimate of the breakdate again is January 1982. Finally,

<sup>8</sup> This builds on earlier work by Picard (1985).

Figure 2

**Least Squares Breakdate Estimation: Residual Variance as a Function of Breakdate**

taking the sample [1964, 2001], the Quandt statistic finds evidence of a break with a breakdate estimate of April 1994.

Based on this evidence, there appears to have been a structural break in this series in 1994, and possibly breaks in December 1963 and January 1982. The Bai 90 percent confidence interval for the first breakdate is [1992, 1996], which is fairly tight. The 90 percent confidence intervals for the other two breakdates are [1959, 1971] and [1977, 1988], respectively, neither of which is very precise.

As yet, there have been few rigorous applications of the methodology described here. An important exception is Bai, Lumsdaine and Stock (1998), who attempt to date the alleged slowdown of the early 1970s. Using U.S. quarterly data for 1959 through 1995 on real output, consumption and investment, they find no evidence of structural change when examining the individual series with univariate models, but find strong evidence in a joint vector autoregression, in which the output, consumption and investment variables are regressed on lagged values of output, consumption and investment. Their estimate of the breakdate is the first quarter of 1969, and their 90 percent confidence interval puts the breakdate between the second quarter of 1966 and the fourth quarter of 1971.

An interesting common feature of our breakdate estimates for labor productivity and the Bai, Lumsdaine and Stock (1998) estimate for real output is that they are both quite different than the widely accepted breakdate of 1973. (Neither set of confidence intervals include 1973.) Another common feature is that both sets of estimates are consistent with a structural break in the late 1960s.

## Choosing Between Random Walk and Structural Change

Time series are often usefully described as being composed of a trend and a cycle. Before the work of Nelson and Plosser (1982), it was commonplace to assume that the trend was linear. Nelson and Plosser challenged that assumption by providing evidence that for many widely used aggregate macroeconomic time series, the trend could be characterized as a random walk. That is, instead of being a fixed trend to which the time series would revert over the business cycle, the trend would be moved by random shocks—and then would stay at the new level until disturbed by another random shock.

While this result prompted many counterchallenges, the most constructive was mounted by Perron (1989). Perron argued that the movement of the trend could be explained by a parsimonious single structural break in an otherwise constant linear trend. This explanation is plausible, since a trend break produces serial correlation properties that are similar to those of a random walk.

Perron (1989) showed how to test the random walk hypothesis against the trend-break model. This is achieved by estimating a linear autoregression augmented with dummy interactions to capture the desired broken trend specification. The hypothesis of a random walk trend implies that the sum of the autoregressive coefficients equals one (that is, a “unit root” in the autoregressive polynomial), so this can be easily assessed with a  $t$ -ratio statistic. The distribution of the  $t$ -ratio is non-normal,<sup>9</sup> but Perron provided a distribution theory and critical values.

Perron (1989) applied this test to the Nelson-Plosser macroeconomic time series, specifying the breakdate as 1929 for the annual series and 1973 for the postwar quarterly series. He was able to reject the random walk model for most of the series at the 5 percent significance level, suggesting that the series were stationary after accounting for structural change in the trend.

However, Perron’s (1989) analysis was disputed by a collection of papers, most notably Christiano (1992), Zivot and Andrews (1992), Banerjee, Lumsdaine and Stock (1992) and even Perron and Vogelsang (1992). These authors argue that it is inappropriate to specify the breakdate as known, as it is not reasonable to believe that the choice has been made independently of the data. These authors collectively suggest that an appropriate procedure is to select the breakdate that provides the most evidence against the random walk hypothesis—the breakdate that produces the largest  $t$ -ratio.

This procedure for choosing a breakdate can produce the same numerical value for the test statistic, as Perron’s choices of 1929 and 1973 were quite judicious. However, the test is constructed using a different *procedure*, and hence it has a different sampling distribution. The critical values for the modified test are much larger,<sup>10</sup> making it harder to reject the null hypothesis of a random walk. Armed

<sup>9</sup> It is also different from the Dickey-Fuller (1979) distribution.

<sup>10</sup> The authors also found that asymptotic critical values should only be used as a crude guide and that finite-sample bootstrap critical values are much preferred.



with this new theory, the evidence against the hypothesis of a random walk had evaporated.

Will longer samples of data settle the debate? Perron (1997) revisited the issue, extending the sample to 1991:III and using different methods to select the autoregressive lag order. He found slightly stronger evidence against the random walk model, but the evidence was not conclusive. The key problem is that the trend functions specified earlier in Perron (1989) do not predict well out of sample. For the annual series, the trend function severely overpredicts the 1970s and 1980s. For the quarterly series, it underpredicts for the period 1987–2000. This is consistent with a random walk trend.

The contributions to this family of tests continue to grow. Of particular note, Lumsdaine and Papell (1997) allow two breakdates rather than one and find that the case against the random walk is strengthened. However, the need for two structural breaks also reduces the distinction between the trend-break and random walk models.<sup>11</sup>

The Perron (1989) idea has had a large and well-deserved impact on empirical analysis and has focused attention on the time series properties of the trend. As we now understand, the distinction between a random walk and a trend break largely concerns the frequency of permanent shocks to the trend. In a random walk process, such shocks occur frequently, while in a trend-break process, they occur infrequently (once or twice in a sample). Future work may attempt to find alternative ways to narrow the difference between these models.

Perron's (1989) idea and its variants have seen many applications. One creative example of this work is Fernandez (1997). His focus is on the question of whether changes in money help to forecast output, even after conditioning on lagged output. An earlier literature had shown that the results depend on whether or not interest rates are included in the regression and whether a time trend is included to detrend the series linearly. Fernandez uses tests from Perron (1997) to argue that output is well represented by a stationary process about a time trend with a single trend break, and he uses the estimated broken trend function to detrend output. Fernandez finds that when the sample period is confined to pre-1985, this produces very robust results; however, he is unable to produce robust results when data after 1985 is included.

Another application is Papell, Murray and Ghiblawi (2000). These authors are concerned with hysteresis in unemployment rates in 16 OECD countries. Hysteresis is the theory that a one-time change in unemployment can have permanent effects; thus, it is closely related to the idea that trend unemployment can be described as a random walk. Using the Perron-Vogelsang (1992) tests, the authors are able to reject the random walk hypothesis for ten of the 16 countries in favor of a one-time

<sup>11</sup> In a recent contribution, Saikkonen and Lutkepohl (2000) propose tests with optimal power through efficient estimation of the model parameters. Their testing methods are related to Elliott, Rothenberg and Stock (1996) in that they use efficient generalized least squares.

break in time trend. This finding suggests a very different economic interpretation about hysteresis.

## **U.S. Productivity**

We now return to our empirical investigation of labor productivity in the U.S. manufacturing/durables sector. To review, we found strong evidence of a structural break sometime between 1992 and 1996, and weaker evidence of a structural break in the 1960s and the early 1980s. What is the nature of these changes in labor productivity? Our subsample estimates of mean growth rates (in annualized units) are 3.4 percent for 1947–1964, 2.5 percent for 1964–1982, 4.2 percent for 1982–1995 and 7.7 percent for 1995–2001. Clearly, the growth rate in the final period is quite large relative to previous history.

As there are many possible measures of labor productivity, it is natural to ask if our results are robust to alternative choices. We consider the quarterly labor productivity index issued by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, which measures output per hour of all persons for the manufacturing/durables sector. Applying the same model and methods to this series (1947 through 2000), we again find strong evidence for a break in the 1990s, with a point estimate of 1997. However, with this series, there is no evidence of a second break.

To investigate further, we disaggregate the manufacturing/durables sector by two-digit SIC industry group, as monthly series are available at this level of disaggregation for both the industrial production index and weekly labor hours. The ten industries are the following: Lumber (SIC 24); Furniture (SIC 25); Stone, clay and glass products (SIC 32); Primary metal industries (SIC 33); Fabricated metal products (SIC 34); Industrial machinery and equipment (SIC 35); Electronic equipment (SIC 36); Transportation equipment (SIC 37); Instruments (SIC 38); and Miscellaneous (SIC 39).

We apply the same empirical methods as described earlier in this paper for the manufacturing/durables sector. There is evidence of structural change in the regression function for seven of the ten industry groups, but only two—Industrial machinery and Electronic equipment—show evidence of a structural break in the mean growth rate. For the other five industry groups—Furniture, Primary Metals, Fabricated Metals, Instruments and Miscellaneous—there is a statistically significant change in the autoregressive parameter, but not in the mean growth rate. This means that the response of labor productivity to shocks has changed, but the long-run impact is unaltered.

As we stated above, the industrial machinery sector has a statistically significant break in the mean growth rate. The breakdate estimate is February 1992, and the Bai 90 percent confidence interval is October 1990 to June 1994. The model implies a mean growth rate (in annualized units) of 3.3 percent before 1992 and 7.8 percent after the break. There is no evidence of a second structural break in this series.

The other series with a break in the mean growth rate is electronic equipment. The breakdate estimate is December 1993, and the Bai 90 percent confidence interval is June 1993 to January 1995. The estimated mean growth rate increased from 5.3 percent before 1993 to 17.8 percent after 1993.

There is a remarkable coincidence of the breakdates for the manufacturing/durables sector as a whole and the industrial machinery and electronic equipment industry groups. Apparently, the structural break in the sector is due to roughly simultaneous breaks in these two industry groups.

This investigation raises many questions: Is this break permanent or transitory? Can further disaggregation identify the sources of the productivity break? Is the timing of the structural break simultaneous across sectors? Why are there no spillover effects into other industries? Can the labor productivity gain be explained by increased utilization of other factors? Are these increases unique to the United States, or have they occurred in other countries as well? I expect that these and related questions will be exciting avenues for future research.

## Conclusion

Structural change is pervasive in economic time series relationships, and it can be quite perilous to ignore. Inferences about economic relationships can go astray, forecasts can be inaccurate, and policy recommendations can be misleading or worse. The new tools developed in the past few years are useful aids in econometric model specification, analysis and evaluation.

■ *I thank the editors—Alan Krueger, Brad De Long, Michael Waldman and Timothy Taylor—for detailed and helpful comments on a previous draft, which greatly improved the paper. I also thank John Kennan and Ken West for insightful suggestions about labor productivity. This research was supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author, and they do not necessarily reflect the views of the NSF. The data and Gauss programs used in this paper are available on the author's webpage, (<http://www.ssc.wisc.edu/~bhansen>).*

## References

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <p><b>Andrews, Donald W.K.</b> 1993. "Tests for Parameter Instability and Structural Change with Unknown Change Point." <i>Econometrica</i>. July, 61:4, pp. 821–56.</p> | <p><b>Andrews, Donald W.K. and Ray C. Fair.</b> 1988. "Inference in Nonlinear Econometric Models with Structural Change." <i>Review of Economic Studies</i>. October, 55:4, pp. 615–39.</p> |
|--|---|

- Andrews, Donald W.K. and Werner Ploberger.** 1994. "Optimal Tests When a Nuisance Parameter is Present Only Under the Alternative." *Econometrica*. November, 62:6, pp. 1383–414.
- Bai, Jushan.** 1994. "Least Squares Estimation of a Shift in Linear Processes." *Journal of Time Series Analysis*. 15:5, pp. 453–72.
- Bai, Jushan.** 1997a. "Estimation of a Change Point in Multiple Regression Models." *Review of Economics and Statistics*. November, 79:4, pp. 551–63.
- Bai, Jushan.** 1997b. "Estimating Multiple Breaks One at a Time." *Econometric Theory*. June, 13:3, pp. 315–52.
- Bai, Jushan and Pierre Perron.** 1998. "Estimating and Testing Linear Models with Multiple Structural Changes." *Econometrica*. January, 66:1, pp. 47–78.
- Bai, Jushan, Robin L. Lumsdaine and James H. Stock.** 1998. "Testing for and Dating Common Breaks in Multivariate Time Series." *Review of Economic Studies*. July, 64:3, pp. 395–432.
- Banerjee, Anindya, Robin L. Lumsdaine and James H. Stock.** 1992. "Recursive and Sequential Tests of the Unit-Root and Trend-Break Hypotheses: Theory and International Evidence." *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*. July, 10:3, pp. 271–87.
- Ben-David, Dan and David H. Papell.** 1998. "Slowdowns and Meltdowns: Postwar Growth Evidence from 74 Countries." *Review of Economics and Statistics*. November, 80:4, pp. 561–71.
- Chong, Terence Tai-leung.** 1995. "Partial Parameter Consistency in a Misspecified Structural Change Model." *Economics Letters*. October, 49:4, pp. 351–57.
- Chow, Gregory C.** 1960. "Tests of Equality Between Sets of Coefficients in Two Linear Regressions." *Econometrica*. 28:3, pp. 591–605.
- Christiano, Lawrence J.** 1992. "Searching for a Break in GNP." *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*. July, 10:3, pp. 237–50.
- Dickey, David A. and Wayne A. Fuller.** 1979. "Distribution of the Estimators for Autoregressive Time Series with a Unit Root." *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. June, 74:366, pp. 427–31.
- Elliott, Graham, Thomas J. Rothenberg and James H. Stock.** 1996. "Efficient Tests for an Autoregressive Unit Root." *Econometrica*. July, 64:4, pp. 813–36.
- Fernandez, David G.** 1997. "Breaking Trends and the Money-Output Correlation." *Review of Economics and Statistics*. November, 79:4, pp. 674–79.
- Hansen, Bruce E.** 1997. "Approximate Asymptotic  $P$  Values for Structural-Change Tests." *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*. January, 15:1, pp. 60–67.
- Hansen, Bruce E.** 2000. "Testing for Structural Change in Conditional Models." *Journal of Econometrics*. July, 97:1, pp. 93–115.
- Lumsdaine, Robin L. and David H. Papell.** 1997. "Multiple Trend Breaks and the Unit-Root Hypothesis." *Review of Economics and Statistics*. May, 79:2, pp. 212–18.
- McConnell, Margaret M. and Gabriel Perez-Quiros.** 2000. "Output Fluctuations in the United States: What Has Changed Since the Early 1980s?" *American Economic Review*. December, 90:5, pp. 1464–76.
- Nelson, Charles R. and Charles I. Plosser.** 1982. "Trends and Random Walks in Macroeconomic Time Series." *Journal of Monetary Economics*. September, 10:2, pp. 139–62.
- Papell, David H., Christian J. Murray and Hala Ghiblawi.** 2000. "The Structure of Unemployment." *Review of Economics and Statistics*. May, 82:2, pp. 309–15.
- Perron, Pierre.** 1989. "The Great Crash, the Oil-Price Shock, and the Unit-Root Hypothesis." *Econometrica*. November, 57:6, pp. 1361–401.
- Perron, Pierre.** 1997. "Further Evidence on Breaking Trend Functions in Macroeconomic Variables." *Journal of Econometrics*. October, 80:2, pp. 355–85.
- Perron, Pierre and Timothy J. Vogelsang.** 1992. "Nonstationarity and Level Shifts with an Application to Purchasing Power Parity." *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*. July, 10:3, pp. 301–20.
- Picard, D.** 1985. "Testing and Estimating Change-Points in Time Series." *Advances in Applied Probability*. 17:4, pp. 841–67.
- Quandt, Richard.** 1960. "Tests of the Hypothesis that a Linear Regression Obeys Two Separate Regimes." *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. 55, pp. 324–30.
- Saikkonen, Pentti and Helmut Lutkepohl.** 2000. "Testing for a Unit Root in a Time Series with a Level Shift at Unknown Time." Contributed paper, Economic Society World Congress. *Econometric Theory*. Forthcoming.
- Stock, James H. and Mark W. Watson.** 1996. "Evidence on Structural Instability in Macroeconomic Time Series Relations." *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*. July, 14:3, pp. 11–30.
- Zivot, E. and D.W.K. Andrews.** 1992. "Further Evidence on the Great Crash, the Oil-Price Shock, and the Unit-Root Hypothesis." *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*. 10, pp. 251–70.

