# TODOp

- 1. Find how garrett made charts in his manuscript
- 2. Make charts better or change input data
- 3. Do comparisons of Cl species with F species
  - (a) Garrett seems to have started doing that in lagrangian hi res mass frac.ipynb, but he doesn't include measured Cl data.

#### cfs2canteraPD

- 1. Get injected\_species from netj\_file
- 2. Get conc\_cutoff from netj\_file (inject conc)
- 3. Make it run PFRTP-Garrett and cfs\_post if needed

#### $cfs\_post$

- 1. Make trajectory selection faster
- 2. Make it run PFRTP-Garrett if needed

#### PFRTP-Garrett

- 1. Make interactive widget to choose run conditions
- 2. Fix thing with flame temperature estimate
- 3. Temperatures shouldn't go down then back up

#### Instructions

- 1. Run netj\_generate.ipynb to generate input files from lists of run conditions
- 2. Run cfs\_batchrun.ipynb to send the generated .netj files to CFS and run the simulations
- 3. Run PFRTP-Garrett.ipynb to use Cantera to perform the same simulations.
- 4. Run cfs\_post.ipynb, and select .netj files.
- 5. Run cfs2canteraPD.ipynb to generate path diagrams using Cantera.

## **Problems**

- 1. There are many many .ipynb files in Modeling\_GPD, many of which are near duplicates of each other, scattered across folders. It is difficult to tell which ones are the most up to date and which ones are obsolete.
- 2. Residence Time column from cantera has no duplicate times. CFS will have 3-7 rows of identical times
- 3. Cantera increases time steps constantly, CFS has variable time steps
- 4. Concentrations of non-major species in cantera are around 1e-100, in CFS they are around 1e-15
  - (a) Produces problems for reaction path diagrams because cantera can't tell which is the major species
  - (b) Concentrations are all 0 until injection, then they all instantly increase
    - i. This is probably because the transient solution solves from the injection point and then tries to work backwards to the flame. Injecting at the flame may fix this.
- 5. CF3Or has 15 reactions, CF2s has 74, CF4 15, C2F6 6. C2F6 behavior in path diagrams may be caused by incomplete reactions
  - (a) C2F6 may just not have that many reactions because it is a larger molecule, and the C-C bond is the most likely to break
- 6. Several reactions are "ambiguous" and cantera will use the designated default reaction
  - (a) Default reaction may not be conducive for simulating  ${\rm C2F6}$
- $7.\ \,$  Some reactions are not parsed (they may just not be relevant)

## Notes

- 1. CF4 will never be destroyed at temps below 1200K, 100% DE for temps 1600 C
- 2. Higher values of MAXIT seem to produce more residence time steps

Table 1: Files, Folders, and Descriptions

File	Folder	Description
${\rm flow\_convert.ipynb}$	PFAS_Modeling/	Converts liters per minute to kilograms per second for air and for CH4 using cantera
netj_generate.ipynb	${\rm PFAS\_Modeling}/$	Takes a set of varying run conditions and produces netj files to do all iterations
$cfs\_batchrun.ipynb$	${\it PFAS\_Modeling/}$	Uses CFS batch method to run generated netj files, prompts user for inputs to determine simulation duration
PFRTP-Garrett.ipynb	PFAS_Modeling/Pseudo_PFR	Uses a PFR simulation in Cantera to make similar results as CFS
$cfs\_post.ipynb$	${\it PFAS\_Modeling/}$	Runs CFS in GUI mode to automate post-processing of vtk files to include species concentration
cfs2canteraPD.ipynb	${\it PFAS\_Modeling/}$	Converts vtk to csv data, then produces a path diagram using Cantera for a specified trajectory, residence time, and threshold.
pfr.ipynb	${\rm PFAS\_Modeling}/$	Example PFR that came with Cantera
pfr2.ipynb	PFAS_Modeling/	Example Cantera PFR that includes heat loss
vtk2csv.py	PFAS_Modeling/	Stand alone script for converting a specific vtk to a csv
lagrangian hi res mass frac.ipynb	L:/Lab/AMCD_PFAS_Incineration/Modeling_GPD/ Cantera/PFR DE S Curves/	Makes plots of multiple species DE% vs Temperature
eulerian <injectant>.ipynb</injectant>	L:/Lab/AMCD_PFAS_Incineration/Modeling_GPD/ Cantera/influent concentration/	Make multidimensional color plots for conc. vs Temperature vs DE%. Also absolute and relative yield vs conc.
paths < SR >. ipynb	$\begin{array}{c} L:/Lab/AMCD\_PFAS\_Incineration/Modeling\_GPD/\\ Cantera/paths/ \end{array}$	Makes path diagrams for several species at specified SR
T99.ipynb	$\begin{array}{c} L:/Lab/AMCD\_PFAS\_Incineration/Modeling\_GPD/\\ Cantera/T99/ \end{array}$	Makes T99 plots of multiple species
lagrangian.ipynb	L:/Lab/AMCD_PFAS_Incineration/Modeling_GPD/ Cantera/PFR DE S Curves/archive/	Makes plots of species DE vs Temperature. Plots from this script don't look the same as plots in the doc, so it is probably outdated.

