## Results for Thesis

## Jack Wile

## **Preliminaries and Definitions**

**Definition.** Let  $\mathscr{D}$  be a pre-Hilbert space. Denote by  $\mathscr{L}^{\dagger}(\mathscr{D})$  the space of linear operators on  $\mathscr{D}$  such that for all  $A \in \mathscr{L}^{\dagger}(\mathscr{D})$ 

- 1.  $A(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ ,
- 2.  $\mathscr{D} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A^*)$  and  $A^*(\mathscr{D}) \subseteq \mathscr{D}$ .

Under the involution  $A^{\dagger} = A^*|_{\mathscr{D}}$ ,  $\mathscr{L}^{\dagger}(\mathscr{D})$  is a \*-algebra. An  $Op^*$ -algebra is a unital \*-subalgebra of  $\mathscr{L}^{\dagger}(\mathscr{D})$ .

**Definition.** Let  $\mathscr{A}$  be an  $Op^*$ -algebra. We define the convex hull of all elements  $A^{\dagger}A$  as the set  $\mathcal{P}(\mathscr{A}) = co(\{A^{\dagger}A \; ; \; A \in \mathscr{A}\})$ . Similarly, we write  $\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{A}) = \{A \in \mathscr{A} \; ; \; \langle A\psi, \psi \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } \psi \in \mathscr{D}\}.$ 

We have the relations  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{P}} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ , where the middle closure may be taken over a topology finger that the ultraweak topology on  $\mathscr{A}$ . If  $\mathscr{A}$  is a C\*-algebra, then these all coincide. This is not the case for general Op\*-algebras. As a result there are a variety of positivity one can take in the unbounded setting. For the time being we take the weakest.

**Definition.** (Weak Positivity) Let  $\mathcal{E}: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  be a linear map between  $Op^*$ -algebras. We say  $\mathcal{E}$  is  $(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}), \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B}))$  positive (usually just stated as "postive") if for any  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  one has  $\langle \mathcal{E}(A^{\dagger}A)\psi, \psi \rangle \geq 0$  for any  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B})$ . In a similar manner we say  $\mathcal{E}$  is completely positive if every corresponding matrix amplification  $\mathcal{E}_n: \mathcal{A} \otimes M_n \to \mathcal{B} \otimes M_n$  is positive.

This of course carries the insinuation that  $\mathscr{A} \otimes M_n$  is an Op\*-algebra over  $\mathcal{D}(\mathscr{A})^n$ , which is indeed the case. We may also consider the tensor product  $\mathscr{A} \otimes M_{\infty}$ , where  $M_{\infty}$  denotes the set of finitely-supported, but infinite

matrices. We will consider stability of this tensor product and its relationship to complete positivity later.

There is a generalization of the Stinespring Theorem for this class, and hence any more specific class of positive maps between Op\*-algebras.

**Theorem.** (Stinespring's Theorem) Let  $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  be a completely positive map. This map is of the form

$$\mathcal{E}(A) = V^*\pi(A)V.$$

Where  $\pi: \mathscr{A} \to \pi(\mathscr{A})$  is a \*-representation onto an  $Op^*$ -algebra  $\pi(\mathscr{A})$  over a dilated pre-Hilbert space  $\mathcal{D}_{\pi}$  containing  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$  as a subspace, and  $V: \mathcal{D}(\mathscr{A}) \to \mathcal{D}_{\pi}$  is a linear map continuous with respect to the graph topologies on  $\mathcal{D}(\mathscr{A})$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{\pi}$ ,  $V^*$  the corresponding TVS adjoint. Conversely, any such map is completely positive. If  $\mathcal{E}$  is ultraweakly continuous, then so is  $\pi$ .