**This article has been cited by:**

1. Nektarios A. Michail, Konstantinos D. Melas, Lena Cleanthous. 2022. The relationship between shipping freight rates and inflation in the Euro Area. *International Economics* **172**, 40-49. [[Crossref](#)]
2. Mukhriz Izraf Azman Aziz, Zaghum Umar, Mariya Gubareva, Tatiana Sokolova, Xuan Vinh Vo. 2022. ASEAN-5 forex rates and crude oil: Markov regime-switching analysis. *Applied Economics* **54**:54, 6234-6253. [[Crossref](#)]
3. Mukesh K. Chaudhry, Vivek Bhargava, Henry Shelton Weeks. 2022. Impact of economic forces and fundamental variables on REIT returns. *Applied Economics* **54**:53, 6179-6201. [[Crossref](#)]
4. Ameet Kumar Banerjee. 2022. You sneeze, and the markets are paranoid: the fear, uncertainty and distress sentiments impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the stock-bond correlation. *The Journal of Risk Finance* **74**. . [[Crossref](#)]
5. Dante Amengual, Gabriele Fiorentini, Enrique Sentana. Tests for Random Coefficient Variation in Vector Autoregressive Models 1-35. [[Crossref](#)]
6. Paul Adjei Kwakwa, Kwame Adjei-Mantey, Frank Adusah-Poku. 2022. The effect of transport services and ICTs on carbon dioxide emissions in South Africa. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **29**. . [[Crossref](#)]
7. T. P. Sinha. 2022. A Macro-Econometric VAR Model of India Incorporating Black Income. *Journal of Quantitative Economics* **20**:3, 629-660. [[Crossref](#)]
8. Zhonghao Fu, Yongmiao Hong, Liangjun Su, Xia Wang. 2022. Specification tests for time-varying coefficient models. *Journal of Econometrics* **66**. . [[Crossref](#)]
9. Sakiru Adebola Solarin, Muhammed Sehid Gorus, Veli Yilanci. 2022. Feverish sentiment, lockdown stringency, oil volatility, and clean energy stocks during COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Managerial Finance* **38**. . [[Crossref](#)]
10. Haydory Akbar Ahmed, Tareque Nasser. 2022. Long-run relationship between the unemployment rate and the current account balance in the United States: An empirical analysis. *Portuguese Economic Journal* **72**. . [[Crossref](#)]
11. Binh Thi Thanh Nguyen. 2022. Can housing investment hedge against inflation?. *International Journal of Housing Markets and Analysis* **245**. . [[Crossref](#)]
12. Khalid M. Kisswani, Amine Lahiani, Salma Mefteh-Wali. 2022. An analysis of OPEC oil production reaction to non-OPEC oil supply. *Resources Policy* **77**, 102653. [[Crossref](#)]
13. Ignacia Mercadal. 2022. Dynamic Competition and Arbitrage in Electricity Markets: The Role of Financial Players. *American Economic Journal: Microeconomics* **14**:3, 665-699. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
14. Frank Adusah-Poku, John Bosco Dramani, Kwame Adjei-Mantey. 2022. Determinants of electricity demand in Ghana: the role of power crises. *International Journal of Sustainable Energy* **41**:6, 699-712. [[Crossref](#)]
15. Gantungalag Altansukh, Denise R. Osborn. 2022. Using structural break inference for forecasting time series. *Empirical Economics* **63**:1, 1-41. [[Crossref](#)]
16. Fotios Petropoulos, Daniele Apiletti, Vassilios Assimakopoulos, Mohamed Zied Babai, Devon K. Barrow, Souhaib Ben Taieb, Christoph Bergmeir, Ricardo J. Bessa, Jakub Bijak, John E. Boylan, Jethro Browell, Claudio Carnevale, Jennifer L. Castle, Pasquale Cirillo, Michael P. Clements, Clara Cordeiro, Fernando Luiz Cyrino Oliveira, Shari De Baets, Alexander Dokumentov, Joanne Ellison, Piotr Fiszeder, Philip Hans Franses, David T. Frazier, Michael Gilliland, M. Sinan Gönül, Paul Goodwin, Luigi Grossi, Yael Grushka-Cockayne, Mariangela Guidolin, Massimo Guidolin, Ulrich Gunter, Xiaojia Guo, Renato Guseo, Nigel Harvey, David F. Hendry, Ross Hollyman, Tim

- Januschowski, Jooyoung Jeon, Victor Richmond R. Jose, Yanfei Kang, Anne B. Koehler, Stephan Kolassa, Nikolaos Kourentzes, Sonia Leva, Feng Li, Konstantia Litsiou, Spyros Makridakis, Gael M. Martin, Andrew B. Martinez, Sheik Meeran, Theodore Modis, Konstantinos Nikolopoulos, Dilek Önköl, Alessia Paccagnini, Anastasios Panagiotelis, Ioannis Panapakidis, Jose M. Pavia, Manuela Pedio, Diego J. Pedregal, Pierre Pinson, Patricia Ramos, David E. Rapach, J. James Reade, Bahman Rostami-Tabar, Michał Rubaszek, Georgios Sermpinis, Han Lin Shang, Evangelos Spiliotis, Aris A. Syntetos, Priyanga Dilini Talagala, Thiyanga S. Talagala, Len Tashman, Dimitrios Thomakos, Thordis Thorarinsdottir, Ezio Todini, Juan Ramón Trapero Arenas, Xiaoqian Wang, Robert L. Winkler, Alisa Yusupova, Florian Ziel. 2022. Forecasting: theory and practice. *International Journal of Forecasting* **38**:3, 705-871. [[Crossref](#)]
17. Daniela Pérez Noreña, Daniel Fernando Giraldo Osorio, Belky Esperanza Gutiérrez Castañeda. 2022. Cambios en la calificación de riesgo país: ¿Afectan la volatilidad de los mercados emergentes?. *Revista Facultad de Ciencias Económicas* **30**:1, 189-214. [[Crossref](#)]
  18. Muhammad Ali Nasir, Junjie Wu, Cameron Howes, Helen Ripley. 2022. Asymmetric nexus between wages and productivity in the context of the global financial crisis. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **198**, 164-175. [[Crossref](#)]
  19. Arvind Shrivastava, Anoop Chaturvedi, Aradhana Srivastava. 2022. Modeling Structural Breaks in Disturbances Precision or Autoregressive Parameter in Dynamic Model: A Bayesian Approach. *Journal of the Indian Society for Probability and Statistics* **23**:1, 129-154. [[Crossref](#)]
  20. Andy Ali Mustafa, Ching-Yang Lin, Makoto Kakinaka. 2022. Detecting market pattern changes: A machine learning approach. *Finance Research Letters* **47**, 102621. [[Crossref](#)]
  21. Imlak Shaikh, Priyanka Vallabh. 2022. Monetary policy uncertainty and gold price in India: Evidence from Reserve Bank of India's Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) review. *Resources Policy* **76**, 102642. [[Crossref](#)]
  22. Christian Balcells. 2022. Determinants of firm boundaries and organizational performance: an empirical investigation of the Chilean truck market. *Journal of Evolutionary Economics* **32**:2, 423-461. [[Crossref](#)]
  23. Ayesha Siddiqui, Mohd Shamim, Mohammad Asif, Mamdouh Abdulaziz Saleh Al-Faryan. 2022. Are Stock Markets among BRICS Members Integrated? A Regime Shift-Based Co-Integration Analysis. *Economics* **10**:4, 87. [[Crossref](#)]
  24. Frédéric Le Roy, Frank Robert, Rhizlane Hamouti. 2022. Vertical vs horizontal cooptation and the market performance of product innovation: An empirical study of the video game industry. *Technovation* **112**, 102411. [[Crossref](#)]
  25. Onifade Stephen Taiwo, Acet Hakan, Çevik Savaş. 2022. Modeling the Impacts of MSMEs' Contributions to GDP and their Constraints on Unemployment: The Case of African's Most Populous Country. *Studies in Business and Economics* **17**:1, 154-170. [[Crossref](#)]
  26. Zhonghao Fu, Yongmiao Hong, Xia Wang. 2022. ON MULTIPLE STRUCTURAL BREAKS IN DISTRIBUTION: AN EMPIRICAL CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTION APPROACH. *Econometric Theory* **14**, 1-48. [[Crossref](#)]
  27. Xu Zhu, Tianxiao Pang. 2022. Inference on a structural break in trend with mildly integrated errors. *Journal of the Korean Statistical Society* **51**:1, 282-307. [[Crossref](#)]
  28. Lu Wang, Jianhong Wu. 2022. Estimation of high-dimensional factor models with multiple structural changes. *Economic Modelling* **108**, 105743. [[Crossref](#)]
  29. Emmanuel Joel Aikins Abakah, Luis A. Gil-Alana, Emmanuel Kwesi Arthur, Aviral Kumar Tiwari. 2022. Measuring volatility persistence in leveraged loan markets in the presence of structural breaks. *International Review of Economics & Finance* **78**, 141-152. [[Crossref](#)]



30. Chenchen Ma, Yundong Tu. 2022. Group fused Lasso for large factor models with multiple structural breaks. *Journal of Econometrics* . [[Crossref](#)]
31. Konstantinos D. Melas, Nektarios A. Michail. 2022. Buy together, but recycle alone: sentiment-driven herding behavior in oceanic dry bulk shipping. *Review of Behavioral Finance* **41**. . [[Crossref](#)]
32. Jing-You Lu, Hsu-Chao Lai, Wen-Yueh Shih, Yi-Feng Chen, Shen-Hang Huang, Hao-Han Chang, Jun-Zhe Wang, Jiun-Long Huang, Tian-Shyr Dai. 2022. Structural break-aware pairs trading strategy using deep reinforcement learning. *The Journal of Supercomputing* **78**:3, 3843–3882. [[Crossref](#)]
33. Edmund Ntom Udemba, Naci İbrahim Keleş. 2022. Interactions among urbanization, industrialization and foreign direct investment (FDI) in determining the environment and sustainable development: new insight from Turkey. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Regional Science* **6**:1, 191–212. [[Crossref](#)]
34. Isaac Clifford Queku, Seth Gyedu, Emmanuel Carsamer. 2022. Stock prices and macroeconomic information in Ghana: speed of adjustment and bi-causality analysis. *International Journal of Emerging Markets* **17**:1, 47–70. [[Crossref](#)]
35. Mushtaq Ahmad Malik, Tariq Masood, Ilhan Ozturk. 2022. Identifying structural breaks and growth regimes in middle eastern economies. *International Journal of Finance & Economics* **27**:1, 224–236. [[Crossref](#)]
36. Nauro F. Campos, Menelaos G. Karanasos, Michail Karoglou, Panagiotis Koutroumpis, Constantin Zopounidis, Apostolos Christopoulos. 2022. Apocalypse now, apocalypse when? Economic growth and structural breaks in Argentina (1886–2003). *Economics of Transition and Institutional Change* **30**:1, 3–32. [[Crossref](#)]
37. Lucas Boareto da Aparecida, Sergio Giovanetti Lazzarini, Adriana Bruscatto Bortoluzzo. 2022. Long-term Financing: Exploring the Recent Advances in the Brazilian Bond Market. *Revista de Administração Contemporânea* **26**:2. . [[Crossref](#)]
38. Lucas Boareto da Aparecida, Sergio Giovanetti Lazzarini, Adriana Bruscatto Bortoluzzo. 2022. Financiamento de Longo Prazo: Explorando o Avanço Recente do Mercado de Debêntures Brasileiro. *Revista de Administração Contemporânea* **26**:2. . [[Crossref](#)]
39. Yuying Sun, Jian Zhang, Xin Li, Shouyang Wang. 2021. Forecasting Tourism Demand With a New Time-Varying Forecast Averaging Approach. *Journal of Travel Research* **80**, 004728752110612. [[Crossref](#)]
40. Walid M.A. Ahmed. 2021. How do Islamic equity markets respond to good and bad volatility of cryptocurrencies? The case of Bitcoin. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal* **70**, 101667. [[Crossref](#)]
41. Shibashish Mukherjee, H. Jelle M. Bonestroo. 2021. Why corporate board insiders still matter: Evidence using aggregate earnings shocks. *European Management Review* **18**:4, 500–520. [[Crossref](#)]
42. ADAM CHECK, JEREMY PIGER. 2021. Structural Breaks in U.S. Macroeconomic Time Series: A Bayesian Model Averaging Approach. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* **53**:8, 1999–2036. [[Crossref](#)]
43. Sonia R. Bhalotra, Alberto Diaz-Cayeros, Grant Miller, Alfonso Miranda, Atheendar S. Venkataramani. 2021. Urban Water Disinfection and Mortality Decline in Lower-Income Countries. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy* **13**:4, 490–520. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
44. Jie Zhou, Mei Sun, Dun Han, Cuixia Gao. 2021. Analysis of oil price fluctuation under the influence of crude oil stocks and US dollar index — Based on time series network model. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **582**, 126218. [[Crossref](#)]
45. Fakhri J. Hasanov. 2021. Theoretical framework for industrial energy consumption revisited: The role of demographics. *Energy Reports* **7**, 2178–2200. [[Crossref](#)]

