

# Isotopic algebras with nonisomorphic congruence lattices

WILLIAM DEMEO

**ABSTRACT.** We give examples of pairs of isotopic algebras with nonisomorphic congruence lattices, thus answering negatively the question of whether all isotopic algebras have isomorphic congruence lattices.

It is well known that two algebras in a congruence modular variety that are isotopic have isomorphic congruence lattices. In fact, this result holds more generally, but to date the most general result of this kind (recalled below) assumes some form of congruence modularity. It is natural to ask to what extent the congruence modularity hypothesis could be relaxed and whether it is possible to prove that all isotopic algebras have isomorphic congruence lattices. In this note we show that the full generalization is not possible; we construct a class of counter-examples involving pairs of algebras whose congruence lattices are obviously not isomorphic, and then prove that these pairs of algebras are isotopic.

If  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{B}$ , and  $\mathbf{C}$  are algebras of the same signature, we say that  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are *isotopic over  $\mathbf{C}$* , and we write  $\mathbf{A} \sim_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{B}$ , if there exists an isomorphism  $\varphi : \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C}$  such that for all  $a \in A$ ,  $c \in C$ , the second coordinate of  $\varphi(a, c)$  is  $c$ ; that is,  $\varphi(a, c) = (b, c)$  for some  $b \in B$ . We say that  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are *isotopic*, and we write  $\mathbf{A} \sim \mathbf{B}$ , provided  $\mathbf{A} \sim_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{B}$  for some  $\mathbf{C}$ . It is not hard to check that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.

If  $\mathbf{A} \sim_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{B}$  and the congruence lattice of  $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{C}$  happens to be modular, then we write  $\mathbf{A} \sim_{\mathbf{C}}^{\text{mod}} \mathbf{B}$ , in which case we say that  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are *modular isotopic over  $\mathbf{C}$* . We call  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  *modular isotopic in one step*, denoted  $\mathbf{A} \sim_1^{\text{mod}} \mathbf{B}$ , if they are modular isotopic over  $\mathbf{C}$  for some  $\mathbf{C}$ . Finally,  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are *modular isotopic*, denoted  $\mathbf{A} \sim^{\text{mod}} \mathbf{B}$  if the pair  $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})$  belongs to the transitive closure of  $\sim_1^{\text{mod}}$ .

Let  $\text{Con } \mathbf{A}$  denote the congruence lattice of  $\mathbf{A}$ . It is well known that  $\mathbf{A} \sim^{\text{mod}} \mathbf{B}$  implies  $\text{Con } \mathbf{A} \cong \text{Con } \mathbf{B}$ . The proof of this result appearing in [2] is a straight forward application of Dedekind's Transposition Principle. Since a version of this principle has been shown to hold even in the nonmodular case ([1]), we might hope that the proof technique used in [2] could be used to show that  $\mathbf{A} \sim_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{B}$  implies  $\text{Con } \mathbf{A} \cong \text{Con } \mathbf{B}$ . But this strategy quickly breaks down, and the application of the perspectivity map, which works fine when  $\text{Con}(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{C})$  is modular, can fail if  $\text{Con}(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{C})$  is nonmodular, even in cases where  $\mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{B}$ .

---

Presented by ...

Received ...; accepted in final form ...

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary: 08A30; Secondary: 08A60, 06B10.

*Key words and phrases*: isotopy, isotopic algebras, congruence lattice.

This note describes a class of examples for which  $\mathbf{A} \sim_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{B}$  and  $\text{Con } \mathbf{A} \not\cong \text{Con } \mathbf{B}$ . Although we suspect simpler examples can be found, the construction given here is not complicated and reveals that congruence lattices of isotopic algebras can differ quite dramatically.

For any group  $G$ , let  $\text{Sub}(G)$  denote the lattice of subgroups of  $G$ , and let  $\text{NSub}(G)$  denote the lattice of normal subgroups of  $G$ . A group  $G$  is called a *Dedekind group* provided every subgroup of  $G$  is normal—i.e.,  $\text{Sub}(G) = \text{NSub}(G)$ . We call  $G$  a *non-Dedekind group* if it has a nonnormal subgroup; of course this requires  $G$  be nonabelian, but that is not sufficient. For example, the eight element quaternion group is a Dedekind group.

Let  $S$  be any group and let  $D$  denote the *diagonal subgroup* of  $S \times S$ ; that is,  $D = \{(x, x) \mid x \in S\}$ . The *filter above  $D$  in  $\text{Sub}(S \times S)$* , which we denote by  $\llbracket D, S \times S \rrbracket$ , consists of the subgroups of  $S \times S$  that contain  $D$ . In symbols,  $\llbracket D, S \times S \rrbracket = \{K \mid D \leq K \leq S \times S\}$ . This is a sublattice of  $\text{Sub}(S \times S)$  and is described by the following easy lemma:

**Lemma 1.** *The filter above the diagonal subgroup in the subgroup lattice of  $S \times S$  is isomorphic to the lattice of normal subgroups of  $S$ . In symbols,  $\llbracket D, S \times S \rrbracket \cong \text{NSub}(S)$ .*

**The example.** Let  $S$  be any finite non-Dedekind group. Let  $G = S_1 \times S_2$ , where  $S_1 \cong S_2 \cong S$ , and let  $D = \{(x_1, x_2) \in G \mid x_1 = x_2\}$ , the diagonal subgroup of  $G$ . For ease of notation, put  $T_1 = S_1 \times \{1\}$  and  $T_2 = \{1\} \times S_2$ . Then  $D \cong T_1 \cong T_2$ , and these three subgroups are pair-wise compliments:  $T_1 \cap D = D \cap T_2 = T_1 \cap T_2 = \{(1, 1)\}$  and  $\langle T_1, T_2 \rangle = \langle T_1, D \rangle = \langle D, T_2 \rangle = G$ .

