

# Coevolution of the WTO and the and international business

How do multiple embeddedness and coevolution effect  
the decision making of MNE wrt the WTO



UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

Duco Wiertsema

University of Amsterdam

Supervisor 1:

Supervisor 2:

A thesis submitted for the degree of

*Master of Science in Business Studies*

June 2013



---

# Table of Contents

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Table of Contents                       | i  |
| 1 Introduction                          | 1  |
| 2 Literature Review                     | 3  |
| 2.1 in international Business . . . . . | 3  |
| Appendices                              | 5  |
| Bibliography                            | 6  |
| Acronyms                                | 11 |

---

# 1 | Introduction

Trade has been as old as the Middle Paleolithic (300.000 to 30.000 years ago) and originated with the start of communication in prehistoric times. The first signs of trade have been discovered from around 150.000 years ago [**Barbra-Miller:2006**] [**Watson:2005**] [**Fernandez-Armesto:2003**] [**Mayell:2010**] [**Henahan:2002**]. These first trades were in ochre, an earth pigment, used to dye fabrics. These trades were mainly in the form of bartered goods and services [**OSullivan:2003**] from each other before the innovation of the modern day currency [**Watson:2005**].

The innovation of money meant that barter <sup>1</sup> was not longer a necessity to trade goods or services. With money medium common between the supplier and the demander became available. This facilitated a wider market and created the possibility of mercantilism [**Heckscher:1936**]. That trade is an important aspect in our history, can be observed from the fact the silk route is still a well known aspect, although this route has been out of commission in the way that was used.

In some way money changed from a means to an objective. Amidst the financial turmoil that engulfed the world in 2012 and 2013, one may assume that "*Money makes the world go round*". The quote has been around for some time now, dating back to the musical *Cabaret* from the 1960s.

From a trade perspective money is still a means As [**Mises:2009**] has defined: "The function of money is to facilitate the business of the market by acting as a common medium of exchange".

---

<sup>1</sup>according to [Merriam-Webster](#): barter is *to trade by exchanging one commodity for another*

By this line of thought the underlying motivation of money is the exchange of goods and later services.

After World War II much needed to change. The world had to come together. Hence two global albeit of truly global organisations have For this purpose the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and World Bank were founded in 1944.

The World Trade Organisation (WTO) was established on January 1 1995. The WTO came into existence after the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) had been dissolved into the WTO.

---

## 2 | Literature Review

In an time of austerity and double dips in the economy, International business (IB) is playing the game on different fields. Companies like Apple and Samsung are not only fighting for customers but also fighting in court overIntellectual Property (IP) as well. Next to this fight over IP subsidies are at the forefront of the public debate as well. Boeing and Airbus have been fighting over subsidies for decades where on more than one occasion the WTO has ruled on the validity of these subsidies. More recently solar panels have become a topic of tariffs between the European Union and China.

The field of play is governed by governments, trade blocks and the WTO on the one hand and IB on the other. a Multitude of forces are acting on this playing field and the different actors on this pitch have to cooperate. Different Multinational Enterprises (MNE) will cope differently with the challenges that are set by the institutions and the environment that they are operating in. That this environment is of importance is explained by Institutional Based View (IBV) [Kostova et al. 1999; Meyer et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2012]

### 2.1 Institutional Based View in international Business

IBV has been a reaction on the theory of Resourced Based View (RBV) introduced by [Barney 1991]. The RBV theory has gained a lot of support in the international business community. The aforementioned article has received more than 5000 citations<sup>1</sup> since it has been published in 1991.

---

<sup>1</sup>according to Web of Knowledge

Where RBV stated that a firm's strategic advantage is depended on its heterogeneous resources (a bundle of all assets, knowledge, and capabilities) which have to be “ (a) must be valuable, in the sense that it exploit opportunities and/or neutralises threats in a firm's environment, (b) must be rare among a firm's current and potential competition, (c) must be imperfectly imitable, and (d) there cannot be strategically equivalent substitutes for this resource that are valuable but neither rare or imperfectly imitable” [] otherwise known as VRIN.

Where RBV is introspective in nature IBV is extrospective. Not only have more scholars have come to realise that institutions matter [Powell et al. 1991] [Scott:1995 ]), and that strategy research cannot just focus on industry conditions and firm resources

IB has long been a favourite topic in academic literature. The term IB alone gives over 2M hits in Google Scholar. Since [Porter 1980] introduced the concept of favourable industries,

When introduced the RBV in international business literature this view gained a lot of support. The theory has been expanded upon and IBV was introduced by [Kostova et al. 1999; Meyer et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2012]

