

# Machine Learning algorithms for User Modeling: A State of the Art

Wilfried L. Bounsi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departement of Electronics and Computer Science – University of Burgundy  
Dijon, France

wilfried.bounsi-djatcheu@etu.u-bourgogne.fr

**Abstract.** *A fundamental objective of human-computer interaction research is to make systems more usable, more useful, and to provide users with experiences fitting their specific background, knowledge and objectives. Specifically, today we want systems to do the "right" thing at the "right" time in the "right" way. Designers of human-computer systems face the formidable task of writing software for millions of users (at design time) while making it work as if it were designed for each individual user (only known at use time). User modeling research has attempted to address these issues [Fischer 2001]. In this article, I will provide an assessment of the current state of the art, a review of all the main researches that have been conducted in the field and its main tracks, essentially based on the papers submitted and accepted to the ACM UMAP international conference, which is the premier international conference dedicated to the subject of user modeling. A special emphasis is given to machine learning algorithms used to tackle challenges encountered in the field.*

**keywords.** *User Modeling, Machine Learning*

## Contents

|          |  |          |
|----------|--|----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>  | <b>3</b> |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Main Tracks</b>   | <b>3</b> |
| 2.1      | Personalized Recommender Systems . . . . .                 | 3        |
| 2.1.1    | k-Nearest Neighbor Collaborative Filtering (kNN) . . . . . | 3        |
| 2.1.2    | Matrix Factorization (MF) . . . . .                        | 3        |
| 2.1.3    | Bayesian personalized ranking . . . . .                    | 3        |
| 2.1.4    | Novelty Enhancement . . . . .                              | 3        |
| 2.2      | Adaptative Hypermedia and The Semantic Web . . . . .       | 4        |
| 2.3      | Intelligent User Interfaces . . . . .                      | 4        |
| 2.4      | Personalized Social Web . . . . .                          | 4        |
| 2.5      | Technology-Enhanced Adaptative Learning . . . . .          | 4        |
| 2.6      | Privacy and Fairness . . . . .                             | 4        |
| 2.7      | Personalized Music Access . . . . .                        | 4        |
| 2.8      | Personalized Health . . . . .                              | 4        |
| 2.9      | Theories, Opinions and Reflections . . . . .               | 4        |

## **1. Introduction**

## **2. Main Tracks**

### **2.1. Personalized Recommender Systems**

In the era of information and products overload, the task of browsing systems to find items of interest to users is becoming more difficult. Recommender systems play an important role in such scenarios as they filter a large set of selections into a much smaller set of items that a user is likely to be interested in. They do this by utilising implicit or explicit user feedback on items recorded by the system. While historically recommender systems were more often tasked with rating prediction, nowadays the task of ranking items seems to be more relevant, and a number of methods optimised for the ranking task have been proposed.

#### **2.1.1. k-Nearest Neighbor Collaborative Filtering (kNN)**

(to be inserted)

#### **2.1.2. Matrix Factorization (MF)**

(to be inserted)

#### **2.1.3. Bayesian personalized ranking**

[Rendle et al. 2009] provides an investigation of the most common scenario with implicit feedback (e.g. clicks, purchases). There are many methods for item recommendation from implicit feedback like matrix factorization (MF) or adaptive k-nearest-neighbor (kNN). Even though these methods are designed for the item prediction task of personalized ranking, none of them is directly optimized for ranking. In [Rendle et al. 2009], a generic optimization criterion BPR-Opt for personalized ranking that is the maximum posterior estimator derived from a Bayesian analysis of the problem. It also provides a generic learning algorithm for optimizing models with respect to BPR-Opt. The learning method is based on stochastic gradient descent with bootstrap sampling. It then shows how to apply that method to two state-of-the-art recommender models: matrix factorization and adaptive kNN.

#### **2.1.4. Novelty Enhancement**

In 2019, Jacek Wasilewski points the fact that novelty enhancement of recommendations is typically achieved through a post-filtering process applied on a candidate set of items. While it is an effective method, its performance heavily depends on the quality of a baseline algorithm, and many of the state-of-the-art algorithms generate recommendations that are relatively similar to what the user has interacted with in the past. This often leads to the filter bubble problem that many of standard algorithms suffer from, where recommendations closely follow past interactions with the system, resulting in recommendations

being not particularly engaging [Wasilewski and Hurley 2019]. He explores the use of sampling as a means of novelty enhancement in the Bayesian Personalized Ranking objective seen before.

## **2.2. Adaptative Hypermedia and The Semantic Web**

## **2.3. Intelligent User Interfaces**

## **2.4. Personalized Social Web**

## **2.5. Technology-Enhanced Adaptative Learning**

## **2.6. Privacy and Fairness**

## **2.7. Personalized Music Access**

## **2.8. Personalized Health**

## **2.9. Theories, Opinions and Reflections**

## References

- Fischer, G. (2001). User modeling in human–computer interaction. *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction*, 11(1):65–86.
- Rendle, S., Freudenthaler, C., Gantner, Z., and Schmidt-Thieme, L. (2009). Bpr: Bayesian personalized ranking from implicit feedback. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence*, UAI '09, pages 452–461, Arlington, Virginia, United States. AUAI Press.
- Wasilewski, J. and Hurley, N. (2019). Bayesian personalized ranking for novelty enhancement. In *Proceedings of the 27th ACM Conference on User Modeling, Adaptation and Personalization*, UMAP '19, pages 144–148, New York, NY, USA. ACM.