

INTERVAL ENFORCEABLE PROPERTIES OF FINITE GROUPS

William DeMeo

williamdemeo@gmail.com

University of South Carolina




AMS Southeastern Sectional Meeting

October 5, 2013

University of Louisville





Historically, much work has focused on:

- inferring properties of a group G from the structure of its lattice of subgroups $\text{Sub}(G)$;
- inferring lattice theoretical properties of $\text{Sub}(G)$ from properties of G .


For some groups, $\text{Sub}(G)$ determines G up to isomorphism.

EXAMPLES

The Klein 4-group, V_4 .


The alternating groups, A_n ($n \geq 4$).


Every finite nonabelian simple group.




For other groups, $\text{Sub}(G)$ is isomorphic to the subgroup lattices of all groups in an infinite class of nonisomorphic groups.

EXAMPLES


$\text{Sub}(G) \cong$  if and only if G is cyclic of prime order.


$\text{Sub}(G) \cong$  if and only if G is cyclic of order p^2 .


$\text{Sub}(G) \cong$  if and only if G is cyclic of order pq .

For other groups, $\text{Sub}(G)$ is isomorphic to the subgroup lattices of all groups in an infinite class of nonisomorphic groups.

EXAMPLES

$\text{Sub}(G) \cong$  if and only if G is cyclic of prime order.

$\text{Sub}(G) \cong$  if and only if G is cyclic of order p^2 .

$\text{Sub}(G) \cong$  if and only if G is cyclic of order pq .

At the other extreme, there are finite lattices that are not subgroup lattices.

Example?

We are interested in the local structure of subgroup lattices, that is, the possible *intervals*

$$[[H, K]] := \{X \mid H \leq X \leq K\} \leq \text{Sub}(G)$$

where $H \leq K \leq G$.

We restrict our attention to *upper intervals*, where $K = G$, and ask two questions:

- 1 What intervals $[[H, G]]$ are possible?
- 2 What properties of a group G can be inferred from the shape of an upper interval in $\text{Sub}(G)$?

1. WHAT INTERVALS $\llbracket H, G \rrbracket$ ARE POSSIBLE?

There is a remarkable theorem relating this question to the *finite lattice representation problem* (FLRP).

THEOREM (PÁLFY AND PUDLÁK(1980))

The following statements are equivalent:

- (A) *Every finite lattice is isomorphic to the congruence lattice of a finite algebra.*
- (B) *Every finite lattice is isomorphic to an interval in the subgroup lattice of a finite group.*

2. WHAT PROPERTIES OF G CAN BE INFERRED FROM $\llbracket H, G \rrbracket$?

A group theoretical property \mathcal{P} is

- **interval enforceable** (IE) provided there exists a lattice L such that

if $G \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $L \cong \llbracket H, G \rrbracket$, then G has property \mathcal{P} .

- **core-free interval enforceable** (cf-IE) provided $\exists L$ st

if $G \in \mathfrak{G}$, $L \cong \llbracket H, G \rrbracket$, H core-free, then G has property \mathcal{P} .

- **minimal interval enforceable** (min-IE) provided $\exists L$ st

if $G \in \mathfrak{G}$, $L \cong \llbracket H, G \rrbracket$, and if G has minimal order (wrt $L \cong \llbracket H, G \rrbracket$), then G has property \mathcal{P} .

EXAMPLES

Nonsolvability





There exist finite lattices that cannot occur as upper intervals in the subgroup lattices of finite *solvable* groups.


EXAMPLES

Nonsolvability

There exist finite lattices that cannot occur as upper intervals in the subgroup lattices of finite *solvable* groups.

Here are a few






PROPOSITION

Suppose $H < G$, $\text{core}_G(H) = 1$, and $L_7 \cong \llbracket H, G \rrbracket$. Then

- (I) G is a primitive permutation group.
- (II) If $N \triangleleft G$, then $C_G(N) = 1$.
- (III) G contains no nontrivial abelian normal subgroup.
- (IV) G is not solvable.
- (V) G is subdirectly irreducible.
- (VI) With the possible exception of at most one maximal subgroup, M_1 or M_2 , all proper subgroups in the interval $\llbracket H, G \rrbracket$ are core-free.


EXAMPLE



Claim: J_1 and J_2 are core-free subgroups of G .

Proof:

EXAMPLE




Claim: J_1 and J_2 are core-free subgroups of G .

Proof:

- If $N \triangleleft G$ then NH permutes with each subgroup containing H .

EXAMPLE




Claim: J_1 and J_2 are core-free subgroups of G .

