Introduction to Artificial Intelligence Coursework¹

(Submission by groups of two students allowed/welcome) Due date: Thursday 3 March 2016 *Electronic submission (code + this worksheet) on CATE*

1. Introduction

We will be looking at a two player board game called "war of life" which will be played on an 8x8 board. Player 1 will start with a random configuration of 12 blue pieces and player 2 will start with a similar random configuration of 12 red pieces. An example initial configuration might be (where b stands for "blue piece" and r stands for "red piece"):

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1								r
2		r						
3				b	b		r	b
4	b	b				r		
5		b	r	b		b	b	
6		b				r		
7			b		b	r	r	r
8			r				r	r

We call the board places where pieces can be placed *cells* (there are 64 cells on an 8x8 board). In the game, player 1 goes first and moves one of his/her pieces. A piece can be moved to one of its neighbour cells (vertically, horizontally or diagonally) as long as no other piece is occupying the cell to be moved to. So, for example, the blue piece at (3, 8) can move to (2,7), (2,8) or (4,7) or (4,8), but not (3,7) because there is a red piece there already. We say that a piece is *surrounded by* the pieces in neighbouring cells.

There is a twist: after each player moves, "life" on the board "evolves" according to the following rules (referred to as Conway's Crank):

For each of the (64) cells C on the board:

- If C contains a piece and the piece is surrounded by 0 or 1 other pieces, then the piece dies of loneliness and is taken away (i.e., the cell becomes empty).
- If C contains a piece and the piece is surrounded by 4, 5, 6, 7 or 8 pieces, then the piece dies of overcrowding and is taken away.
- (If C contains a piece and the piece is surrounded by 2 or 3 pieces, then it is happy and survives.)
- If C is empty and C is surrounded by
 - o 2 blue pieces and 1 red piece, or
 - o 3 blue pieces,

then a blue life is born and C is filled with a blue piece.

- If C is empty and C is surrounded by
 - o 2 red pieces and 1 blue piece, or
 - o 3 red pieces,

then a red life is born and C is filled with a red piece.

¹ Thanks to Simon Colton

The game terminates as follows:

- If at some stage no (red or blue) pieces at all are left on the board, then the game is *drawn*.
- If, when it is his/her turn, a player cannot move anywhere, then the game is declared a *stalemate* and is *drawn*.
- If one player has no pieces left on the board, then that player *loses* and the other player wins.
- If the game lasts for 250 moves without a winner, then it is declared an exhausted draw.

Part 1 – Getting to know the Game

Question 1

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Ъ							٢
2		٢				Ъ		٢
3				b	b		r	b
4	b	b				r		
5			r				b	
6		b				r		
7	b		b		b	r	r	r
8			r				r	

Draw the board state after a turn of Conway's Crank, given the left board.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1							r	
2					b	b		r
3	b	b	b		b			b
4		b	b	b	b	r		b
5	b		r			r	b	
6		b	b	b	r			r
7			b	b	b			r
8		b		b			r	r

Download the Prolog program war of life.pl from CATE.

This provides a set of predicates for playing the game:

Top Level Predicates in File

start config(+Configuration, -InitialBoardState)

This returns an initial randomised board state with 12 pieces for each player on an 8x8 board.

draw board(+BoardState)

Given a board state in the format described below, this predicate will present it on screen.

next generation(+BoardState, -NextGenerationBoardState)

This performs a Conway Crank and produces the next generation board state.

```
play(+Showboard, +FirstPlayerStrategy, +SecondPlayerStrategy,
-NumberOfMoves, -WinningPlayer)
```

This will play a game given the strategy of player 1 and the strategy of player 2. The +Showboard variable is either set to verbose, in which case it will print out the board states as the game progresses, or quiet, in which case it just returns an answer, namely the NumberOfMoves in the completed game and the colour of the WinningPlayer.

Board states are represented in the program as pairs of lists, where the first list contains the co-ordinates of all the alive blue pieces and the second list contains the co-ordinates of all the alive red pieces. For example, this is a simple board state with two alive blues and one alive red:

Question 2

In the box below, write down the Prolog representation for the initial board state given in question 1.

