## CONSTRUCTIBILITY LEVEL EQUIVALENCE RELATION

## WILLIAM CHAN

ABSTRACT. Assume  $\mathsf{ZF} + {}^\omega 2 = ({}^\omega 2)^L$ . Define an equivalence relation  $E_L$  on  ${}^\omega 2$  by  $x \ E_L \ y$  if and only if for all admissible levels  $L_\alpha$  of Gödel's constructible hierarchy,  $x \in L_\alpha$  if and only if  $y \in L_\alpha$ .  $E_L$  is a thin  $\Delta^1_2$  equivalence relation which is not  $\Pi^1_1$ .  $E_L$  has the property that for all  $\Sigma^1_1$  sets B,  $[B]_E = \{y \in {}^\omega 2 : (\exists x \in B)(y \ E \ x)\}$  is either a countable or a co-countable set. There is no coloring  $c : {}^\omega 2 \to \omega$  of  $E_L$  whose graph is  $\Sigma^1_1$ .

## 1. Constructibility Level Equivalence Relation

Assume  $\mathsf{ZF} + {}^{\omega}2 = ({}^{\omega}2)^L$ , that is, all reals belong to Gödel's constructible universe L. The following equivalence relation is studied by the author in [1] Section 9.

**Definition 1.1.** Let KP denote Kripke-Platek set theory. If  $x \in {}^{\omega}2$ , then let  $\iota(x)$  be the least ordinal  $\alpha$  so that  $L_{\alpha} \models \mathsf{KP}$  and  $x \in L_{\alpha}$ . (Any sufficiently strong fragment of ZFC such as ZFC – P would suffice in place of KP.) Define an equivalence relation  $E_L$  on  ${}^{\omega}2$  by  $x E_L y$  if and only if  $\iota(x) = \iota(y)$ .

This short note will collect some facts about  $E_L$  which answers some questions of Pikhurko and Tserunyan.  $E_L$  is a  $\Pi_2^1$  equivalence relation and using an idea of Drucker [2],  $E_L$  is also  $\Sigma_2^1$  and hence  $\Delta_2^1$ .

Fact 1.2.  $E_L$  is a  $\Delta_2^1$  equivalence relation.

*Proof.* Recall that a  $\Sigma_2^1$  subset of  $^{\omega}2$  is equivalently a set of reals which is  $\Sigma_1$  definable over  $H_{\aleph_1}$ , the collection hereditarily countable sets.

Let  $\psi(x,y)$  be the formula which assert that for all transitive set A, if  $A \models \mathsf{KP} + V = L$ , then  $x \in A$  if and only if  $y \in A$ . Note that  $\varphi$  is a  $\Pi_1$  formula in the language of set theory.  $x \to E_L$  y if and only if  $H_{\aleph_1} \models \psi(x,y)$ .  $E_L$  is  $\Pi_1$  definable in  $H_{\aleph_1}$  and thus is  $\Pi_2^1$ .

Also  $x \ E_L \ y$  if and only if  $H_{\aleph_1} \models$  there is a transitive set M with  $x,y \in M, M \models \mathsf{KP} + V = L$ , and  $M \models \psi(x,y)$ . Since first order satisfaction is  $\Delta_1$  in the language of set theory,  $E_L$  is  $\Sigma_1$  definable in  $H_{\aleph_1}$  and hence  $\Sigma_1^2$ .

Fact 1.3.  $E_L$  has all classes countable and has uncountably many classes.

*Proof.* For any  $x \in {}^{\omega}2$ ,  $[x]_{E_L} \subseteq L_{\iota(x)}$ . Since  $|L_{\iota(x)}| \leq \aleph_0$ ,  $|[x]_{E_L}| \leq \aleph_0$ . Since  $E_L$  has all classes countable and  ${}^{\omega}2$  is uncountable,  $E_L$  must have uncountably many classes.

The following is the main tool for studying  $E_L$ . A perfect tree p on 2 is a subset of  ${}^{<\omega}2$  so that for all  $t \in p$  if  $s \subseteq t$  then  $s \in p$  and for all  $s \in p$ , there is a  $t \in p$  so that  $t\hat{\ }0, t\hat{\ }1 \in p$ . A  $t \in p$  so that  $t\hat{\ }0, t\hat{\ }1 \in p$  is called a split node of p.

