Properties of integrals

In this section, we prove the four basic properties of the integral that we shall need.

Theorem. (Properties of the integral)

(1) (Linearity property.) If f and g are integrable on [a,b], then so is cf + dg (here c and d are constants), and furthermore

$$\int_a^b (cf+dg) = c \int_a^b f + d \int_a^b g.$$

(2) (Additivity property.) Suppose f is defined on [a,c] and a < b < c. Then

$$\int_{a}^{c} f = \int_{a}^{b} f + \int_{b}^{c} f;$$

the two integrals on the right exist if and only if the integral on the left exists.

(3) (Comparison property.) If $f(x) \le g(x)$ for all x in [a,b], then

$$\int_{a}^{b} f \leq \int_{a}^{b} g,$$

provided both integrals exist.

(4) (Reflection property.) If f is integrable on [a,b], then f(-x) is integrable on [-b,-a], and

$$\int_{-b}^{-a} f(-x) dx = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx.$$

We use the first three of these properties repeatedly. Property (4) is used only in deriving the formula for $\int_a^b x^p dx$. Let us note that once we make the convention that

$$\int_{a}^{a} f = 0 \quad \text{and that} \quad \int_{b}^{a} f = -\int_{a}^{b} f \quad \text{if} \quad a < b,$$

then the formula

$$\int_{a}^{C} f = \int_{a}^{b} f + \int_{b}^{C} f$$

holds without regard to the requirement that a < b < c. The proof is left as an exercise.

<u>Proof.</u> First, one verifies these properties for step functions.

This is quite straightforward. Property (3) has already been proved; properties (1) and (2) will be assigned as exercise; and property (4) is proved as follows:

Let s be a step function on [a,b] relative to the partition x_0, \dots, x_n . Let $s(x) = s_k$ for x in (x_{k-1}, x_k) . The function

$$u(x) = s(-x)$$

is then a step function relative to the partition $-x_{k}, \dots, -x_{1}, -x_{0} \quad \text{of the interval } [-b, -a]. \quad \text{Indeed, if } x \text{ is in the interval } (-x_{k}, -x_{k-1}), \quad \text{then } -x \text{ is in the interval} \\ (x_{k-1}, x_{k}), \quad \text{so that}$

$$u(x) = s(-x) = s_k$$
.

Then by definition,

$$\int_{-b}^{-a} u(x) dx = \sum_{k=n}^{1} s_{k} \cdot ((-x_{k-1}) - (-x_{k})).$$

But

$$\int_{a}^{b} s(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{k} \cdot (x_{k} - x_{k-1});$$

and these two expressions are equal. Thus (4) holds for step functions.

Step 2. We first prove property (1) in the case where $\, \, c \, \,$ and $\, d \, \,$ are non-negative. Suppose that $\, f \, \,$ and $\, g \, \,$ are integrable on [a,b]. Choose step functions $\, s_i \, \,$ and $\, t_i \, \,$ such that

$$s_1 \leqslant f \leqslant t_1$$
 and $s_2 \leqslant g \leqslant t_2$

and

$$\int_a^b t_1 - \int_a^b s_1 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(c+1)} \qquad \text{and} \quad \int_a^b t_2 - \int_a^b s_2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(d+1)}.$$

Then let $s = cs_1 + ds_2$ and let $t = ct_1 + dt_2$. Now s and t are step functions, and (since c and d are non-negative)

$$s \leq cf + dg \leq t$$
.

Furthermore, by property (1) for step functions,

$$\int_{a}^{b} t - \int_{a}^{b} s = \left[c \int_{a}^{b} t_{1} + d \int_{a}^{b} t_{2} \right] - \left[c \int_{a}^{b} s_{1} + d \int_{a}^{b} s_{2} \right]$$

$$= c \left[\int_{a}^{b} t_{1} - \int_{a}^{b} s_{1} \right] + d \left[\int_{a}^{b} t_{2} - \int_{a}^{b} s_{2} \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{c \varepsilon}{2(c+1)} + \frac{d \varepsilon}{2(d+1)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Hence the integral of cf + dg exists by the Riemann condition.