46. Feiyu Jiang, Dong Li, Ke Zhu. 2021. Adaptive inference for a semiparametric generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity model. *Journal of Econometrics* **224**:2, 306-329. [[Crossref](#)]
47. Tianxiao Pang, Terence Tai-Leung Chong, Danna Zhang. 2021. Non identification of structural change in non stationary AR(1) models. *Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods* **50**:18, 4145-4166. [[Crossref](#)]
48. Kyriaki Efthaltsidou, Eleni Zafeiriou, Konstantinos Spinthiropoulos, Ioannis Betsas, Nikolaos Sariannidis. 2021. GDP and Public Expenditure in Education, Health, and Defense. Empirical Research for Greece. *Mathematics* **9**:18, 2319. [[Crossref](#)]
49. Christopher J. Cronin, William N. Evans. 2021. Total shutdowns, targeted restrictions, or individual responsibility: How to promote social distancing in the COVID-19 Era?. *Journal of Health Economics* **79**, 102497. [[Crossref](#)]
50. Yuying Sun, Yongmiao Hong, Tae-Hwy Lee, Shouyang Wang, Xinyu Zhang. 2021. Time-varying model averaging. *Journal of Econometrics* **222**:2, 974-992. [[Crossref](#)]
51. Liyuan Cui, Yongmiao Hong, Yingxing Li. 2021. Solving Euler equations via two-stage nonparametric penalized splines. *Journal of Econometrics* **222**:2, 1024-1056. [[Crossref](#)]
52. Aurora Alejandra Ramírez-Álvarez. 2021. Media and Crime Perceptions: Evidence from Mexico. *The Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization* **37**:1, 68-133. [[Crossref](#)]
53. Asif Khan, Sughra Bibi, Jiaying Lyu, Abdul Latif, Ardito Lorenzo. 2021. COVID-19 and sectoral employment trends: assessing resilience in the US leisure and hospitality industry. *Current Issues in Tourism* **24**:7, 952-969. [[Crossref](#)]
54. Hyeonwoo Kim, Ying Lin, Henry Thompson. 2021. Exchange Rate Pass-Through to Consumer Prices: The Increasing Role of Energy Prices. *Open Economies Review* **32**:2, 395-415. [[Crossref](#)]
55. Atsushi Inoue, Lu Jin, Denis Pelletier. 2021. Local-Linear Estimation of Time-Varying-Parameter GARCH Models and Associated Risk Measures. *Journal of Financial Econometrics* **19**:1, 202-234. [[Crossref](#)]
56. Tianxiao Pang, Lingjie Du, Terence Tai-Leung Chong. 2021. Estimating multiple breaks in nonstationary autoregressive models. *Journal of Econometrics* **221**:1, 277-311. [[Crossref](#)]
57. Shekhar Mishra, Sibanjan Mishra. 2021. Are Indian sectoral indices oil shock prone? An empirical evaluation. *Resources Policy* **70**, 101889. [[Crossref](#)]
58. Mungunzul Badamvaanchig, Moinul Islam, Makoto Kakinaka. 2021. Pass-through of commodity price to Mongolian stock price: Symmetric or asymmetric?. *Resources Policy* **70**, 101955. [[Crossref](#)]
59. Muhammad Ali Nasir, Mary Leung. 2021. US trade deficit, a reality check: New evidence incorporating asymmetric and non-linear effects of exchange rate dynamics. *The World Economy* **44**:3, 818-836. [[Crossref](#)]
60. Gbadebo A. Oladosu, Keith L. Kline, Johannes W. A. Langeveld. 2021. Structural Break and Causal Analyses of U.S. Corn Use for Ethanol and Other Corn Market Variables. *Agriculture* **11**:3, 267. [[Crossref](#)]
61. Pavel Kotyza, Katarzyna Czech, Michał Wielechowski, Luboš Smutka, Petr Procházka. 2021. Sugar Prices vs. Financial Market Uncertainty in the Time of Crisis: Does COVID-19 Induce Structural Changes in the Relationship?. *Agriculture* **11**:2, 93. [[Crossref](#)]
62. João Paulo Cerdeira Bento. Renewable energy-based power generation and the contribution to economic growth: the case of Portugal 587-607. [[Crossref](#)]
63. Muhammad Ali Nasir. 2021. Zero Lower Bound and negative interest rates: Choices for monetary policy in the UK. *Journal of Policy Modeling* **43**:1, 200-229. [[Crossref](#)]



64. Konstantinos D. Melas, Nektarios A. Michail. 2021. The relationship between commodity prices and freight rates in the dry bulk shipping segment: A threshold regression approach. *Maritime Transport Research* 2, 100025. [[Crossref](#)]
65. Xingyi Chen, Yongmiao Hong, Haiqi Li. 2021. Adaptive Shrinkage Estimation of High Dimensional Moment Condition Model with Smooth Structural Changes. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 81. . [[Crossref](#)]
66. Haiqi Li, Jin Zhou, Yongmiao Hong. 2021. Estimating and Testing for Smooth Structural Changes in Moment Condition Models. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 61. . [[Crossref](#)]
67. Yongmiao Hong, Brendan McCabe, Jiajing Sun, Shouyang Wang. 2021. Testing for Structural Breaks – A New Self-Normalization Approach Based on the Adjusted Sample Range. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 117. . [[Crossref](#)]
68. Jhumur Sengupta. Application of Econometrics in Business Research 137-159. [[Crossref](#)]
69. Piotr Fryzlewicz. 2020. Detecting possibly frequent change-points: Wild Binary Segmentation 2 and steepest-drop model selection. *Journal of the Korean Statistical Society* 49:4, 1027-1070. [[Crossref](#)]
70. Russell P. Robins, Geoffrey Peter Smith. 2020. Selection bias and pseudo discoveries on the constancy of stock return anomalies. *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting* 55:4, 1407-1426. [[Crossref](#)]
71. Angela Acocella, Chris Caplice, Yossi Sheffi. 2020. Elephants or goldfish?: An empirical analysis of carrier reciprocity in dynamic freight markets. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review* 142, 102073. [[Crossref](#)]
72. E. A. Grebenyuk. Monitoring and Identification of Structural Shifts in Processes with a Unit Root 1-4. [[Crossref](#)]
73. Duarte N. Leite, Óscar Afonso, Sandra T. Silva. 2020. THE TWO REVOLUTIONS, LANDED ELITES, AND EDUCATION DURING THE INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION. *Macroeconomic Dynamics* 24:6, 1478-1511. [[Crossref](#)]
74. Takamitsu Kurita. 2020. Likelihood-based tests for parameter constancy in I ( 2 ) CVAR models with an application to fixed-term deposit data. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* 178, 104622. [[Crossref](#)]
75. Zulquar Nain, Bandi Kamaiah. 2020. Uncertainty and Effectiveness of Monetary Policy: A Bayesian Markov Switching-VAR Analysis. *Journal of Central Banking Theory and Practice* 9:s1, 237-265. [[Crossref](#)]
76. Brian F.S. Allen, Patrick M. Jablonski, Matthew D. McEvoy, Jesse M. Ehrenfeld, Hanyuan Shi, Adam B. King, Jonathan P. Wanderer. 2020. Implementation of an Enhanced Recovery Protocol (ERP) is associated with an increase in the perioperative use of non-opioid multimodal analgesia for non-ERP patients. *Journal of Clinical Anesthesia* 62, 109694. [[Crossref](#)]
77. Daniel J. Tulloch, Ivan Diaz-Rainey, I. M. Premachandra. 2020. Modelling Sector-Level Asset Prices. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 13:6, 120. [[Crossref](#)]
78. Junmo Song, Jiwon Kang. 2020. Sequential change point detection in ARMA-GARCH models. *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation* 90:8, 1520-1538. [[Crossref](#)]
79. Douglas Mugabe, Levan Elbakidze, Gulnara Zaynutdinova. 2020. Elasticity of substitution and technical efficiency: evidence from the US electricity generation. *Applied Economics* 52:16, 1789-1805. [[Crossref](#)]
80. Yu Sheng, Will Chancellor, Thomas Jackson. 2020. Deregulation reforms, resource reallocation and aggregate productivity growth in the Australian dairy industry. *Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics* 64:2, 477-504. [[Crossref](#)]
81. Haydory Akbar Ahmed. 2020. Monetary base and federal government debt in the long-run: A non-linear analysis. *Bulletin of Economic Research* 72:2, 167-184. [[Crossref](#)]
82. Christian Richter, Shampa Roy-Mukherjee. 2020. On the Uncertainty Caused by the Referendum on Brexit. *Applied Economics Quarterly* 66:2, 145-164. [[Crossref](#)]

83. Anna Tompsett. 2020. The Lazarus drug: the impact of antiretroviral therapy on economic growth. *Journal of Development Economics* **143**, 102409. [[Crossref](#)]
84. Mary J. Benner, Joel Waldfogel. 2020. Changing the channel: Digitization and the rise of “middle tail” strategies. *Strategic Management Journal* **86**. . [[Crossref](#)]
85. Aline de Queiroz Assis Andreotti Pancera, Alexandre Florindo Alves. 2020. Testando a hipótese do mercado central: uma análise dos preços do boi gordo na presença de quebras estruturais. *Revista de Economia e Sociologia Rural* **58**:4. . [[Crossref](#)]
86. Konstantinos Melas, Nektarios Michail. 2020. The Relationship Between Commodity Prices and Freight Rates in the Dry Bulk Shipping Segment: A Threshold Regression Approach. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **58**. . [[Crossref](#)]
87. Zihan Lin, Jiaguo Qi. 2019. A New Remote Sensing Approach to Enrich Hydropower Dams' Information and Assess Their Impact Distances: A Case Study in the Mekong River Basin. *Remote Sensing* **11**:24, 3016. [[Crossref](#)]
88. Albert N. Link, Martijn van Hasselt. 2019. On the transfer of technology from universities: The impact of the Bayh–Dole Act of 1980 on the institutionalization of university research. *European Economic Review* **119**, 472–481. [[Crossref](#)]
89. Steffen Eriksen, Rasmus Wiese. 2019. Policy induced increases in private healthcare financing provide short-term relief of total healthcare expenditure growth: Evidence from OECD countries. *European Journal of Political Economy* **59**, 71–82. [[Crossref](#)]
90. Yu-Hui Liao, Yeong-Jia Goo. 2019. Do Higher Asymmetry Threshold Effects Exist on the Gold Return Volatility during Highly Fluctuating Periods?. *Sustainability* **11**:18, 4829. [[Crossref](#)]
91. Jaromír Antoch, Jan Hanousek, Lajos Horváth, Marie Hušková, Shixuan Wang. 2019. Structural breaks in panel data: Large number of panels and short length time series. *Econometric Reviews* **38**:7, 828–855. [[Crossref](#)]
92. Ka Shing Cheung, Ling-Hin Li. 2019. Understanding visitor–resident relations in overtourism: developing resilience for sustainable tourism. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism* **27**:8, 1197–1216. [[Crossref](#)]
93. Mehmet Balcilar, Zeynel Abidin Ozdemir, Muhammad Shahbaz. 2019. On the time-varying links between oil and gold: New insights from the rolling and recursive rolling approaches. *International Journal of Finance & Economics* **24**:3, 1047–1065. [[Crossref](#)]
94. Zhonghao Fu, Yongmiao Hong. 2019. A model-free consistent test for structural change in regression possibly with endogeneity. *Journal of Econometrics* **211**:1, 206–242. [[Crossref](#)]
95. Domenico Cucina, Manuel Rizzo, Eugen Ursu. 2019. Multiple changepoint detection for periodic autoregressive models with an application to river flow analysis. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment* **33**:4–6, 1137–1157. [[Crossref](#)]
96. J. del Hoyo, G. Llorente, C. Rivero. 2019. Testing for Constant Parameters in Nonlinear Models: A Quick Procedure with an Empirical Illustration. *Computational Economics* **54**:1, 113–137. [[Crossref](#)]
97. Yuying Sun, Yongmiao Hong, Shouyang Wang. 2019. Out-of-sample forecasts of China's economic growth and inflation using rolling weighted least squares. *Journal of Management Science and Engineering* **4**:1, 1–11. [[Crossref](#)]
98. TJ Parmaningsih, S Haryatmi, Danardono. 2019. Analysis for instability of parameter in quantile regression with Lagrange multiplier: Is the dependent and independent variable relationships have changed?. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **1188**, 012014. [[Crossref](#)]
99. Jiho Lee. 2019. The Effectiveness of Credit Policy: Evidence from the Republic of Korea. *Asian Development Review* **36**:1, 206–224. [[Crossref](#)]

