



15

Free Variables in a FO formula

Def² (By induction on Formula Construction)

- $FV(R(t_1 \dots t_n)) = \text{Variables among } t_1 \dots t_n$
- $FV(\phi_1 \cap \phi_2) = FV(\phi_1 \cup \phi_2) = FV(\phi_1 \rightarrow \phi_2) = FV(\phi_1) \cup FV(\phi_2)$
- $FV(\exists_x \phi_1) = FV(\forall_x \phi) = FV(\phi) - \{x\}$.

Examples

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \exists x \phi \vee \psi \Leftrightarrow \exists x (\phi \vee \psi) \\ \exists x \phi \wedge \psi \Leftrightarrow \exists x (\phi \wedge \psi) \\ \forall x \phi \wedge \psi \Leftrightarrow \forall x (\phi \wedge \psi) \\ \forall x \phi \vee \psi \Leftrightarrow \forall x (\phi \vee \psi) \end{array} \right\} x \notin FV(\psi)$$

to prove: $\exists x \phi \wedge \psi \vdash \exists x (\phi \wedge \psi)$

$$i) \rightarrow$$

[illegible]

$$\psi[y/x] = \psi$$

$$\frac{\exists x \phi \wedge \psi \vdash \exists x \phi \wedge \psi}{\exists x \phi \wedge \psi \vdash \exists x \phi}$$

$$\frac{\exists x \phi \wedge \psi \vdash \exists x \phi \wedge \psi}{\exists x \phi \wedge \psi \vdash \exists x \phi}$$

,

$$\exists x \phi \wedge \psi \vdash \exists x \phi$$

$$\exists x \phi \wedge \psi \vdash \exists x \phi$$

Use \wedge_e and \exists rule twice to convert ' ' on the left into the ' ' on the right.

ii) ←

$$\exists x (\phi \wedge \psi) \vdash$$

$\frac{\frac{\frac{Ax}{\phi \wedge \psi \vdash \phi \wedge \psi} \quad \phi \vee \psi \vdash \phi}{\phi \wedge \psi \vdash \phi} \wedge_e}{\exists x (\phi \wedge \psi) \vdash \exists x (\phi \wedge \psi)} \exists_i$	$\frac{\frac{\frac{Ax}{\phi \wedge \psi \vdash \phi \wedge \psi} \quad \phi \vee \psi \vdash \psi}{\phi \wedge \psi \vdash \psi} \wedge_e}{\exists x (\phi \wedge \psi) \vdash \exists x (\phi \wedge \psi)} \exists_i$
$\exists x (\phi \wedge \psi) \vdash \exists x \phi$	$\exists x (\phi \wedge \psi) \vdash \psi$
$\exists x (\phi \wedge \psi) \vdash \exists x \phi \wedge \psi$	

Control is missing

\wedge_e

Condition that x is a FV, is used at several places.

Formal Semantics of FOL

Structure (A) : Consists of.

> Domain : $|A| \leftarrow$ non-empty set

> $c_1^A \dots c_m^A$ (Constant Symbols)

> $R_1^A \dots R_m^A$ (Relations) or Predicate Symbols

for each R , a subset of n tuples over $|A|$

$$R_i^A \subseteq A^{a_i} \leftarrow \text{arity } a_i$$

$$c_i^A \subseteq A$$

$$\text{Structure } A = (A, R_1^A \dots R_m^A, c_1^A \dots c_n^A)$$

Valuation (I)

for each $f \in I$, a concrete f_i^2 $f_i^A : A^{a_i} \rightarrow A$
 \uparrow
Set of n tuples over A

$$(A, I) \leftarrow \text{MODEL.}$$

def: A model for language of arithmetic

> $|A|$, set of elements

> 0^A , as an int² of 0

> \neg^A , a one place fu² $|A| \rightarrow |A|$

> $+^A, *^A$, two place fu² from $|A|^2 \rightarrow |A|$

and

> $<^A$ a two place rel²

Definition 2.18 Given a model \mathcal{M} for a pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$ and given an environment l , we define the satisfaction relation $\mathcal{M} \models_l \phi$ for each logical formula ϕ over the pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$ and look-up table l by structural induction on ϕ . If $\mathcal{M} \models_l \phi$ holds, we say that ϕ computes to T in the model \mathcal{M} with respect to the environment l .

P : If ϕ is of the form $P(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$, then we interpret the terms t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n in our set A by replacing all variables with their values according to l . In this way we compute concrete values a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n of A for each of these terms, where we interpret any function symbol $f \in \mathcal{F}$ by $f^{\mathcal{M}}$. Now $\mathcal{M} \models_l P(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$ holds iff (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) is in the set $P^{\mathcal{M}}$.

$\forall x$: The relation $\mathcal{M} \models_l \forall x \psi$ holds iff $\mathcal{M} \models_{l[x \mapsto a]} \psi$ holds for all $a \in A$.

$\exists x$: Dually, $\mathcal{M} \models_l \exists x \psi$ holds iff $\mathcal{M} \models_{l[x \mapsto a]} \psi$ holds for some $a \in A$.

\neg : The relation $\mathcal{M} \models_l \neg \psi$ holds iff it is not the case that $\mathcal{M} \models_l \psi$ holds.

\vee : The relation $\mathcal{M} \models_l \psi_1 \vee \psi_2$ holds iff $\mathcal{M} \models_l \psi_1$ or $\mathcal{M} \models_l \psi_2$ holds.

\wedge : The relation $\mathcal{M} \models_l \psi_1 \wedge \psi_2$ holds iff $\mathcal{M} \models_l \psi_1$ and $\mathcal{M} \models_l \psi_2$ hold.

\rightarrow : The relation $\mathcal{M} \models_l \psi_1 \rightarrow \psi_2$ holds iff $\mathcal{M} \models_l \psi_2$ holds whenever $\mathcal{M} \models_l \psi_1$ holds.

Truth

$(A, I) \models \phi$ ϕ is true in (A, I)

$(A, I) \models R(t_1 \dots t_n) \leftarrow$ what does this evaluate to?

iff $R_i^A(t_1 \dots t_n)$

where,

$$t_i^A = \begin{cases} I(x), & \text{if } t_i \equiv x \\ c^A & \text{if } t_i \equiv c \end{cases}$$

Suppose, we have a formula

$$(A, \mathcal{I}) = \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2$$

with def²,

two element BA.

$$\text{iff } (A, \mathcal{I}) = \phi_1 \text{ and } (A, \mathcal{I}) = \phi_2$$

Similarly, $\{\forall, \rightarrow\}$ can be handled similarly
for \forall

$$(A, \mathcal{I}) \models \forall x \phi \quad \text{iff for all } a \in A, \\ (A, \mathcal{I}[a/x]) \models \phi$$

is known as the
Perturbation Valuation

$$\mathcal{I}[a/x](y) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{I}(y) & \text{if } y \neq x \\ a & \text{if } y = x \end{cases}$$

for \exists ,

$$(A, \mathcal{I}) \models \exists x \phi \quad \text{iff there is an } a \in A, \text{ st } \\ (A, \mathcal{I}[a/x]) \models \phi$$

So, this is the classical def² of quantifiers.

Constructive Defⁿ of Quantifiers

↳ done by extending BHK interpretation

$$C : \forall x. \phi \quad \text{st for all } a \in A.$$

$$Ca : \phi[a/x]$$

$$f : A \rightarrow B$$

(range depends on the input arguments.)

$$\prod_{a \in A} B(a) \rightarrow \text{(notation of a dependent fun²-space)}$$

$\equiv A \rightarrow B$, if $B(a)$ is indep^t of a .