Table 2: Validated Path Diagrams - CFS

Table 2. (anaded I am 2 lagrams et s												
	CF4				CHF3				C2F6			
		Ports				Ports						
	1	4	6	8	1	4	6	8	1	4	6	8
27.5 kW									×			×
45 kW	×	<b>√</b>							×	×		×

Table 3: Validated Path Diagrams - Cantera

		CHF3				C2F6							
	Ports					Ports				Ports			
	1	4	6	8	1	4	6	8	1	4	6	8	
27.5 kW	×	×	×	×					<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	
45 kW	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>					<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	

Table 4: CFS Executed Simulations

	CF4					СН	F3		C2F6				
	Ports				Ports				Ports				
	1	4	6	8	1	4	6	8	1	4	6	8	
27.5 kW		<b>√</b>							<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	
45 kW	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>			<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>		<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	

Table 5: Cantera Executed Simulations

	CF4				CHF3				C2F6				
	Ports					Ports				Ports			
	1	4	6	8	1	4	6	8	1	4	6	8	
27.5 kW	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>					<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	
45 kW	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>					<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	

### Notes from Bill

#### Kaleb:

I have an idea on how to approach an introduction on the comparison of the thermal destruction of F and Cl species. Back on the 1980s, EPA was mostly interested in chlorinated solvents and industrial important chlorinated compounds. Prior to the use of industrial and commercial incinerators, these liquid wastes were commonly dumped into pits or buried in drums. NCSU had their own Superfund site next to Carter-Finley. Ocean dumping was also common. Many of the Superfund sites that were or are still being cleaned up are the result of land disposal of these solvents. Many chlorinated.

EPA developed an Incinerability Index to rank the relative difficulty to thermally destroy a variety of compounds. Over 300 species were evaluated experimentally. I am attaching a report about this. Table D-1 (page 109) lists the species by rank (1-320). Table D-2 (page 115) list these alphabetically. I believe that several fluorinated species are included (but not many). Species were grouped into 7 Classes. Class 1 most difficult to destroy. Class 7 least difficult.

- 1. go through these lists and identify any C1, C2, and possibly C3 F and Cl species and their ranking
- 2. (CCl4 ( $\checkmark$ , 136-140), CHCl3( $\checkmark$ , 195-196), C2Cl6( $\checkmark$ , 202-203), CF4( $\times$ ), CHF3( $\times$ ), C2F6( $\times$ )) listed?
- $3.\,$  other C1, C2, and C3 chloro or fluor ocarbons
- 4. mixed Cl-F species?
- 5. analyze the fraction of chlorinated species, and number of fluorinated species included
- 6. anything you think notable.
- 7. Find incinerability index for species

I'd like to begin the introduction of our Cl/F paper with a discussion of the Incinerability Index, and why we chose to study these 6 compounds. The main reasons are their combinations of different molecular structures and bond types and their available/published kinetics.

As you will see many of the Class 1 species are PAHs with ring structures.

Many of these are chlorinated.

Fluorinated species (PFAS) were not even on the radar back then.

Since they were so stable, it was believed that they were inert.

I see fluoroacetic acid (a close cousin to TFA) is listed 42-44 (tied with 2 other species).

I'm thinking a discussion of these species and bond energies might be a good place to start. Thanks, Bill

Formula	Species Name	Incinerability Index	Formula	Species Name	Incinerability Index	
CNCl	Cyanogen Chloride	17-18	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>6</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	1,3-Dichloropropane	165	
$\mathrm{CH_{3}Cl}$	Chloromethane	29-30	$C_3H_5Cl_3$	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	168-173	
$COCl_2$	Phosgene	39-40	$C_2H_4Cl_2$	1,1-Dichloroethane	175-178	
$\mathrm{C_2H_3ClO_2}$	Methyl Chloroformate	46-50	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ClO	1-Chloro-2,3- epoxypropane	183-186	
$C_2H_2Cl_2$	Dichloroethene	54	CHCl <sub>3</sub> S	Trichloromethanethiol	189-192	
$C_2H_4FNO$	Fluoroacetamide	55-56	$C_2Cl_6$	Hexachloroethane	202-203	
$C_2H_3Cl$	Vinyl Chloride	60-64	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ClO	Chloromethyl Methyl Ether	218,220	
$\mathrm{CH_{2}Cl_{2}}$	Dichloromethane	65-66	$C_2H_4Cl_2O$	bis(Chloromethyl) Ether	222-223	
$C_3H_4Cl_2$	1,2-Dichloropropene	89-91	C <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>6</sub>	Hexachloropropene	234	
$CH_3COCl$	Acetyl Chloride	92-97				
$C_2H_2Cl_4$	Tetrachloroethane	121-125				
$C_2H_5Cl$	Chloroethane	126				
$C_2H_4Cl_2$	Dichloroethane	131				
$C_3H_4ClN$	3-Chloropropionitrile	143-144				
$C_3H_6Cl_2O$	1,3-Dichloropropan-2-ol	145-146				
$CHClF_2$	Chlorodifluoromethane	151-153				
$\mathrm{CHCl_2F}$	Dichlorofluoromethane	154-157				
$C_2HCl_5$	Pentachloroethane	154-157				
$C_2H_3Cl_3$	Trichloroethane	158-161				
$CHCl_3$	Chloroform	158-161				