

ON HIS SIMULATION,

	RMS ERRORS	MAX ERRORS
PD CONTROL	$2.4^\circ, 1.0^\circ$	$6^\circ, 2^\circ$
FEEDBACK COMP	$1.6^\circ, 0.9^\circ$	$4^\circ, 2.4^\circ$
WE HAVEN'T INCLUDED INERTIA		
FEED FORWARD COMP	$0.36^\circ, 0.2^\circ$	$1^\circ, 0.6^\circ$
WE NOW HAVE COMPENSATED FOR INERTIA		
INVERSE DYNAMICS	$0.05^\circ, 0.05^\circ$	$0.2^\circ, 0.2^\circ$
<p>VERY DIFFERENT. INERTIA IS IN LINE W/ PD CONTROLLER</p> <p>- DECOUPLES EFFORT OF ROBOT</p> <p>- WE'LL NEED DIFFERENT PD GAINS</p> <p>(EVERYTHING IS SEEN AS $\frac{1}{s^2}$ ~ WE'RE SEEING DIFFERENT GAINS FROM THE SYSTEM)</p>		
INVERSE DYNAMICS W/ HALF-SAMPLE RATE	$0.020^\circ, 0.026^\circ$	$0.1^\circ, 0.1^\circ$

DECOUPLING PD GAINS

SENSORS

POTENTIOMETERS

- ANALOG
- TYPICALLY RESOLUTION IS UNLIMITED (LIMITED BY DAQ)
- DEAD ZONES
 - ↳ RANGE LIMITED TO $\sim 355^\circ$
 - ↳ DEAD ZONE ONCE PER REVOLUTION
- NOISY
- SIMPLE TO INTERFACE W/ DAQ
 - ↳ ALL YOU NEED IS ANALOG INPUT
- LOTS OF FRICTION
 - ↳ LEADS TO MECHANICAL WEAR
- GIVE US AN ABSOLUTE POSITION MEASUREMENT

ENCODERS

- DIGITAL
- LIMITED RESOLUTION
- RELATIVE POSITION MEASUREMENT
 - ROBOT START POSITION = 0
 - REQUIRE A HOMING PROCEDURE
- CAN ROTATE INDEFINITELY (NO DISCONTINUITY)
- NO NOISE (ADVANTAGE OF DIGITAL)
 - SOFTWARE COUNTING IS RISKY
 - BETTER TO HAVE DEDICATED HARDWARE CHIP
 - EX. LS7184 CHIP
- INTERFACE W/ DAQ IS MORE COMPLICATED

ENCODERS

A COUNT PULSES
B COUNT PULSES

• B WILL BE $\pm 90^\circ$ OUT OF PHASE DEPENDING ON DIRECTION OF ROTATION

$$\text{RESOLUTION} = \frac{360^\circ}{\# \text{ OF STRIPES}}$$

POT EXAMPLE

12-BIT DAQ

$\therefore 2^{12}$ VOLTAGE LEVELS

$$\text{RESOLUTION} = \frac{360^\circ}{2^{12}} = 0.1^\circ$$

$$\text{OR } \frac{360}{N}$$

N = # OF COILS IN POT