Proof:

- If $N \triangleleft G$ then NH permutes with each subgroup containing H .
- If $1 \neq N \leq J_1$, then $NH = J_1$, so J_1 and K permute.

EXAMPLE




Claim: J_1 and J_2 are core-free subgroups of G .

Proof:

- If $N \triangleleft G$ then NH permutes with each subgroup containing H .
- If $1 \neq N \leq J_1$, then $NH = J_1$, so J_1 and K permute.
- Since $J_1K = G$ and $J_1 \cap K = H$,

$$[J_1, G] \cong [H, K]^{J_1} = \{X \in [H, K] \mid J_1X = XJ_1\}.$$

EXAMPLE



Claim: J_1 and J_2 are core-free subgroups of G .

Proof:

- If $N \triangleleft G$ then NH permutes with each subgroup containing H .
- If $1 \neq N \leq J_1$, then $NH = J_1$, so J_1 and K permute.
- Since $J_1K = G$ and $J_1 \cap K = H$,

$$[J_1, G] \cong [H, K]^{J_1} = \{X \in [H, K] \mid J_1X = XJ_1\}.$$

Impossible!

The following are at least core-free interval enforceable:

- $\mathcal{G}_0 = \mathfrak{G}^c =$ the nonsolvable groups
- $\mathcal{G}_1 = \{G \in \mathfrak{G} \mid (\forall n < \omega) (G \neq A_n \text{ and } G \neq S_n)\}$
- $\mathcal{G}_2 =$ the subdirectly irreducible groups
- $\mathcal{G}_3 =$ groups with no nontrivial abelian normal subgroups
- $\mathcal{G}_4 = \{G \in \mathfrak{G} \mid C_G(M) = 1 \text{ for all } 1 \neq M \trianglelefteq G\}.$

If a lattice L is isomorphic to an interval in the subgroup lattice of a finite group, then we call L *group representable*.

By the Pálffy-Pudlák Theorem, the FLRP has a negative answer if we can find a finite lattice that is not group representable.

If a lattice L is isomorphic to an interval in the subgroup lattice of a finite group, then we call L **group representable**.

By the Pálffy-Pudlák Theorem, the FLRP has a negative answer if we can find a finite lattice that is not group representable.

Suppose there exists property \mathcal{P} such that both \mathcal{P} and its negation $\neg\mathcal{P}$ are interval enforceable by the lattices L and L_c , respectively:

$$L \cong \llbracket H, G \rrbracket \implies G \text{ has property } \mathcal{P}$$

$$L_c \cong \llbracket H_c, G_c \rrbracket \implies G_c \text{ does not have property } \mathcal{P}$$

If a lattice L is isomorphic to an interval in the subgroup lattice of a finite group, then we call L **group representable**.


By the Pálffy-Pudlák Theorem, the FLRP has a negative answer if we can find a finite lattice that is not group representable.

Suppose there exists property \mathcal{P} such that both \mathcal{P} and its negation $\neg\mathcal{P}$ are interval enforceable by the lattices L and L_c , respectively:

$$L \cong \llbracket H, G \rrbracket \implies G \text{ has property } \mathcal{P}$$

$$L_c \cong \llbracket H_c, G_c \rrbracket \implies G_c \text{ does not have property } \mathcal{P}$$

Then the lattice



could not be group representable.

But if a group property and its negation are interval enforceable by L and L_C , then already at least one of these lattices is not group representable.

LEMMA

If \mathcal{P} is a group property that is interval enforceable by a group representable lattice, then $\neg\mathcal{P}$ is not interval enforceable by a group representable lattice.

Nonsolvability is interval enforceable, but solvability is not.

For if $L \cong \llbracket H, G \rrbracket$, then for any nonsolvable group K we have $L \cong \llbracket H \times K, G \times K \rrbracket$, and $G \times K$ is nonsolvable.

Note that the group $H \times K$ at the bottom of the interval is not core-free. So a more interesting question is whether a property and its negation could both be *core-free* IE.

CONJECTURE

If \mathcal{P} is core-free interval enforceable by a group representable lattice, then $\neg\mathcal{P}$ is not core-free interval enforceable by a group representable lattice.

Any class of groups that omits certain wreath products cannot be core-free interval enforceable by a group representable lattice.

THEOREM

Suppose \mathcal{P} is core-free interval enforceable by a group representable lattice. Then, for any finite nonabelian simple group S , there exists a wreath product group of the form $W = S \wr U$ that has property \mathcal{P} .

Any class of groups that omits certain wreath products cannot be core-free interval enforceable by a group representable lattice.

