

Fantuan's Academia

FANTUAN'S MATH NOTES SERIES

Notes on Real Analysis

Author: Jingxuan Xu



**NOOOO!!!!!!YOU CANT JUST
INTEGRATE A FUNCTION
WHICH FAILS THE MONOTONE
CONVERGANCE THEORINO!!!!!!
NOOO!!! YOU CANT JUST INTEGRATE
ON STRUCTURES IN NON- EUCLIDIAN
SPACE!!!!!!!!!!!! JUST NO!!!!!!
YOU CANT JUST INTEGRATE
UNBOUNDED INTEGRALS
WITHOUT TAKING A LIMIT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
YOU IMBECILE!!!!!! YOU
ABSOLUTE FUCKING MORON!!!!!!!!!!!!**



haha Lebesgue integral go brrrrr

$$\int_E f d\mu = \int_E f(x) d\mu(x)$$

for measurable real-valued functions f defined on E .

$$\int_E f d\mu = \sup \left\{ \int_E s d\mu : 0 \leq s \leq f, s \text{ simple} \right\}$$

April 27, 2024

Fantuan's Math Notes

Contents

I PART I: Measure and Integration	5
1 Lebesgue Measure	7
1.1 Why Real Analysis?	7
1.2 Exterior Measure	8
1.2.1 Box	8
Bibliography	13

All the Sections with * are hard sections and can be skipped without losing coherence.

This note is referenced on **Introduction to Real Analysis** by Christopher Heil [3], **Real Analysis: Modern Techniques and Applications** by Folland [2], **Real Analysis** by Stein [4], **Measure, Integration and Real Analysis** by Sheldon Axler[1] (the famous ‘Linear Algebra Done Right’ Author!), and **Real and Complex Analysis** by Walter Rudin[5].

Fantuan's Math Notes

Part I

PART I: Measure and Integration

Chapter 1

Lebesgue Measure

1.1 Why Real Analysis?

What is this note about if we already have the introductory mathematical analysis? The main reason is that **Riemann Integral** has many deficiencies so that we need a more rigorous approach to the integration theory to solve these problems.

Example 1.1.1: Some Functions are not Riemann Integrable

The **Dirichlet Function** $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \text{ is rational} \\ 0, & \text{if } x \text{ is irrational} \end{cases}$$

is not Riemann Integrable, since for any partition interval $[a, b] \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $a < b$, we will have

$$\inf_{[a,b]} f = 0 \text{ and } \sup_{[a,b]} f = 1$$

The supremum of lower Riemann Sum and infimum of Upper Riemann Sum would never be equal to each other. Therefore, the integral does not exist. However, consider that \mathbb{Q} is countable and $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ is uncountable, we will reasonably guess that the ‘area’ under this curve should be 0 in some sense. So we need to fix this.

Example 1.1.2: Riemann Integral dos not preserve pointwise limit

Let r_1, r_2, \dots be a sequence that includes all rational numbers in $[0, 1]$ exactly once. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, define $f_k : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f_k(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in \{r_1, \dots, r_k\} \\ 0, & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Each f_k is Riemann Integrable, but the pointwise limit is the Dirichlet Function, which is not integrable!

You can see that Riemann Integral does not behave very well on pathological functions. In this note, we will fix these problems using a new integral method called the **Lebesgue Integral**, and derive new theories based on this.

The reason that this topic is called 'Real Analysis', is we mainly focus on the analysis of **Real-Valued Functions**.

Definition 1.1.3: Real-Valued Function

A **real-valued function** is a function $f : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$, where X is an arbitrary set and $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is the extended real line $[-\infty, \infty]$.

We will also consider some **Complex-Valued Functions**, because its real and imaginary parts can be written as real-valued functions.

1.2 Exterior Measure

The first thing we need to do is to assign a 'size', or 'volume' to each set. You may think this is trivial. However, some pathological sets such as $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, has no intuitive concept of 'volume'. To do this, we first start from very simple sets, then construct volume for each set based on this simple one. The basic element we use is **box**.

