Ethical Al Validator - Compliance Report

Report Information

Generated: 2025-08-11 10:29:36 Report ID: 20250811_102936

Model Information

model_name: Random Forest

config_name: balanced

version: 1.3

Training Scenario and Hyperparameters

Scenario: RF-balanced

| Hyperparameter | Value |
|--------------------------|-------|
| bootstrap | True |
| ccp_alpha | 0.0 |
| class_weight | None |
| criterion | gini |
| max_depth | 10 |
| max_features | sqrt |
| max_leaf_nodes | None |
| max_samples | None |
| min_impurity_decrease | 0.0 |
| min_samples_leaf | 1 |
| min_samples_split | 5 |
| min_weight_fraction_leaf | 0.0 |
| monotonic_cst | None |
| n_estimators | 150 |
| n_jobs | None |
| oob_score | False |
| random_state | 42 |

| verbose | 0 |
|------------|-------|
| warm_start | False |

Audit Criteria

| bias_threshold | 0.3 |
|--------------------|-----|
| fairness_threshold | 0.7 |

Bias Analysis Results

| Protected Attribute | Group | Bias Score |
|---------------------|-------------|------------|
| gender | female | 0.198 |
| gender | male | 0.256 |
| age_group | 18-25 | 0.198 |
| age_group | 26-35 | 0.198 |
| age_group | 36-50 | 0.551 |
| age_group | 50+ | 0.138 |
| education | bachelor | 0.194 |
| education | high_school | 0.562 |
| education | master | 0.198 |
| education | phd | 0.198 |

Fairness Assessment Results

| Protected Attribute | Fairness Score |
|---------------------|----------------|
| gender | 0.772 |
| age_group | 0.713 |
| education | 0.740 |

Hyperparameter Impact Analysis

| min_samples_leaf | 1 | MEDIUM | Tiny leaves can produce unstable decisions for small groups. |
|------------------|------|--------|---|
| class_weight | None | LOW | No class weighting can under-serve minority groups if data is imbalanced. |

Likely Contributing Factors

- 1. Low fairness score observed for 'age_group' (score=0.713).
- 2. Highest bias in education -> high_school (bias_score=0.562).
- 3. Suspected hyperparameters: min_samples_leaf=1 (MEDIUM) Tiny leaves can produce unstable decisions for small groups.; class_weight=None (LOW) No class weighting can under-serve minority groups if data is imbalanced.

Overall Compliance Summary

| Overall Status | PARTIALLY COMPLIANT |
|-----------------|---|
| Bias Issues | Yes |
| Fairness Issues | No |
| Total Issues | 1 |
| Summary | Minor issues detected. Some compliance requirements need attention. |

GDPR Compliance Assessment

| Requirement | Status | Notes |
|----------------------|---------------|--|
| Data Minimization | Compliant | Audit completed successfully |
| Purpose Limitation | Compliant | Audit completed successfully |
| Transparency | Non-Compliant | Bias detected - transparency compromised |
| Accountability | Compliant | Audit completed successfully |
| Right to Explanation | Non-Compliant | Bias/fairness issues affect explainability |

Al Act Compliance Assessment

| Requirement | Status | Notes |
|-----------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| Risk Assessment | Non-Compliant | Bias/fairness risks identified |

| Transparency Requirements | Non-Compliant | Bias affects transparency |
|---------------------------|---------------|---|
| Human Oversight | Non-Compliant | Bias/fairness issues require oversight |
| Accuracy Requirements | Compliant | Audit completed successfully |
| Documentation | Non-Compliant | Bias/fairness issues need documentation |

Recommendations

- 1: HIGH PRIORITY: Apply post-processing bias correction
- 2: Implement equalized odds post-processing
- 3: Implement comprehensive bias monitoring in production
- 4: Document all mitigation strategies implemented
- 5: Establish regular bias monitoring procedures
- 6: Provide model explanations for affected groups
- 7: Consider human oversight for high-stakes decisions