---

# Appendices



---

# Bibliography

- [Barfield 2001] Claude E Barfield. “Free Trade, Sovereignty, Democracy: Future of the World Trade Organization”. In: *Chi. J. Int’l L.* 2 (2001), p. 403.
- [Barney 1991] Jay Barney. “Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage”. In: *Journal of management* 17.1 (1991), pp. 99–120.
- [Buckley et al. 2007] Peter J Buckley et al. “The determinants of Chinese outward foreign direct investment”. In: *Journal of International Business Studies* 38.4 (2007), pp. 499–518.
- [Cantwell et al. 2010] John Cantwell, John H Dunning, and Sarianna M Lundan. “An evolutionary approach to understanding international business activity: The co-evolution of MNEs and the institutional environment”. In: *Journal of International Business Studies* 41.4 (May 2010), pp. 567–586. DOI: [10.1057/jibs.2009.95](https://doi.org/10.1057/jibs.2009.95).
- [Child et al. 2005] John Child and Suzana B Rodrigues. “The Internationalization of Chinese Firms: A Case for Theoretical Extension?[1]”. In: *Management and organization review* 1.3 (2005), pp. 381–410.
- [Dodge et al. 1994] H Robert Dodge, Sam Fullerton, and John E Robbins. “Stage of the organizational life cycle and competition as mediators of problem perception for small businesses”. In: *Strategic Management Journal* 15.2 (Feb. 1994), pp. 121–134. DOI: [10.1002/smj.4250150204](https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.4250150204).
- [Draucker et al. 2007] Claire B Draucker et al. “Theoretical sampling and category development in grounded theory.” English. In: *Qual Health Res* 17.8 (Oct. 2007), pp. 1137–1148. DOI: [10.1177/1049732307308450](https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732307308450).

- [W. G. Dyer et al. 1991] W Gibb Dyer and Alan L Wilkins. “Better stories, not better constructs, to generate better theory: A rejoinder to Eisenhardt.” In: *Academy of management review* 16.3 (1991), pp. 613–619.
- [W. Dyer et al. 1991] W.G. Dyer and A.L. Wilkins. “Better stories, not better constructs, to generate better theory: a rejoinder to Eisenhardt.” In: *Academy of management review* 16.3 (1991), pp. 613–619.
- [K. M. Eisenhardt 1989] Kathleen M Eisenhardt. “Building theories from case study research”. In: *Academy of management review* (1989), pp. 532–550.
- [K. M. Eisenhardt 1991] Kathleen M Eisenhardt. “Better stories and better constructs: The case for rigor and comparative logic.” In: *Academy of management review* 16.3 (1991), pp. 620–627.
- [K. Eisenhardt 1989] K.M. Eisenhardt. “Building theories from case study research”. In: *Academy of management review* (1989), pp. 532–550.
- [K. Eisenhardt 1991] K.M. Eisenhardt. “Better stories and better constructs: The case for rigor and comparative logic.” In: *Academy of Management review* 16.3 (1991), pp. 620–627.
- [ANTONIS A ELLINAS et al. 2011] ANTONIS A ELLINAS and EZRA N SULEIMAN. “Supranationalism in a Transnational Bureaucracy: The Case of the European Commission”. English. In: *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies* 49.5 (Jan. 2011), pp. 923–947. DOI: [10.1111/j.1468-5965.2010.02164.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5965.2010.02164.x).
- [ANTONIS A. ELLINAS et al. 2011] ANTONIS A. ELLINAS and EZRA N. SULEIMAN. “Supranationalism in a Transnational Bureaucracy: The Case of the European Commission”. In: *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies* 49.5 (2011), pp. 923–947.
- [Elsig 2010] Manfred Elsig. “The World Trade Organization at work: Performance in a member-driven milieu”. In: *The Review of International Organizations* 5.3 (Sept. 2010), pp. 345–363. DOI: [10.1007/s11558-010-9093-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11558-010-9093-2).
- [Gao et al. 2010] Gerald Yong Gao et al. “A “strategy tripod” perspective on export behaviors: Evidence from domestic and foreign firms based in an emerging economy”. In: *Journal of International Business Studies* 41.3 (Apr. 2010), pp. 377–396. DOI: [10.1057/jibs.2009.27](https://doi.org/10.1057/jibs.2009.27).