[[[1,1], [2,6], [3,4], [3,5], [3,8], [4,1], [4,2], [5,7], [6,2], [7,1], [7,3], [7,5]], [[1,8], [2,2], [2,8], [3,7], [4,6], [5,3], [6,6], [7,6], [7,7], [7,8], [8,3], [8,7]]]

Use this representation, and the predicate

next generation(+BoardState, -NextGenerationBoardState)

to check whether your answer for question 1 was correct. If it is, then run a further two generations, and put the resulting board states in the tables below:

3rd Generation:

	1	2	ന	4	5	6	7	∞
1						b	r	
2		Ъ		Ъ	Ъ	Ъ		۲
ന	Ъ							Д
4								b
5	b							b
6								r
7						٢		۲
8				b	b		r	r

4th Generation

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1						b	r	
2					b	b		r
3					b			b
4							b	b
5							b	b
6								r
7					b	r		r
8					b	r	r	r

Question 3

In a Prolog shell, load the file war of life.pl and run this query:

play(verbose, random, random, NumMoves, WinningPlayer).

This will play a game of war of life. Each player will randomly move a piece until the game is won or drawn. The predicate records how many moves there were in the game and who won. Run this a few times to get a feel for what it does and how the games progress when players choose randomly.

Now open a new file called my_wol.pl. In the file, write a (Sicstus) Prolog program to act as a wrapper for the play/5 predicate. In particular, you should write a predicate called test_strategy/3 which takes three inputs: the number of games, N, to play, the strategy for player 1 and the strategy for player 2. When run, the predicate will play the war of life game N times and tell you (print to screen) how many draws and how many wins for each player there have been, the longest, shortest, and average moves in a game, and the average time taken to play a game. Use the test_strategy/3 predicate to run the game 1000 times, with both players moving pieces randomly. Record the results in this box:

Number of draws	51
Number of wins for player 1 (blue)	474
Number of wins for player 2 (red)	475
Longest (non-exhaustive) game	50 moves
Shortest game	2 moves
Average game length (including exhaustives)	11.63 moves
Average game time	9.59 sec

Ouestion 4

Does it look like there is any advantage to playing first if both players choose moves randomly? Answer in the space below.

No, because the number of wins is very similar for both players.

Part 2 – Implementing Strategies

In this part, we will be implementing search strategies in order to improve a war of life playing agent's performance. They already have one strategy: random, which chooses any piece randomly and moves it randomly. The question is: can we implement any strategy which out-performs this one?

We will look at four strategies:

Bloodlust:

This strategy chooses the next move for a player to be the one which (after Conway's crank) produces the board state with the fewest number of opponent's pieces on the board (ignoring the player's own pieces).

Self Preservation:

This strategy chooses the next move for a player to be the one which (after Conway's crank) produces the board state with the largest number of that player's pieces on the board (ignoring the opponent's pieces).

Land Grab:

This strategy chooses the next move for a player to be the one which (after Conway's crank) produces the board state which maximises this function: Number of Player's pieces – Number of Opponent's pieces.

Minimax:

This strategy looks two-ply ahead using the heuristic measure described in the Land Grab strategy. It should follow the minimax principle and take into account the opponent's move after the one being chosen for the current player.

In your file my wol.pl, write five predicates (use Sicstus Prolog):

```
bloodlust(+PlayerColour, +CurrentBoardState, -NewBoardState, -Move).
self_preservation(+PlayerColour, +CurrentBoardState, -NewBoardState, -Move).
land_grab(+PlayerColour, +CurrentBoardState, -NewBoardState, -Move).
minimax(+PlayerColour, +CurrentBoardState, -NewBoardState, -Move).
```

These predicates will implement the four strategies described above by choosing the next move for a player. They will all do the same thing: choose the next move for the player. PlayerColour will either be the constant b for blue or r for red. The CurrentBoardState will be the state of the board upon which the move choice is going to be made. The predicate will produce a NewBoardState, in the usual representation (pair of lists) which will represent the board state after the move, but <u>before</u> Conway's crank is turned. The predicate will also return the Move that changed the current to the new board state. This will be represented as a list [r1,c1,r2,c2] where the move chosen is to move the piece at co-ordinate (r1, c1) to the <u>empty</u> cell at co-ordinate (r2, c2).

