Solutions to Practice Problems Sheet-1

Q1

(a) Assume that there is a set $A = \{x \mid x \text{ is a set and } x \notin x\}$.

If $A \in A$ then by definition of $A, A \notin A$.

If $A \notin A$ then again by definition of $A, A \in A$.

Either $A \in A$ or $A \notin A$ (membership relation is classical) and we have contradiction in both cases.

Therefore our assumption that there is a set A as above, is untenable.

(Note that this argument holds both in naive set theory or in axiomatic set theory like ZF.)

(b) Assume that ϕ is provable in T then by definition of ϕ , ϕ is False. As T is sound this is not possible.

Therefore ϕ is not provable in T, again by definition of ϕ , it is True.

So there is a True sentence of T which is not provable in T.

Therefore T is incomplete.

$\mathbf{Q2}$

(a) In minimal logic.

$$\frac{\overline{A \vdash A}}{\neg (A \lor B) \vdash \neg (A \lor B)} \qquad \frac{\overline{A \vdash A}}{A \vdash A \lor B} \qquad \frac{\overline{B \vdash B}}{\neg (A \lor B) \vdash \neg (A \lor B)} \qquad \frac{\overline{B \vdash B}}{B \vdash A \lor B}$$

$$\frac{\neg (A \lor B) \vdash \neg A}{\neg (A \lor B) \vdash \neg A} \qquad \frac{\neg (A \lor B) \vdash \neg (A \lor B) \vdash \neg (A \lor B)}{\neg (A \lor B) \vdash \neg (A \lor B)}$$

$$\frac{\neg (A \lor B) \vdash \neg (A \lor B) \vdash \neg (A \lor B)}{\neg (A \lor B) \vdash \neg (A \lor B)}$$

$$\frac{\neg (A \lor B) \vdash \neg (A \lor B)}{\vdash \neg (A \lor B)} \rightarrow \neg (A \lor B)$$

(b) In minimal logic.

(c) In classical logic.

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}_{1}}{\neg(\neg A \lor \neg B) \vdash A} \frac{\mathcal{D}_{2}}{\neg(\neg A \lor \neg B) \vdash B} \\
\frac{\neg(\neg A \lor \neg B), \neg(\neg A \lor \neg B) \vdash A \land B}{\neg(\neg A \lor \neg B) \vdash A \land B} \\
\frac{\neg(A \land B) \vdash \neg(A \land B)}{\neg(A \land B), \neg(\neg A \lor \neg B) \vdash A \land B} \\
\frac{\neg(A \land B), \neg(\neg A \lor \neg B) \vdash \bot}{\neg(A \land B) \vdash \neg A \lor \neg B} \mathbf{PBC}$$

$$\frac{\neg(A \land B) \vdash \neg A \lor \neg B}{\vdash \neg(A \land B) \to \neg A \lor \neg B}$$

• where \mathcal{D}_1 is

$$\frac{\frac{\neg A \vdash \neg A}{\neg A \vdash \neg A \lor \neg B)} \quad \frac{\neg A \vdash \neg A}{\neg A \vdash \neg A \lor \neg B}}{\frac{\neg (\neg A \lor \neg B), \neg A \vdash \bot}{\neg (\neg A \lor \neg B) \vdash A}} \text{ PBC}$$
(PBC stands for proof by contradiction.)

(PBC stands for proof by contradiction.)

ullet and \mathcal{D}_2 is

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\neg B} \vdash \neg B}{\neg B \vdash \neg A \lor \neg B}}{\frac{\neg (\neg A \lor \neg B) \vdash \neg (\neg A \lor \neg B)}{\neg B \vdash \neg A \lor \neg B}} \frac{\neg B \vdash \neg A \lor \neg B}{\neg B \vdash \neg A \lor \neg B}}{\neg (\neg A \lor \neg B) \vdash B} PBC$$

(d) In minimal logic.

$$\frac{ \frac{\overline{A \wedge B \vdash A \wedge B}}{\neg A \vdash \neg A} \quad \frac{\overline{A \wedge B \vdash A \wedge B}}{A \wedge B \vdash A} \quad \frac{\overline{A \wedge B \vdash A \wedge B}}{\neg B \vdash \neg B} \quad \frac{\overline{A \wedge B \vdash A \wedge B}}{A \wedge B \vdash B}}{A \wedge B \vdash B}$$

$$\frac{\neg A \vee \neg B, A \wedge B \vdash \bot}{\neg A \vee \neg B \vdash \neg (A \wedge B)}$$

$$\vdash \neg A \vee \neg B \rightarrow \neg (A \wedge B)$$

(e) In minimal logic.