1.2.1 Box

Definition 1.2.1: Box

- A **box** in \mathbb{R} is a Cartesian product of d finite closed intervals

$$Q = \prod_{i=1}^d [a_i, b_i] = [a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2] \times \dots \times [a_d, b_d], \quad a_j < b_j, \forall j$$

- The **volume** of the box is the product of lengths of each side,

$$|Q| = \prod_{i=1}^d (b_i - a_i) = (b_1 - a_1)(b_2 - a_2) \cdots (b_d - a_d)$$

- The **interior** of the box is the Cartesian Product

$$Q^\circ = \prod_{i=1}^d (a_i, b_i) = (a_1, b_1) \times (a_2, b_2) \times \cdots \times (a_d, b_d), \quad a_j < b_j, \forall j$$

- The **boundary** of the box is $\partial Q = Q \setminus Q^\circ$.
- If the sides of a box have equal length, then we call it a **cube**.

The reason we use closed intervals is that, when constructing other volumes from boxes, we can ‘overlap’ the boundary of boxes, and the volumes can still be added up normally. With open intervals, we cannot easily do that. We give this kind of overlap a terminology.

Definition 1.2.2: Nonoverlapping Box

A collection of boxes $\{Q_k\}_{k \in I}$ is **nonoverlapping** if their interiors are disjoint. i.e.,

$$j \neq k \in I \implies Q_j^\circ \cap Q_k^\circ = \emptyset$$

With boxes, we can start to construct the ‘volume’ of other sets. We first do the very simple case, which is a box constructed by union of finitely many nonoverlapping boxes. Intuitively, in this case the volume can just be added up. It is true, but the proof may not be as trivial as you might think.

Proposition 1.2.3: Union of Finitely Many Boxes

Let

$$Q = \prod_{i=1}^d [a_i, b_i]$$

be a box in \mathbb{R}^d . Suppose $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are finitely many nonoverlapping boxes such that

$$Q = \bigcup_{i=1}^n Q_i$$

Then, the volume add up, i.e.,

$$|Q| = \sum_{i=1}^n |Q_i|$$

Proof. We begin by thinking the union of these boxes as a ‘jigsaw-like’ decomposition of the big box Q , as shown in left of Figure 1.1 below (for convenience, we draw the picture in \mathbb{R}^2 case).




Figure 1.1: Jigsaw-like nonoverlapping union in \mathbb{R}^2 . Left: Original Grid. Right: Grid after extension

Then, we can ‘extend’ these sides of boxes so that they decompose to many smaller ‘grid-like’ nonoverlapping boxes $\{\tilde{Q}_i\}_{i=1}^m$. This forms a partition J_1, J_2, \dots, J_n such that

$$Q = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \tilde{Q}_i, \quad \text{and} \quad Q_k = \bigcup_{j \in J_k} \tilde{Q}_j$$

For example, in the figure above, $Q_1 = \tilde{Q}_1 \cup \tilde{Q}_2$, thus $J_1 = \{1, 2\}$. Note that $\{\tilde{Q}_k\}$ are also nonoverlapping boxes. In this extended-grid case, adding volumes is just adding each sides and then times them all up, just as what we did in 2-dimensional case. For example, suppose in the figure above, $Q_1 = [0, 2] \times [0, 1]$ and $\tilde{Q}_1 = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ and $\tilde{Q}_2 = [1, 2] \times [0, 1]$. Then, their volume add up because $[0, 2] \times [0, 1] = ((1 - 0) + (2 - 1)) \times 1 = (1 - 0) \times (1 - 0) + (2 - 1) \times (1 - 0) = |\tilde{Q}_1| + |\tilde{Q}_2|$. Therefore,

$$|Q| = \sum_{j=1}^m |\tilde{Q}_j| = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j \in J_k} |\tilde{Q}_j| = \sum_{i=1}^n |Q_i|$$

□

With a little bit more thoughts, we can extend this result into overlapping case.

