

Adnane El Bouhali, Saba Shahsavari, William Liaw

Visualizing Olympic Migration and Medal Trends: A Geopolitical Perspective

Report submitted for the Data Visualization course (CSC_51052) as part of the Master Data AI at Institut Polytechnique de Paris.

Palaiseau

2024

Adnane El Bouhali, Saba Shahsavari, William Liaw

Visualizing Olympic Migration and Medal Trends: A Geopolitical Perspective

Report submitted for the Data Visualization course (CSC_51052) as part of the Master Data AI at Institut Polytechnique de Paris.

Advisor: Emmanuel Pietriga

Palaiseau

2024

ABSTRACT

This report presents a data visualization project focused on analyzing athlete migration, geopolitical influences, and Olympic medal performance during the Olympic Games from 1986 to 2018. Using a combination of datasets, including Olympic medal counts, population data, and geopolitical events, we explore key trends such as migration patterns of athletes, medals per capita, and temporal medal accumulation across countries. The visualizations are designed to reveal the relationship between global political events and athletic representation, as well as how countries perform in relation to their population size. Interactive visualizations, including flow maps, choropleth maps, and line charts, were implemented using D3.js to offer a comprehensive analysis of the data. The project underscores the value of visualizing complex, multi-dimensional datasets to derive meaningful insights into historical and geopolitical factors affecting Olympic performance.

Keywords: Olympic Games, athlete migration, geopolitical impact, medals per capita, data visualization, D3.js, temporal trends, choropleth maps, interactive visualizations.

RÉSUMÉ

Ce rapport présente un projet de visualisation de données axé sur l'analyse des migrations d'athlètes, des influences géopolitiques et de la performance des médailles aux Jeux Olympiques de 1986 à 2018. En utilisant une combinaison de jeux de données, incluant les comptes des médailles olympiques, les données de population et les événements géopolitiques, nous explorons les principales tendances telles que les migrations d'athlètes, le nombre de médailles par habitant et l'accumulation temporelle de médailles par pays. Les visualisations sont conçues pour mettre en lumière les relations entre les événements politiques mondiaux et la représentation athlétique, ainsi que les performances des pays en fonction de leur taille démographique. Des visualisations interactives, incluant des flux migratoires, des cartes choroplèthes et des graphiques linéaires, ont été implémentées à l'aide de D3.js afin d'offrir une analyse complète des données. Ce projet souligne l'importance de la visualisation de jeux de données complexes et multidimensionnels pour extraire des informations significatives sur les facteurs historiques et géopolitiques influençant la performance olympique.

Palavras-chave: Jeux Olympiques, migration des athlètes, impact géopolitique, médailles par habitant, visualisation de données, D3.js, tendances temporelles, cartes choroplèthes, visualisations interactives.

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES

1	System's output for all scenarios.	3
2	System's output variance for all scenarios.	4
3	Control policy for scenario A.	4
4	Control policy for scenario B.	5
5	System's output for all scenarios.	7
6	System's output variance for all scenarios.	8
7	Control policy for scenario A.	8
8	Control policy for scenario B.	9

LIST OF SYMBOLS

$\Delta(h)$ Assinatura diádica

CONTENTS

1	Introduction	1
2	Dataset overview	1
3	Methodology	1
4	Results	1
5	Conclusion	1
	References	2
	Appendix A – Numerical data of simulations	3
	Annex A – Numerical data of simulations	7

1 INTRODUCTION

Here you should give the context, justifications...

Do yourself a favor and follow the structure guidelines in the file `Research structure guidelines.md`. It should make your life easier.

In this template I will leave examples on how to cite, reference chapters, tables, figures, use math symbols along the text, write equations, label them for further referencing, use cases in equations, write tables, include figures, use special math formatting and symbols, use proof environments for theorems, matrix environments, etc. Remember to build the main file `thesis_main.tex` to visualize the updated pdf.

Example of how to reference a chapter: This dissertation is structured in several chapters. In Chapter 2, we present a detailed review of the literature, highlighting key contributions in the field. For example, the work by Doe and Smith [Doe and Smith, 0000] provides a foundational analysis on this topic, which serves as a basis for the methodology discussed in Chapter 3.

2 DATASET OVERVIEW

3 METHODOLOGY

Introduction here...

4 RESULTS

5 CONCLUSION

In this work we have considered ...

REFERENCES

[Doe and Smith, 0000] Doe, J. and Smith, J. (0000). Title of the article. *Journal Name*, 00(0):000–000. Optional note, e.g., "Preprint" or "In Press".

APPENDIX A – NUMERICAL DATA OF SIMULATIONS

Example of long tables that cross pages.

Table 1: System's output for all scenarios.

