Synthesis

Representations and language modeling

Statistical Language Processing

- Symbolic (rule-based): manipulation and processing of explicit symbols and rules
- Stochastic: assign probabilities, data-driven (based on corpora), deep learning (ex.: HMM)
- Pattern matching: regular expressions: algebraic notation for characterizing a set of strings
 - Can be used to capture and substitute text
 - ELIZA: early NLP system with a series of cascade of regular expression substitution, matching and changing some part of the innut lines

Words:

- Corpus: computer-readable collection of text
- Word types: number of distinct words in a corpus, grouped in a set, the vocabulary (can be different word forms of a same lemma)
- Word tokens: instances of types in the running text

Tokenization: pre-process the text, segmenting into word tokens

- Determines the vocabulary V: the size of the vocabulary |V| has a huge impact on the cost of computation. Word normalization can be used to reduce it
- Simplest approach: Space-based
- Deal with punctuation
- Word normalization: standard format for words
 - Upper/lower-cases: depend on the task: information retrieval dos not need upper-cases, but information extraction does
 - Lemmatisation: represent words as their lemma, morphological parsing (necessary for some languages)
 - Stemming: crudely cutting words

Word distance:

- Minimum edit distance: minimum number of editing operations between two strings - Insertion, Deletion, Substitution - needed to transform one into another (adapting costs: substitutions costs 2: Levenshtein distance)
 - Less costly way to find this distance is searching for the lest costly path of edits in a huge space
 - The edit distance D(i,j) between X[1..i] and Y[1..j]
 - Algorithm for dynamic programming:
 - Initialize: D(i, 0) = i and D(0, j) = j
 - Recurrence:
 - For i from 1 to n.
 - For i from 1 to m:

$$D(i,j) = \min \begin{cases} D(i-1,j) + 1 \\ D(i,j-1) + 1 \\ D(i-1,j-1) + 2 & \text{if } X[i] \neq Y[j] \\ D(i-1,j-1) + \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } X[i] \neq Y[j] \\ 0 & \text{if } X[i] = Y[j] \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Bag of words: unordered frequency count of words in vocabulary

- Assumes that position does not matter, hence it is indifferent to syntax and semantics, and is only lexical a
- Main goal: text classification: rules based on words, classifier with word frequencies as features
- Also useful for document clustering, information retrieval

Naive Bayes: naively assumes independence between words

- Goal: $\hat{c} = \operatorname{argmax} \mathbb{P}(c|d)$
- Bayes rule and the independence assumption: $\hat{c} =$ $\operatorname{argmax} \mathbb{P}(c) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(w_i|c)$
- $\mathbb{P}(w|c) = \frac{\operatorname{count}_{\mathcal{D}}(w,c)}{\sum_{w' \in \mathcal{V}} \operatorname{count}_{\mathcal{D}}(w',c)}$
- Practice: log-probabilities (add 1 to each count)
 - Training:
 - Create the vocabulary V from documents $d \in D$
 - From \mathcal{D} :
 - For each class $c \in \mathcal{C}$: compute the logprior $\log \mathbb{P}(c)$
 - For each word $w \in \mathcal{V}$ compute the loglikelihood $\log \mathbb{P}(w|c)$
 - Inference

- For each class $c \in \mathcal{C}$: $S(c) = \log \mathbb{P}(c)$
- For each word $w_i \in d$: if $w_i \in \mathcal{V}$: S(c) = S(c) +
- Return argmaxS(c)
- Document similarity
 - Cosine similarity: $\cos(d_1, d_2) = \frac{d_1 \cdot d_2}{\|d_1\| \cdot \|d_2\|}$
- TF-IDF: remove frequent, but not significant words (stop words)
 - $TF(w,d) = \log_{10}(c(w,d) + 1), c(w,d)$ count of word w on
 - $IDF(w) = \log_{10}\left(\frac{N}{cd(w)}\right)$, cd(w) count of documents wappears in, N the total number of documnets
 - $TF(w,d) \cdot IDF(w)$ is the weight given to word w in document d

Classification with Logistic regression:

- Discriminative linear classifier: learns directly $\mathbb{P}(c|d)$ computing a linear score and applying a logistic function
- Learn a vector w and a bias b to maximize the likelihood of making a good classification
- Binary case: sigmoid $\mathbb{P}(c=1) = \sigma(w \cdot d + b)$
 - Extended to a multinomial case using a matrix W. a vector b and the softmax function
- Maximize the likelihood by minimizing the cross-entropy: $L(\hat{c}, c) = -\log \mathbb{P}(c|d) = -[c\log \hat{c} + (1-c)\log(1-\hat{c})]$
- Gradient descent

For more complex NLP tasks

- Segmentation: segment text into lexical units (words) (many possible uses for punctuation symbol, no typographic normalization, emoticons)
- Lexical treatment: identify words (string to linguistic unit) and their properties, normalize text and deal with new words (with the help of morphology)
- Syntax: constraints to obtain grammatically correct sentences (validity in position and agreement)
- Semantics: meaning of statements, lexical (meaning of words) and compositional
- Pragmatics: linked to the intent, statements are expected

Solving ambiguities:

- Ambiguity in written representation (speech synthesis)
- Lexical ambiguity, on word grammatical properties (Partof-speech tagging) or sense (Word sense disambiguation)
- Syntactical ambiguity (parsing)
- Ambiguity in interpreting a statement (sentiment classification, natural language inference)
- applications: speech recognition, machine translation, grammar/spelling correction, ranking (assign larger probability to best option), optical character recognition, information retrieval, summarization, question answering, text generation
- Language model: estimates the probabilities of text sequences
 - Chain rule: decompose in smaller parts: $\mathbb{P}(w_1,...,w_m) =$ $\prod_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{P}\left(w_i | w_{\leq i}\right)$

Sequence of n successive items: n-gram

- Ordered sequence looking n-1 words into the past
 - Depends on tokenization
 - Unigram (1-gram), bigram (2-gram), trigram (3-gram)...
 - Markov assumption: probability depends upon a fixed number of words (the order): order k $\mathbb{P}(w_i|w_1,...,w_{i-1}) \approx$ $\mathbb{P}(w_i|w_{i-k},...,w_{i-1})$
 - Order 0 (unigram), order 1 (bigram), order 2 (trigram)...
 - Trigram is arguably the most used: $\mathbb{P}(w_i, ..., w_m) = \approx$ $\mathbb{P}(w_1)\mathbb{P}(w_2|w_1)\prod_{i=3}^m\mathbb{P}\left(w_i|w_{i-2},w_{i-1}\right)$
 - 4-gram are generally not sustainable due to the long-term dependencies, which are insufficient to the language
 - n-gram model is parametric, with the number of parameters $\sim O(|\mathcal{V}|^n)$: defined by the values of $\theta =$ $\{\mathbb{P}(w_n|w_1,\dots,w_{n-1}), \forall (w_1,\dots,w_n) \in \mathcal{V}^n\}$
 - $\mathbb{P}(w_n|w_{1:n-1}) = \frac{c(w_1,...,w_n)}{\sum_{w' \in \mathcal{V}} c(w_1,...,w_{n-1},w')}$
- Model evaluation

Extrinsic evaluation: apply the model and use related metric

Perplexity $(w_1, ..., w_m) =$ $\sqrt[m]{\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(w_{i}|w_{i-n+1},\dots,w_{i-1})}} \text{ (branching factor)}$

Perplexity is a simple function of the cross-Perplexity $(w_1, ..., w_m) =$ entropy: $2^{-\frac{1}{m}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(w_i | w_i - n + 1, ..., w_{i-1})$

Maximizes likelihood, hence it minimizes perplexity

- Frequency is not the best measure of association between words
 - It is skewed: Zipf's law: frequency $\propto \frac{1}{\text{rank}}$
 - Very frequent words are rarely the most useful for
 - Zero probability n-grams: we use smoothing to solve it
 - Laplace Smoothing (add one): $\mathbb{P}(w_n|w_{1:n-1}) =$ $\frac{C(w_1,...,w_n)+1}{\sum_{w' \in \mathcal{V}} C(w_1,...,w_{n-1},w')+|\mathcal{V}|}$ (avoids making neverseen sequences impossible)
 - Backoff: when not enough information at order n back off to smaller orders
 - Interpolation: interpolate between smaller orders
- Pointwise Mutual information (PMI): evaluate how unexpected is $PMI(w_i, w_j) = log \frac{\mathbb{P}(w_i, w_j)}{\mathbb{P}(w_i)\mathbb{P}(w_i)} =$ co-occurrence:

 $M_{W_l,W_j}\sum_{k=1}^n\sum_{l=1}^nM_{k,l}$ $\log \frac{1}{\sum_{l=1}^{n} M_{w_1, l} \sum_{k=1}^{n} M_{k, w_2}}$

Negative values are unreliable

Hidden Markov Models Stochastic process

- Random state variable at time t: q_t or q(t)
- Values of q(t) belong to the finite set S = 1, ..., Q
- Probability for observing state i at time t: $P(q_t = 1)$
- Evolution process: from initial state q_1 , chain of state transitions $(t \le T)$
- probability: $P(q_1, ..., q_T) =$ sequence $P(q_1)P(q_2|q_1)P(q_3|q_1,q_2) \dots P(q_T|q_1,\dots,q_{T-1})$
- Model: transition probabilities + initial state probability
- Markov chain (discrete time)
 - Markov property (order k): limit dependencies
 - $P(q_t|q_1,...,q_{t-1}) = P(q_t|q_{t-k},...,q_{t-1})$ $k=1{:}\,\mathbb{P}(q_t|q_1,\ldots,q_{t-1})=$ Bigram: $\mathbb{P}(q_t|q_{t-1}), \mathbb{P}(q_t, ..., q_T) =$ $\mathbb{P}(q_1) \prod_{t=2}^{T} \mathbb{P}(q_t|q_{t-1})$
- Stationary Markov chain
 - Transitions do not depend on time: $\mathbb{P}(q_t = j | q_{t-1} = i) =$ $\mathbb{P}(q_{t+k} = j | q_{t+k-1} = i) = a_{ij}$
 - Transition probability matrix $A = a_{ij}, i = 1, ..., Q, j =$
 - Initial probability vector $\Pi = [\pi_i = P(q_1 = i)], i = 1, ..., Q$
 - Constraints $0 \le \pi_i \le 1, 0 \le a_{i,i} \le 1, \sum_{i=1}^{Q} \pi_i = 1, \sum_{i=1}^{Q} a_{i,i} =$

Model topology

- Ergodic model (without constraints): A is full
- Lef-right model: A is triangular
- Hidden Markov Model (HMM) for pattern recognition
 - Each class m is represented by an HMM model λ_m
 - Combination of 2 stochastic processes (one observed and one hidden)
 - State sequence hidden $\{q_1, \dots, q_T\}$
 - Observation generated by the states $\{o_1, \dots, o_T\}$
- Discrete HMM
 - Set of Q discrete states $\{1, ..., Q\}$
 - Set of N observed symbols $\{s_1, ..., s_N\}$
 - Observation probability matrix (probability of observing each symbol in each state): B
 - Defined by A, Π and B
 - Continuous HMM
 - Probability of observing o_t in state i: $b_i(o_t)$
 - Gaussian mixture: $b_i(o_t) =$ $\sum_{k=1}^{M} c_{ik} \mathcal{N}(o_t; \mu_{ik}, \sigma_{ik})$

