# Senior Thesis

Real Analysis
Dec 12 2016

William Cai<sup>1</sup>

### 1 Abstract

## 2 Introduction

## 2.1 Data setup

X is a n x T x d matrix where n is the number of patients, T is the number of measurements, and d is the dimensionality of the measurements.

Currently, in the synthetic data we have d x d matrices A and B which have 2-norm .9 and the data for a single patient is generated as follows:

P, the data for a single patient, is a T x d matrix. Let  $P_i$  be the measurement of that patient at time i.

 $P_0$  is randomly initialized with all values mean 0 and variance 1 and normalized by  $\sqrt{d}$ .

Then,  $P_{t+1} = (1_A A + (1 - 1_A)B)P_t + \sigma_w \epsilon$  where

 $\sigma_w$  is a constant (currently .2)

 $\epsilon$  is d-dimensional white noise with all values mean 0 variance 1

 $1_A$  is an indicator variable which is 1 if there is no  $i \leq t$  s.t.  $P_i \cdot betaswitch > .5$ 

## 2.2 What are we trying to predict

There are two prediction problems we are interested in.

- 1) Given  $P_0$  through  $P_t$ , predict  $P_{t+1}$  (regression).
- 2) Given  $P_0$  through  $P_t$ , predict  $1_A$  (binary classification)

## 3 Models (ignore this for now)

## 3.1 Predict next measurement from previous measurements

Currently only using a window of 20 measurements:

Try 1: Random Forest Regression (30 trees, 30 features) (DONE) Try 2: Neural Network (1 layer, 512 nodes) (DONE) Try 3: Deep Neural Network (2 layers, 512 nodes) (DONE) Try

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>William Cai

wc369 4 EXPERIMENT

4: Recurrent Neural Network, fed 20 at a time (DONE) Try 5: Recurrent Neural Network, fed 1 at a time

TODO: Vary the length of our window (not just 20)

## 3.2 Predict next value of flag (0/1) directly

Try 1: Random Forest Classifier (30 trees, 30 features) Try 2: Neural Network (1 layer, 512 nodes) Try 3: Deep Neural Network (2 layers, 512 nodes) Try 4: Recurrent Neural Network, fed 20 at a time Try 5: Recurrent Neural Network, fed 1 at a time

# 3.3 Predict next value of flag (0/1) from prediction of the model which predicts the next measurement

Question: Should we even do this, since all our predictions just look like they're guessing 0? Try 1: Random forest Classifier Try 2: Neural Network Try 3: Deep Neural Network

## 4 Experiment

## 4.1 Regression where A = B

#### 4.1.1 Introduction

Given a sequence of measurements from time 0 through t, our goal is to predict the measurement at time t + 1.

As a sanity check, we check to see our models are working when A = B, or in other words, the patient measurements are generated by:

$$P_{t+1} = AP_t + \sigma_w \epsilon$$

Then, in this case a perfect model would predict  $P_{t+1} = AP_t$ .

All of these models were trained using the window method, where each training example is a sequence of 10 measurements and the result is the next measurement. The dataset was split 80-20 between training/validation.

#### 4.1.2 Results

Models: All Zero Regressor: 0.168840895246 Oracle Regressor (knows the value of A): 0.159880463551 Random Forest Regressor 0.164463405344 Neural Net, 512 nodes 0.161696706556 Deep Neural Net, 2 layers of 512 nodes 0.160718555103 Recurrent Neural Net 0.160057111654

## 4.2 Regression where $A \neq B$

#### 4.2.1 Introduction

Given a sequence of measurements from time 0 to 1, our goal is to predict the measurement at time t + 1. Now that  $A \neq B$ , our data is generated by

$$P_{t+1} = (1_A A + (1 - 1_A)B)P_t + \sigma_w \epsilon$$

wc369 5 DISCUSSION

which means our models have to deal with the fact that sometimes the data is being generated with A and sometimes with B.

All of these models were trained using the window method, where each training example is a sequence of 10 measurements and the result is the next measurement. The dataset was split 80-20 between training/validation.

#### 4.2.2 Results

#### Models:

Zero predictor (just guess all-zeros): MSE: 0.168869027809 Random Forest MSE: 0.17058158425 Neural Net, 512 nodes MSE: 0.161847476813 Deep Neural Net, 2 layers of 512 nodes MSE: 0.160740647684 Recurrent Neural Net MSE: 0.161418953358

The error of an oracle predictor which knows the value of A, B and flag should be similar to the error from the previous section but wasn't implemented because it's hard to figure out the value of flag for a given training example.

## 4.3 Classification where $A \neq B$

#### 4.3.1 Introduction

Given a sequence of measurements from time 0 through t, our goal is to predict the value of flag at time t+1.

All of these models were trained using the window method, where each training example is a sequence of 10 measurements and the result is the next value of flag. The dataset was split 80-20 between training/validation.

#### 4.3.2 Results

```
Random Forest
[[5104 28]
[1271 197]]
Neural Net, 512 nodes
[[5009 123]
[ 196 1272]]
Deep Neural Net, 2 layers of 512 nodes
[[5011 121]
[ 212 1256]]
Recurrent Neural Net
[[4965 167]
[ 148 1320]]
```

## 5 Discussion