100. William N. Evans, Ethan M. J. Lieber, Patrick Power. 2019. How the Reformulation of OxyContin Ignited the Heroin Epidemic. *The Review of Economics and Statistics* **101**:1, 1-15. [[Crossref](#)]
101. İŞİN ÇETİN, SİMLA GÜZEL. 2019. Orta Doğu ve Kuzey Afrika Ülkelerinde Savunma Harcamaları ve Ekonomik Büyüme. *TESAM Akademi Dergisi* 257-281. [[Crossref](#)]
102. Chao-Fu Yeh, Ming-Tsung Lee. 2019. Effects of Taichung bus policy on ridership according to structural change analysis. *Transportation* **46**:1, 1-16. [[Crossref](#)]
103. Kyriaki Begiazi, Paraskevi Katsiampa. 2019. Modelling UK House Prices with Structural Breaks and Conditional Variance Analysis. *The Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics* **58**:2, 290-309. [[Crossref](#)]
104. Jaromír Antoch, Jan Hanousek, Marie Hušková, Jiří Trešl. 2019. Detection of Changes in Panel Data: Change in Fama-French Model Parameters for Selected European Stocks During the Financial Crisis. *Politická ekonomie* **67**:1, 3-19. [[Crossref](#)]
105. Khoutem Ben Jedidia, Thouraya Boujelbene Dammak, Helali Kamel. 2019. Trade-threshold Effect on Inflation in Tunisia: New Evidence Resulting from a Nonlinear Approach. *International Economic Journal* **33**:1, 149-169. [[Crossref](#)]
106. Chon Van Le. Detection of Structural Changes Without Using P Values 581-595. [[Crossref](#)]
107. Omorogbe Joseph Asemota. Kalman Filter and Structural Change Revisited: An Application to Foreign Trade-Economic Growth Nexus 49-62. [[Crossref](#)]
108. Marcin Faldziński. Testing for Structural Breaks in Macroeconomic Processes of Growth 125-150. [[Crossref](#)]
109. Manh Cuong Dong, Cathy W. S. Chen, Sangyoel Lee, Songsak Sriboonchitta. 2019. How Strong is the Relationship Among Gold and USD Exchange Rates? Analytics Based on Structural Change Models. *Computational Economics* **53**:1, 343-366. [[Crossref](#)]
110. Cheng Xu, Tianxiao Pang. 2018. Limit theory for moderate deviations from a unit root with a break in variance. *Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods* **47**:24, 6125-6143. [[Crossref](#)]
111. Abhishek Mukherjee, Ron Bird, Geeta Duppati. 2018. Mandatory Corporate Social Responsibility: The Indian experience. *Journal of Contemporary Accounting & Economics* **14**:3, 254-265. [[Crossref](#)]
112. Johannes Bubeck, Maurizio Michael Habib, Simone Manganelli. 2018. The portfolio of euro area fund investors and ECB monetary policy announcements. *Journal of International Money and Finance* **89**, 103-126. [[Crossref](#)]
113. C. May, G Farrell. 2018. Modelling Exchange Rate Volatility Dynamics: Empirical Evidence From South Africa. *Studies in Economics and Econometrics* **42**:3, 71-114. [[Crossref](#)]
114. Frode Kjørland, Aras Khazal, Erlend Krogstad, Frans Nordstrøm, Are Oust. 2018. An Analysis of Bitcoin's Price Dynamics. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* **11**:4, 63. [[Crossref](#)]
115. Eleni Zafeiriou, Ioannis Mallidis, Konstantinos Galanopoulos, Garyfallos Arabatzis. 2018. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Economic Performance in EU Agriculture: An Empirical Study in a Non-Linear Framework. *Sustainability* **10**:11, 3837. [[Crossref](#)]
116. Shujie Ma, Liangjun Su. 2018. Estimation of large dimensional factor models with an unknown number of breaks. *Journal of Econometrics* **207**:1, 1-29. [[Crossref](#)]
117. Jeyhun I. Mikayilov, Marzio Galeotti, Fakhri J. Hasanov. 2018. The impact of economic growth on CO2 emissions in Azerbaijan. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **197**, 1558-1572. [[Crossref](#)]
118. Tianxiao Pang, Terence Tai-Leung Chong, Danna Zhang, Yanling Liang. 2018. STRUCTURAL CHANGE IN NONSTATIONARY AR(1) MODELS. *Econometric Theory* **34**:5, 985-1017. [[Crossref](#)]

119. Rolando F. Peláez. 2018. Improving the usefulness of the Purchasing Managers' Index. *Business Economics* 53:4, 195-201. [[Crossref](#)]
120. Hao Jin, Si Zhang, Jinsuo Zhang, Han Hao. 2018. Modified tests for change points in variance in the possible presence of mean breaks. *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation* 88:14, 2651-2667. [[Crossref](#)]
121. Haiqi Li, Sung Y. Park. 2018. Testing for a unit root in a nonlinear quantile autoregression framework. *Econometric Reviews* 37:8, 867-892. [[Crossref](#)]
122. Qaiser Munir, Sook Ching Kok, Hooi Hooi Lean, Tamara Teplova. 2018. Purchasing power parity in ASEAN-5 countries: revisit with cross-sectional dependence and structural breaks. *Macroeconomics and Finance in Emerging Market Economies* 11:3, 233-249. [[Crossref](#)]
123. Rasmus Wiese, Richard Jong-A-Pin, Jakob de Haan. 2018. Can successful fiscal adjustments only be achieved by spending cuts?. *European Journal of Political Economy* 54, 145-166. [[Crossref](#)]
124. Ramzi Benkraiem, Amine Lahiani, Anthony Miloudi, Muhammad Shahbaz. 2018. New insights into the US stock market reactions to energy price shocks. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money* 56, 169-187. [[Crossref](#)]
125. Muhammad Ali Nasir, Sabih Abass Rizvi, Matteo Rossi. 2018. A Treatise on Oil Price Shocks and their Implications for the UK Financial Sector: Analysis Based on Time-Varying Structural VAR Model. *The Manchester School* 86:5, 586-621. [[Crossref](#)]
126. Zied Ftiti, Slim Chaouachi. 2018. What Can We Learn About the Real Exchange Rate Behavior in the Case of a Peripheral Country?. *Journal of Quantitative Economics* 16:3, 681-707. [[Crossref](#)]
127. Benjamin Ukert, Elena Andreyeva, Charles C. Branas. 2018. Time series robustness checks to test the effects of the 1996 Australian firearm law on cause-specific mortality. *Journal of Experimental Criminology* 14:2, 141-154. [[Crossref](#)]
128. Gazi Salah Uddin, Md Lutfur Rahman, Syed Jawad Hussain Shahzad, Mobeen Ur Rehman. 2018. Supply and demand driven oil price changes and their non-linear impact on precious metal returns: A Markov regime switching approach. *Energy Economics* 73, 108-121. [[Crossref](#)]
129. Jilin Wu, Zhijie Xiao. 2018. Testing for changing volatility. *The Econometrics Journal* 21:2, 192-217. [[Crossref](#)]
130. Mehmet Kenan Terzioğlu. 2018. HAM PETROL FİYATLARI VE DÖVİZ KURU: MARKOV-GEÇİŞ HATA DÜZELTME MODELİ. *Finans Ekonomi ve Sosyal Araştırmalar Dergisi* . [[Crossref](#)]
131. Davide De Gaetano. 2018. Forecast Combinations in the Presence of Structural Breaks: Evidence from U.S. Equity Markets. *Mathematics* 6:3, 34. [[Crossref](#)]
132. Anna Golab, Ferry Jie, Robert Powell, Anna Zamojska. 2018. Cointegration between the European Union and the selected global markets following Sovereign Debt Crisis. *Investment Management and Financial Innovations* 15:1, 35-45. [[Crossref](#)]
133. John D. Levendis. Structural Breaks 171-196. [[Crossref](#)]
134. Kshamanidhi Adabar, Trupti Mayee Sahoo. Structural Change and Economic Growth in India—A State-Wise Analysis 153-170. [[Crossref](#)]
135. Michael Landesmann. Political Economy of Structural Change 705-748. [[Crossref](#)]
136. Muhammad Ali Nasir. 2018. A Quarter Century of Inflation Targeting & Exchange Rate Pass-Through: Evidence from the First Three Movers. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 40042. . [[Crossref](#)]
137. Wolfgang Britz, Roberto Roson. 2018. Exploring Long Run Structural Change with a Dynamic General Equilibrium Model. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 1. . [[Crossref](#)]
138. Ignacia Mercadal. 2018. Dynamic Competition and Arbitrage in Electricity Markets: The Role of Financial Traders. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 10. . [[Crossref](#)]

139. Adam Check, Jeremy M. Piger. 2018. Structural Breaks in U.S. Macroeconomic Time Series: A Bayesian Model Averaging Approach. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **91**. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
140. Liyuan Cui, Yongmiao Hong, Yingxing Li. 2018. Solving Euler Equations via Two-Stage Nonparametric Penalized Splines. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **71**. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
141. Francesco Trebbi, Kairong Xiao. 2017. Regulation and Market Liquidity. *Management Science* **29**. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
142. Yongmiao Hong, Xia Wang, Shouyang Wang. 2017. TESTING STRICT STATIONARITY WITH APPLICATIONS TO MACROECONOMIC TIME SERIES. *International Economic Review* **58**:4, 1227-1277. [\[Crossref\]](#)
143. K. Smimou. 2017. Does gold Liquidity learn from the greenback or the equity?. *Research in International Business and Finance* **41**, 461-479. [\[Crossref\]](#)
144. Hanxiong Zhang, Robert Hudson, Hugh Metcalf, Viktor Manahov. 2017. Investigation of institutional changes in the UK housing market using structural break tests and time-varying parameter models. *Empirical Economics* **53**:2, 617-640. [\[Crossref\]](#)
145. Yuan Tian, Wei Chen, Shuzhen Zhu. 2017. Does financial macroenvironment impact on carbon intensity: evidence from ARDL-ECM model in China. *Natural Hazards* **88**:2, 759-777. [\[Crossref\]](#)
146. Germán Alarco Tosoni. 2017. Tratados de libre comercio, crecimiento y producto potencial en Chile, México y Perú. *Economía UNAM* **14**:42, 24-46. [\[Crossref\]](#)
147. Bogdan Dima, Ștefana Maria Dima. 2017. Mutual information and persistence in the stochastic volatility of market returns: An emergent market example. *International Review of Economics & Finance* **51**, 36-59. [\[Crossref\]](#)
148. Bogdan Dima, Ștefana Maria Dima. 2017. Energy consumption synchronization between Europe, United States and Japan: A spectral analysis assessment. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* **77**, 1261-1271. [\[Crossref\]](#)
149. Ali Nejadmalayeri, Sheri Faircloth, Jeanne Wendel, Surya Chelikani. 2017. GASB mandatory disclosure rules and municipal bond yield spreads. *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting* **49**:2, 379-405. [\[Crossref\]](#)
150. Fernando Delbianco, Andrés Fioriti. 2017. Empirical search and characterization of contemporaneity using breaks and regime switching. *Estudios económicos* **34**:68, 75-92. [\[Crossref\]](#)
151. Nauro F Campos, Fabrizio Coricelli. 2017. EU Membership, Mrs Thatcher's Reforms and Britain's Economic Decline. *Comparative Economic Studies* **59**:2, 169-193. [\[Crossref\]](#)
152. Mehmet Balcilar, René van Eyden, Josine Uwilingiye, Rangan Gupta. 2017. The Impact of Oil Price on South African GDP Growth: A Bayesian Markov Switching-VAR Analysis. *African Development Review* **29**:2, 319-336. [\[Crossref\]](#)
153. Liangjun Su, Xia Wang. 2017. On time-varying factor models: Estimation and testing. *Journal of Econometrics* **198**:1, 84-101. [\[Crossref\]](#)
154. Thouraya Boujelbene Dammak, Kamel Helali. 2017. Threshold Effects on the Relationship Between Inflation Rate and Economic Growth in Tunisia. *International Economic Journal* **31**:2, 310-325. [\[Crossref\]](#)
155. Yoonsuk Lee, B. Wade Brorsen. 2017. Permanent Breaks and Temporary Shocks in a Time Series. *Computational Economics* **49**:2, 255-270. [\[Crossref\]](#)
156. Peter Murrell. 2017. Design and evolution in institutional development: The insignificance of the English Bill of Rights. *Journal of Comparative Economics* **45**:1, 36-55. [\[Crossref\]](#)
157. Eleonora Patacchini, Edoardo Rainone, Yves Zenou. 2017. Heterogeneous peer effects in education. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **134**, 190-227. [\[Crossref\]](#)