- Gaussian model: $b_i(o_t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_i}} \exp{-\frac{(o_t \mu_i)^2}{2\sigma_i^2}}$
- Defined by A, Π , probability density function and $b_i(o_t)$
- HMM is a type of Dynamic Bayesian Network (DBN)
- Independence of set of nodes: $P(o_1, ..., o_T | q_1, ..., q_T) =$ $\prod_{t=1}^{T} P(o_t|q_t)$
- Factorization: $P(o_1,\dots,o_T,q_1,\dots,q_T) =$ $\pi_{q_1}b_{q_1}(o_1)\prod_{t=2}^T a_{q_{t-1},q_t}b_{q_t}(o_t) =$ $P(o_1, \dots, o_T | q_1, \dots, q_T) P(q_1, \dots, q_T)$
- Generative HMM
- HMM decoding: assign the pattern to class $\widehat{m} =$ $\operatorname{argmax} P(o_1, \dots, o_T | \lambda_m)$
- Viterbi decoding to Part of Speech (POS) tagging
 - Let $o = o_1, ..., o_T$: $P(o|\lambda) = \sum_i P(o, q = i|\lambda)$ so search for $\hat{q} = \operatorname{argmax} P(o, q|\lambda)$ then estimate likelihood by $P(o|\lambda) \approx$ $P(o,\hat{q}|\lambda)$
 - Joint probability of best partial state sequence ending at ton state i and corresponding to the partial observation sequence $o_1, ..., o_t$: $\delta_t(i) = \max_{q_1,\dots,q_t} P(q_1,\dots,q_t =$ $i, o_1, \dots, o_t | \lambda$
 - Recurrence: $\delta_{t+1}(j) = b_j(o_{t+1}) \max_{i,j} \delta_t(i)$
 - Algorithm:
 - 1st column: Initialization: $\delta_1(i) = b_i(o_1)\pi_i$ i = $1, \dots, Q$
 - Columns 2 to T:
 - $\delta_{t+1}(j) =$ $b_i(o_{t+1})\max a_{i,i}\delta_t(i)$ t=1,...,T1. i = 1, ..., 0
 - $\phi_{t+1}(j) = \operatorname{argmax} a_{ij} \delta_t(i)$ (Save best nath) Termination
 - $P(o, \hat{q}_T) = \max \delta_T(j)$ $\hat{q}_T = \operatorname{argmax} \delta_T(j)$
 - $\hat{q}_t = \phi_{t+1}(\hat{q}_{t+1})$ t = T 1, T -Backtrack:
- Baum-Welch
 - $P(o, q_t = i | \lambda) = \beta_t(i)\alpha_t(i)$
 - Backward variable: $\beta_t(i) = P(o|q_t = i, \lambda)$ $\beta_T(i) = 1$
 - $\beta_t(i) = \sum_{i=1}^{Q} a_{ij} b_i(o_{t+1}) \beta_{t+1}(j)$
 - $P(o|\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{Q} \beta_1(j) \pi_i b_i(o_1)$ Forward variable: $\alpha_t(i) = P(o_1, ..., o_t, q_t = i | \lambda)$

 - $\alpha_1(j) = b_j(o_1)\pi_j$ $\alpha_{t+1}(j) = b_j(o_{t+1}) \sum_{i=1}^{Q} \alpha_t(i) a_{ij}$
 - $P(o|\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{Q} \alpha_{T}(j)$ $\gamma_t(i) = P(q_t = i | o) = \frac{\beta_t(i)\alpha_t(i)}{o}\beta_t(j)\alpha_t(j)$
 - $\hat{q}_t = \operatorname{argmax} \gamma_t(j)$ $\xi_t(i,j) = P(q_t=i,q_{t+1}=j|o,\lambda) =$

 $\beta_{t+1}(j)b_j(o_{t+1})a_{ij}\alpha_t(i)$

- $\sum_{k=1}^{Q} \alpha_t(k) \beta_t(k)$
 - Training: complete data Given L observation sequences and associated state sequences

$$\hat{a}_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{t=1}^{T(l)-1} 1_{\{q_t^{(l)} = i, q_{t+1}^{(l)} = j\}}}{\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{t=1}^{T(l)-1} 1_{\{a_t^{(l)} = i, q_{t+1}^{(l)} = j\}}}$$

$$- \qquad \hat{b}_{l}(s_{k}) = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{t=1}^{T(l)} 1_{\{o_{t}^{(l)} = s_{k}, d_{t}^{(l)} = l\}}}{\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{t=1}^{T(l)} 1_{\{o_{t}^{(l)} = l\}}}$$

$$\begin{split} - & \ddot{\mu}_{l} = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{t=1}^{T(l)} \sum_{\{q_{l}^{(l)}=l\}}^{1}}{\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{t=1}^{T(l)} (o_{t}^{(l)} - \ddot{\mu}_{l})^{21} (q_{t}^{(l)}=l)}} \\ - & \hat{\sigma}_{l}^{2} = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{t=1}^{T(l)} (o_{t}^{(l)} - \ddot{\mu}_{l})^{21} (q_{t}^{(l)}=l)}{\sum_{t=1}^{T(l)} \sum_{t=1}^{T(l)} (o_{t}^{(l)} - \ddot{\mu}_{l})^{21}} \end{aligned}$$

