

# ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION AND ITS ECONOMIC EFFECTS ON REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT: A MULTI-SECTOR ANALYSIS

Research Division, Policy Analysis Group  
Working Paper Series, 2024

## Abstract

This paper examines the economic effects of environmental regulation on regional development across 64 sub-national regions over the period 2012 to 2023. We analyze how regulatory stringency in areas including emissions standards, land use controls, and waste management requirements affects regional economic performance measured through output growth, firm entry and exit rates, and employment dynamics. Contrary to the hypothesis that stringent environmental regulation uniformly impedes economic growth, our results reveal a more nuanced picture. Regions implementing comprehensive environmental regulatory frameworks experience short-term adjustment costs but demonstrate stronger medium-term economic performance, particularly in sectors oriented toward innovation and high-value manufacturing. The findings suggest that well-designed environmental regulation can serve as a catalyst for economic restructuring and long-term competitiveness.

## 1. Introduction

The relationship between environmental regulation and economic performance has been a subject of sustained debate in both academic and policy circles. Critics argue that environmental regulations impose compliance costs that reduce competitiveness, discourage investment, and lead to employment losses, particularly in pollution-intensive industries. Proponents counter that well-designed regulations can stimulate innovation, create new market opportunities, and improve long-term economic efficiency by internalizing environmental externalities. While this debate has generated extensive empirical research at the national level, the regional dimension has received less systematic attention. Regional economies vary substantially in their industrial composition, natural resource endowments, and institutional capacity for regulatory implementation, all of which may influence how environmental regulations affect economic outcomes. This study contributes to the literature by examining environmental regulatory effects across a diverse sample of sub-national regions.

## 2. Methodology

We construct a panel dataset covering 64 sub-national regions across eight national jurisdictions, observed annually from 2012 to 2023. Environmental regulatory stringency is measured using a composite index incorporating emissions standards, land use regulation intensity, waste management requirements, and environmental enforcement expenditure. Economic outcomes include regional GDP growth rates, manufacturing value-added, firm creation and dissolution rates, and sectoral employment changes. The empirical strategy employs a generalized difference-in-differences approach exploiting variation in the timing and intensity of regulatory changes across regions. To address the potential endogeneity of regulatory adoption, we instrument regulatory stringency with measures of political composition and geographic exposure to environmental events. Heterogeneity analysis examines whether regulatory effects differ by regional industrial composition, baseline development level, and institutional quality.

## 3. Results and Findings

The baseline results indicate that increases in environmental regulatory stringency are associated with modest negative effects on aggregate economic output in the short term (one to three years), with a one standard deviation increase in regulatory stringency corresponding to a 0.4 percentage point reduction in annual GDP growth. However, this negative effect diminishes over time and becomes statistically insignificant after five years. In the medium term (five to eight years), regions that implemented more stringent regulations show GDP growth rates comparable to or slightly exceeding less-regulated comparison regions. Sectoral decomposition reveals important heterogeneity. Pollution-intensive manufacturing sectors experience sustained employment declines in heavily regulated regions, averaging 6.2 percent over the study period. However, these losses are offset by employment growth in clean technology industries, environmental services, and knowledge-intensive manufacturing. Firm entry rates in technology-oriented sectors increase by approximately 14 percent in regions with higher regulatory stringency, suggesting that regulation stimulates entrepreneurial activity in emerging sectors. Regions with stronger institutional capacity for regulatory implementation and higher baseline levels of human capital experience more favorable economic transitions.

## 4. Conclusion

This study provides evidence that the economic effects of environmental regulation on regional development are more complex than simple cost-burden narratives suggest. While short-term adjustment costs are real and can be significant for specific sectors and communities, the medium-term picture suggests that comprehensive environmental regulation can facilitate beneficial economic restructuring. The results underscore the importance of complementary policies including workforce transition support, innovation incentives, and institutional capacity building to maximize the economic benefits of environmental regulatory frameworks. Future research should examine longer time horizons and investigate the specific regulatory design features that most effectively balance environmental and economic objectives.