158. Nicholas Addai Boamah, Geoffrey Loudon, Edward J. Watts. 2017. Structural breaks in the relative importance of country and industry factors in African stock returns. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* **63**, 79-88. [[Crossref](#)]
159. Fuxiao Li, Zheng Tian, Zhanshou Chen, Peiyan Qi. 2017. Monitoring distributional changes of squared residuals in GARCH models. *Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods* **46**:1, 354-372. [[Crossref](#)]
160. Chao Li, Dermot J. Hayes. 2017. Price Discovery on the International Soybean Futures Markets: A Threshold Co-Integration Approach. *Journal of Futures Markets* **37**:1, 52-70. [[Crossref](#)]
161. Nauro F. Campos, Fabrizio Coricelli. How Does European Integration Work? Lessons from Revisiting the British Relative Economic Decline 47-77. [[Crossref](#)]
162. Ronald Geoffrey Bird, Abhishek Mukherjee, Geeta Duppatti. 2017. Mandatory Corporate Social Responsibility: The India Experience. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **74**. . [[Crossref](#)]
163. Chitrakalpa Sen, Gagari Chakrabarti. India's Race to the Top 376-403. [[Crossref](#)]
164. Wilfredo Toledo. 2017. Foreign Direct Investment and Manufacturing Growth: The Case of Tax Incentives in Puerto Rico. *Modern Economy* **08**:02, 272-281. [[Crossref](#)]
165. Katarzyna Czech. 2016. Structural Changes in Wheat Market. *Zeszyty Naukowe SGGW w Warszawie - Problemy Rolnictwa Światowego* **16**:4, 92-98. [[Crossref](#)]
166. Abbas Valadkhani, Greg Costello, Ronald Ratti. 2016. House price cycles in Australia's four largest capital cities. *Economic Analysis and Policy* **52**, 11-22. [[Crossref](#)]
167. Ariel Linden, Paul R. Yarnold. 2016. Using machine learning to identify structural breaks in single-group interrupted time series designs. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice* **22**:6, 855-859. [[Crossref](#)]
168. Lauri Hetemäki, Elias Hurmekoski. 2016. Forest Products Markets under Change: Review and Research Implications. *Current Forestry Reports* **2**:3, 177-188. [[Crossref](#)]
169. Francesco Calvori, Drew Creal, Siem Jan Koopman, André Lucas. 2016. Testing for Parameter Instability across Different Modeling Frameworks. *Journal of Financial Econometrics* **13**, nbw008. [[Crossref](#)]
170. Øivind Anti Nilsen, Lars Sjørgard, Simen A. Ulsaker. 2016. Upstream merger in a successive oligopoly: Who pays the price?. *International Journal of Industrial Organization* **48**, 143-172. [[Crossref](#)]
171. Eddie C.M. Hui, Cong Liang, Jiawei Zhong, Wai-Cheung Ip. 2016. Capture the abrupt changes in Asian residential property markets. *Habitat International* **56**, 235-244. [[Crossref](#)]
172. Paulo Reis Mourao, Vitor Domingues Martinho. 2016. Discussing structural breaks in the Portuguese regulation on forest fires—An economic approach. *Land Use Policy* **54**, 460-478. [[Crossref](#)]
173. Andres David Pinchao Rosero, Jorge Mario Uribe Gil. 2016. Crecimiento económico colombiano y quiebres estructurales endógenos. *Ensayos de Economía* **26**:49, 89-111. [[Crossref](#)]
174. Bin Chen, Yongmiao Hong. 2016. DETECTING FOR SMOOTH STRUCTURAL CHANGES IN GARCH MODELS. *Econometric Theory* **32**:3, 740-791. [[Crossref](#)]
175. Yann Algan, Pierre Cahuc, Marc Sangnier. 2016. Trust and the Welfare State: The Twin Peaks Curve. *The Economic Journal* **126**:593, 861-883. [[Crossref](#)]
176. Hasan F. Baklaci, Omur Suer, Tezer Yelkenci. 2016. A closer insight into the causality between short selling trades and volatility. *Finance Research Letters* **17**, 48-54. [[Crossref](#)]
177. Chan-Guk Huh. 2016. Shifting Patterns of the Foreign Investment in Korean Stocks and Bonds since the 2008 Global Financial Crisis. *Review of International Money and Finance* **6**:1, 49-94. [[Crossref](#)]
178. Shiqing Ling. 2016. ESTIMATION OF CHANGE-POINTS IN LINEAR AND NONLINEAR TIME SERIES MODELS. *Econometric Theory* **32**:2, 402-430. [[Crossref](#)]

179. Mounir Belloumi, Atef Alshehry. 2016. The Impact of Urbanization on Energy Intensity in Saudi Arabia. *Sustainability* 8:4, 375. [[Crossref](#)]
180. Wei Ma, Haiqi Li. 2016. Time-varying saving–investment relationship and the Feldstein–Horioka puzzle. *Economic Modelling* 53, 166–178. [[Crossref](#)]
181. Juliane A. Lischka, Wolfgang Seufert. Messung von Werbewirkungen auf Makroebene 517–546. [[Crossref](#)]
182. Xiao Qin, Chunyang Zhou, Chongfeng Wu. 2016. Revisiting asymmetric price transmission in the U.S. oil-gasoline markets: A multiple threshold error-correction analysis. *Economic Modelling* 52, 583–591. [[Crossref](#)]
183. Tarlok Singh. 2016. International Mobility of Capital in the United States: Robust Evidence from Time-Series Tests. *Journal of Time Series Econometrics* 8:2. . [[Crossref](#)]
184. Eleonora Patacchini, Yves Zenou. 2016. Heterogeneous Peer Effects in Education. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 40. . [[Crossref](#)]
185. Alessio Moro, Solmaz Moslehi, Satoshi Tanaka. 2016. Does Home Production Drive Structural Transformation?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 122. . [[Crossref](#)]
186. Giorgio Canarella, Stephen M. Miller. 2016. Did Okun's Law Die after the Great Recession?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 66. . [[Crossref](#)]
187. Sriya Anbil, Zeynep Senyuz. 2016. Window-Dressing and Trading Relationships in the Tri-Party Repo Market. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 19. . [[Crossref](#)]
188. Reid Stevens, Jeffery Y. Zhang. 2016. Slipping through the Cracks: Detecting Manipulation in Regional Commodity Markets. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 15. . [[Crossref](#)]
189. Soodabeh Sarafrazi, Shawkat Hammoudeh, Mehmet Balcilar. 2015. Interactions between real economic and financial sides of the US economy in a regime-switching environment. *Applied Economics* 47:60, 6493–6518. [[Crossref](#)]
190. Abbas Valadkhani, Russell Smyth, Farshid Vahid. 2015. Asymmetric pricing of diesel at its source. *Energy Economics* 52, 183–194. [[Crossref](#)]
191. Haiqi Li, Hyung-Gun Kim, Sung Y. Park. 2015. The role of financial speculation in the energy future markets: A new time-varying coefficient approach. *Economic Modelling* 51, 112–122. [[Crossref](#)]
192. Mehmet Balcilar, Shawkat Hammoudeh, Nwin-Anefo Fru Asaba. 2015. A regime-dependent assessment of the information transmission dynamics between oil prices, precious metal prices and exchange rates. *International Review of Economics & Finance* 40, 72–89. [[Crossref](#)]
193. JAYSON BECKMAN, STEPHANIE RICHE. 2015. CHANGES TO THE NATURAL GAS, CORN, AND FERTILIZER PRICE RELATIONSHIPS FROM THE BIOFUELS ERA. *Journal of Agricultural and Applied Economics* 47:4, 494–509. [[Crossref](#)]
194. S. R. Mounce, J. W. Gaffney, S. Boulton, J. B. Boxall. 2015. Automated Data-Driven Approaches to Evaluating and Interpreting Water Quality Time Series Data from Water Distribution Systems. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management* 141:11. . [[Crossref](#)]
195. Esperan Padonou, Olivier Roustant, Michel Lutz. 2015. Robust Monitoring of an Industrial IT System in the Presence of Structural Change. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International* 31:6, 949–962. [[Crossref](#)]
196. Zongwu Cai, Yunfei Wang, Yonggang Wang. 2015. TESTING INSTABILITY IN A PREDICTIVE REGRESSION MODEL WITH NONSTATIONARY REGRESSORS. *Econometric Theory* 31:5, 953–980. [[Crossref](#)]
197. Jayson Beckman, David Schimmelpfennig. 2015. Determinants of farm income. *Agricultural Finance Review* 75:3, 385–402. [[Crossref](#)]

198. Bonsoo Koo, Myung Hwan Seo. 2015. Structural-break models under mis-specification: Implications for forecasting. *Journal of Econometrics* **188**:1, 166–181. [[Crossref](#)]
199. Yunjong Eo, James Morley. 2015. Likelihood-ratio-based confidence sets for the timing of structural breaks. *Quantitative Economics* **6**:2, 463–497. [[Crossref](#)]
200. Zhiheng Xu, Taha Kass-Hout, Colin Anderson-Smits, Gerry Gray. 2015. Signal detection using change point analysis in postmarket surveillance. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety* **24**:6, 663–668. [[Crossref](#)]
201. Danko Tarabar, Joshua C. Hall. 2015. The Seventeenth Amendment, Senate ideology and the growth of government. *Applied Economics Letters* **22**:8, 637–640. [[Crossref](#)]
202. Mehmet Balcilar, Rangan Gupta, Stephen M. Miller. 2015. Regime switching model of US crude oil and stock market prices: 1859 to 2013. *Energy Economics* **49**, 317–327. [[Crossref](#)]
203. Danilo Lopomo Beteto Wegner. 2015. Government insurance, information, and asset prices. *International Review of Economics & Finance* **37**, 165–183. [[Crossref](#)]
204. Mufaddal Baxamusa, Dobrina Georgieva. 2015. Two-step acquisitions and liquidity spread. *Journal of Economics and Finance* **39**:2, 262–287. [[Crossref](#)]
205. C. May. 2015. Copious Structural Shifts in Exchange Rates of the South African Rand (Post-1994). *Studies in Economics and Econometrics* **39**:1, 1–24. [[Crossref](#)]
206. Bin Chen. 2015. Modeling and testing smooth structural changes with endogenous regressors. *Journal of Econometrics* **185**:1, 196–215. [[Crossref](#)]
207. Fuxiao Li, Zheng Tian, Peiyan Qi, Zhanshou Chen. 2015. Monitoring parameter changes in RCA(p) models. *Journal of the Korean Statistical Society* **44**:1, 111–122. [[Crossref](#)]
208. J. Iparraguirre. 2015. Have winter fuel payments reduced excess winter mortality in England and Wales?. *Journal of Public Health* **37**:1, 26–33. [[Crossref](#)]
209. B. Dima, Ş.M. Dima, F. Barna. 2015. A wavelet analysis of capital markets' integration in Latin America. *Applied Economics* **47**:10, 1019–1036. [[Crossref](#)]
210. Liang-Chun Ho, Chia-Hsing Huang. 2015. The nonlinear relationships between stock indexes and exchange rates. *Japan and the World Economy* **33**, 20–27. [[Crossref](#)]
211. Dionysia Katelouzou, Mathias M. Siems. 2015. Disappearing Paradigms in Shareholder Protection: Leximetric Evidence for 30 Countries, 1990–2013. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **477**. . [[Crossref](#)]
212. Gary B. Gorton, Andrew Metrick, Lei Xie. 2015. An Econometric Chronology of the Financial Crisis of 2007–2008. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **107**. . [[Crossref](#)]
213. Rasmus Wiese, Richard Jong-A-Pin, Jakob <!-- de Haan. 2015. Are Expenditure Cuts the Only Effective Way to Achieve Successful Fiscal Adjustment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **22**. . [[Crossref](#)]
214. Bedri Kamil Onur Tas, Selahattin Togay. 2014. Efectos de la dolarización oficial en una pequeña economía abierta: el caso de Ecuador. *Investigación Económica* **73**:290, 51–86. [[Crossref](#)]
215. Erik Bengtsson. 2014. Labour's share in twentieth-century Sweden: a reinterpretation. *Scandinavian Economic History Review* **62**:3, 290–314. [[Crossref](#)]
216. Mehmet Balcilar, Rangan Gupta, Stephen M. Miller. 2014. Housing and the Great Depression. *Applied Economics* **46**:24, 2966–2981. [[Crossref](#)]
217. Francisco Azeredo. 2014. The equity premium: a deeper puzzle. *Annals of Finance* **10**:3, 347–373. [[Crossref](#)]
218. Erich Kummerfeld, David Danks. 2014. Model change and reliability in scientific inference. *Synthese* **191**:12, 2673–2693. [[Crossref](#)]
219. Zhijie Xiao. 2014. UNIT ROOTS: A SELECTIVE REVIEW OF THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF PETER C. B. PHILLIPS. *Econometric Theory* **30**:4, 775–814. [[Crossref](#)]