- [2012]. *Interview Disclosure Consent Form v1.0*. Tech. rep. Oct. 2012.
- [Kostova et al. 1999] Tatiana Kostova and Srilata Zaheer. “Organizational legitimacy under conditions of complexity: The case of the multinational enterprise”. In: *Academy of management review* (1999), pp. 64–81.
- [Lamy n.d.] Pascal Lamy. “The Future of Europe in the New Global Economy”. In: *The Future of Europe in the New Global*2012a.pdf ().
- [Lawton et al. n.d.] Thomas C Lawton, Johan P Lindeque, and Steven M McGuire. “Multilateralism and the Multinational Enterprise”. In: *Business and Politics* 11.2 (). DOI: [10.2202/1469-3569.1274](https://doi.org/10.2202/1469-3569.1274).
- [Lewin et al. 1999] Arie Y Lewin and Henk W Volberda. “Prolegomena on coevolution: A framework for research on strategy and new organizational forms”. In: *Organization science* 10.5 (1999), pp. 519–534.
- [Lewis et al. 1983] Virginia L Lewis and Neil Churchill. “The five stages of small business growth”. In: *Harvard business review* 61.3 (1983), pp. 30–50.
- [Lindeque 2012] Johan Lindeque. *Interview Protocol v1.1*. Tech. rep. Oct. 2012.
- [Meyer et al. 2009] Klaus E Meyer et al. “Institutions, resources, and entry strategies in emerging economies”. In: *Strategic Management Journal* 30.1 (2009), pp. 61–80.
- [Pauwelyn 2005] J Pauwelyn. “The Sutherland Report: A Missed Opportunity for Genuine Debate on Trade, Globalization and Reforming the WTO”. In: *Journal of International Economic Law* 8.2 (June 2005), pp. 329–346. DOI: [10.1093/jielaw/jgi023](https://doi.org/10.1093/jielaw/jgi023).
- [Peng 2002] Mike W Peng. “Towards an institution-based view of business strategy”. In: *Asia Pacific Journal of Management* 19.2-3 (2002), pp. 251–267.
- [Peng and Khoury 2008] Mike W Peng and Theodore A Khoury. “Unbundling the institution based view of international business strategy”. In: *UNBUNDLING THE INSTITUTION-BASED*2008apdf (2008), pp. 256–268.
- [Petersmann 2011] Ernst-Ulrich Petersmann. “Future of the WTO: From Authoritarian Mercantilism to Multilevel Governance for the Benefit of Citizens, The”. In: *Asian J. WTO & Int’l Health L & Pol’y* 6 (2011), p. 45.

- [Porter 1980] Michael E Porter. “Industry structure and competitive strategy: Keys to profitability”. In: *Financial Analysts Journal* (1980), pp. 30–41.
- [Powell et al. 1991] Walter W Powell and Paul J DiMaggio. *The New Institutionalism in Organizational Analysis*. University of Chicago Press, June 1991.
- [Ryan et al. 2003] Gery W Ryan and H Russell Bernard. “Techniques to Identify Themes”. In: *Field Methods* 15.1 (Feb. 2003), pp. 85–109. DOI: [10.1177/1525822X02239569](https://doi.org/10.1177/1525822X02239569).
- [Scott 2001] W Richard Scott. *Institutions and Organizations*. Second Edition. Sage Publications, 2001.
- [Stone Sweet et al. 1997] Alec Stone Sweet and Wayne Sandholtz. “European integration and supranational governance”. In: *Journal of European public policy* 4.3 (1997), pp. 297–317.
- [Tallberg 2002] Jonas Tallberg. “Delegation to supranational institutions: why, how, and with what consequences?” In: *West European Politics* 25.1 (2002), pp. 23–46.
- [N.d.]. “The Future and the WTO: Confronting the Challenges”. In: *2. THE FUTURE OF THE MULTILATERAL TRADING*<sub>2012a.pdf</sub> ().
- [Tsebelis et al. 2001] George Tsebelis and Geoffrey Garrett. “The institutional foundations of intergovernmentalism and supranationalism in the European Union”. In: *International Organization* 55.2 (2001), pp. 357–390.
- [S. Von Reden 1997] S. Von Reden. “History from Coinage”. In: *Classical Review* (1997), pp. 170–172.
- [Sitta Von Reden 2004] Sitta Von Reden. *The Invention of Coinage and the Monetization of Ancient Greece*. English. 2004.
- [Wang et al. 2012] Chengqi Wang et al. “What drives outward FDI of Chinese firms? Testing the explanatory power of three theoretical frameworks”. In: *International Business Review* 21.3 (June 2012), pp. 425–438. DOI: [10.1016/j.ibusrev.2011.05.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2011.05.004).
- [Westney 2005] D Eleanor Westney. *Organization Theory and the Multinational Corporation: Second Edition*. Ed. by Sumantra Ghoshal and D Eleanor Westney. 2, illustrated. Palgrave Macmillan, 2005.

- [Westney 2011] D Eleanor Westney. “Global strategy and global business environment: changing models of the global business environment”. In: *Global Strategy Journal* 1.34 (2011), pp. 377–381. DOI: [10.1111/j.2042-5805.2011.00034.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2042-5805.2011.00034.x).
- [R. Yin 1981] R.K. Yin. “The case study crisis: Some answers”. In: *Administrative science quarterly* 26.1 (1981), pp. 58–65.
- [R. K. Yin 1981] Robert K Yin. “The case study crisis: some answers”. In: *Administrative science quarterly* 26.1 (1981), pp. 58–65.

---

# Acronyms

**GATT** General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. [2](#)

**IB** International business. [3](#), [4](#)

**IBV** Institutional Based View. [3](#), [4](#)

**IMF** International Monetary Fund. [2](#)

**IP** Intellectual Property. [3](#)

**MNE** Multinational Enterprises. [3](#)

**RBV** Resourced Based View. [3](#), [4](#)

**WTO** World Trade Organisation. [2](#), [3](#)