

# FRB Two Main Papers Notes

Dispersion measure paper, and energy and redshift distributions paper.

## 1 Arcus et al. 2020

### Abstract.

1. use ASKAP and Parkes, assume same underlying population is observed.
2. account for detection probability
3. assume DM- $z$  relation is one-to-one
4. best fit is  $\hat{\alpha} = 2.2$ ,  $\hat{\gamma} = 2.0$  and strong redshift evolution, but if assume  $\hat{\alpha} = 1.5$ , then  $\hat{\gamma} = 1.5$  and no redshift evolution is best fit.
5. no evidence that FRB is faster than linearly w.r.t. star formation rate

### 1.1 Introduction

$\alpha$  is the spectral index, defined such that  $F_\nu \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$

1. e.g. for positive values of  $\alpha$ , amount of energy released by FRBs is less at higher frequencies
2.  $\alpha$  refers to spectral index of population of all FRBs,  $\hat{\alpha}$  refers to sample?

$\gamma$  is the energy power-law index,  $dN/dE \propto E^{-\gamma}$

1. shows how many FRBs (relatively) are at a particular energy
2. differential, not cumulative distribution

### 1.2 FRB Dispersion Measure Distributions

Equation 1:

$$DM_{\text{obs}} = DM_{\text{MW}} + DM_{\text{Halo}} + DM_{\text{IGM}} + DM_{\text{Host}}/(1+z)$$

1. assumed values: 30 pc cm<sup>-3</sup>, 30 pc cm<sup>-3</sup>, depends, and 50 pc cm<sup>-3</sup> respectively.
2. use  $DM_{\text{obs}}$  and assumed values to find  $DM_{\text{IGM}}$ , and then use  $DM_{\text{IGM}}-z$  relation.

$F_0$  is fluence limit at  $DM = 0$

1. 1-5 Jy ms for Parkes
2. 21-31 Jy ms for ASKAP

Equation 2: FRB redshift distribution, in a survey of limiting fluence.

$$\frac{dR_F}{dz}(F_\nu > F_0) = 4\pi D_H^5 \left(\frac{D_M}{D_H}\right)^4 \frac{(1+z)^{\alpha-1}}{E(z)} \psi_n(z) \begin{cases} 0 & F_0 > F_{\text{max}} \\ \frac{(1+z)^{2-\alpha}}{4\pi D_L^2} \left(\frac{F_{\text{max}}^{1-\gamma} - F_0^{1-\gamma}}{F_{\text{max}}^{1-\gamma} - F_{\text{min}}^{1-\gamma}}\right) & F_{\text{min}} \leq F_0 \leq F_{\text{max}} \\ \frac{(1+z)^{2-\alpha}}{4\pi D_L^2} & F_0 < F_{\text{min}} \end{cases}$$

still do not completely understand, but

1.  $\psi_n(z)$  is the event rate per comoving volume

2.  $F^{1-\gamma}$  comes from integrating  $F^{-\gamma}d\gamma$

Equation 3: FRB DM distribution:

$$\frac{dR_F}{dDM} = \frac{dR_F}{dz} / \frac{dDM}{dz}$$

Equation 4: event rate  $\propto$  (star formation rate) $^n$ :

$$\psi_n(x) = K \left( \frac{0.015(1+z)^{2.7}}{1 + ((1+z)/2.9)^{5.6}} \right)^n \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-3}$$

Equation 5:  $\overline{DM}$ - $z$  relation.

$$\overline{DM}(z) = \frac{3H_0c\Omega_b}{8\pi Gm_p} \int_0^z \frac{(1+z') \left[ \frac{3}{4}X_{\text{e,H}}(z') + \frac{1}{8}X_{\text{e,He}}(z') \right]}{\sqrt{(1+z')^3\Omega_m + \Omega_\Lambda}} dz'$$

$\Psi(z)$  is cosmic star formation rate (CSFR). Paper considers  $n = 0, 1, 2$ , where  $\psi(z) \propto (\Psi(z))^n$ .

### 1.3 DM Distribution Properties

Equation 6 is not used, as far as I can tell.

Equation 7: estimate efficiency.

$$\eta(DM, w) = \frac{\eta_0}{\sqrt{c_1 2kDM\nu_r\nu_c^{-3} + c_2 t_r + w}}$$

1. first term in denom is smearing of fluence over frequencies due to dispersion
2. second term is time resolution of instrument
3. third term is intrinsic burst width

Equation 8 averages  $\eta$  over all widths, using a log-normal distribution in  $w$ .

