


Advancing Hydrological Modeling and Water Resources Management with Machine Learning

Dr. Ryan Johnson
Research Scientist
The University of Alabama



Department of
Civil & Environmental Engineering
THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH



Presentation Outline

- Introduction
- Research Background
- Previous Accomplishments
- Active Areas of Research
- Future Research and Goals
- Teaching Goals, Philosophy, Ideas



Dr. Ryan Johnson

Academic Background

- Environmental Sciences
- Earth System Science
- Civil and Environmental Engineering
- Water Resources

“The richness I achieve comes from Nature, the source of my inspiration” – Claude Monet



Water Quality

Climate Change



Urban Water Systems



Water Resources


Background: Drivers of Water Research



Environmental Degradation
and Social Equity



Water Quality




Enhancing Water Resources



Environmental Management

My Water Research




Great Salt Lake



Urbanization Influences on
Water Quality



Urban Water Systems



Season-to-Season
Forecasting

Previous Research




Urban Water System Modeling

Urban Water System Modeling

- ML to model water demand
- Climate influences on water system performance
- ML for water system modeling

Objective: Advance the science in water system planning in a dynamic and arid climate.



ML to estimate water demand

Research Question: Can machine learning and feature engineering produce measurable improvements in water demand estimates compared to traditional methods?

Objective: Identify indicators/drivers of season-to-season and year-to-year variability in water demand, and integrate them in machine learning workflows to improve prediction skill.

Received: 29 September 2022 | Accepted: 16 November 2023

DOI: 10.1111/1752-1688.13186

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Data-driven modeling to enhance municipal water demand estimates in response to dynamic climate conditions

Ryan C. Johnson¹ | Steven J. Burian² | Carlos A. Oroza³ | Carly Hansen⁴ |
Emily Baur³ | Danyal Aziz² | Daniyal Hassan⁵ | Tracie Kirkham⁶ | Jessie Stewart⁶ |
Laura Briefer⁶

ML to estimate water demand

Methods:



- Developed multiple ML algorithms
- Monthly precipitation and temperature inputs
- Population density for change over time

Results:

- 35% reduction in error during drought conditions
- All ML models display greater skill than conventional methods

Impact:

- 1st demonstration of ML for seasonal water demand estimation
- ML can improve seasonal water demand estimates
- Created operational tools for SLCDPU



Climate influences on water system performance

Research Question: With traditional water system analysis focused on supply, how does improved estimates of water demand affect water system performance?

Objective: Quantify the impact of integrating climate-sensitive water demand estimates on water system performance compared to traditional methods.

Received: 30 September 2022 | Accepted: 19 August 2023

DOI: 10.1111/1752-1688.13165

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Preparing municipal water system planning for a changing climate: Integrating climate-sensitive demand estimates

Ryan C. Johnson¹  | Steven J. Burian² | James Halgren¹ | Trevor Irons³ | Emily Baur⁴ |
Danyal Aziz²  | Daniyal Hassan⁴  | Jiada Li⁵ | Tracie Kirkham⁶ | Jessie Stewart⁶ |
Laura Briefer⁶

Climate influences on water system performance

Methods:


- Wet, dry, and average supply scenarios
- Impact of **climate-sensitive** water demand estimates on water system performance
- Benchmark was conventional, **climate-independent** methods
- Imported water use as vulnerability indicator

Results:

- Prediction uncertainty
- Up to a 50% reduction in error

Impact:

- Demonstrate importance of representative demand estimates
- Improved system management
- Key management tools



Machine Learning for Water System Modeling

Research Question: Many utilities do not have the resources or personnel to develop a representative water system model to support planning and management activities. Can machine learning accurately model water system interactions and performance across different supply and demand scenarios?

Objective: Use simulated water system data to train machine learning models to predict daily to seasonal groundwater, reservoir levels, and imported water. Compare model skill to simulated targets.



