

# RAG Readiness Audit

## Sample Report

---

### OVERALL STATUS

✓ **RAG-READY (with noted limitations)**

**Audit Type:** Pre-Deployment RAG Pipeline Assessment

**Embedding Model:** Configured per project (1024 dimensions)

**Index Type:** FAISS IndexFlatIP

This audit evaluates the semantic indexing pipeline and resulting vector stores for RAG readiness. Assessment covers data cleanliness, chunking quality, metadata completeness, and embedding suitability.

# 1. Data Cleanliness

---

Criterion	Status	Notes
Duplicate records removed	✓ PASS	Deduplication confirmed via hash comparison
Encoding issues resolved	✓ PASS	UTF-8 normalized; no orphan byte sequences
Empty/null entries filtered	✓ PASS	Zero-length chunks excluded from index
Whitespace normalized	✓ PASS	Leading/trailing whitespace stripped
Control characters removed	✓ PASS	Non-printable characters sanitized

## Findings

**Dataset A (Legal Corpus):** Minor duplicates identified and removed. Final deduplication rate: <0.1%.

**Dataset B (Mathematical Reasoning):** Clean source data; no duplicates detected post-processing.

**Dataset C (Mixed Prose Archive):** Entries flagged for excessive whitespace; remediated during normalization pass.

## 2. Chunking Quality Assessment

---

Criterion	Status	Notes
Semantic boundary adherence	⚠ REVIEW	See findings below
Chunk size consistency	✓ PASS	Target: 512 tokens; variance within 15%
Overlap implementation	✓ PASS	64-token overlap between sequential chunks
Context preservation	✓ PASS	Source identifiers retained in chunk metadata

### Findings & Remediation

**Risk:** Dataset A contains chunks where legal citations span boundaries — may degrade retrieval precision.

**Remediation:** Implement citation-aware chunking treating statutory references as atomic units.

**Observation:** Dataset B uses Q&A pairs as natural boundaries — optimal for QA retrieval.

**Risk:** Dataset C has chunks truncated mid-sentence.  
**Remediation:** Add sentence-boundary detection before token limits.

### 3. Metadata Completeness

---

Criterion	Status	Notes
Unique chunk identifiers	✓ PASS	Sequential IDs assigned
Source document reference	✓ PASS	Original paths preserved
Chunk position tracking	⚠ PARTIAL	Page numbers missing
Timestamp metadata	✗ MISSING	No document timestamps
Category/domain labels	✓ PASS	Domain prefixes embedded

#### Current Schema

```
{  
  "id": "integer",  
  "text": "string (preview)",  
  "source_path": "string",  
  "domain_prefix": "string"  
}
```

**Gap:** Missing timestamps prevent time-based filtering.  
**Gap:** No PDF page mapping limits citation accuracy.

## 4. Embedding Model & 5. Index Integrity

---

### Embedding Model Suitability

Criterion	Status
Model appropriate for domain	✓ PASS
Dimension alignment	✓ PASS
Context prefix application	✓ PASS
Query prefix documented	✓ PASS

**Note:** For domain-specific corpora, fine-tuned models may yield 5-15% precision improvement.

### Index Integrity

Criterion	Status
Vector-chunk count match	✓ PASS
Index file integrity	✓ PASS
Shard alignment	✓ PASS
Backup artifacts	✓ PASS

### Verification Results

Dataset	Chunks	Status
Legal Corpus	~14M	GREEN
Math Reasoning	~9K	GREEN
Mixed Prose	~1.2M	GREEN

## 6. Remediation Summary & 7. Certification

---

### Remediation Priorities

Priority	Issue	Recommendation
HIGH	Citation boundaries	Entity-aware chunking
MEDIUM	Missing timestamps	Extend schema
MEDIUM	Mid-sentence truncation	Sentence-boundary detection
LOW	Page number mapping	Track PDF offsets

### Certification



#### Caveats:

- Citation-heavy queries may have reduced precision until chunking remediation
- Time-filtered retrieval not currently supported

---

This is a sanitized sample. Findings, thresholds, and schemas are adapted per dataset.