- Training: incomplete data
 - Given L observation sequences
 - Viterbi

- Baum-Welch

- $$\begin{split} \bullet & \quad \hat{\pi}_{l} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{l} \mathbf{y}_{t}^{(l)}(t)}{\sum_{t=1}^{l} \sum_{t=1}^{T(l)-1} \xi_{t}^{(l)}(t,j)} \\ \bullet & \quad \hat{a}_{lj} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{l} \sum_{t=1}^{T(l)-1} \mathbf{y}_{t}^{(l)}(t,j)}{\sum_{t=1}^{l} \sum_{t=1}^{T(l)} \mathbf{y}_{t}^{(l)}(t)} \\ \bullet & \quad \hat{b}_{l}(s_{k}) = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{l} \sum_{t=1}^{T(l)} \mathbf{y}_{t}^{(l)}(t)|_{l_{0}}^{t}(t)}{\sum_{t=1}^{l} \sum_{t=1}^{T(l)} \mathbf{y}_{t}^{(l)}(t)} \end{aligned}$$
- $\hat{\mu}_{l} = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{t=1}^{T} o_{t} \gamma_{t}(i)}{\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_{t}(i)}$ $\hat{\sigma}_{l}^{2} = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (o_{t} \hat{\mu}_{l})^{2} \gamma_{t}(i)}{\sum_{l=1}^{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (o_{t} \hat{\mu}_{l})^{2} \gamma_{t}(i)}$
- $\hat{\sigma}_{i}^{2} = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{t=1}^{L} (o_{t} \mu_{i})^{2} \gamma_{t}(i)}{\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{t=1}^{L} \gamma_{t}(i)}$

Structured prediction in natural language processing

- Structured prediction refers to the task of predicting structured objects rather than independent labels such as scalar values or categorical quantities
 - Not structured prediction: sentiment analysis, text classification, chat bots, autocorrection, speech recognition
 - Structured prediction: tagging, parsing, coreference resolution, text summarization
 - Depends: machine translation, natural language understanding, natural language inference
- Part of Speech (POS) tagging
 - English: $\sim 15\%$ of words are ambiguous
 - Example: Noun (N), Proper noun (PN), Transitive Verb (TV), Adjective (Adj), Determiner (D), Noun Phrase (NP: D + N), Verb Phrase (VP: TV + NP), Sentence (S: NP + VP)
 - Syntactic tree
 - Computational linguistics tasks: linguistic change, linguistic variation, comparison and control
 - NLP tasks: syntactic parsing, machine translation, sentiment analysis, text-to-speech
 - Algorithms: HMM, Conditional Random Fields (CRF), Maximum Entropy Markov Models (MEMM), Neural sequence models (RNNs or Transformers), Finetuned LLMs (ex: BERT)
 - Required a human-labeled training set

Named Entity Recognition (NER)

- Named Entity (people (PEO), location (LOC), organization (ORG), geo-political entity (GPE), dates, times, prices (AMOUNT))
- Applications: sentiment analysis, question answering, information extraction
- Algorithms for NER: Beginning-inside-outside (BIO) tagging, HMM, Conditional Random Fields (CRP), Maximum Entropy Markov Models (MEMM), Neural sequence models (RNNs or Transformers), Fine-tuned LLMs (ex: BERT)
 - Required a human-labeled training set
- Coreference resolution (CR): find all linguistic expressions in a given text that refer to the same entity
- Sequence labeler: model that assigns a label to each unit in a sequence, mapping a sequence of observations to a sequence of labels of the same length
- Goal: add information about the context in which a word appears
 - Conditional Random Fields (CRF) and Maximum Entropy Markov Models (MEMM) allow integration of rich features for better accuracy than HMM, however they require much slower training
- Dependency parsing: the syntactic structure of a sentence is described in terms of directed binary grammatical relations between the words
 - The arcs go from heads to dependents; the parse is a typed dependency structure
 - Dependency structure shows which words depend on (modify, attach to, or are arguments of) which other words
 - A dependency structure can be represented as a directed graph G = (V,A):
 - a set of vertices V (~ set of words in a given sentence + punctuation)
 - a set of ordered pairs of vertices A (arcs)