© 2023 The Authors



Journal of Hydroinformatics Vol 25 No 5, 1584 doi: 10.2166/hydro.2023.170

Data-driven modeling of municipal water system responses to hydroclimate extremes

Ryan Johnson *, Steven John Burian , Carlos Anthony Oroza , James Halgren^a, Trevor Irons , Danyal Aziz , Daniyal Hassan , Jiada Li , Carly Hansen , Tracie Kirkham^g, Jesse Stewart^g and Laura Brieferg

^aAlabama Water Institute, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, USA

^bCivil, Construction and Environmental Engineering, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, USA

^cCivil and Environmental Engineering, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA

^dMontana Technical University, Butte, Montana, USA

^eCivil and Environmental Engineering, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA

^fOak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA

^gSalt Lake City Department of Public Utilities, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA

*Corresponding author. E-mail: rjohnson18@ua.edu

RJ, 0000-0003-2195-739X; SJB, 0000-0002-5278-3188; CAO, 0000-0001-5522-7665; TI, 0000-0003-3547-9341; DA, 0000-0002-0734-6894;
DH, 0000-0001-8812-7230; JL, 0000-0002-7238-5101; CH, 0000-0002-1288-2632

Machine Learning for Water System Modeling

Methods:


- Model key features of water system
- Incorporate serial correlation
- Model agnostic workflow
- System agnostic
- Vulnerability assessment

Results:

- High model skill during critical conditions
- Representative vulnerability metrics

Impact:

- Demonstration of ML algorithms for modeling water system interactions
- Expedited development
- Applicable to smaller water systems and/or complementary to others



Research Impact

Advanced Water Resources Planning and Management



Department of

ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES

MINES AND EARTH SCIENCES | THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH




THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
COLLEGE OF SOCIAL AND BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES
Department of Geography



Prelim estimates of streamflow yield


January



October

Winter precipitation estimates

March



February

Seasonal water system projections

April-June

Assess system status
Revise/Initiate operational decisions



rjohnson18@ua.edu

Other: Previous research

Water Resources Research


RESEARCH ARTICLE
10.1029/2019WR024828

Special Section:
Big Data & Machine Learning in
Water Sciences: Recent Progress
and Their Use in Advancing
Science


Insights Into Preferential Flow Snowpack Runoff Using Random Forest

Francesco Avanzi¹, Ryan Curtis Johnson², Carlos A. Oroza², Hiroyuki Hirashima³,
Tessa Maurer¹, and Satoru Yamaguchi³

¹Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA, ²Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA, ³Snow and Ice Research Center, National Research Institute for Earth Science and Disaster Resilience, Nagaoka, Japan



Lazzaro et al., 2015



Water Resources Management (2023) 37:2697–2720
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-022-03376-x>



The Great Salt Lake Water Level is Becoming Less Resilient to Climate Change

Daniyal Hassan¹ · Steven J. Burian^{2,3} · Ryan C. Johnson³ · Sangmin Shin⁴ ·
Michael E. Barber¹

Received: 10 August 2022 / Accepted: 1 November 2022 / Published online: 21 December 2022
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2022



Current Research Activities

Cooperative Institute for Research to Operations in Hydrology (CIROH)

- Advancing CONUS-Scale Operational Snow Modeling Capabilities
 - Snow Water Equivalent Machine Learning (SWEML)
- Community Streamflow Evaluation System (CSES)
- Enhancing Supply Forecasting for Systems Management
 - National Water Model Season-to-Season Water Supply Forecasting
- Advancing Snow Observation Systems to Improve Operational Streamflow Prediction Capabilities
 - Low-Cost Low-Power Snow Observing Systems



whitelightning450



ryan-johnson-022a8784



rjohnson18@ua.edu



Advancing CONUS-Scale Operational Snow Modeling Capabilities



PI: Ryan Johnson, PHD – University of Alabama

Co-PI: Andy Wood , PHD – Colorado School of Mines

Co-PI: Katherine Hale , PHD - University of Vermont

Co-PI: McKenzie Skiles , PHD - University of Utah



Snow Water Equivalent Machine Learning (SWEML)



Spatially continuous near-real-time SWE estimates

In-situ observations

Terrain characteristics (slope, aspect, elevation)

Meteorological conditions


Satellite remote sensing imagery



Snow Water Equivalent Machine Learning (SWEML)

Model Development

- Airborne Snow Observatory and snow course observations
- 1km spatial resolution
- Regionalization via Sturm's classification
- Multiple ML algorithms explored
- 3 feature selection method examined
- 75/25 training/testing split



