Lecture 8

Multiple Linear Regression II

Reading: Chapter 12

STAT 8020 Statistical Methods II September 6, 2019

> Whitney Huang Clemson University

Multiple Linear Regression II
CLEMS N

Agenda

- Coefficient of Determination
- 2 General Linear Test
- Multicollinearity

Multiple Linear Regression II
CLEMS N

Notes

Notes

Coefficient of Determination

ullet Coefficient of Determination R^2 describes proportional of the variance in the response variable that is predictable from the predictors

$$\textit{R}^2 = \frac{\text{SSR}}{\text{SST}} = 1 - \frac{\text{SSE}}{\text{SSR}}, \quad 0 \leq \textit{R}^2 \leq 1$$

- $\bullet \ R^2$ usually increases with the increasing p, the number of the predictors
 - Adjusted R^2 , denoted by $R^2_{\rm adj} = \frac{{\rm SSR}/(n-p)}{{\rm SST}/(n-1)}$ attempts to account for p



Notes			

Example

Suppose the true relationship between response Y and predictors (X_1, X_2) is

$$Y = 5 + 2X_1 + \varepsilon,$$

where $\varepsilon \sim N(0, 1)$ and X_1 and X_2 are independent to each other. Let's fit the following two models to the "data"

> Model 1: $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \varepsilon^1$ Model 2: $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \varepsilon^2$

Question: Which model will "win" in terms of R^2 ?



Model 1 Fit

```
> summary(fit1)
```

```
Call:
lm(formula = y \sim x1)
Residuals:
Min 10 Median 30 Max
-1.6085 -0.5056 -0.2152 0.6932 2.0118
Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t1|)
(Intercept) 5.1720 0.1534 33.71 < 2e-16 ***
x1 1.8660 0.1589 11.74 2.47e-12 ***
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Residual standard error: 0.8393 on 28 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.8313, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8253 F-statistic: 138 on 1 and 28 DF, p-value: 2.467e-12



N	01	te	S

Notes

Notes

Model 2 Fit


> summary(fit2)

Call: $lm(formula = y \sim x1 + x2)$ Residuals: Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -1.3926 -0.5775 -0.1383 0.5229 1.8385 Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) (Intercept) 5.1792 0.1518 34.109 < 2e-16 *** 0.1593 11.923 2.88e-12 *** 0.1797 -1.274 0.213 x1 1.8994 x2 -0.2289 Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 Residual standard error: 0.8301 on 27 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.8408, Adjusted R-squared: 0. F-statistic: 71.32 on 2 and 27 DF, p-value: 1.677e-11 Adjusted R-squared: 0.8291

	lulti Reg				
CI	Œ	M	S		N
N	1 V	F	2 5	1 T	¥

-			
-			


R2: Model 1 vs. Model 2





Notes			

R_{adj}^2 : Model 1 vs. Model 2





Notes				

General Linear Test

- Comparison of a "full model" and "reduced model" that involves a subset of full model predictors
- Consider a full model with k predictors and reduced model with l predictors (l < k)
- Test statistic: $F^* = \frac{\text{SSE}(R) \text{SSE}(F)/(k-1)}{\text{SSE}(F)/(n-k-1)} \Rightarrow \text{Testing } H_0$ that the regression coefficients for the extra variables are all zero

Multiple Linear Regression II
CLEMS N
General Linear Test

Notes			

Species Diversity on the Galapagos Islands Revisited: Reduce Model

> summary(gala_fit1)

```
lm(formula = Species ~ Elevation)
Residuals:
Min 10 Median 30 Max
-218.319 -30.721 -14.690 4.634 259.180
Coefficients:
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' '1
```

Residual standard error: 78.66 on 28 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.5454, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5291 F-statistic: 33.59 on 1 and 28 DF, p-value: 3.177e-06

Notes

Species Diversity on the Galapagos Islands Revisited: **Full Model**

> summary(gala_fit2)

Call: lm(formula = Species ~ Elevation + Area) Residuals: Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -192.619 -33.534 -19.199 7.541 261.514 | Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) | (Intercept) 17.10519 | 20.94211 | 0.817 | 0.42120 | Elevation | 0.17174 | 0.05317 | 3.230 | 0.00325 ** Area | 0.01880 | 0.02594 | 0.725 | 0.47478 | Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 Residual standard error: 79.34 on 27 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.554, Adjusted R-squared: 0.521 F-statistic: 16.77 on 2 and 27 DF, p-value: 1.843e-05

Perform a General Linear Test

- ullet $H_0:eta_{ exttt{Area}}=0$ vs. $H_a:eta_{ exttt{Area}}
 eq 0$
- $F^* = \frac{(173254 169947)/(2-1)}{169947/(30-2-1)} = 0.5254$
- P-value: P[F > 0.5254] = 0.4748, where $F \sim F(1, 27)$
- > anova(gala_fit1, gala_fit2)


Analysis of Variance Table

```
Model 1: Species ~ Elevation
Model 2: Species ~ Elevation + Area
 Res.Df RSS Df Sum of Sq
                           F Pr(>F)
   28 173254
     27 169947 1
                    3307 0.5254 0.4748
```

Multiple Linear Regression II
CLEMS N
General Linear Test

Notes			
Notes			
Notes			

P-value Calculation



P-value is the shaped area under the under the density curve



Multicollinearity

Another Simulated Example: Suppose the true relationship between response Y and predictors (X_1, X_2) is

$$Y = 4 + 0.8X_1 + 0.6X_2 + \varepsilon,$$

where $\varepsilon \sim N(0,1)$ and X_1 and X_2 are positively correlated with $\rho=0.9$. Let's fit the following model:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \varepsilon$$

Call: lm(formula = Y ~ X1 + X2)

Residuals: Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -1.63912 -0.59978 0.01897 0.58691 1.74518

Coefficients:

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>Itl)
(Intercept) 4.0154 0.1646 24.390 < 2e-16 ***
X1 -0.1032 0.3426 -0.301 0.766
X2 1.7471 0.3654 4.781 5.48e-05 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 0.8601 on 27 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.8166, Adjusted R-squared: 0.803 F-statistic: 60.12 on 2 and 27 DF, p-value: 1.135e-10



Notes

Notes

Multicollinearity cont'd

- Numerical issue \Rightarrow the matrix X^TX is nearly singular
- Statistical issue
 - ullet eta's are not well estimated
 - β's may be meaningless
 - R² and predicted values are usually OK

Regression II
CLEMS#N
Multicollinearity

Notes			