THEOREM

Suppose \mathcal{P} is core-free interval enforceable by a group representable lattice. Then, for any finite nonabelian simple group S , there exists a wreath product group of the form $W = S \wr U$ that has property \mathcal{P} .

COROLLARY

Solvable is not a core-free interval enforceable property.

Any class of groups that omits certain wreath products cannot be core-free interval enforceable by a group representable lattice.

THEOREM

Suppose \mathcal{P} is core-free interval enforceable by a group representable lattice. Then, for any finite nonabelian simple group S , there exists a wreath product group of the form $W = S \wr U$ that has property \mathcal{P} .

COROLLARY

Solvable is not a core-free interval enforceable property.

COROLLARY

Almost simple is not a core-free interval enforceable property.

Proof Sketch

Let L be a group representable lattice such that if $L \cong \llbracket H, G \rrbracket$ and $\text{core}_G(H) = 1$ then G has property \mathcal{P} .

Since L is group representable, $\exists G$ with $L \cong \llbracket H, G \rrbracket$.

Proof Sketch

Let L be a group representable lattice such that if $L \cong \llbracket H, G \rrbracket$ and $\text{core}_G(H) = 1$ then G has property \mathcal{P} .

Since L is group representable, $\exists G$ with $L \cong \llbracket H, G \rrbracket$.

Proof Sketch


Let L be a group representable lattice such that if $L \cong \llbracket H, G \rrbracket$ and $\text{core}_G(H) = 1$ then G has property \mathcal{P} .

Since L is group representable, $\exists G$ with $L \cong \llbracket H, G \rrbracket$.

Use Kurzweil's method for representing duals of lattices (twice):



- Fix a finite nonabelian simple group S .
- Suppose the index of H in G is $|G : H| = n$.
- Then the action of G on the cosets of H induces an automorphism of the group S^n by permutation of coordinates.
- Denote this by $\varphi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(S^n)$, and let $\varphi(G) = \bar{G} \leq \text{Aut}(S^n)$.



The interval $\llbracket D, S^n \rrbracket$ is isomorphic to $\text{Eq}(n)^*$, the dual of the lattice of partitions of an n -element set.

The dual lattice L^* is an upper interval of $\text{Sub}(U)$, namely, $L^* \cong \llbracket D\bar{G}, U \rrbracket$.

The only technical part is proving the

Claim: If you start with a core-free subgroup $H < G$, then after applying Kurzweil twice, the subgroup at the bottom of L^{**} is core-free.

The only technical part is proving the

Claim: If you start with a core-free subgroup $H < G$, then after applying Kurzweil twice, the subgroup at the bottom of L^{**} is core-free.

So a class of groups that omits wreath products of the form $S \wr G$, where S is an arbitrary finite nonabelian simple group, is not a core-free interval enforceable class.

Examples: the class of solvable groups, the class of almost simple groups.

THEOREM

The following statements are equivalent:

- (B) *Every finite lattice is isomorphic to an interval in the subgroup lattice of a finite group.*
- (C) *For every finite lattice L and every finite collection $\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_n$ of cf-IE classes of groups,*

$$\exists G \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{G}_i \text{ such that } L \cong \llbracket H, G \rrbracket \text{ and } \text{core}_G(H) = 1.$$

- (D) *For every finite collection \mathcal{L} of finite lattices, there exists a finite group G such that each $L_i \in \mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to $\llbracket H_i, G \rrbracket$ for some core-free subgroup $H_i \leq G$.*

By (C), the FLRP would have a negative answer if we could find a collection $\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_n$ of cf-IE classes such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{G}_i$ is empty.

By (D), it makes sense to consider finite collections of finite lattices and ask what can be proved about a group G if one assumes that all of these lattices are isomorphic to upper intervals of $\text{Sub}(G)$.

By (C), the FLRP would have a negative answer if we could find a collection $\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_n$ of cf-IE classes such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{G}_i$ is empty.

By (D), it makes sense to consider finite collections of finite lattices and ask what can be proved about a group G if one assumes that all of these lattices are isomorphic to upper intervals of $\text{Sub}(G)$.

Questions:

1. We have only considered interval enforceable properties.
What about property enforceable intervals?

By (C), the FLRP would have a negative answer if we could find a collection $\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_n$ of cf-IE classes such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{G}_i$ is empty.

By (D), it makes sense to consider finite collections of finite lattices and ask what can be proved about a group G if one assumes that all of these lattices are isomorphic to upper intervals of $\text{Sub}(G)$.

Questions:

1. We have only considered interval enforceable properties.
What about property enforceable intervals?
2. Could PE intervals be used to prove Nice Boring Theorems? (cf. Peter Mayr's talk)

