

Lecture-4 Main Points

- A warm-up Lemma.
 - There exist two irrational real numbers a, b s.t. a^b is rational.
 - There is a (classical logic) proof of this lemma involving only a few lines.
 - The proof does not yield values of a, b (only proves their existence).
- Constructivism
 - Constructivist school of thought in mathematics rejects above proof.
 - Constructivism requires that existence of a mathematical object with property P , be proved by **concretely exhibiting an object** and showing that it has property P .
 - A look at the proof reveals that its non-constructive nature is due to the use of excluded middle principle.
- What is a constructive proof for propositional language?
 - BHK interpretation
 - * Associates a **construction** to a proposition.
 $c : A$ (read as “ c is a construction for A ”)
 - * If A is atomic
 - $p : A$ is a primitive/given notion.
 - * $A \equiv A_1 \vee A_2$
 - $inl(t) : A$, where $t : A_1$ or
 - $inr(t) : A$, where $t : A_2$
 - * $A \equiv A_1 \wedge A_2$
 - $\langle t_1, t_2 \rangle : A$, where $t_1 : A_1$ and $t_2 : A_2$

- * $A \equiv A_1 \rightarrow A_2$
 - $t : A$, where t is a function s.t.
for any input $c : A_1$, $t(c) : A_2$.
- * There is no construction for \perp .
- Examples of BHK interpretation for some simple propositions.
 - $A \rightarrow A \vee B$, $A \rightarrow \neg\neg A$.
- Exercise: Why is there no BHK interpretation for $A \vee \neg A$ in general?