*Proof.* We show the first part of the theorem, which closely follows the bounded case. Consider the algebraic tensor product  $\mathscr{A} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ , where  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(\mathscr{A})$ . For  $\xi = \sum_i A_i \otimes \phi_i$  and  $\eta = \sum_j B_j \otimes \psi_j$  the bilinear form  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \sum_{i,j} \langle \phi_i, \mathcal{E}(A_i^{\dagger} B_j) \psi_j \rangle$ . That this is positive semi-definite follows from positivity of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Define the action of  $\pi$  by

$$\pi(X)\xi = \sum_{i} X A_i \otimes \phi_i.$$

This defines a representation of  $\mathscr{A}$  on  $\mathscr{A} \otimes \mathcal{D}$  satisfying

$$\langle \xi, \pi(A) \eta \rangle = \langle \pi(A^{\dagger}) \xi, \eta \rangle.$$

Now let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the kernel of the previously defined inner product on  $\mathscr{A} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ . Given that  $\xi \in \mathcal{N}$ , one has

$$\|\pi(A)\xi\|^2 = \langle \xi, \pi(A^{\dagger}A)\xi \rangle \le \|\xi\| \cdot \|\pi(A^{\dagger}A)\xi\| = 0.$$

Thus the action of  $\pi$  on the pre Hilbert space  $\mathcal{D}_{\pi} = (\mathscr{A} \otimes \mathcal{D})/\mathcal{N}$  admits a well-defined linear operator.  $\pi(\mathscr{A})$  is then an Op\*-algebra.

Now define  $V: \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}_{\pi}$  via  $V\psi = 1 \otimes \psi + \mathcal{N}$ . Let  $\rho_X$  denote a seminorm

corresponding to  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  or  $X \in \pi(\mathcal{A})$  in either graph topology. We have

$$\rho_{\pi(A)}(V\psi)^{2} = \langle \pi(A)V\psi, \pi(A)V\psi \rangle$$

$$= \langle \pi(A)(1 \otimes \psi), \pi(A)(1 \otimes \psi) \rangle$$

$$= \langle A \otimes \psi, \pi(A) \otimes \psi \rangle$$

$$= \langle \mathcal{E}(A^{\dagger}A)\psi, \psi \rangle$$

$$\leq \|\mathcal{E}(A^{\dagger}A)\psi\| \cdot \|\psi\|$$

$$\leq \|B\psi\|^{2}$$

$$= \rho_{B}(\psi)^{2}.$$

Where  $B = I + \mathcal{E}(A^{\dagger}A) \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $1 \otimes \psi$  is understood here as an equivalence class. Moreover, we have

$$\langle V\phi, \pi(A)V\psi\rangle = \langle 1\otimes\phi, A\otimes\psi\rangle = \langle \phi, \mathcal{E}(A)\psi\rangle$$

and so  $\mathcal{E}(A) = V^*\pi(A)V$ .

Now suppose that  $\mathcal{E}$  is ultraweakly continuous and that  $\{A_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}$  converges to 0 ultraweakly in  $\mathscr{A}$ . Let  $\{\sum_{k} X_{n,k} \otimes \xi_{n,k}\}_n$  and  $\{\sum_{k} Y_{n,k} \otimes \eta_{n,k}\}_n$  be two square summable sequences in  $\mathcal{D}_{\pi}$ . One has

$$\sum_{n} \langle \pi(A_{\alpha})\xi_{n}, \eta_{n} \rangle = \sum_{n,i,j} \langle \pi(A_{\alpha})X_{n,i} \otimes \xi_{n,i}, Y_{n,j} \otimes \eta_{n,j} \rangle$$
$$= \sum_{n,i,j} \langle A_{\alpha}X_{n,i} \otimes \xi_{n,i}, Y_{n,j} \otimes \eta_{n,j} \rangle$$
$$= \sum_{n,i,j} \langle \psi_{n,i}, \mathcal{E}((A_{\alpha}X_{n,i})^{\dagger}Y_{n,j})\eta_{n,j} \rangle.$$

Where  $\xi_n$  and  $\eta_n$  are the nth terms of the previous defined sequences. It follows by our assumption on the continuity of  $\mathcal{E}$ , the seperate continuity of multiplication, and continuity of the involution that the last line converges to zero, as required.

The previous definitions and Stinespring's Theorem motivates the following definition.

**Definition.** A quantum channel of  $Op^*$ -algebras is a completely positive, ultraweakly continuous linear map  $\mathcal{E}: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are  $Op^*$ -algebras.

Lemmas

Propositions

Theorems