Snow Water Equivalent Machine Learning (SWML)

Quantifying Regional Variability of Machine-Learning-Based Snow Water Equivalent Estimates Across The Western United States

Dane Liljestrand^a, Ryan Johnson^b, S. McKenzie Skiles^c, Steven Burian^d and Josh Christensen^e

^aDepartment of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, 84112, UT, USA

^bAlabama Water Institute, University of Alabama, Cyber Hall 1046, Tuscaloosa, 35487, AL, USA

^cDepartment of Geography, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, 84112, UT, USA

^dDepartment of Civil and Construction Engineering, University of Alabama, 3043 H.M. Comer 245 7th Avenue, Tuscaloosa, 35487, AL, USA

^eComputer Science Department, Brigham Young University, Provo, 84602, UT, USA


ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Snow Water Equivalent
Machine Learning
Recursive Feature Engineering
Artificial Neural Network
Gradient Boosting Decision Trees

ABSTRACT

Seasonal snow-derived water is a critical component of the water supply in the mountains and downstream regions, and the accurate characterization of available water in the form of snow-water-equivalent (SWE), peak SWE, and snowmelt onset are essential inputs for water management efforts. Arising from recent advancements in artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML), we introduce a large-scale ML SWE model leveraging publicly available data sources and open-source software. The model demonstrates the application of a limited feature space in a relatively simple ML architecture without the need for process-based formulations to effectively estimate spatially continuous SWE at a daily temporal resolution. Beginning with in situ SWE measurements (i.e., SNOTEL), lidar-derived terrain features, and temporal variables, we employ localized feature engineering and optimization via gradient-boosting decision trees to identify regionally unique drivers of snowpack dynamics and use the optimal features to train regionally independent artificial neural networks to estimate regional SWE at a 1-km spatial resolution. The model results yield respectable skill in reconstructed 1-km gridded SWE magnitudes in a hindcast simulation of the 2019 water year that is independent of the training and testing data. Comparing model estimates to over 6200 observations, the model demonstrates a weighted RMSE of 15.4-cm, Kling-Gupta Efficiency metric of 0.86, and a percent bias of 0.71% across 23 snow-influenced regions in the western U.S. The model simulation produces peak SWE estimates within 10-cm for twenty of the twenty-three regions, demonstrating capability in effectively capturing regional snow accumulation processes. The demonstration of low-error ML workflows capable of providing near-real-time, spatially continuous SWE estimates at a high spatial resolution provides proof-of-concept and a foundation to effectively update snow state variables that drive water supply forecasts in snow-dominated regions.





Community Streamflow Evaluation System: CSES




PI: Shahabul Alam, PHD
CO-PI: Ryan Johnson, PHD

Support:

- Nathan Swain, PHD
- Giovanni Romero



AQUAVEO™






Community Streamflow Evaluation System: CSES




Objectives:

- Timely evaluations of National Water Model
- Model agnostic structure
- Simple data model
- Publicly accessible
- General to advanced users
- Open-Source
- CONUS scale





Community Streamflow Evaluation System: CSES



EDUCBA



| A | B |
|---------------|-------------|
| 1 Datetime | USGS_flow |
| 2 10/1/2007 | 0.06236111 |
| 3 10/2/2007 | 0.060937498 |
| 4 10/3/2007 | 0.06 |
| 5 10/4/2007 | 0.06791667 |
| 6 10/5/2007 | 0.106458336 |
| 7 10/6/2007 | 0.10329165 |
| 8 10/7/2007 | 0.085520834 |
| 9 10/8/2007 | 0.080104165 |
| 10 10/9/2007 | 0.088854164 |
| 11 10/10/2007 | 0.12875 |
| 12 10/11/2007 | 0.0803125 |
| 13 10/12/2007 | 0.0584375 |
| 14 10/13/2007 | 0.044166666 |
| 15 10/14/2007 | 0.044791665 |
| 16 10/15/2007 | 0.060729165 |
| 17 10/16/2007 | 0.063333333 |
| 18 10/17/2007 | 0.069270834 |
| 19 10/18/2007 | 0.07729167 |
| 20 10/19/2007 | 0.34375 |
| 21 10/20/2007 | 0.2875 |
| 22 10/21/2007 | 0.13729167 |
| 23 10/22/2007 | 0.12916666 |
| 24 10/23/2007 | 0.29770833 |
| 25 10/24/2007 | 0.54300004 |
| 26 10/25/2007 | 5.883421 |
| 27 10/26/2007 | 9.178437 |
| 28 10/27/2007 | 5.9686456 |
| 29 10/28/2007 | 3.759375 |
| 30 10/29/2007 | 2.7270834 |
| 31 10/30/2007 | 2.318125 |