- Can have constraints: must be connected, must have root node, must be acyclic or planar
- Computational linguistics tasks: Human communication, Linguistic variation
- NLP tasks: Syntactic parsing for semantic parsing Machine translation, Sentiment analysis, Text-to-speech
- Phrase attachment ambiguities
- Three components: a stack, on which the parse is built; a
 buffer, containing the tokens to be parsed; a parser which
 takes actions on the parse via a predictor: an oracle, which
 requires supervised training for which it is necessary data
 Algorithm:
 - The parser: walks through the sentence left-toright, shifting items from the buffer onto the stack
 - At each time point: the top two elements on the stack are examined, the oracle makes a decision about what transition to apply to build the parse:
 - LEFTARC: assign the current word as the head of some previously seen word
 - RIGHTARC: assign some previously seen word as the head of the current word;
 - SHIFT: postpone dealing with the current word, storing it for later processing.
 - LEFTARC cannot be applied when ROOT is the second element of the stack.
 - LEFTARC and RIGHTARC require two elements to be on the stack to be applied.
- Dependency tree: directed graph that satisfies the following constraints:
 - Constraints
 - There is a single designated root node that has no incoming arcs
 - Appart from the root node, each vertex has exactly one incoming arc
 - $\hbox{ \begin{tabular}{ll} There is a unique path from the root node to each vertex in V \\ \end{tabular} }$
 - ROOT: Root of the tree, head of the entire structure
 - NSUBJ: Nominal subject
 - OBJ: Direct object
 - NMOD: Nominal modifier
 - DET: Determiner
 - CASE: Prepositions, postpositions, other case markers
 - Universal Dependencies project
- Dependency treebanks: human annotated tree datasets
 - Bilexical affinities, Dependency distance, Intervening material, Valency of heads

Evaluate parsing:

- Exact match (EM): how many sentences are parsed correctly
- Labeled attachment score (LAS): is a word properly assigned to its head with the correct dependency relation?
- Unlabeled attachment score (UAS): is a word properly assigned to its head? (ignoring the dependency relation)
- Label accuracy score (LS): what is the percentage of tokens with correct labels? (ignoring where the relations come from)

Neural Language Models and Word Embeddings

- Difficulties with counting: models are huge, vectors are sparse
 - Use dense, distributed representations
 - Change the context for counting words: use surrounding words: co-occurrence matrix
 - Word meaning (distribution in the neighborhood): word embeddings: vector describing the distribution of other words in the neighborhood (cosine distance can be used to compute word similarity but it does not work well: all dimensions matter have the same weight)
 - Reduce the vocabulary (lemmatisation, TF-IDF)

- Re-weight vectors
- Latent Semantic Analysis: Singular Value Decomposition (SVD): $M = U\lambda V^T$
 - λ diagonal matrix, with eigenvalues ordered
 - U, V orthogonal; eigenvectors
 - Keep the k first columns of U, for the k largest eigenvalues, to obtain embeddings ∈ ℝ^k
 - Very costly
 - New space is interpreted as topics
- Topic modeling: mostly generative models
 - Probabilistic LSA: generation of words follows a mixture of conditionally independent multinomial distributions, given topics: $P(w|d) = \sum_t P(t)P(d|t)P(w|t) = P(d) \sum_t P(t|d)P(w|t)$
 - P(d|t) relates to V, P(w|t) relates to U
 - Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA): topic distribution is assumed to have a Dirichlet prior
- n-gram neural models
 - Teach a neural network to predict probability $\mathbb{P}(w_i|w_{i-n+1},\dots,w_{i-1})$
 - Divided in two parts: processing the context words, obtaining output probability for the next word
- Neural Probabilistic Language Model (NLPM)
 - Continuous word vectors: Each input and output word is represented by a vector of dimension $d\ll |\mathcal{V}|$ taking values in \mathbb{R} , rather than being discrete
 - Continuous probability function: The probability of the next word is expressed as a continuous function of the features of the word in the current context - using a neural network
 - Joint learning: The parameters of the word representations, and the probability function are learnt jointly
 - Projecting words: input one-hot encoded words to a densely connected to a smaller layer to smaller layer of dimension d_w : the weight matrix are word embeddings
 - Obtaining scores:
 - Context c is the concatenation of the smaller representation of n-1 words
 - Create hidden representation $h = \phi(W^{ih}c)$
 - Obtain scores for all words in V given h: s = Whsh (output word embeddings to upscale the hidden representation to the predicted word dimension)
 - Compute probabilities: softmax $\mathbb{P}(o|w_{l-n+1,\dots,w_{l-1}}) = \frac{\exp(h_l^T w_o^{hs})}{\sum_{l=1}^{|\mathcal{V}|} \exp(h_l^T w_l^{hs})}$
 - Training: minimize negative log-likelihood: $\text{NLL}(\theta) = -\sum_{i=1}^m \log (\mathbb{P}_{\theta}^{(i)}(w_i|w_{< i}))$
 - Equivalent to minimizing the Kullback-Leibler divergence