220. Benedicto Lukanima, Raymond Swaray. 2014. Market Reforms and Commodity Price Volatility: The Case of East African Coffee Market. *The World Economy* 37:8, 1152-1185. [[Crossref](#)]
221. Pedro MDCB Gouveia. 2014. A note on seasonal breaks in tourism demand time series. *Tourism and Hospitality Research* 14:3, 123-130. [[Crossref](#)]
222. Frederik Kunze, Jens Kramer, Norman Rudschuck. 2014. Interest rate forecasts in times of financial crisis: What might be interesting to know?. *European Journal of Political Economy* 34, S45-S52. [[Crossref](#)]
223. Rasmus Wiese. 2014. What triggers reforms in OECD countries? Improved reform measurement and evidence from the healthcare sector. *European Journal of Political Economy* 34, 332-352. [[Crossref](#)]
224. Mahua Barari, Nityananda Sarkar, Srikanta Kundu, Kushal Banik Chowdhury. 2014. Forecasting House Prices in the United States with Multiple Structural Breaks. *International Econometric Review* 6:1, 1-23. [[Crossref](#)]
225. Alfred A. Haug. 2014. On real interest rate persistence: the role of breaks. *Applied Economics* 46:10, 1058-1066. [[Crossref](#)]
226. Marketa Halova Wolfe, Robert Rosenman. 2014. Bidirectional causality in oil and gas markets. *Energy Economics* 42, 325-331. [[Crossref](#)]
227. Dincer Dedeoglu, Ali Piskin. 2014. A dynamic panel study of energy consumption-economic growth nexus: evidence from the former Soviet Union countries. *OPEC Energy Review* 38:1, 75-106. [[Crossref](#)]
228. MeiChi Huang. 2014. Bubble-like housing boom-bust cycles: Evidence from the predictive power of households' expectations. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* 54:1, 2-16. [[Crossref](#)]
229. Alessandro Barattieri, Susanto Basu, Peter Gottschalk. 2014. Some Evidence on the Importance of Sticky Wages. *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics* 6:1, 70-101. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
230. Vikram Dayal. Complex Ecology 49-60. [[Crossref](#)]
231. Charles Kurzman, Aseem Hasnain. 2014. When Forecasts Fail: Unpredictability in Israeli-Palestinian Interaction. *Sociological Science* 1, 239-259. [[Crossref](#)]
232. Francesco Calvori, Drew D. Creal, Siem Jan Koopman, Andre Lucas. 2014. Testing for Parameter Instability in Competing Modeling Frameworks. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 61. . [[Crossref](#)]
233. Mehmet Balcilar, Rangan Gupta, Stephen M. Miller. 2014. Regime Switching Model of US Crude Oil and Stock Market Prices: 1859 to 2013. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 59. . [[Crossref](#)]
234. PETER McADAM, ALPO WILLMAN. 2013. Technology, Utilization, and Inflation: What Drives the New Keynesian Phillips Curve?. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 45:8, 1547-1579. [[Crossref](#)]
235. Christian Richter,, Dimitrios Paparas. 2013. The Validity of Wagner's Law in Greece during the Last Two Centuries. *Applied Economics Quarterly* 59:4, 331-360. [[Crossref](#)]
236. M. Olivieri, G. Spada. 2013. Intermittent sea-level acceleration. *Global and Planetary Change* 109, 64-72. [[Crossref](#)]
237. J. Wang, D. Hicks. 2013. Detecting structural change in university research systems: A case study of British research policy. *Research Evaluation* 22:4, 258-268. [[Crossref](#)]
238. Deepankar Basu, Ying Chen, Jong-seok Oh. 2013. Class struggle and economic fluctuations: VAR analysis of the post-war US economy. *International Review of Applied Economics* 27:5, 575-596. [[Crossref](#)]
239. Steven Brakman, Robert Inklaar, Charles Van Marrewijk. 2013. Structural change in OECD comparative advantage. *The Journal of International Trade & Economic Development* 22:6, 817-838. [[Crossref](#)]

240. Eldon Ball, David Schimmelpfennig, Sun Ling Wang. 2013. Is U.S. Agricultural Productivity Growth Slowing?. *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy* **35**:3, 435-450. [[Crossref](#)]
241. Carmela Cappelli, Pierpaolo D'Urso, Francesca Di Iorio. 2013. Change point analysis of imprecise time series. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **225**, 23-38. [[Crossref](#)]
242. Ali Nejadmalayeri, Takeshi Nishikawa, Ramesh P. Rao. 2013. Sarbanes-Oxley Act and corporate credit spreads. *Journal of Banking & Finance* **37**:8, 2991-3006. [[Crossref](#)]
243. Chor Foon Tang. 2013. Evidence on Structural Instability in the Japanese Money Demand Function. *Margin: The Journal of Applied Economic Research* **7**:3, 255-272. [[Crossref](#)]
244. Edward E. Ghartey. 2013. Effects of Tourism, Economic Growth, Real Exchange Rate, Structural Changes and Hurricanes in Jamaica. *Tourism Economics* **19**:4, 919-942. [[Crossref](#)]
245. Petra Bubáková. 2013. Testing of breakdates in agricultural prices of selected representatives of animal production. *Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis* **60**:7, 45-54. [[Crossref](#)]
246. Mario Gómez Aguirre, José Carlos Rodríguez Chávez. 2013. El efecto Harrod-Balassa-Samuelson El caso de México. *Contaduría y Administración* **58**:3, 121-147. [[Crossref](#)]
247. LUIS F. MARTINS. 2013. TESTING FOR PARAMETER CONSTANCY USING CHEBYSHEV TIME POLYNOMIALS\*. *The Manchester School* **81**:4, 586-598. [[Crossref](#)]
248. MeiChi Huang. 2013. The Role of People's Expectation in the Recent US Housing Boom and Bust. *The Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics* **46**:3, 452-479. [[Crossref](#)]
249. Nan Cai, Zong-wu Cai, Ying Fang. 2013. A new nonparametric stability test with an application to major Chinese macroeconomic time series. *Applied Mathematics-A Journal of Chinese Universities* **28**:1, 1-16. [[Crossref](#)]
250. Kuan-Min Wang, Thanh-Binh Nguyen Thi. 2013. Did China avoid the 'Asian flu'? The contagion effect test with dynamic correlation coefficients. *Quantitative Finance* **13**:3, 471-481. [[Crossref](#)]
251. Shawn D. Bushway, Raymond Paternoster. Desistance from Crime: A Review and Ideas for Moving Forward 213-231. [[Crossref](#)]
252. Gebhard Kirchgässner, Jürgen Wolters, Uwe Hassler. Nonstationary Processes 155-203. [[Crossref](#)]
253. Gagari Chakrabarti, Chitrakalpa Sen. Trends in Indian Stock Market: Scope for Designing Profitable Trading Rule? 5-53. [[Crossref](#)]
254. Carmela Cappelli, Francesca Di Iorio. Theoretical Regression Trees: A Tool for Multiple Structural-Change Models Analysis 63-76. [[Crossref](#)]
255. Makram El-Shagi, Sebastian Giesen. 2013. Testing for Structural Breaks at Unknown Time: A Steeplechase. *Computational Economics* **41**:1, 101-123. [[Crossref](#)]
256. Wiedenhofer Dominik, Rovenskaya Elena, Haas Willi, Krausmann Fridolin, Pallua Irene, Fischer-Kowalski Marina. 2013. Is there a 1970s Syndrome? Analyzing Structural Breaks in the Metabolism of Industrial Economies. *Energy Procedia* **40**, 182-191. [[Crossref](#)]
257. Olga Isengildina-Massa, Stephen MacDonald. Forecasting U.S. Cotton Prices in a Changing Market 91-113. [[Crossref](#)]
258. Paulo M. M. Rodrigues. 2013. Recursive adjustment, unit root tests and structural breaks. *Journal of Time Series Analysis* **34**:1, 62-82. [[Crossref](#)]
259. Siddharth Arora, Max A. Little, Patrick E. McSharry. 2013. Nonlinear and nonparametric modeling approaches for probabilistic forecasting of the US gross national product. *Studies in Nonlinear Dynamics and Econometrics* **17**:4. . [[Crossref](#)]
260. Mehmet Balcilar, Rangan Gupta, Stephen M. Miller. 2013. Housing and the Great Depression. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **53**. . [[Crossref](#)]

261. Bonsoo Koo, Myung Hwan Seo. 2013. Structural-Break Models under Mis-Specification: Implications for Forecasting. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 73. . [[Crossref](#)]
262. ivind Anti Nilsen, Lars Sorgard, Simen Ulsaker. 2013. Upstream Merger in a Successive Oligopoly: Who Pays the Price?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 53. . [[Crossref](#)]
263. Hildegart A. Ahumada. 2012. Break Detectability and Mean Square Forecast Error Ratios for Selecting Estimation Windows. *Journal of Forecasting* 31:8, 688-705. [[Crossref](#)]
264. Yi-Chi Chen, Chang-Ching Lin. 2012. Information shocks and cigarette addiction: views from dynamic panels with common structural changes. *Applied Economics* 44:35, 4651-4660. [[Crossref](#)]
265. Siew Ann Cheong, Robert Paulo Fornia, Gladys Hui Ting Lee, Jun Liang Kok, Woei Shyr Yim, Danny Yuan Xu, Yiting Zhang. 2012. The Japanese Economy in Crises: A Time Series Segmentation Study. *Economics* 6:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
266. Taha A Kass-Hout, Zhiheng Xu, Paul McMurray, Soyoun Park, David L Buckeridge, John S Brownstein, Lyn Finelli, Samuel L Groseclose. 2012. Application of change point analysis to daily influenza-like illness emergency department visits. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association* 19:6, 1075-1081. [[Crossref](#)]
267. Dennis Kristensen. 2012. Non-parametric detection and estimation of structural change. *The Econometrics Journal* 15:3, 420-461. [[Crossref](#)]
268. Viv B. Hall, C. John McDermott. 2012. Is there an unobserved components common cycle for Australasia? Implications for a common currency. *New Zealand Economic Papers* 46:2, 119-141. [[Crossref](#)]
269. ALFRED A. HAUG, WILLIAM G. DEWALD. 2012. MONEY, OUTPUT, AND INFLATION IN THE LONGER TERM: MAJOR INDUSTRIAL COUNTRIES, 1880-2001. *Economic Inquiry* 50:3, 773-787. [[Crossref](#)]
270. RAJABRATA BANERJEE. 2012. Population Growth and Endogenous Technological Change: Australian Economic Growth in the Long Run\*. *Economic Record* 88:281, 214-228. [[Crossref](#)]
271. Xuemei Jiang, Erik Dietzenbacher, Bart Los. 2012. Improved Estimation of Regional Input–Output Tables Using Cross-regional Methods. *Regional Studies* 46:5, 621-637. [[Crossref](#)]
272. Ryan D. King, Michael Massoglia, Christopher Uggen. 2012. Employment and Exile: U.S. Criminal Deportations, 1908–2005. *American Journal of Sociology* 117:6, 1786-1825. [[Crossref](#)]
273. JAKOB B. MADSEN, VINOD MISHRA, RUSSELL SMYTH. 2012. IS THE OUTPUT-CAPITAL RATIO CONSTANT IN THE VERY LONG RUN?\*. *The Manchester School* 80:2, 210-236. [[Crossref](#)]
274. Charbel Bassil. 2012. Interaction entre racines unitaires et ruptures structurelles. *Revue économique* Vol. 63:1, 93-128. [[Crossref](#)]
275. Gagari Chakrabarti, Chitrakalpa Sen. Stock Market Cycles and Volatility Regime Switch 7-25. [[Crossref](#)]
276. Ming-Chi Chen, Chin-Oh Chang, Chih-Yuan Yang, Bor-Ming Hsieh. 2012. Investment Demand and Housing Prices in an Emerging Economy. *Journal of Real Estate Research* 34:3, 345-374. [[Crossref](#)]
277. Yunjong Eo. 2012. Bayesian Inference about the Types of Structural Breaks When There Are Different Breaks in Many Parameters. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 11. . [[Crossref](#)]
278. Michael Siegenthaler. 2012. A View on the Long-Run Evolution of Hours Worked and Labor Productivity in Switzerland (1950–2010). *SSRN Electronic Journal* 68. . [[Crossref](#)]
279. Valur rrinsson. 2012. Screening for Collusion by Detecting Structural Breaks in Market Behaviour - Dynamic Pricing Model for the Icelandic Gasoline Market. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 61. . [[Crossref](#)]