Community Streamflow Evaluation System (CSES)

Community Streamflow Evaluation System (CSES) is a novel system to evaluate hydrological model performance using a standardized NHDPlus data model. CSES evaluates modeled streamflow to a repository of over 5,000 in situ USGS monitoring sites, with interactive visualizations supporting an in-depth analysis.

The system includes:

- README
- Code of conduct
- MIT license
- Security

Metrics shown:

$$NSE = \frac{(\bar{Q}_{obs} - \bar{Q}_{sim})^2}{(\bar{Q}_{obs} - \bar{Q}_{mean})^2}$$
$$KGE = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{(\bar{Q}_{obs} - \bar{Q}_{sim})^2 + (\frac{1}{\bar{Q}_{obs}} - \frac{1}{\bar{Q}_{sim}})^2 + (r - 1)^2}{3}}$$
$$R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Q_{obs,i} - \bar{Q}_{obs})(Q_{sim,i} - \bar{Q}_{sim})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (Q_{obs,i} - \bar{Q}_{obs})^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (Q_{sim,i} - \bar{Q}_{sim})^2}}$$
$$Bias(\%) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Q_{obs,i} - Q_{sim,i})}{\sum_{i=1}^n Q_{obs,i}} \times 100$$
$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (R_{Si} - R_{Gi})^2}$$
$$MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |(R_{Si} - R_{Gi})|$$






Community Streamflow Evaluation System: CSES



Tethys web-based platform

Jupyter-based platform







Enhancing Water Supply Forecasting for Systems Management



PI: Steven Burian, PHD
CO-PI: Ryan Johnson, PHD

Researchers:

- Shahabul Alam, PHD
- Savalan Neisary, PHD Student




National Water Model Season-to-Season Water Supply Forecasting

Improved Season-to-Season water supply forecasting needed in Western US.

- Ecosystem Management
- Power Generation (Hydro)
- Environmental Management
- Water Supply
- Recreation


Leverage National Water Model



National Water Model Season-to-Season Water Supply Forecasting

Season-to-Season water supply estimates for up to 2.7 million stream segments.

- Post-processing NWM streamflow
- Catchment frozen water storage
- Catchment characteristics
- Catchment reservoir storage
- Machine Learning



National Water Model Season-to-Season Water Supply Forecasting

| USGSid | NHDPlusid | NWM RMSE (Mm ³) | NWM-ML RMSE (Mm ³) | NWM Pbias (%) | NWM-ML Pbias (%) | NWM kge | NWM-ML kge |
|----------|-----------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|------------------|---------|------------|
| 10126000 | 4605050 | 437 | 133 | -62 | 26 | -0.73 | 0.62 |
| 10130500 | 10092262 | 78 | 17 | -132 | 19 | -0.77 | 0.59 |
| 10134500 | 10277268 | 46 | 7 | -158 | 20 | -1.18 | 0.70 |
| 10136500 | 10274616 | 248 | 34 | -164 | 16 | -1.50 | 0.63 |
| 10137500 | 10274270 | 18 | 16 | 38 | 33 | 0.56 | 0.58 |
| 10141000 | 10273232 | 457 | 19 | -461 | -20 | -7.83 | 0.75 |
| 10155000 | 10373622 | 72 | 77 | 42 | 44 | 0.46 | 0.40 |
| 10164500 | 10329013 | 40 | 1 | -237 | 0 | -2.38 | 0.98 |
| 10171000 | 10390290 | 1339 | 29 | -2329 | -52 | -30.38 | 0.38 |

National Water Model for Water Resources Management:
Post-Processing with Machine Learning in Controlled Basins.

