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# Abstract

*A comparison of a concurrent implementation of Conway’s Game of Life over a single-threaded implementation. In this report, we aim to utilise goroutines to create a concurrent, multi-threaded Golang program simulating Conway’s Game of Life on an image matrix to achieve a faster implementation over a single-threaded program. We use CPU profiling to analyse the bottlenecks within different implementations of this simulation. Experimental results show that our concurrent implementation produces a speed decrease of 313.5% over our single-threaded implementation.*

# Introduction

Concurrent, parallel computation can allow programs to be made more efficient by splitting and distributing work so that it can be processed simultaneously. Traditional multi-threaded programs rely on the use of system threads to allow for concurrent, parallel computation. These traditional threads have problems due to the fact that data is communicate between threads through the use of shared memory. Utilising shared memory requires the use of features such as semaphores and mutual-exclusion locks (Lee, 2006) which lead to a slow down of processing. This implementation has been written in Golang. Golang is a concurrent, garbage-collected programming language (Golang.org, n.d.).

By writing this implementation in Golang, we are able to use Communicating sequential processes (*CSP*) style programming aiming to achieve more efficient concurrent, parallel computation. Through the use of goroutines and channels instead of traditional threads Golang.org. (n.d.) we utilise CSP style programming to reduce the amount of time our program spends locking and unlocking shared memory. Goroutines operate by being moved around between a set amount of system threads by the scheduler when one thread is blocked by a blocking operation in a goroutine. This method allows for concurrent applications to be built in a functional manner. By creating a concurrent, parallel implementation we aim to provide a performance increase over our single-threaded implementation by reducing the time it takes to process each turn of the Game of Life on an image.

# Functionality and Design

Our implementation first reads in the initial Game of Life board from a PGM image and sends this to a main distributor goroutine. The distributor goroutine is responsible for splitting up the image into *n* parts and communicating it to *n* workers byte-by-byte over a buffered channel, with worker each running as a separate goroutine. These separate workers operate on their part of the image and then communicate the new version of the image back to the distributor (also byte-by-byte over a buffered channel) where it is then reconstructed at the end of each turn.

The image (*w x h*), where *w* is the width *h* is the height, is split into *n* parts. Each part has equal width *pW = w*  and are of equal height, if *n* is a power of 2 where the height of a part is . If *n* is not a power of 2, all parts are of equal height *pH* except part *n* which has height: , allowing the image to processed on any *n* workers where *n* is a multiple of 2.

Alongside this, user control has been implemented through the use of another *control* goroutine. This goroutine receives keypresses using the termbox function PollEvent() (Reinke, 2019). Keypresses are then sent to a key channel. At the end of each turn, the distributor attempts to read from the key channel and if a keypress is present, reacts in the following manner. Upon the letter q being pressed, processing is stopped and a final image is outputted depicting the final turn that has been fully processed. This is done using the writePgmImage function provided in pgm.go in the skeleton code. The world is sent byte-by-byte to the PGM goroutine using a channel. Upon the letter p, processing is paused until p is pressed again. Upon the letter s, an image is outputted depicting the current turn in the same way as the letter q but the program is not stopped.

A *ticker* goroutine has also been implemented which receives commands on different channels. The distributor sends the number of alive cells at the end of each turn on a *numAliveCells* channel which is received by the ticker goroutine. The number of alive cells is printed every 2 seconds through the use of a ticker provided by Golang’s time package (Golang.org, n.d.). Upon receiving a *p* keypress, the printing is paused by sending *true* on the ticker’s pause channel. This is then resumed by sending *false* on the ticker’s pause channel when another *p* keypress is received.

Through the use of channels and sending the image byte-by-byte on each channel, memory sharing is never used and therefore this concurrent implementation is thread safe. The current stage of this implementation solves the problem of executing our program on multiple cores in comparison to the initial single-threaded implementation which only makes use of a single system core.
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