Proposition 1.2.4: Union of Overlapping Boxes

If $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and Q are boxes, with $Q \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n Q_i$. Then,

$$|Q| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |Q_i|$$

With the same procedure as before, note that this time, Q_i are allowed to be overlapped, and Q is smaller than the union, we can easily get this result.

Now we start to construct open sets. We know that in one dimensional case, i.e., in \mathbb{R} , every open set can be written as union of countably many open intervals.

Proposition 1.2.5: Property of Open sets in \mathbb{R}

Every open subset G of \mathbb{R} can be written uniquely as a countable union of disjoint open intervals.

Proof. For each $x \in G$, let I_x be the largest open interval containing x and contained in G . That is, if we denote

$$a_x = \inf\{a < x : (a, x) \subseteq G\} \quad \text{and} \quad b_x = \sup\{b > x : (x, b) \subseteq G\}$$

We must have $a_x < x < b_x$. We let $I_x = (a_x, b_x)$, then by construction, we have $x \in I_x$ as well as $I_x \subseteq G$. Hence,

$$G = \bigcup_{x \in G} I_x$$

We will next prove that two intervals I_x and I_y is either equal or disjoint. Suppose these two intervals intersect. Then their union is also an open interval and is contained in G , and contains x . Since I_x is the maximal open interval that contains x , we must have $I_x \cup I_y \subseteq I_x$. Similarly, we can show that $I_x \cup I_y \subseteq I_y$. This can happen only if $I_x = I_y$. Therefore, any two distinct intervals in the collection $\mathcal{I} = \{I_x\}_{x \in G}$ must be disjoint.

Finally, we prove that there are only countably many such intervals. This is trivial since each interval must contain a rational number, and therefore exists a bijective map from all these intervals to a subset of rational numbers. The cardinality of \mathcal{I} is at most countable. \square

The disappointing thing is that, this property does not carry further to \mathbb{R}^d with $d \geq 2$. The analog of open intervals in larger dimension is the interior of boxes. This means that not every open set is the disjoint union of interior of boxes. We can see the following example.

Example 1.2.6: Set that cannot be constructed from Open Intervals

An open disc in \mathbb{R}^2 is not the disjoint union of interior of boxes.

Suppose there does exist a collection of disjoint interior of boxes $\{Q_k^\circ\}_{k \in I}$ such that the open disc D

$$D = \bigcup_{k \in I} Q_k^\circ$$

Then, for each $x \in D$, we have $x \in Q_k^\circ$ for some $k \in I$. Consider the boundary of this Q_k° . There must be some point on this boundary such that it is in D . If $y \in \partial Q_k^\circ = \partial Q_k$ and $y \in D$, then $y \notin Q_k^\circ$. Therefore it must be contained in another $Q_{k'}^\circ$. However, since $Q_{k'}^\circ$ is open, there exists a small open ball $B_\epsilon(y)$ with radius ϵ centered at y such that $B_\epsilon(y) \subseteq Q_{k'}^\circ$. However, $B_\epsilon(y)$ must intersect Q_k° since it is centered at a boundary, which means that Q_k and $Q_{k'}$ intersect, which is a contradiction.

Alternatively, can we construct open sets using boxes? First note that this cannot be done by finite union, since the finite union of boxes are closed sets. We must need infinite sets to complete this. The next theorem shows that this can be done only using countably many boxes.

Theorem 1.2.7: Construct Open Set using Boxes

If G is a nonempty open subset of \mathbb{R}^d , then there exists countably many nonoverlapping cubes $\{Q_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$G = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} Q_k$$

Proof.

□

Bibliography

- [1] Axler, S. (2020). *Measure, integration & real analysis*. Springer Nature.
- [2] Folland, G. B. (1999). *Real analysis: modern techniques and their applications*, volume 40. John Wiley & Sons.
- [3] Heil, C. (2019). *Introduction to real analysis*, volume 280. Springer.
- [4] Stein, E. M. and Shakarchi, R. (2009). *Real analysis: measure theory, integration, and Hilbert spaces*. Princeton University Press.
- [5] Walter, R. (1987). *Real and complex analysis*.