#### 1.3.1 Parameter Fitting

They model the observed FRB DM by computing  $\bar{\eta}(DM) \cdot dR_F/dDM$ , and simulataneously fit the model with ASKAP and Parkes data (on the assumption that they are drawn from same population).

### 1.4 Discussion

Use KS tests to determine final p-values. Broadly, no redshift evolution  $n = 0$  is favored and quadratic redshift evolution  $n = 2$  is disfavored.

$\gamma$  is expected to impact the DM distribution;  $\gamma$  between 2-3 results in excess of FRBs in nearby universe ( $DM \sim 0$ ). This effect is not observed.

Equation 9: expected value of  $DM$ .

$$\langle DM(F_\nu > F_0) \rangle = \int_0^\infty DM' \frac{dR_F}{dDM'} dDM'$$

## 2 Zhang et al. 2020

**Abstract.**

1. energy, redshift distribution
2. Monte Carlo simulations compared with FRB data constrains models
3. two redshift distribution models: star formation history, and merger (with delay distributions)
4.  $-1.8$  power law index for energy confirmed. None of the redshift distributions rejected.

## 2.1 Introduction

Different types of FRB source models.

1. catastrophic (non-repeater): blitzar, star collapse, ...
2. repeaters: magnetars, neutron star interaction, ...

Each source model predicts different redshift distribution.

1. star-related phenomena follow cosmic star formation rate
2. merger-related follow merger redshift distribution

## 2.2 Models

### 2.2.1 Energy Distribution

Equation 1: several groups show FRB distribution is power law:

$$\frac{dN}{dE} \propto \left(\frac{E}{E_c}\right)^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{E}{E_c}}$$

### 2.2.2 Redshift Distributions

Equation 2-4: Intrinsic event rate density distribution  $\frac{dN}{dt dV}(z)$  converts to observed  $\frac{dN}{dt_{\text{obs}} dz}(z)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dN}{dt_{\text{obs}} dz} &= \frac{dN}{dt dV} \cdot \frac{dt}{dt_{\text{obs}}} \cdot \frac{dV}{dz} \\ \frac{dt}{dt_{\text{obs}}} &= \frac{1}{1+z} \\ \frac{dV}{dz} &= \frac{c}{H_0} \cdot \frac{4\pi D_L^2}{(1+z)^2 \sqrt{\Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda}} \end{aligned}$$

### 2.2.3 Star Formation Rate History Model

Equation 5: SFR Model.

$$\frac{dN}{dt dV} \propto \left[ (1+z)^{a\eta} + \left(\frac{1+z}{B}\right)^{b\eta} + \left(\frac{1+z}{C}\right)^{c\eta} \right]^{1/\eta}$$

1.  $a = 3.4, b = -0.3, c = -3.5$
2.  $B \simeq 5000, C \simeq 9, \eta = -10$

### 2.2.4 Compact Star Merger Model

Equation 6-8: different models of merger delay distributions.

$$\begin{aligned} P(\tau) d\tau &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(\tau - \tau_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) d\tau \\ P(\tau) d\ln \tau &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(\ln \tau - \ln \tau_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) d\ln \tau \\ P(\tau) d\tau &= \left( \frac{1-\alpha}{\tau_{\text{max}}^{1-\alpha} - \tau_{\text{min}}^{1-\alpha}} \right) \tau^{-\alpha} d\tau \end{aligned}$$

Respectively:

1. Gaussian,  $\tau_0 = 2$  Gyr,  $\sigma = 0.3$  Gyr
2. log-normal,  $\tau_0 = 2.9$  Gyr,  $\sigma = 0.2$ .
3. power law,  $\tau_{\max}$  and  $\tau_{\min}$  are max/min merger time scale, and  $\alpha_\tau = 0.81$

### 2.2.5 Others

Steps of Monte Carlo:

1. convert simulated SFR redshift convert to lookback time
2. subtract merger delay time
3. convert back to redshift, derive new event rate
4. convert  $dN/(dtdV)$  to  $dN/(dt_{\text{obs}}dz)$

Specific Fluence:

$$\mathcal{F}_\nu = \frac{(1+z)E}{4\pi D_L^2 \nu_c}$$

Paper simulates fluence sensitivity threshold  $\mathcal{F}_{\nu, \text{th}}$

Dispersion Measure:

$$DM_{\text{IGM}}(z) = \frac{3cH_0\Omega_b f_{\text{IGM}}}{8\pi Gm_p} \int_0^z \chi \frac{1+z}{\sqrt{\Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda}} dz$$

$$DM_{\text{E}} = DM_{\text{IGM}}(z) + \frac{DM_{\text{host}}}{1+z} \text{ (extragalactic DM)}$$

## 3 Data and Simulations