280. Mu-Fen Chao, Shing-Yau Chen. 2012. A Study of Factors Influencing Foreign Share Holdings in the Taiwan Semiconductor Industry. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade* 48:sup1, 153-170. [[Crossref](#)]
281. Syed Abul Basher, Josep Lluís Carrion-i-Silvestre. 2011. Measuring persistence of U.S. city prices: new evidence from robust tests. *Empirical Economics* 41:3, 739-745. [[Crossref](#)]
282. Laure Turner, Hervé Boulhol. 2011. Recent trends and structural breaks in the US and EU15 labour productivity growth. *Applied Economics* 43:30, 4769-4784. [[Crossref](#)]
283. Giacomo Sbrana, Andrea Silvestrini. 2011. Measuring core inflation in Italy comparing aggregate vs. disaggregate price data. *Cliometrica* 5:3, 239-258. [[Crossref](#)]
284. Fen-Ying Chen. 2011. Analytical VaR for international portfolios with common jumps. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* 62:8, 3066-3076. [[Crossref](#)]
285. Michael P. Donohoe, Gary A. McGill. 2011. The Effects of Increased Book-Tax Difference Tax Return Disclosures on Firm Valuation and Behavior. *Journal of the American Taxation Association* 33:2, 35-65. [[Crossref](#)]
286. Joachim Paul Gröger, Martin Missong, Rodney Alan Rountree. 2011. Analyses of interventions and structural breaks in marine and fisheries time series: Detection of shifts using iterative methods. *Ecological Indicators* 11:5, 1084-1092. [[Crossref](#)]
287. Eric S. Lin, Ping-Hung Chou, Ta-Sheng Chou. 2011. Testing for the usefulness of forecasts. *Journal of Forecasting* 30:5, 469-489. [[Crossref](#)]
288. Jesper Roine, Daniel Waldenström. 2011. Common Trends and Shocks to Top Incomes: A Structural Breaks Approach. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 93:3, 832-846. [[Crossref](#)]
289. Yiting Zhang, Gladys Hui Ting Lee, Jian Cheng Wong, Jun Liang Kok, Manamohan Prusty, Siew Ann Cheong. 2011. Will the US economy recover in 2010? A minimal spanning tree study. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 390:11, 2020-2050. [[Crossref](#)]
290. Masashi Hasegawa, Yuichi Fukuta. 2011. An empirical analysis of information in the yield spread on future recessions in Japan. *Applied Economics* 43:15, 1865-1881. [[Crossref](#)]
291. Andrew Hughes Hallett, Christian R. Richter. 2011. Is there clustering among the Eurozone economies? Evidence from how the EU's New Member States are converging. *Journal of Economic Policy Reform* 14:2, 127-150. [[Crossref](#)]
292. N. Nunn, N. Qian. 2011. The Potato's Contribution to Population and Urbanization: Evidence From A Historical Experiment. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 126:2, 593-650. [[Crossref](#)]
293. Lingxiang Zhang, Xiaotong Zhang. 2011. Spurious Granger causality between a broken-trend stationary process and a stochastic trend process. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation* 81:8, 1673-1681. [[Crossref](#)]
294. Brahim Razgallah, Kamal Smimou. 2011. Oil prices and the greenback: it takes two to tango. *Applied Financial Economics* 21:8, 519-528. [[Crossref](#)]
295. Orcan Cortuk, Nirvikar Singh. 2011. Structural change and growth in India. *Economics Letters* 110:3, 178-181. [[Crossref](#)]
296. Christian M. Dahl, Hans Christian Kongsted, Anders Sørensen. 2011. ICT and productivity growth in the 1990s: panel data evidence on Europe. *Empirical Economics* 40:1, 141-164. [[Crossref](#)]
297. Simon van Norden. 2011. Current trends in the analysis of Canadian productivity growth. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance* 22:1, 5-25. [[Crossref](#)]
298. Carles Vergara-Alert. 2011. The Term Structure of Interest Rates in an Equilibrium Economy with Short Term and Long Term Investments. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 12. . [[Crossref](#)]
299. Hossein Alikamar Abbasi. 2011. Judicial Selection Methods in the American States: The Values of Merit Plans. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 61. . [[Crossref](#)]

300. Alfred A. Haug, Ian Paul King. 2011. Empirical Evidence on Inflation and Unemployment in the Long Run. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **82**. . [[Crossref](#)]
301. Bedri Kamil Onur Tas, Selahattin Togay. 2011. Effect of Official Dollarization on a Small Open Economy: Ecuador. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **113**. . [[Crossref](#)]
302. Cristiano Cantore, Filippo Ferrini, Miguel A. Leon-Ledesma. 2011. Interpreting the Hours-Technology Time-Varying Relationship. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **40**. . [[Crossref](#)]
303. Cliff Attfield, Jonathan R.W. Temple. 2010. Balanced growth and the great ratios: New evidence for the US and UK. *Journal of Macroeconomics* **32**:4, 937-956. [[Crossref](#)]
304. Brian Knight, Nathan Schiff. 2010. Momentum and Social Learning in Presidential Primaries. *Journal of Political Economy* **118**:6, 1110-1150. [[Crossref](#)]
305. Esfandiar Maasoumi, Asad Zaman, Mumtaz Ahmed. 2010. Tests for structural change, aggregation, and homogeneity. *Economic Modelling* **27**:6, 1382-1391. [[Crossref](#)]
306. Fabrizio Mattesini, Salvatore Nisticò. 2010. Trend growth and optimal monetary policy. *Journal of Macroeconomics* **32**:3, 797-815. [[Crossref](#)]
307. William S. Rea, Marco Reale, Carmela Cappelli, Jennifer A. Brown. 2010. Identification of Changes in Mean with Regression Trees: An Application to Market Research. *Econometric Reviews* **29**:5-6, 754-777. [[Crossref](#)]
308. Darren Filson, Ahmed Oweis. 2010. The impacts of the rise of Paragraph IV challenges on startup alliance formation and firm value in the pharmaceutical industry. *Journal of Health Economics* **29**:4, 575-584. [[Crossref](#)]
309. Dong Han, Fugee Tsung, Yanting Li, Jinguo Xian. 2010. Detection of changes in a random financial sequence with a stable distribution. *Journal of Applied Statistics* **37**:7, 1089-1111. [[Crossref](#)]
310. Achim Zeileis, Ajay Shah, Ila Patnaik. 2010. Testing, monitoring, and dating structural changes in exchange rate regimes. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis* **54**:6, 1696-1706. [[Crossref](#)]
311. Vincent Chin-Hung Chen, Jen-Yu Chou, Te-Jen Lai, Charles Tzu-Chi Lee. 2010. Suicide and unemployment rate in Taiwan, a population-based study, 1978-2006. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* **45**:4, 447-452. [[Crossref](#)]
312. Thomas A. Lubik, Paolo Surico. 2010. The Lucas critique and the stability of empirical models. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* **25**:1, 177-194. [[Crossref](#)]
313. David L. Weakliem. Time Series Analysis of Political Change 637-651. [[Crossref](#)]
314. Laura Dugan. Estimating Effects over Time for Single and Multiple Units 741-763. [[Crossref](#)]
315. Joseph P. Byrne, Jun Nagayasu. 2010. Structural breaks in the real exchange rate and real interest rate relationship. *Global Finance Journal* **21**:2, 138-151. [[Crossref](#)]
316. Evelyn V. Colino, Scott H. Irwin. 2010. Outlook vs. Futures: Three Decades of Evidence in Hog and Cattle Markets. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* **92**:1, 1-15. [[Crossref](#)]
317. Fen-Ying Chen. 2010. VaR: Exchange Rate Risk and Jump Risk. *Journal of Probability and Statistics* **2010**, 1-18. [[Crossref](#)]
318. H.V. Savitch, Ronald K. Vogel, Lin Ye. 2010. Beyond the Rhetoric. *The American Review of Public Administration* **40**:1, 3-28. [[Crossref](#)]
319. Wipawin Promboon, Gregory W. Brown. 2010. Capital Flows, Institutions, and Financial Fragility. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **11**. . [[Crossref](#)]
320. Mingming Zheng. 2010. The Idiosyncratic Dynamics of the Real Exchange Rates Under the Shadow of Common Feature. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **73**. . [[Crossref](#)]
321. Margherita Grasso. 2010. Time Varying Parameters Bayesian Forecasting of Electricity Demand: The Italian Case. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **18**. . [[Crossref](#)]



322. Simon van Norden. 2010. Current Trends in the Analysis of Canadian Productivity Growth. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 61. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
323. Carlos Caceres, Ana Corbacho, Leandro Medina. 2010. Structural Breaks in Fiscal Performance: Did Fiscal Responsibility Laws Have Anything to do with Them?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 59. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
324. Alessandro Barattieri, Susanto Basu, Peter Gottschalk. 2010. Some Evidence on the Importance of Sticky Wages. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 66. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
325. Leandro Medina, Carlos Caceres, Ana Corbacho. 2010. Structural Breaks in Fiscal Performance: Did Fiscal Responsibility Laws Have Anything to Do with them?. *IMF Working Papers* 10:248, 1. [\[Crossref\]](#)
326. Alexandra Dias, Paul Embrechts. 2009. Testing for structural changes in exchange rates' dependence beyond linear correlation. *The European Journal of Finance* 15:7-8, 619-637. [\[Crossref\]](#)
327. Serguei Chervachidze, James Costello, William C Wheaton. 2009. The Secular and Cyclic Determinants of Capitalization Rates: The Role of Property Fundamentals, Macroeconomic Factors, and "Structural Changes". *The Journal of Portfolio Management* 35:5, 50-69. [\[Crossref\]](#)
328. Aamer S. Abu-Qarn, Suleiman Abu-Bader. 2009. Getting Income Shares Right. *Economic Development Quarterly* 23:3, 254-266. [\[Crossref\]](#)
329. Alexander Aue, Lajos Horváth, Matthew L. Reimherr. 2009. Delay times of sequential procedures for multiple time series regression models. *Journal of Econometrics* 149:2, 174-190. [\[Crossref\]](#)
330. Enzo Giacomini, Wolfgang Härdle, Vladimir Spokoiny. 2009. Inhomogeneous Dependence Modeling with Time-Varying Copulae. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics* 27:2, 224-234. [\[Crossref\]](#)
331. Alan Dye, Richard Sicotte. The interwar shocks to US–Cuban trade relations: A view through sugar company stock price data 345-387. [\[Crossref\]](#)
332. Akhter Faroque, Ryan Minor. 2009. Inflation regimes and the stability of the pass-through of wages to consumer prices in Canada. *Applied Economics* 41:8, 1003-1017. [\[Crossref\]](#)
333. William Miles. 2009. Housing Investment and the U.S. Economy: How Have the Relationships Changed?. *Journal of Real Estate Research* 31:3, 329-350. [\[Crossref\]](#)
334. Lan-Fen Chu, Michael McAleer, Chi-Chung Chen. 2009. How Volatile is ENSO. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 13. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
335. Daniel E. Bogart. 2009. Did the Glorious Revolution Contribute to the Transport Revolution? Evidence from Investment in Roads and Rivers. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 12. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
336. C. KNICK HARLEY. 2008. Steers Afloat: The North Atlantic Meat Trade, Liner Predominance, and Freight Rates, 1870–1913. *The Journal of Economic History* 68:4, 1028-1058. [\[Crossref\]](#)
337. Ramzi Issa, Robert Lafrance, John Murray. 2008. The turning black tide: energy prices and the Canadian dollar. *Canadian Journal of Economics/Revue canadienne d'économie* 41:3, 737-759. [\[Crossref\]](#)
338. Carmela Cappelli, Richard N. Penny, William S. Rea, Marco Reale. 2008. Detecting multiple mean breaks at unknown points in official time series. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation* 78:2-3, 351-356. [\[Crossref\]](#)
339. Maarten Bosker, Steven Brakman, Harry Garretsen, Marc Schramm. 2008. A century of shocks: The evolution of the German city size distribution 1925–1999. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 38:4, 330-347. [\[Crossref\]](#)
340. Arnaud Dupuy, Philip S. Marey. 2008. Shifts and twists in the relative productivity of skilled labor. *Journal of Macroeconomics* 30:2, 718-735. [\[Crossref\]](#)

341. Sung Woo Kim, Gyu-Hyen Moon. 2008. The Return and Volatility Linkages between the S&P500 Stock Index and the Shanghai Composite Stock Index. *Korean Journal of Financial Engineering* 7:2, 133-158. [[Crossref](#)]
342. Lajos Horváth, Mario Kühn, Josef Steinebach. 2008. On the Performance of the Fluctuation Test for Structural Change. *Sequential Analysis* 27:2, 126-140. [[Crossref](#)]
343. Lena Ringstad Olsen, Probal Chaudhuri, Fred Godtliebsen. 2008. Multiscale spectral analysis for detecting short and long range change points in time series. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis* 52:7, 3310-3330. [[Crossref](#)]
344. Francesca Fattori Speranza, Roberto Carniel. 2008. Structural changes of volcanic tremor at Stromboli volcano. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 171:1-2, 103-117. [[Crossref](#)]
345. Chien-Chiang Lee, Chun-Ping Chang. 2008. Unemployment hysteresis in OECD countries: Centurial time series evidence with structural breaks. *Economic Modelling* 25:2, 312-325. [[Crossref](#)]
346. Andrea Coppola. 2008. Forecasting oil price movements: Exploiting the information in the futures market. *Journal of Futures Markets* 28:1, 34-56. [[Crossref](#)]
347. Chetan Ghate, Stephen H. Wright. 2008. The 'V-Factor': Distribution, Timing and Correlates of the Great Indian Growth Turnaround. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 18. . [[Crossref](#)]
348. Jinho Bae, Charles R. Nelson. 2007. Earnings growth and the bull market of the 1990s: Is there a case for rational exuberance?. *Journal of Macroeconomics* 29:4, 690-707. [[Crossref](#)]
349. Christian Bayer, Falko Jüßen. 2007. Convergence in West German Regional Unemployment Rates. *German Economic Review* 8:4, 510-535. [[Crossref](#)]
350. David Barker, Tim Loughran. 2007. The Geography of S&P 500 Stock Returns. *Journal of Behavioral Finance* 8:4, 177-190. [[Crossref](#)]
351. Bradley T. Ewing, Mark A. Thompson. 2007. Symmetric and Asymmetric Stationarity Tests of Productivity. *The Engineering Economist* 52:4, 355-365. [[Crossref](#)]
352. Dabin Wang, William G. Tomek. 2007. Commodity Prices and Unit Root Tests. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 89:4, 873-889. [[Crossref](#)]
353. Kosei Fukuda. 2007. Simulated real-time detection of multiple structural changes: Evidence from Japanese economic growth. *Statistical Papers* 48:4, 559-580. [[Crossref](#)]
354. James A. Kahn, Robert W. Rich. 2007. Tracking the new economy: Using growth theory to detect changes in trend productivity. *Journal of Monetary Economics* 54:6, 1670-1701. [[Crossref](#)]
355. Mehrene Larudee. 2007. Causes of Growth and Decline in Mexico's Maquiladora Apparel Sector. *International Review of Applied Economics* 21:4, 539-559. [[Crossref](#)]
356. Luca Benati. 2007. Drift and breaks in labor productivity. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* 31:8, 2847-2877. [[Crossref](#)]
357. Denise M. Rousseau, Rosemary Batt. 2007. Global Competition's Perfect Storm: Why Business and Labor Cannot Solve Their Problems Alone. *Academy of Management Perspectives* 21:2, 16-23. [[Crossref](#)]
358. Rituparna Kar, Nityananda Sarkar. 2007. Mean and volatility dynamics of Indian rupee/US dollar exchange rate series: an empirical investigation. *Asia-Pacific Financial Markets* 13:1, 41-69. [[Crossref](#)]
359. Kosei Fukuda. 2007. A unified approach to detecting unit root and structural break. *Applied Economics* 39:3, 279-289. [[Crossref](#)]
360. Gebhard Kirchgässner, Jürgen Wolters. Nonstationary Processes 153-198. [[Crossref](#)]
361. David DICKINSON, Jia LIU. 2007. The real effects of monetary policy in China: An empirical analysis. *China Economic Review* 18:1, 87-111. [[Crossref](#)]

