## Database Theory

## William Schultz

November 8, 2022

## Joins

At a high level, database (i.e. SQL) tables can be viewed as n-ary relations (or, more plainly, as "spreadsheets"). For example, consider the following relation P (representing a set of homeowners)

Name	Age	HouseId
Alice	31	6
Bob	32	3
Jane	25	4

and another relation H (representing a set of houses)

We can consider the *cross product* of these two relations  $P \times H$ , which is simply the Cartesian product of all rows (i.e. tuples) in P with all rows in H, giving relation  $P \times H$  as

Name	$\mathbf{Age}$	HouseId	$\operatorname{Id}$	Year
Alice	31	6	6	1904
Alice	31	6	4	1965
Bob	32	3	6	1904
Bob	32	3	4	1965
Jane	25	4	6	1904
Jane	25	4	4	1965

with a total tuple count of  $|P \times H| = |P| \times |H| = 6$ .

On its own, the full cross product of two tables may not be very useful, but a commonly useful operation to apply after doing this cross product is the *join*, which essentially just applies some filter (e.g. predicate) to the tuples that are generated as a result of this cross product operation. If we filter the result  $P \times H$  based on the predicate HouseId == Id, then we say we're "joining" the two tables, P and H, on HouseId == Id, which gives as a result:

Name	$\mathbf{Age}$	HouseId	$\operatorname{Id}$	Year
Alice	31	6	6	1904
Jane	25	4	4	1965

which is basically the set of people in P associated with the house in H they own. More compactly, we typically notate a join on predicate p between two relations A and B as

$$A\bowtie_p B$$

Again, we can think of this as simply a composition of cross product and filtering operations i.e.

$$A \bowtie_p B = \sigma_p(A \times B)$$

where  $\sigma_p$  represents the filtering operation for a given predicate p on tuples.

Note that joins are *commutative* i.e.  $A \bowtie_p B = B \bowtie_p A$ . This can be easily seen from examining the decomposed form of joins in terms of cross products and filtering i.e. since  $A \times B = B \times A$  (if we ignore ordering of columns in the output tuples). Note also that we can view a sequence of joins as a big cross product followed by a filtering operation at the end i.e.

$$(A\bowtie_p B)\bowtie_q C = \sigma_q(\sigma_p(A\times B)\times C) = \sigma_{p\wedge q}(A\times B\times C)$$

Furthermore, joins are associative. This means that we can re-order joins as we please (since they are both associative and commutative), so there may be many different join executing orderings that produce the same final result (TODO: query optimization). That is,

$$(A \bowtie_p B) \bowtie_q C$$

$$A \bowtie_p (B \bowtie_q C)$$

$$A \bowtie_p (C \bowtie_q B)$$

$$(A \bowtie_p C) \bowtie_q B$$

$$(C \bowtie_p A) \bowtie_q B$$

are all equivalent. Some orderings may, however, be much more efficient to execute. More generally, we can think of a particular join ordering as a binary tree, that essentially maps to the syntactic parse tree of the above expressions.