Savalan Neisary^a, Ryan C. Johnson^b, Md Shahabul Alam^b and Steven J. Burian^{a,b}

^aDepartment of Civil and Construction Engineering, University of Alabama, 3043 H.M. Comer 245 7th Avenue, Tuscaloosa, 35487, AL, USA

^bAlabama Water Institute, University of Alabama, Cyber Hall 1046, Tuscaloosa, 35487, AL, USA

ARTICLE INFO



Keywords:
 Machine Learning
 Decision Trees
 Water Supply Forecasting
 Water Resources Management
 Great Salt Lake

ABSTRACT

Accurate streamflow prediction is critical for water resources management in the western United States (US), especially in balancing the beneficial uses of multiyear reservoir carryover, ecological interests, irrigation, and recreational use. With Great Salt Lake (GSL) in Utah, US facing decreasing lake levels and potential environmental disaster, we investigate the utility of the National Water Model (NWM) as a water resources management tool. Using the NWM retrospective v2.1 simulations and comparing daily and seasonal flow volumes to 48 USGS gauge stations, the NWM demonstrated decreasing model performance at locations closer to the GSL where there is extensive water resources infrastructure. Given the reaches closest to the GSL are critical for lake-level management, we explored XGBoost, Random Forest, Long Short-Term Memory, and Convolutional Neural Network Machine Learning (ML) algorithms to post-process the outputs of the NWM to account for the impacts of large-scale water resources infrastructure. Using upstream reservoir storage percentage of full capacity, the seasonality index, Snow Telemetry (SNOTEL) snow water equivalent (SWE) catchment observations, and NWM outputs as inputs into the ML pipeline, we observed significant improvement in the estimated daily flow (cms) and seasonal accumulated flow volumes (mm³). The overall Kling-Gupta Efficiency (KGE), Nash-Sutcliffe (NSE), Percent Bias (PBIAS), and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) for the GSL basin increased by x, y, and z, respectively. The results of the study demonstrate that water resources infrastructure may be the single greatest challenge in hydrological modeling, being the dominant regional hydrological process, and novel ML methods can account for the impacts of the infrastructure on regional streamflow without explicitly adding infrastructure rulesets.


NWM-ML Post Processing:

- Accounts for reservoir operations w/o explicit p
- Works in controlled and natural basins
- ML “learns” hydrological processes
- Monthly to Annual flow estimates
- Leverages NWM-Retrospective products (flow + climate)





Advancing Snow Observation Systems to Improve Operational Streamflow Prediction Capabilities



PI: McKenzie Skiles – University of Utah

Co-PI: Christian Skalka –University of Vermont

Co-PI: Jeff Horsburgh – Utah State University

Co-PI: Ryan Johnson – University of Alabama




Advancing Snow Observation Systems to Improve Operational Streamflow Prediction Capabilities

Problem: *In situ* snow monitoring infrastructure has proven valuable but exhibits limitations for hydrologic models in because of the spatial heterogeneity of snow distribution.

Research Activities

- Develop and adapt snow survey optimization ML algorithm to identify the optimal sensor deployment locations
- Develop a low-cost low-power snow sensing system network (w/communications) based on open-source firmware (e.g. Arduino IDE)
- Create catchment snow-off and snow-on datasets using UAV (Structure-for-motion & LiDAR)





Future Research Activities



Cooperative Institute for Research to Operations in Hydrology (CIROH)

- Machine Learning and Snow Modeling
- Community Streamflow Evaluation System (CSES)
- Season-to-Season Water Supply Forecasting
- Orographic Precipitation Gradient Downscaling
- Programs for Empowering the Next-Generation of Hydrologists

Machine Learning for Snow Modeling

Cyber-sand box

- Data-visualization
- Data products




Algorithm Exploration


Increase spatial resolution

- Unstructured mesh

Regional optimization



Marsh et al., 2020



Livneh et al., 2020




Community Streamflow Evaluation System: CSES



Hub for interactive hydrological model evaluation

- Improve hydroinformatics
- Streamflow models
- Other evaluation tools




Sacramento Soil Moisture Accounting Model (SAC-SMA)