If p is a perfect tree on 2, let  $\Upsilon_p : {}^{<\omega}2 \to p$  be defined by recursion as follows: Let  $\Upsilon_p(\emptyset)$  be the shortest split node of p. If  $\Upsilon_p(s)$  has been defined, then let  $\Upsilon_p(s\hat{i})$  be the shortest split node of p extending  $\Upsilon_p(s\hat{i})$ . Let  $\Xi_p : {}^{\omega}2 \to [p]$  be defined by  $\Xi_p(f) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \Upsilon_p(f \upharpoonright n)$ .  $\Xi_p$  is the canonical homeomorphism between  ${}^{\omega}2$  and [p].

**Lemma 1.4.** For any perfect tree p on 2, there is a  $\zeta < \omega_1$  so that for all  $x \in {}^{\omega}2$  with  $\iota(x) \geq \zeta$ ,  $\iota(x) = \iota(\Xi_p(x))$ .

Proof. The set  $\{x \in {}^{\omega}2 : \iota(\Xi_p(x)) < \iota(p)\}$  is countable. Let  $\zeta' = \sup\{\iota(x) + 1 : \iota(\Xi_p(x)) < \iota(p)\}$ . Let  $\zeta = \max\{\iota(p), \zeta'\}$ . Now suppose  $\iota(x) \geq \zeta$ . Since  $p, x \in L_{\iota(x)}$  and  $L_{\iota(x)} \models \mathsf{KP}, \Xi_p(x) \in L_{\iota(x)}$ . Thus  $\iota(\Xi_p(x)) \leq \iota(x)$ . Since  $\iota(x) \geq \zeta, \ \iota(\Xi_p(x)) \geq \iota(p)$ . Thus  $p, \Xi_p(x) \in L_{\iota(\Xi_p(x))}$ . Since  $L_{\iota_p(\Xi_p(x))} \models \mathsf{KP}$ , one

September 30, 2020.

can recover  $x = \Xi_p^{-1}(\Xi_p(x))$  within  $L_{\iota(\Xi_p(x))}$  by using  $\Xi_p(x)$  and the tree p. Thus  $\iota(x) \leq \iota(\Xi_p(x))$ . So  $\iota(x) = \iota(\Xi_p(x))$ .

An equivalence relation E on  $^{\omega}2$  is thin if and only if there is no perfect tree p on 2 so that for all  $x, y \in [p]$  with  $x \neq y$ ,  $\neg(x E y)$ . The following is a different argument from [1] showing that  $E_L$  is thin.

Fact 1.5. ([1] Proposition 9.7)  $E_L$  is a thin equivalence relation.

Proof. Suppose there is a perfect tree p on 2 so that for any  $x, y \in [p]$ , if  $x \neq y$ , then  $\neg(x \ E_L \ y)$ . By Lemma 1.4, let  $\zeta < \omega_1$  be such that for all  $x \in {}^{\omega}2$ , if  $\iota(x) \geq \zeta$ , then  $\iota(x) = \iota(\Xi_p(x))$ . Let  $x, y \in {}^{\omega}2$  so that  $x \neq y$  and  $\iota(x) = \iota(y) \geq \zeta$ . Since  $\Xi_p$  is an injection,  $\Xi_p(x) \neq \Xi_p(y)$ .  $\Xi_p(x), \Xi_p(y) \in [p]$  and  $\iota(\Xi_p(x)) = \iota(x) = \iota(y) = \iota(\Xi_p(y))$ . So  $\Xi_p(x) \ E_L \ \Xi_p(y)$ . Contradiction.

Fact 1.6.  $E_L$  is not  $\Pi_1^1$ .

*Proof.* Since Fact 1.3 implies  $E_L$  has uncountable many classes, if  $E_L$  was  $\Pi_1^1$ , then Silver's dichotomy ([3] and [4]) implies that  $E_L$  is not thin. This is impossible since Fact 1.5 asserts that  $E_L$  is thin.

