

Econometrics

Problem Set 2.

Instructor: Alexei Onatski

1. Consider a random walk with drift

$$x_t = \delta + x_{t-1} + \eta_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, T,$$

where $x_0 = 0$, and η_t are i.i.d. random variables distributed as $N(0, \sigma^2)$.

- (a) Prove that for all integer $T \geq 1$,

$$f_{x_T, \dots, x_1 | x_0}(x_T, \dots, x_1 | x_0) = \prod_{t=1}^T f_{x_t | x_{t-1}, \dots, x_0}(x_t | x_{t-1}, \dots, x_0).$$

- (b) Using (a), write down the log likelihood function (conditional on $x_0 = 0$) and find the maximum likelihood estimators of δ and σ^2 .
- (c) Suppose that you know that $\sigma^2 = 1$. What is the smallest possible variance of an unbiased estimator of δ ? Does your estimator from (b) achieve this bound?
- (d) File SP500Index.xlsx contains data on the level of the Standard & Poor's 500 Composite Index at the end of the trading month. The index does not include dividends. Let us denote the index as SP_t . Compute $x_t = \log(SP_t/SP_0)$, where $t = 0$ corresponds to January 1960 (the first month in our dataset). Find numerically $\hat{\delta}_{ML}$ and $\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2}$. Interpret.
- (e) Now assume that x_t is related to y_t by the equation

$$y_t = \alpha + \beta x_t + \varepsilon_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, T,$$

where $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_T$ are i.i.d. random variables distributed as $N(0, \gamma^2)$, and independent from x_1, \dots, x_T . Does this model satisfy the Gauss-Markov assumptions? Are (y_t, x_t) i.i.d. across $t = 1, \dots, T$? How would you test a hypothesis that $\beta = 1$ if T is very small, say $T = 3$?

2. STATA file ps1.dta contains data from 1990 cross-section of the NLSY (National Longitudinal Survey of Youth). The file contains wage (variable w0),

education (variable `ed0`), and age (variable `a0`) variables for 1500 individuals. Create variables `lwage=log(w0)`, `educ=ed0`, and `exper=age-(educ+6)`. Variable `exper` is the proxy for experience.

- (a) Create dummy variables corresponding for each value of the education variable and to each value of the experience variable. Regress `lwage` on this dummy variable set using command *xi: regress lwage i.educ i.exper* (Executing this command will automatically create the dummies). We will call the vector of OLS coefficient estimates from this regression $\hat{\alpha}$, and the vector of coefficients being estimated α .
- (b) What restrictions on the parameter α would lead to the linear specification of the conditional expectation function $E(\text{lwage}|\text{educ},\text{exper}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1\text{educ} + \beta_2\text{exper}$? (i.e. for $H_0 : R\alpha = q$, specify R and q) Now compute F statistic, Do you accept or reject the null hypothesis?
- (c) Is the methodology from (a) and (b) a good way of testing the assumption of a linear conditional expectation function? What part of the assumption are we testing?

3. Consider the following model

$$y_i = 1 + 0.5z_i + \varepsilon_i,$$

where $z_i \sim \chi^2(3)$, $\varepsilon_i \sim U[-1, 1]$ (uniformly distributed on the segment $[-1, 1]$), and z_i and ε_i are independent. Provide Monte Carlo evidence (using MATLAB or R or any other package of your choice) of the following claims.

- (a) OLS estimator of the regression coefficients is unbiased.
- (b) OLS estimator of the regression coefficients is consistent.
- (c) OLS estimator of the regression slope is asymptotically normal with the asymptotic variance $\sigma^2/\text{Var}(z_i)$.
- (d) $RSS/(n - k)$ is an unbiased estimator of σ^2 .

4. Consider the linear regression model

$$y_i = \beta x_i + \varepsilon_i,$$

where $x_i = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } i \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$ with $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and ε_i are i.i.d. $N(0, 1)$

- (a) Show that the OLS estimator $\hat{\beta}$ for β is consistent.
 - (b) Is $\hat{\beta}$ consistent for β if $x_i = \lambda^i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $|\lambda| < 1$?
 - (c) Do the assumptions of the Gauss-Markov theorem hold when $x_i = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } i \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$? Do they hold when $x_i = \lambda^i$? Comment.
 - (d) Find the distribution limit of $\hat{\beta}$ under the conditions described in (b).
5. A random sample y_i , $i = 1, \dots, 1000$, is taken from the exponential distribution with density

$$f_{\theta}(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta}} e^{-y/\sqrt{\theta}} \text{ for } y > 0.$$

In particular, $Ey_i = \sqrt{\theta}$ and $Var(y_i) = \theta$.

- (a) Find the sample's Fisher information, $\mathcal{I}(\theta)$.
- (b) Find the ML estimator of θ and its bias.
- (c) Suppose that, in fact, y_i , $i = 1, \dots, 1000$, comes from a random sample from the $\chi^2(1)$ distribution with density

$$g(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi y}} e^{-y/2} \text{ for } y > 0$$

so that our original model is misspecified. What does the ML estimator found in (b) converge to as the sample size goes to infinity?

- (d) Consider the Kullback-Leibler divergence

$$d(g, f_{\theta}) = \int_0^{\infty} g(y) \log \frac{g(y)}{f_{\theta}(y)} dy.$$

Which value of θ minimizes it? Compare with your answer in (c). Comment.

- (e) Assuming that the correct density of y_i is $g(y)$, find and compare

$$Var\left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \log f_{\theta}(y_i)\right) \text{ and } -E\left(\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log f_{\theta}(y_i)\right).$$