Now, by definition of the integral, we have

$$\int_a^b s_1 \le \int_a^b f \le \int_a^b t_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_a^b s_2 \le \int_a^b g \le \int_a^b t_2.$$

We multiply the first set of inequalities by c, and the second by d, and add, obtaining the inequalities:

$$\int_{a}^{b} s = c \int_{a}^{b} s_{1} + d \int_{a}^{b} s_{2} \leq c \int_{a}^{b} f + d \int_{a}^{b} g \leq c \int_{a}^{b} t_{1} + d \int_{a}^{b} t_{2} = \int_{a}^{b} t.$$

Here we use property (1) for step functions again. Since the expression in the box lies between the integrals of s and t, by the Riemann condition it must equal the integral of cf + dg.

Step 3. To complete the proof of property (1), it suffices to show that

$$\int_a^b (-f) = - \int_a^b f.$$

This is easy. Given $\[\[\] > 0 \]$, choose step functions s and t such that s $\[\] \le f \le t$ on [a,b], and

$$\int_a^b t - \int_a^b s < \epsilon .$$

Then -s and -t are step functions on [a,b], and $-t \le -f \le -s$ on [a,b]. Furthermore,

$$\left[\int_{a}^{b} -s\right] - \left[\int_{a}^{b} -t\right] = -\int_{a}^{b} s + \int_{a}^{b} t < \epsilon.$$

Here we use property (1) for step functions. Thus the integral of -f exists, by the Riemann condition.

Now by definition of the integral

$$\int_a^b s \le \int_a^b f \le \int_a^b t.$$

Multiplying these inequalities by -1, we conclude that

$$\int_a^b (-t) = -\int_a^b t \le \left[-\int_a^b f \right] \le -\int_a^b s = \int_a^b (-s).$$

Here we use property (1) for step functions, again. Since the expression in the box lies between the integrals of -t and -s, by the Riemann condition it must equal the integral of -f.

Step 4. Now we prove property (2). We consider first the "existence" part of the statement. Suppose the integrals

$$\int_{a}^{b} f \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{b}^{c} f$$

exist. Choose step functions s_1 and t_1 with $s_1 \leqslant f \leqslant t_1$ on [a,b], and choose step functions s_2 and t_2 with $s_2 \leqslant f \leqslant t_2$ on [b,c], such that

$$\int_a^b t_1 - \int_a^b s_1 < \varepsilon/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_b^c t_2 - \int_b^c s_2 < \varepsilon/2.$$

The values of these functions at the partition points do not matter, so we can assume that t_1 and t_2 are equal at c, and s_1 and s_2 are equal at c. Then t_1 and t_2 combine to define a step function t such that $f \le t$ on [a,c], and s_1 and s_2 combine to form a step function s such that $s \le t$ on [a,c]. Furthermore, using property (2) for step functions,

$$\int_{a}^{C} t - \int_{a}^{C} s = \left(\int_{a}^{b} t + \int_{b}^{C} t \right) - \left(\int_{a}^{b} s + \int_{b}^{C} s \right)$$

$$= \left(\int_a^b t_1 + \int_b^c t_2 \right) - \left(\int_a^b s_1 + \int_b^c s_2 \right)$$

(by the way s and t were constructed)

$$<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$
.

Hence $\int_a^C f$ exists, by the Riemann condition. Conversely, suppose $\int_a^C f$ exists. Then given $\epsilon>0$, we can choose step functions s and t with $s\leqslant f\leqslant t$ on [a,c], such that

$$\int_{a}^{c} t - \int_{a}^{c} s < \epsilon.$$

Let s_1 and t_1 be the restrictions of s and t, respectively, to [a,b], and let s_2 and t_2 be their restrictions to [b,c]. As before, using property (2) for step fucntions, we have

or
$$\left(\int_a^b t_1 + \int_b^c t_2 \right) - \left(\int_a^b s_1 + \int_b^c s_2 \right) < \varepsilon,$$

$$\left(\int_a^b t_1 - \int_a^b s_1 \right) + \left(\int_b^c t_2 - \int_b^c s_2 \right) < \varepsilon.$$

Since each expression in parentheses is nonnegative, each is less than ϵ . Hence $\int_a^b f$ and $\int_b^c f$ exist.

