

Week 2

Tuesday, 8 January 2019

08:56

- **Likelihoods**

- Observe phenomenon then compute likelihood of observation given hypothesis
- Ratios
 - H_0/H_1 or H_1/H_0
 - Ratio > 8 moderately strong evidence
 - Ratio > 32 strong evidence
 - H_0 and H_1 can be not useful hence care need to be taken with ratios
- We can also use H_0 with $\alpha=0.05$ and H_1 with $\alpha=0.8$ (power) to compare the likelihood of both. E.g. 2 out 3 study are significant gives ratio of 54
- Mixed results is very likely for example with 3 studies, even with H_1 true likeliness to not find 3 significant result is 49%!

- **Bayesian**

- Use prior belief to infer likeliness of result
- P-value was $P(\text{data} | H_0)$, but what you want to know is $P(H_0 | \text{data})$ and $P(H_1$

Posterior odds:

$$\frac{P(H_1 | D)}{P(H_0 | D)} = \frac{P(D | H_1)}{P(D | H_0)} \times \frac{P(H_1)}{P(H_0)}$$

$$\text{Posterior} = \text{Likelihood Ratio} \times \text{Prior}$$

- We need to start with initial distribution, called beta distribution of parameters α and β , for example [50,50] gives a normal around 0.5 i.e. fair coin and [1,1] is uniform distribution

The posterior is a

The posterior is a
Beta(α^* , β^*) distribution:

$$\alpha^* = \alpha_{\text{prior}} + \alpha_{\text{likelihood}} - 1$$

$$\beta^* = \beta_{\text{prior}} + \beta_{\text{likelihood}} - 1$$

- Bayes factor is relative evidence and enable us to only quantify the relative probability of an hypothesis being true compared to another hypothesis
- If prior == uniform ==> posterior == likelihood
- Bayesian estimation: only use posterior to estimate plausible values instead of using two models
- After prior and data we have a new model and can iterate as many times as wanted to refine the estimation of the phenomenon observed.
- The Bayes factor represents how much we have updated our beliefs, based on observing the data.

- **Practice**

- B C B A A 0.9999131 undetermined answer
- Bayes: compare different posterior given different priors and same Θ , Likelihood compare different Θ values
- ??? For Bayes does the posterior and prior not depends on size of study i.e. N ?
- Rule of thumb is Bayes factor 1-3 v. small, 3-10 substantial, >10 strong, only describe the difference in belief. So if starting really small even BF14 will not change our belief.
- Credible interval is equivalent to confidence interval for uniform prior only