Time	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Scenario 4
1	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.0
2	2.4	1.9	1.6	1.0
3	3.7	2.8	2.1	1.1
4	5.2	3.7	2.7	1.2
5	6.7	4.7	3.4	1.2
6	8.2	5.7	4.0	1.3
7	9.7	6.7	4.6	1.3
8	11.1	7.6	5.2	1.4
9	12.4	8.4	5.7	1.4
10	13.6	9.2	6.2	1.4
11	14.7	9.9	6.7	1.5
12	15.7	10.6	7.1	1.5
13	16.7	11.2	7.5	1.5
14	17.5	11.7	7.8	1.5
15	18.3	12.2	8.1	1.6
16	18.9	12.7	8.4	1.6
17	19.5	13.1	8.6	1.6
18	20.0	13.4	8.9	1.6
19	20.5	13.7	9.0	1.6
20	20.9	13.9	9.2	1.6

Source: Author.

Table 2: System's output variance for all scenarios.

Time	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Scenario 4
1	0.86	0.37	0.15	0.0007
2	3.54	1.50	0.60	0.003
3	6.19	2.62	1.05	0.005
4	8.25	3.49	1.40	0.007
5	9.58	4.05	1.62	0.008
6	10.21	4.32	1.73	0.009
7	10.30	4.36	1.74	0.009
8	9.97	4.22	1.69	0.008
9	9.37	3.96	1.58	0.008
10	8.58	3.63	1.45	0.007
11	7.70	3.25	1.30	0.007
12	6.78	2.87	1.15	0.006
13	5.87	2.48	0.99	0.005
14	5.01	2.12	0.85	0.004
15	4.20	1.78	0.71	0.004
16	3.48	1.47	0.59	0.003
17	2.84	1.20	0.48	0.002
18	2.28	0.97	0.39	0.002
19	1.81	0.77	0.31	0.002
20	1.42	0.60	0.24	0.001

Source: Author.

Table 3: Control policy for scenario A.

Time	CDI	EMBR3	ITUB4	PETR4	VALE5
0	-137.9	-24.9	87.1	9.8	66.9
1	-138.7	-21.8	88.5	15.5	57.8
2	-136.0	-20.0	87.3	17.4	53.6
3	-126.9	-18.2	82.3	17.4	49.1
4	-116.2	-16.5	76.4	17.0	44.5

Continued on next page

Time	CDI	EMBR3	ITUB4	PETR4	VALE5
5	-109.6	-15.5	72.9	16.3	42.6
6	-100.9	-14.3	68.3	15.6	39.6
7	-94.8	-13.3	65.1	14.8	37.8
8	-87.0	-12.0	60.9	14.2	35.0
9	-73.2	-11.0	53.5	12.5	30.6
10	-65.9	-10.0	49.4	11.5	28.5
11	-51.4	-9.2	41.5	9.6	24.2
12	-43.2	-8.4	37.0	8.3	22.0
13	-37.8	-7.2	34.1	7.9	19.7
14	-29.9	-6.4	29.7	6.9	17.2
15	-23.2	-5.4	25.9	6.1	14.8
16	-16.6	-4.6	22.2	5.2	12.8
17	-12.7	-3.9	20.0	4.7	11.4
18	-7.0	-3.3	16.8	3.9	9.7
19	-1.6	-2.7	13.7	3.3	7.7

Source: Author.

Table 4: Control policy for scenario B.

Time	CDI	EMBR3	ITUB4	PETR4	VALE5
0	-89.3	-16.2	56.6	6.4	43.5
1	-89.3	-14.0	57.2	10.2	37.1
2	-85.8	-12.9	55.4	11.0	34.2
3	-80.5	-11.8	52.6	11.1	31.5
4	-76.7	-11.1	50.5	11.0	30.0
5	-74.3	-10.3	49.4	11.0	28.9
6	-63.1	-9.4	43.2	9.7	25.4
7	-57.4	-8.6	40.2	9.2	23.3
8	-50.3	-7.9	36.3	8.4	21.1
9	-45.8	-7.2	33.9	7.9	19.5
10	-38.0	-6.6	29.6	6.7	17.4
11	-35.3	-5.8	28.2	6.6	16.3

Continued on next page

Time	CDI	EMBR3	ITUB4	PETR4	VALE5
12	-29.3	-5.3	25.0	5.8	14.4
13	-24.1	-4.6	22.0	5.2	12.7
14	-19.0	-4.2	19.2	4.3	11.4
15	-14.9	-3.6	17.0	3.9	9.9
16	-10.5	-3.1	14.4	3.3	8.5
17	-7.1	-2.6	12.6	3.0	7.2
18	-4.4	-2.1	11.0	2.6	6.2
19	-0.3	-1.7	8.7	2.1	4.9

Source: Author.

ANNEX A – NUMERICAL DATA OF SIMULATIONS

Example of long tables that cross pages.

Table 5: System's output for all scenarios.

