## Weakest Preconditions

## William Schultz

## February 22, 2023

The notion of weakest precondition in program analysis derives from the work of Dijkstra [1]. He introduced the Guarded Command Language as a simple modeling language for program specification e.g.

Dijkstra introduced the Guarded Command Language ( $\mathsf{GCL})$  with the grammar

$$\begin{array}{lll} S &::= & \mathrm{skip} \ | & x := E \ | \ S_1; \ S_2 \\ \\ & | & \mathrm{if} \ B_1 \to S_1 \, [\![ \ B_2 \to S_2 \, [\!] \cdots [\![ \ B_n \to S_n \ \mathrm{fi} \ ]\!] \\ \\ & | & \mathrm{do} \ B_1 \to S_1 \, [\![ \ B_2 \to S_2 \, [\!] \cdots [\![ \ B_n \to S_n \ \mathrm{od} \ ]\!] \end{array}$$

where the  $B_i$  are Boolean expressions. The  $B_i$  are called *guards* because they guard the corresponding statements  $S_i$ . The symbol [] is the *nondeterministic choice operator* and is not to be confused with []. In if and do statements, a clause  $B_i \to S_i$  is said to be *enabled* if its guard  $B_i$  is true.

So, given a program S and a postcondition  $\varphi$ , we define the weakest precondition as the weakest property of the input state that guarantees that S will terminate with the postcondition  $\varphi$ , denoted  $wp(S,\varphi)$ . In the definition of GCL, we can provide definitions of how to compute the weakest precondition for various program statements. For example, for an assignment statement x:=E, we have that

$$wp(x := E, \varphi) \equiv \varphi\{E/x\}$$

where  $\varphi\{E/x\}$  represents the property  $\varphi$  with appearances of x in  $\varphi$  replaced with E. For example,

$$wp(x := x + 1, x = 3) \equiv (x = 3)\{x + 1/x\}$$
  
 $\equiv (x + 1) = 3$   
 $\equiv x = 2$ 

## References

[1] Edsger W. Dijkstra. Guarded commands, nondeterminacy and formal derivation of programs. *Commun. ACM*, 18(8):453–457, aug 1975.