Updates:
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log(\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(w_{i+j}|w_{< i})) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} s_{w_{i+j}} - \sum_{k=1}^{|\mathcal{V}|} \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(w_k|w_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} s_w$$

- First term increases the conditional log-likelihood of w_{i+j} given w_i
- Second term decreases the conditional loglikelihood of all w_k ∈ V
- Learning bottleneck due to softmax: Making hierarchical predictions: replace complexity in O(|V|) by O(log|V|); Sampling based methods: sum over k samples
- Learning word embeddings
 - Continuous bag of words (CBOW): simplifying architecture: $h = C \sum_{j,j \neq 0} w_{l+j}$, $\mathbb{P}(w_l | w_j \in C_w) = o_w = \exp(h^T \mathbf{w}_{i,k}^{\text{tot}})$
 - $\frac{\sum_{l=1}^{|\mathcal{V}|} \exp(h^T \mathbf{w}_l^{hs})}{\text{Parameters: } \theta = \{W, C\}}$
 - Training: $d(\mathbb{P}_{*}^{w}, P_{\theta}) = -\log \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(w) = -\log o_{w}$
 - $\begin{array}{ll} \bullet & \text{Objective} & \text{function:} & J_{MLE}^{CBOW}(\theta) = \\ & -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \log P_{\theta}(w_i|w_{i-m},\ldots,w_{i-1},w_{i+1},\ldots,w_{i+m}) \end{array}$
 - Skip-gram

- Objective function: $J_{MLE}^{SG}(\theta) = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j\neq 0}^{-m < j < m} \log \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(w_{i+j}|w_i)$
- Better handling of infrequent words
- Avoid computing $\sum_{k=1}^{|V|}$
 - Replace task by binary classification: predicting the right word $\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(w_{l+j}|w_l) = \sigma(s_{w_{l+j}})$
 - Only take into consideration the positive contribution: $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log(\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(w_{i+j}|w_i)) = (1 \sigma(s_{w_{i+j}})) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} s_{w_{i+j}}$
 - Negative contribution by sampling $k \ll |\mathcal{V}|$ wrong words: $J_{MLE}^{SG}(\theta) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{m < j < m} \left(\log \sigma(s_{w_{i+j}}) + \sum_{k=1}^{k} \log \sigma(s_{w_{i}}^{mise})\right)$
 - Requires subsampling of frequent words in the noise distribution
- GloVe: learn word embeddings by predicting word cooccurrence counts
 J_{GloVe}(θ) = ∑_{w_i,w_j∈V} f (M_{w_i,w_j})(w_{w_j}^T w_{w_j} -

$$\log M_{w_i,w_j})^2$$

$$- f(x) = \begin{cases} (\frac{x}{x_{\max}})^{\alpha} & x < x_{\max} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Very fast training
- Linear word representation relationships can capture meaning
- Often reflect bias (gender bias)
- Lexical ambiguity: polysemous, homonyms imply word embeddings with conflation
 - Solutions: sense embeddings, sparse coding, contextualized embeddings
- Prediction-based: fast and scale well with available data; dense and capture complex patterns; but require a lot of data and do not use all statistical information available
- Count-based: can also give dense representation (SVD to a PMI matrix); relatively fast; uses efficiently all information available and works well with little data
- Sub-word models: decompose to solve closure of vocabulary
 - Phonems, morphemes