Fact 1.7. If  $B \subseteq {}^{\omega}2$  is  $\Sigma_1^1$ , then  $[B]_{E_L} = \{y \in {}^{\omega}2 : (\exists x \in B)(y E_L x)\}$  is either countable or co-countable.

*Proof.* If B is countable, then the set  $K = \{\iota(x) : x \in B\}$  is countable. So  $[B]_{E_L} \subseteq L_{\sup(K)}$ .  $[B]_{E_L}$  is countable.

Suppose B is not countable. By the perfect set property, there is a perfect tree p on 2 so that  $[p] \subseteq B$ . By Lemma 1.4, let  $\zeta < \omega_1$  be such that for all  $x \in {}^{\omega}2$ , if  $\iota(x) \geq \zeta$ , then  $\iota(x) = \iota(\Xi_p(x))$ . Suppose  $x \in {}^{\omega}2$  and  $\iota(x) \geq \zeta$ . Then  $\Xi_p(x) \in [p]$  and  $\iota(\Xi_p(x)) = \iota(x)$ . So  $x \in [[p]]_{E_L} = [B]_{E_L}$ . Let  $H = \{x \in {}^{\omega}2 : \iota(x) \geq \zeta\}$  which is a co-countable set. It has been shown that  $H \subseteq [B]_{E_L}$  and thus  $[B]_{E_L}$  is a co-countable set.

Pikhurko asked whether an equivalence relation E with all classes countable and has the property that for all  $\Delta_1^1$  sets B,  $[B]_E$  is  $\Delta_1^1$ , must be a  $\Delta_1^1$  equivalence relation. The results above show that it is consistent with ZF that the answer is no.

A coloring of an equivalence relation  $E_L$  is a map  $c: {}^{\omega}2 \to X$ , where X is some Polish space, so that for all  $x, y \in {}^{\omega}2$ , if  $x E_L y$  and  $x \neq y$ , then  $c(x) \neq c(y)$ . Tserunyan asked if  $E_L$  has a  $\Delta_1^1$  coloring  $c: {}^{\omega}2 \to \omega$ . The following result shows that the answer is no.

**Fact 1.8.** There is no coloring  $c: {}^{\omega}2 \to \omega$  of  $E_L$  so that graph of c is  $\Sigma_1^1$ .

Proof. Since  ${}^{\omega}2 = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} c^{-1}[\{n\}]$ , there is some  $n \in \omega$  so that  $c^{-1}[\{n\}]$  is uncountable. Since the graph of c is  $\Sigma_1^1$ ,  $c^{-1}[\{n\}]$  is an uncountable  $\Sigma_1^1$  set. By the perfect set theorem, there is a perfect tree p on 2 so that  $[p] \subseteq c^{-1}[\{n\}]$ . By Lemma 1.4, there is a  $\zeta < \omega_1$  so that for all  $x \in {}^{\omega}2$ , if  $\iota(x) \ge \zeta$ , then  $\iota(x) = \iota(\Xi_p(x))$ . Pick  $x, y \in {}^{\omega}2$  so that  $x \ne y$  and  $\iota(x) = \iota(y)$ . Then  $\iota(\Xi_p(x)) = \iota(x) = \iota(y) = \iota(\Xi_p(y))$ . Thus  $\Xi_p(x)$   $E_L \Xi_p(y)$ . However  $\Xi_p(x), \Xi_p(y) \in [p] \subseteq c^{-1}[\{n\}]$ . Thus  $c(\Xi_p(x)) = n = c(\Xi_p(y))$ . This contradicts c being a coloring.

## References

- 1. William Chan, Equivalence relations which are Borel somewhere, J. Symb. Log. 82 (2017), no. 3, 893–930. MR 3694334
- Ohad Drucker, Borel canonization of analytic sets with Borel sections, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 146 (2018), no. 7, 3073–3084.
  MR 3787368
- 3. Leo Harrington, A powerless proof of a theorem of Silver, Unpublished.
- Jack H. Silver, Counting the number of equivalence classes of Borel and coanalytic equivalence relations, Ann. Math. Logic 18 (1980), no. 1, 1–28. MR 568914

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY, PITTSBURGH, PA 15213  $Email\ address:$  wchan3@andrew.cmu.edu