Season-to-Season Water Supply Forecasting


- Optimize ML Algorithms
- Demonstrate capabilities in GSL basin
- GSL water resources management
- Assess transferability



Abbot et al., 2023

Miller et al., 2021

Orographic Precipitation Gradient Downscaling



Improve precipitation estimates in montane terrain with ML

- NOAA HRRR temperature and precipitation forcings
- Implement into snow modeling frameworks
- Characterize impact on snowpack development



Programs for Empowering the Next-Generation of Hydrologists



- Networking
- Hands on connections to research
- Leadership
- Hackweeks
- Diversity, Inclusion, Equity




2023 Geospatial HackWeek at the University of Washington

Other Research Interests



Public
Utilities



U.S. National
Science
Foundation



FRIENDS of
Great Salt Lake
www.fogsl.org



CLIMATE
PROGRAM
OFFICE



whitelightning450



ryan-johnson-022a8784



rjohnson18@ua.edu

Teaching Goals, Philosophy, and Ideas




Teaching Goals



Capable, confident, and successful students
are the product of a University.

1. Intrinsic motivation to learn
2. Fundamental Positive Action principles
into students' cognitive, affective, and
behavioral learning domains
3. Enhance critical thinking and analytic
skills
4. Foster student development and personal
growth
5. Be a role model



Teaching Goals

- Develop a lifelong love of learning
- Apply principles, concepts, theories, and generalizations to new problems and situations
- Analytic and problem-solving skills
- Draw reasonable inferences from observations
- Synthesize and integrate information and ideas
- Think holistically: to see the whole as well as the parts
- Think creatively
- Enhance concentration, listening, speaking and writing skills



- Develop appropriate study skills, strategies, and habits
- Learn techniques and methods used to gain new knowledge
- Informed understanding of the role of science and technology
- work productively with others
- Grow management and leadership skills
- Commitment to organization, efficiency, skillful, and accurate work
- Improve ability to follow directions, instructions, and plans
- Commitment to personal achievement
- Improve self-esteem/self-confidence



whitelightning450



ryan-johnson-022a8784



rjohnson18@ua.edu

Motivation to Teach and Teaching Philosophy

Fundamentals of success learning

- Diagnose problems (topic knowledge)
- Formulate solutions (hypothesis)
- Execute a plan (methodology)
- Face adversity and critically evaluate
- Be confident

Philosophy

- Inspire
- Strive for excellence
- Nurture critical thinking
- Passion for the learner
- Empathy
- Strong work ethic
- Treat everyone with respect and as friends




Teaching Philosophy

Creating a stimulating learning environment

- Interactive lectures
- Thought provoking activities
- Active learning examples and demonstrations
- Creative and collaborative working sessions
- Project-based learning

Engaging classroom experience

- Defined learning objectives
- Frequent assessments to identify gaps in student learning
- Encourage questions
- Share views, ideas, challenges
- Peer learning and shared experiences



Teaching at the University of Utah



Applications of Machine Learning in Environmental Engineering

- Data Science in Civil Engineering
- Data acquisition and processing
- Machine Learning




Teaching at the University of Utah



Hydrological Modeling

- WRF-Hydro/NWM
- SAC-SMA
- HEC-RAS
- HEC-HMS
- SWMM/PySWMM



Teaching at the University of Utah



HydroInformatics

- Python
- Data Visualization
- Data Processing
- HydroShare
- AWS
- Cloud Computing



The 2i2c JupyterHub for Cooperative Institute for Research to Operations in Hydrology



Operated by 2i2c | Funded by National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration | Designed by 2i2c

[Log in to continue](#)

Welcome to the Cooperative Institute for Research to Operations in Hydrology 2i2c JupyterHub.

This is a plot service running on open source infrastructure. See the 2i2c Pilot documentation for usage and deployment information.

jupyter

R Studio

Teaching at the University of Utah



Field and Lab Methods in Environmental Practices

- Arduino IDE
- Campbell Scientific
- Field trips




Questions



Aliso Creek Water Quality

- Water quality (nitrates) increased in the urban water system, likely from landscaping runoff.
- Water quality improved as the stream traveled through natural riparian habitat (Aliso Wilderness Park).
- Water treatment facility effluent reduced water quality.
- Golf course reduced water quality.
- Beach closures due to poor water quality.