362. Andrea Coppola. 2007. Forecasting Oil Price Movements: Exploiting the Information In the Future Market. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 20. . [[Crossref](#)]
363. David L. Weakliem, Casey Borch. 2006. Alienation in the United States: Uniform or Group-Specific Change?. *Sociological Forum* 21:3, 415-438. [[Crossref](#)]
364. Bertrand Candelon, Gianluca Cubadda. 2006. Testing for Parameter Stability in Dynamic Models across Frequencies\*. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics* 68, 741-760. [[Crossref](#)]
365. Francesco Daveri, Andrea Mascotto. 2006. THE IT REVOLUTION ACROSS THE UNITED STATES. *Review of Income and Wealth* 52:4, 569-602. [[Crossref](#)]
366. Olga Isengildina, Scott H. Irwin, Darrel L. Good. 2006. Are Revisions to USDA Crop Production Forecasts Smoothed?. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 88:4, 1091-1104. [[Crossref](#)]
367. Antonio E. Noriega, Daniel Ventosa-Santaularia. 2006. Spurious Regression Under Broken-Trend Stationarity. *Journal of Time Series Analysis* 27:5, 671-684. [[Crossref](#)]
368. Patrice Guillotreau, Ramón Jiménez-Toribio. 2006. The Impact of Electronic Clock Auction Systems on Shellfish Prices: Econometric Evidence from a Structural Change Model. *Journal of Agricultural Economics* 57:3, 523-546. [[Crossref](#)]
369. Tomoe Moore, Eric J. Pentecost. 2006. An investigation into the sources of fluctuation in real and nominal wage rates in eight EU countries: A structural VAR approach. *Journal of Comparative Economics* 34:2, 357-376. [[Crossref](#)]
370. Kosei Fukuda. 2006. Differentiating between coefficient break and volatility break. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 176:1, 262-269. [[Crossref](#)]
371. David N. DeJong, Roman Liesenfeld, Jean-Francois Richard. 2006. Timing structural change: a conditional probabilistic approach. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 21:2, 175-190. [[Crossref](#)]
372. José Manuel Campa, Jose M. González Mínguez. 2006. Differences in exchange rate pass-through in the euro area. *European Economic Review* 50:1, 121-145. [[Crossref](#)]
373. THOMAS B. FOMBY, LIMIN LIN. 2006. A CHANGE POINT ANALYSIS OF THE IMPACT OF "ENVIRONMENTAL FEDERALISM" ON AGGREGATE AIR QUALITY IN THE UNITED STATES: 1940-98. *Economic Inquiry* 44:1, 109-120. [[Crossref](#)]
374. Mecit Cetin, Gurcan Comert. 2006. Short-Term Traffic Flow Prediction with Regime Switching Models. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board* 1965:1, 23-31. [[Crossref](#)]
375. Thomas Lubik, Paolo Surico. 2006. The Lucas Critique and the Stability of Empirical Models. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 61. . [[Crossref](#)]
376. Bertrand Candelon, Gianluca Cubadda. 2006. Testing for Parameter Stability in Dynamic Models Across Frequencies. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 124. . [[Crossref](#)]
377. Lonnie Golden, Stuart Glosser. 2006. Response of Labor Productivity and Employment to Changes in Overtime Hours in US Manufacturing from 1982 to 2004. *SSRN Electronic Journal* II. . [[Crossref](#)]
378. Ulrich Fritsche, Vladimir Kuzin. 2005. Declining output volatility in Germany: impulses, propagation, and the role of monetary policy. *Applied Economics* 37:21, 2445-2457. [[Crossref](#)]
379. Ho-Chuan (River) Huang, Wan-hsiu Cheng. 2005. Tests of the CAPM under structural changes. *International Economic Journal* 19:4, 523-541. [[Crossref](#)]
380. José Manuel Campa, Linda S. Goldberg. 2005. Exchange Rate Pass-Through into Import Prices. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 87:4, 679-690. [[Crossref](#)]
381. David McDowall, Colin Loftin. 2005. Are U.S. Crime Rate Trends Historically Contingent?. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency* 42:4, 359-383. [[Crossref](#)]



382. Ana María Herrera, Elena Pesavento. 2005. The Decline in U.S. Output Volatility. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics* 23:4, 462-472. [[Crossref](#)]
383. STEVEN F. MESSNER, GLENN D. DEANE, LUC ANSELIN, BENJAMIN PEARSON-NELSON. 2005. LOCATING THE VANGUARD IN RISING AND FALLING HOMICIDE RATES ACROSS U.S. CITIES\*. *Criminology* 43:3, 661-696. [[Crossref](#)]
384. Kosei Fukuda. 2005. Detection of regime switches between stationary and nonstationary processes and economic forecasting. *Journal of Forecasting* 24:4, 255-267. [[Crossref](#)]
385. DONALD G. FREEMAN. 2005. CHANGE-POINT ANALYSIS OF THE GROWTH EFFECTS OF STATE BANKING DEREGULATION. *Economic Inquiry* 43:3, 601-613. [[Crossref](#)]
386. E.Røed. Larsen. 2005. Are rich countries immune to the resource curse? Evidence from Norway's management of its oil riches. *Resources Policy* 30:2, 75-86. [[Crossref](#)]
387. Kei Kajisa, Takamasa Akiyama. 2005. The Evolution of Rice Price Policies over Four Decades: Thailand, Indonesia and the Philippines. *Oxford Development Studies* 33:2, 305-329. [[Crossref](#)]
388. ALVARO AGUIAR, MANUEL M.F. MARTINS. 2005. The Preferences of the Euro Area Monetary Policy-maker\*. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies* 43:2, 221-250. [[Crossref](#)]
389. Jamel Jouini, Mohamed Boutahar. 2005. Evidence on structural changes in U.S. time series. *Economic Modelling* 22:3, 391-422. [[Crossref](#)]
390. Tatiana Fic, Chetan Ghate. 2005. The welfare state, thresholds, and economic growth. *Economic Modelling* 22:3, 571-598. [[Crossref](#)]
391. Achim Zeileis, Friedrich Leisch, Christian Kleiber, Kurt Hornik. 2005. Monitoring structural change in dynamic econometric models. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 20:1, 99-121. [[Crossref](#)]
392. Laura Mayoral. 2005. Is the Observed Persistence Spurious? A Test for Fractional Integration Versus Short Memory and Structural Breaks. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 59. . [[Crossref](#)]
393. Milton H. Marquis, Bharat Trehan. 2005. On Using Relative Prices to Measure Capital-specific Technological Progress. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 110. . [[Crossref](#)]
394. Paolo Giordani, Robert Kohn. 2005. Efficient Bayesian Inference for Multiple Change-Point and Mixture Innovation Models. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 1. . [[Crossref](#)]
395. Milton H. Marquis, Bharat Trehan. 2005. Accounting for the Secular 'Decline' of U.S. Manufacturing. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 87. . [[Crossref](#)]
396. Arnaud Dupuy, Philip Marey. 2005. Shifts and Twists in the Relative Productivity of Skilled Labor. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 40. . [[Crossref](#)]
397. International Monetary Fund. 2005. Euro Area Policies: Selected Issues. *IMF Staff Country Reports* 05:266, 1. [[Crossref](#)]
398. Ebrima Faal. 2005. Gdp Growth, Potential Output, and Output Gaps in Mexico. *IMF Working Papers* 05:93, 1. [[Crossref](#)]
399. Robert B. Barsky, Lutz Kilian. 2004. Oil and the Macroeconomy Since the 1970s. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 18:4, 115-134. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
400. Sébastien Van Bellegem, Rainer von Sachs. 2004. Forecasting economic time series with unconditional time-varying variance. *International Journal of Forecasting* 20:4, 611-627. [[Crossref](#)]
401. Philipp Maier, Paul Cavelaars. 2004. Convergence of price levels: lessons from the German reunification. *Journal of Comparative Economics* 32:3, 467-481. [[Crossref](#)]
402. Duane B. Graddy, Reuben Kyle, Thomas H. Strickland, David Bass. 2004. Dating structural changes: An illustration from financial deregulation. *Journal of Economics and Finance* 28:2, 155-163. [[Crossref](#)]
403. Jean-Yves Pitarakis. 2004. Least squares estimation and tests of breaks in mean and variance under misspecification. *The Econometrics Journal* 7:1, 32-54. [[Crossref](#)]

404. F. Daveri, O. Silva. 2004. Not only Nokia: what Finland tells us about new economy growth. *Economic Policy* 19:38, 118-163. [[Crossref](#)]
405. Rafael Di Tella, Ernesto Schargrodsky. 2004. Do Police Reduce Crime? Estimates Using the Allocation of Police Forces After a Terrorist Attack. *American Economic Review* 94:1, 115-133. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
406. Ullrich Heilemann. Das RWI-Konjunkturmodell — Ein Überblick 161-212. [[Crossref](#)]
407. Tristan-Pierre Maury, Bertrand Pluyaud. 2004. The Breaks in Per Capita Productivity Trends in a Number of Industrial Countries. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 66. . [[Crossref](#)]
408. Karen Watkins, J. Spronk, Dick J. C. van Dijk. 2004. Macroeconomic Crisis and Individual Performance: The Mexican Experience. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 108. . [[Crossref](#)]
409. James Bullard, John Duffy. 2004. Learning and Structural Change in Macroeconomic Data. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 61. . [[Crossref](#)]
410. Gianni Toniolo, Leandro Conte, Giovanni Vecchi. 2003. Monetary Union, institutions and financial market integration: Italy, 1862–1905. *Explorations in Economic History* 40:4, 443-461. [[Crossref](#)]
411. Dale W. Jorgenson, Mun S. Ho, Kevin J. Stiroh. 2003. Lessons from the US growth resurgence. *Journal of Policy Modeling* 25:5, 453-470. [[Crossref](#)]
412. Takashi Kurosaki. 2003. Specialization and Diversification in Agricultural Transformation: The Case of West Punjab, 1903–92. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 85:2, 372-386. [[Crossref](#)]
413. David F. Hendry, Michael P. Clements. 2003. Economic forecasting: some lessons from recent research. *Economic Modelling* 20:2, 301-329. [[Crossref](#)]
414. Dale W. Jorgenson, Mun S. Ho, Kevin J. Stiroh. Projecting Productivity Growth: Lessons from the U.S. Growth Resurgence 19-40. [[Crossref](#)]
415. James Bullard, Stefano Eusepi. 2003. Did the Great Inflation Occur Despite Policymaker Commitment to a Taylor Rule?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 64. . [[Crossref](#)]
416. Alvaro Pinto Coelho de Aguiar, Manuel Mota Freitas Martins. 2003. Macroeconomic Volatility Trade-off and Monetary Policy Regime in the Euro Area. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 7. . [[Crossref](#)]
417. Gianni Toniolo, Leandro Conte, Giovanni Vecchi. 2003. Monetary Union, Institutions and Financial Market Integration, Italy 1862-1905. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 15. . [[Crossref](#)]
418. David N. DeJong, Roman Liesenfeld, Jean-Francois Richard. 2003. Timing Structural Change: A Conditional Probabilistic Approach. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 2. . [[Crossref](#)]
419. José Manuel Campa, Linda S. Goldberg. 2003. Exchange Rate Pass-Through into Import Prices: A Macro or Micro Phenomenon?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 61. . [[Crossref](#)]
420. José Manuel Campa, José Manuel Gonzalez Minguez. 2003. Differences in Exchange Rate Pass-through in the Euro Area. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 15. . [[Crossref](#)]
421. Weshah A. Razzak. 2003. Towards Building A New Consensus About New Zealand's Productivity. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 99. . [[Crossref](#)]
422. James A. Kahn, Robert W. Rich. 2003. Tracking the New Economy: Using Growth Theory to Detect Changes in Trend Productivity. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 89. . [[Crossref](#)]
423. Martin Neil Baily. 2002. Distinguished Lecture on Economics in Government: The New Economy: Post Mortem or Second Wind?. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 16:2, 3-22. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
424. Candice Batton, Gary Jensen. 2002. Decommmodification and Homicide Rates in the 20th-Century United States. *Homicide Studies* 6:1, 6-38. [[Crossref](#)]
425. Karl-Heinz Tödter. Exponential Smoothing as an Alternative to the Hodrick-Prescott Filter? 223-237. [[Crossref](#)]

426. Mustapha Baghli, Carine Bouthevillain, Olivier de Bandt, Henri Fraise, Hervé le Bihan, philippe Rousseaux. 2002. Potential Output and Output Gap: Some Estimates for France (in French). *SSRN Electronic Journal* **59**. . [[Crossref](#)]
427. José Manuel Campa, Linda S. Goldberg. 2002. Exchange Rate Pass-Through into Import Prices: A Macro or Micro Phenomenon?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **61**. . [[Crossref](#)]