 $\mathbf{Q3}$

(a)

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}_{1}}{A \to B \vdash (\neg B \to \neg A)} \frac{\mathcal{D}_{2}}{\vdash (\neg B \to \neg A) \vdash (\neg \neg A \to \neg \neg B)} \\
\frac{(A \to B) \vdash (\neg B \to \neg A) \to (\neg \neg A \to \neg \neg B)}{\vdash (A \to B) \vdash (\neg \neg A \to \neg \neg B)} \\
\vdash (A \to B) \to (\neg \neg A \to \neg \neg B)$$

• where \mathcal{D}_1 is

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \overline{A \rightarrow B \vdash A \rightarrow B} & \overline{A \vdash A} \\ \hline A \rightarrow B, A \vdash B & \neg B \vdash \neg B \\ \hline A \rightarrow B, A, \neg B \vdash \bot \\ \hline A \rightarrow B, \neg B \vdash \neg A \\ \hline A \rightarrow B \vdash (\neg B \rightarrow \neg A) \\ \end{array}$$

• \mathcal{D}_2 is similar, with A, B replaced by $\neg B, \neg A$ respectively.

(b) Forward implication

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}_{1}}{\neg\neg(A \land B) \vdash \neg\neg A} \frac{\mathcal{D}_{2}}{\neg\neg(A \land B) \vdash \neg\neg B} \\
\neg\neg(A \land B), \neg\neg(A \land B) \vdash \neg\neg A \land \neg\neg B} \\
\neg\neg(A \land B) \vdash \neg\neg A \land \neg\neg B} \\
\vdash \neg\neg(A \land B) \rightarrow \neg\neg A \land \neg\neg B}$$

• where \mathcal{D}_1 is

In which we have used a derived inference rule

$$\frac{\Gamma,A \vdash B}{\Gamma, \neg B \vdash \neg A} \text{ CPOS [CPOS stands for contra position]}$$

whose derivation is as follows.

$$\frac{\Gamma,A \vdash B \quad \overline{\neg B \vdash \neg B}}{\Gamma, \neg B, A \vdash \bot} \\ \overline{\Gamma, \neg B \vdash \neg A}$$

- Derivation \mathcal{D}_2 is similar.
- (b) Backward implication,

• where \mathcal{D}_1 is

$$\frac{\overline{A \vdash A} \quad \overline{B \vdash B}}{A, B \vdash (A \land B)} \quad \frac{\neg (A \land B) \vdash \neg (A \land B)}{\neg (A \land B) \vdash \bot}$$

$\mathbf{Q4}$

(a) Labeling is as follows.

$$\wedge i \ \frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash t_1 : A \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash t_2 : B}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle : A \land B}$$

$$\forall i1 \ \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A}{\Gamma \vdash inl(t) : A \lor B}$$

$$\forall i2 \ \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : B}{\Gamma \vdash inr(t) : A \lor B}$$

$$\rightarrow i \ \frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A.t : A \rightarrow B}$$

$$\rightarrow e \frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash t : A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash s : A}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash ts : B}$$

(b) Precise meaning of these construction terms is given by the following equations:

1.
$$\pi_1\langle t,s\rangle=t$$

2.
$$\pi_2 \langle t, s \rangle = s$$

3. case
$$inl(v)$$
 of $inl(a) \Rightarrow t_1 | inr(b) \Rightarrow t_2 = t_1[v/a]$,
where $t_1[v/a]$ stands for substituting all (free) occurrences a in t_1 by v .

4. case
$$inr(v)$$
 of $inl(a) \Rightarrow t_1 | inr(b) \Rightarrow t_2 = t_2[v/b]$

(c) Labeling for structural rules is as follows.

1.
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A}{\Gamma, x : B \vdash t : A}$$
 weakening

2.
$$\frac{\Gamma, x: B, y: B \vdash t: A}{\Gamma, z: B \vdash t[z/x, z/y]: A}$$
 contraction