Now in either of these cases, we have

$$\int_{a}^{b} s_{1} \leq \int_{a}^{b} f \leq \int_{a}^{b} t_{1} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{b}^{c} s_{2} \leq \int_{b}^{c} f \leq \int_{b}^{c} t_{2},$$

by definition. Adding, we obtain

$$\int_{a}^{c} s = \int_{a}^{b} s_{1} + \int_{b}^{c} s_{2} \leq \left[\int_{a}^{b} f + \int_{b}^{c} f \right] \leq \int_{a}^{b} t_{1} + \int_{b}^{c} t_{2} = \int_{a}^{c} t.$$

Since the expression in the box lies between $\int_a^C s$ and $\int_a^C t$, the Riemann condition implies that it equals $\int_a^C f$.

Step 5. We prove the comparison property (3).

Consider the set of all step functions s such that $s \le f$ on [a,b]; also consider the set of all step functions t such that $g \le t$ on [a,b]. Because $f \le g$ on [a,b], we conclude that $s \le t$ on [a,b], whence

$$\int_{a}^{b} s \leq \int_{a}^{b} t,$$

because (3) holds for step functions. Holding t fixed and
letting s vary, we conclude that

$$\sup \left\{ \int_a^b s \right\} \leq \int_a^b t,$$

for any fixed $t \ge g$. Now letting t vary, we see that

$$\sup \left\{ \int_a^b s \right\} \leq \inf \left\{ \int_a^b t \right\}.$$

That is,

$$\underline{I}(f) \leq \overline{I}(g)$$
.

Since both f and g are integrable, we have $\underline{I}(f) = \int_a^b f$ and $\overline{I}(g) = \int_a^b g$, so our result is proved.

Step 6. Finally, we prove the reflection property (4).

Given $\epsilon > 0$, choose step functions s and t so that $s \le f \le t$ on [a,b] and $\int_a^b t - \int_a^b s < \epsilon$. Then s(-x) and t(-x) are step functions on [-b,-a], and

$$s(-x) \leq f(-x) \leq t(-x)$$

on [-b,-a]. Now

$$\int_{-b}^{-a} t(-x) - \int_{-b}^{-a} s(-x) = \int_{a}^{b} t - \int_{a}^{b} s < \epsilon;$$

here we use the fact that (4) holds for step functions. Thus

$$\int_{-b}^{-a} f(-x)$$

exists., by the Riemann condition. Using (4) for step functions again,

$$\int_a^b s = \int_{-b}^{-a} s(-x) \leq \left[\int_{-b}^{-a} f(-x) \right] \leq \int_{-b}^{-a} t(-x) = \int_a^b t.$$

Since the expression in the box lies between the integrals of s and t, it must by the Riemann condition equal the integral of f.

Exercises

- 1. Prove property (1) for step functions. [Hint: If s and t are step functions, the first thing to do is to choose a partition P that is compatible with both s and t. Then show cs + dt is a step function compatible with P.]
- 2. Prove property (2) for step functions. [Hint: If P_1 is a partition of [a,b] and P_2 is a partition of [b,c], then $P_1 \cup P_2$ is a partition of [a,c].]
- 3. We know (2) holds if a < b < c. Show that with our convention, it holds in all cases:

$$a = b$$
, $a < c < b$, $c < a < b$, $a = c$, $b < a < c$, $c < b < a$. $b = c$, $c < b < c$

Let $x_0 < \cdots < x_n$ be a partition of [a,b]. Let s be a step function on [a,b] such that $s(x) = s_k$ for $x_{k-1} < x < x_k$. Let h be an increasing function on [a,b]. Suppose we define

$$\int_{a}^{b} s \, dh = \sum_{k=1}^{n} s_{k} \cdot (h(x_{k}) - h(x_{k-1})).$$

- (a) Show that this integral is well-defined.
- (b) Show that this integral satisfies the linearity, additivity, and comparison properties. You need to use the fact that h is increasing in order to prove one of these properties; which one?

[This definition is actually an important one in mathematics. It leads to a generalization of the integral called the Riemann-Stieltjes integral; one defines $\int_a^b f dh$ by using upper and lower integrals, just as before. This integral is important in probability theory.]

MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

18.014 Calculus with Theory Fall 2010

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.