It should be possible to run these strategies in the same way as the random strategy, using the constants bloodlust, self_preservation, land_grab, minimax. For example, if you load war of life.pl and my wol.pl into Prolog, then type the query:

```
play (verbose, bloodlust, land grab, NumberOfMoves, WinningPlayer).
```

this will play a game in which player 1 uses the bloodlust strategy and player 2 uses the land_grab strategy. Check that this is working OK by running a few games with different strategy pairings.

Part 3 – A Tournament

Question 5

We want to know which, if any, strategy is the best for playing this game, and we'll do this by using a tournament. Play as many games as time will allow for each pairing of strategies, and fill in the table over the page.

There seems to be an issue with my implementation. When a strategy other than random is used by the FirstPlayer, the move picked by the strategy chooses the best move according to the instructions but in trying to generate a new board after that move is made and before the crank. The new mark is made but the old mark is not deleted and this negatively effects the results. I can't seem to find where this error occurs in the code. I have answered the rest of the questions based on the results I have with this faulty implementation.

Question 6

If both players have the same strategy, for which strategies does it appear that playing first is an advantage/disadvantage? Answer in the space below:

It seems as though playing first is an advantage regardless of the strategy used.

Question 7

Imagine playing football in a gale force wind and where the pitch is extremely muddy. Here, it is hardly worth the players kicking the ball, because the environment plays too big a factor in the game. What evidence do you have against the claim that the environment plays a bigger part than the movement of pieces in the war of life game? The environment here is Conway's Crank, which is beyond the control of the players. Answer in the space below:

The table below shows that using certain strategies for making moves other than making random choices significantly increases the possibility of winning. If the environment played a bigger part than movement of pieces, these varying strategies would have little to no effect on the outcome when compared to the outcome of random plays but this is not so.

Submitting Your Work

Electronic submission: submit

1) Your code my_wol.pl (written in Sicstus Prolog and executable on the lab machines). Please do not include or load (or ensure_loaded) war_of_life.pl in my_wol.pl! (Yes, this means my_wol.pl will not work on its own, but this is crucial for the autotesting) Furthermore, use play(quiet,...) rather than play(verbose,...) and don't include any write-statements other than the necessary ones in test strategy (like no. of draws, average time, ...).

2) A file worksheet.pdf (your completed version of this worksheet).

P1 strategy	P2 strategy	Games	P1	P2	Draws	Av.	Av.
		Played	wins	wins		Game	Game
						Length	Time
						(moves)	(seconds)
Random	Random	1000	477	473	50	11.6	9.3
Random	Bloodlust	1000	189	750	61	7.2	223.8
Random	Self Preservation	1000	99	878	23	14.5	422.7
Random	Land Grab	1000	70	918	12	10.8	359.9
Random	Minimax						
Bloodlust	Random	1000	975	0	25	1.3	1.4
Bloodlust	Bloodlust	1000	983	0	17	1.3	1.5
Bloodlust	Self Preservation	1000	978	0	22	1.3	1.6
Bloodlust	Land Grab	1000	979	0	21	1.3	1.6
Bloodlust	Minimax						
Self Preservation	Random	1000	975	0	25	1.3	1.5
Self Preservation	Bloodlust	1000	979	0	21	1.2	1.5
Self Preservation	Self Preservation	1000	973	0	27	1.2	1.6
Self Preservation	Land Grab	1000	984	0	16	1.3	1.6
Self Preservation	Minimax						
Land Grab	Random	1000	979	0	21	1.2	1.4
Land Grab	Bloodlust	1000	979	0	21	1.3	1.6
Land Grab	Self Preservation	1000	981	0	19	1.2	1.5
Land Grab	Land Grab	1000	977	0	23	1.3	1.6
Land Grab	Minimax						
Minimax	Random	1000	978	0	22	1.3	1.5
Minimax	Bloodlust	1000	977	0	23	1.3	1.6
Minimax	Self Preservation	1000	977	0	23	1.3	1.6
Minimax	Land Grab	1000	978	0	22	1.2	1.5
Minimax	Minimax						