Time	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Scenario 4
1	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.0
2	2.4	1.9	1.6	1.0
3	3.7	2.8	2.1	1.1
4	5.2	3.7	2.7	1.2
5	6.7	4.7	3.4	1.2
6	8.2	5.7	4.0	1.3
7	9.7	6.7	4.6	1.3
8	11.1	7.6	5.2	1.4
9	12.4	8.4	5.7	1.4
10	13.6	9.2	6.2	1.4
11	14.7	9.9	6.7	1.5
12	15.7	10.6	7.1	1.5
13	16.7	11.2	7.5	1.5
14	17.5	11.7	7.8	1.5
15	18.3	12.2	8.1	1.6
16	18.9	12.7	8.4	1.6
17	19.5	13.1	8.6	1.6
18	20.0	13.4	8.9	1.6
19	20.5	13.7	9.0	1.6
20	20.9	13.9	9.2	1.6

Source: Author.

Table 6: System's output variance for all scenarios.

Time	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Scenario 4
1	0.86	0.37	0.15	0.0007
2	3.54	1.50	0.60	0.003
3	6.19	2.62	1.05	0.005
4	8.25	3.49	1.40	0.007
5	9.58	4.05	1.62	0.008
6	10.21	4.32	1.73	0.009
7	10.30	4.36	1.74	0.009
8	9.97	4.22	1.69	0.008
9	9.37	3.96	1.58	0.008
10	8.58	3.63	1.45	0.007
11	7.70	3.25	1.30	0.007
12	6.78	2.87	1.15	0.006
13	5.87	2.48	0.99	0.005
14	5.01	2.12	0.85	0.004
15	4.20	1.78	0.71	0.004
16	3.48	1.47	0.59	0.003
17	2.84	1.20	0.48	0.002
18	2.28	0.97	0.39	0.002
19	1.81	0.77	0.31	0.002
20	1.42	0.60	0.24	0.001

Source: Author.

Table 7: Control policy for scenario A.

Time	CDI	EMBR3	ITUB4	PETR4	VALE5
0	-137.9	-24.9	87.1	9.8	66.9
1	-138.7	-21.8	88.5	15.5	57.8
2	-136.0	-20.0	87.3	17.4	53.6
3	-126.9	-18.2	82.3	17.4	49.1
4	-116.2	-16.5	76.4	17.0	44.5

Continued on next page

Time	CDI	EMBR3	ITUB4	PETR4	VALE5
5	-109.6	-15.5	72.9	16.3	42.6
6	-100.9	-14.3	68.3	15.6	39.6
7	-94.8	-13.3	65.1	14.8	37.8
8	-87.0	-12.0	60.9	14.2	35.0
9	-73.2	-11.0	53.5	12.5	30.6
10	-65.9	-10.0	49.4	11.5	28.5
11	-51.4	-9.2	41.5	9.6	24.2
12	-43.2	-8.4	37.0	8.3	22.0
13	-37.8	-7.2	34.1	7.9	19.7
14	-29.9	-6.4	29.7	6.9	17.2
15	-23.2	-5.4	25.9	6.1	14.8
16	-16.6	-4.6	22.2	5.2	12.8
17	-12.7	-3.9	20.0	4.7	11.4
18	-7.0	-3.3	16.8	3.9	9.7
19	-1.6	-2.7	13.7	3.3	7.7

Source: Author.

Table 8: Control policy for scenario B.

Time	CDI	EMBR3	ITUB4	PETR4	VALE5
0	-89.3	-16.2	56.6	6.4	43.5
1	-89.3	-14.0	57.2	10.2	37.1
2	-85.8	-12.9	55.4	11.0	34.2
3	-80.5	-11.8	52.6	11.1	31.5
4	-76.7	-11.1	50.5	11.0	30.0
5	-74.3	-10.3	49.4	11.0	28.9
6	-63.1	-9.4	43.2	9.7	25.4
7	-57.4	-8.6	40.2	9.2	23.3
8	-50.3	-7.9	36.3	8.4	21.1
9	-45.8	-7.2	33.9	7.9	19.5
10	-38.0	-6.6	29.6	6.7	17.4
11	-35.3	-5.8	28.2	6.6	16.3

Continued on next page

Time	CDI	EMBR3	ITUB4	PETR4	VALE5
12	-29.3	-5.3	25.0	5.8	14.4
13	-24.1	-4.6	22.0	5.2	12.7
14	-19.0	-4.2	19.2	4.3	11.4
15	-14.9	-3.6	17.0	3.9	9.9
16	-10.5	-3.1	14.4	3.3	8.5
17	-7.1	-2.6	12.6	3.0	7.2
18	-4.4	-2.1	11.0	2.6	6.2
19	-0.3	-1.7	8.7	2.1	4.9

Source: Author.