Operational Decision Timeline

Hydrology

Prelim estimates of streamflow yield

January



October

Climate

Winter precipitation estimates


February

Engineering

Seasonal water system projections



March



April-June

All

Assess system status
Revise/Initiate operational decisions

Climate

Summer precip & temp outlook

Hydrology


Refined estimates of surface water yield

Engineering

Refined estimates of system vulnerabilities

SLC

Begin formulating management decisions




Precipitation Estimates (October)

CURRENT STATUS:


LA NIÑA ADVISORY

OCTOBER 13, 2022

Forecasts indicate a 75 percent chance that La Niña—the cool phase of the ENSO climate pattern—will persist across the tropical Pacific for the third winter in a row. The map at left shows the broad swath of cooler-than-average water (blue) across the Pacific at the equator, one of the hallmarks of La Niña. Scroll down to learn about La Niña's influence on global and U.S. seasonal climate.




Precipitation Anomaly



Surface Supply Yield (February)


- Use precipitation prediction to determine total max SWE.
- Average annual winter baseflow.
- Reduce uncertainty in supply estimate.

Expected Streamflow




Municipal Demand Estimates (February - April)

Use climate prediction (e.g., spring NOAA outlook and/or Climate Matrix) to determine expected monthly temperature and precipitation






Total Production Demand




Water System Performance (February - April)


- Using supply tools, estimate 120,000 to 160,000 acre-feet.
- From NOAA climate outlook, demand should range between 80,000 to 110,000 acre-feet (mean 100,000 acre-feet).
- Estimated Deer Creek needs: 10,000 to 40,000 acre-feet.







National Water Model Season-to-Season Water Supply Forecasting





Advancing Research in Geosciences using AI/ML

Summary: Connections with snow and streamflow AI/ML methods to advance the understanding of geosciences using AI/ML methods.

- Advance core geosciences program goals and use AI/ML methods for addressing scientific problems. Build the capacity of AI/ML to explore and/or emulate physically based models.

Core geoscience goal: Advance the representation of snow on the earth's surface to support water supply forecasting and explore the impacts of a changing climate.

Broader impacts: developing AI/ML training datasets, python-based tools (evaluation), open source software, supporting workforce development. Supporting the greater hydro-meteorological practioning and research community.



U.S. National
Science
Foundation

Environmental Engineering

Summary: Investigation of a no-snow future within the western US, beginning with the Great Salt Lake using SNOTEL to investigate precipitation phase during the snow season (October to July).

Research questions: how has precipitation phase changed in the past half century and what can we expect in a changing climate in montane, high elevation watersheds. Most studies relate a no snow future to the impacts of temperature on snow melt, investigation peak SWE and the duration of snow. However, snowmelt is primarily driven by the energy from SW radiation.

Applications benefits: connect newfound knowledge related to precipitation phase (or at least the temperature when precip is occurring) to naturalized and managed water systems. How much snow can we expect to occur in the future and relate it to the quantity of melt to fill reservoirs/GW recharge,

Environmental Sustainability: GSL sustainability, no snow future, climate change/scenarios/impact of scenarios on water resources management.



CLIMATE
PROGRAM
OFFICE

NIDIS: future drought risk across the West and in the context of a changing climate

- Drought vulnerability assessments
- Developing drought plans and communication plans
- Identifying primary drought impacts
- Optimal drought indicators and/or triggers and improving monitoring
- Developing drought dashboards with relevant tools and information and demonstrating the application of drought data to enhance decision-making






CLIMATE
PROGRAM
OFFICE

CVP – Advancing the Understanding of multi-year to decadal climate variability and predictability for US climate predictions

- Data analysis and investigation focused on mechanisms that govern the variability of the coupled climate systems and its predictability on the multi-year to decadal timescale with long-term observation data and or model data.
- Investigation of the relationship between the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation (AMOC) and impacts on the cryosphere and hydroclimate in the Western US



Positive Action Principles

1. Positive actions for your mind
2. Responsible self-management
3. Continuous Improvement