
Model inspection

INFO 4940

Interpreting nonlinear models

- Direct examination of feature weights is generally not useful for neural models
 - To test input importance: **Permutation, ablation, perturbation**
 - To examine the model itself: **linear probes**
-

Permutation, ablation, perturbation

The intuition is that models should respond to changes to their inputs in ways that make sense to an informed observer

- Remove info about an *important* feature -> model performance should go down meaningfully, consistently
 - Remove info about an *unimportant* feature -> model performance is unaffected
 - *Small* changes to input -> small, consistent changes in output
-

Linear probes

Linear probing is a model inspection technique. It has been a key component of BERTology and descendants.

- Extract all or part of a **hidden** layer from a model
 - Use the hidden weights as feature representations
 - Use representations to learn a supervised task with a simpler model
 - If supervised model performs well, then the hidden layer contained a representation of the knowledge required for the task
-

Linear probes

Caveats

- The supervised model stores info about the task
 - The bigger the probe model, the more it stores, hence the less you can say about the hidden representations of the model
 - Compare probe performance to random baseline
 - Large probes have low selectivity
 - In general, use simple probes ([Hewitt and Liang, 2019](#))
 - Just because a model represents info doesn't mean it *uses* that info to perform a task
 - Probes do not establish causation
-

LLM attribution

Explaining what drives the outputs of LLMs is hard, but not impossible. All the principles above apply.

[Captum](#) is a package that simplifies inspection and feature attribution in neural models and LLMs

See especially their [LLM attribution tutorial](#)