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be the algebra whose universe is the set  $A = G/T_1$  of left cosets of  $T_1$  in  $G$ , and whose operations are left multiplication by elements of  $G$ . That is  $\mathbf{A} = \langle G/T_1, G^{\mathbf{A}} \rangle$  where, for each  $g \in G$ , the operation  $g^{\mathbf{A}} \in G^{\mathbf{A}}$  is defined by  $g^{\mathbf{A}}(xT_1) = (gx)T_1$ . Define the algebra  $\mathbf{C} = \langle G/T_2, G^{\mathbf{C}} \rangle$  similarly.

The algebra  $\mathbf{B}$  will have as its universe the set  $B = G/D$  of left cosets of  $D$  in  $G$ , but in this case we define the action of  $G$  on  $B$  with a slight twist: for each  $g = (g_1, g_2) \in G$ , for each  $(x_1, x_2)D \in G/D$ ,

$$g^{\mathbf{B}}((x_1, x_2)D) = (g_2x_1, g_1x_2)D.$$

Let  $\mathbf{B} = \langle G/D, G^{\mathbf{B}} \rangle$ , where  $G^{\mathbf{B}} = \{g^{\mathbf{B}} \mid g \in G\}$ .

Consider the binary relation  $\varphi \subseteq (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{C}) \times (\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C})$  defined by associating to each pair  $((x_1, x_2)T_1, (y_1, y_2)T_2)$  in  $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{C}$  the pair  $((x_2, y_1)D, (y_1, y_2)T_2)$  in  $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C}$ . Our claim (proved below) is that this relation defines a function  $\varphi: \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C}$ , and that this function is an isomorphism. Since the second coordinates of  $\varphi$ -related pairs are the same, this will establish that  $\mathbf{A} \sim_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{B}$ .

Note that  $\text{Con } \mathbf{A}$  is isomorphic to the filter above  $T_1$  in the subgroup lattice of  $G$  (see [2, Lemma 4.20]), and this filter is isomorphic to the subgroup lattice of  $S$ . Thus,  $\text{Con } \mathbf{A} \cong \text{Sub}(S)$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 1 we have  $\text{Con } \mathbf{B} \cong \text{NSub}(S)$ , and since we chose  $S$  to be a non-Dedekind group, we can conclude that  $\text{Con } \mathbf{B} \cong \text{NSub}(S) \subsetneq \text{Sub}(S) \cong \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$ .

The foregoing describes a class of examples, indexed by the group  $S$ . The group  $S$  must be a non-Dedekind group but is otherwise arbitrary and can be chosen so that  $\text{Con } \mathbf{A}$  and  $\text{Con } \mathbf{B}$  are not only nonisomorphic, but also very different in size. For example, if  $S$  is a finite nonabelian simple group, then  $\text{Con } \mathbf{B}$  has just two elements, while  $\text{Con } \mathbf{A} \cong \text{Sub}(S)$  can be enormous.

We conclude with the easy proofs of three claims which establish that  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism.

*Claim 1:*  $\varphi$  is a function.

*Proof:* Suppose  $(xT_1, yT_2) = (x'T_1, y'T_2)$ . Note that  $(x_1, x_2)T_1 = (x'_1, x'_2)T_1$  and  $T_1 = S_1 \times \{1\}$  imply  $x_2 = x'_2$ . Similarly,  $(y_1, y_2)T_2 = (y'_1, y'_2)T_2$  and  $T_2 = \{1\} \times S_2$  imply  $y_1 = y'_1$ . This yields

$$((x_2, y_1)D, (y_1, y_2)T_2) = ((x'_2, y'_1)D, (y'_1, y'_2)T_2),$$

which proves that if  $(a_1, b_1) \in \varphi$  and  $(a_2, b_2) \in \varphi$  and  $a_1 = a_2$ , then  $b_1 = b_2$ , so  $\varphi$  is a function.

*Claim 2:*  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism.

*Proof:* For  $g = (g_1, g_2) \in G$ , for  $xT_1 = (x_1, x_2)T_1 \in G/T_1$ , and for  $yT_2 = (y_1, y_2)T_2 \in G/T_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(g^{\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{C}}(xT_1, yT_2)) &= \varphi((g_1x_1, g_2x_2)T_1, (g_1y_1, g_2y_2)T_2) \\ &= ((g_2x_2, g_1y_1)D, (g_1y_1, g_2y_2)T_2) \\ &= (g^{\mathbf{B}}((x_2, y_1)D), g^{\mathbf{C}}(yT_2)) \\ &= g^{\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C}}((x_2, y_1)D, yT_2) \\ &= g^{\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C}}\varphi(xT_1, yT_2). \end{aligned}$$

*Claim 3:*  $\varphi$  is bijective.

*Proof:* Since  $\varphi$  is a function from the finite set  $A$  to the finite set  $B$ , and since  $|A| = |G : T_1| = |G : D| = |B|$ , it suffices to prove that  $\varphi$  is injective. Suppose  $\varphi(xT_1, yT_2) = \varphi(x'T_1, y'T_2)$ . That is, suppose

$$((x_2, y_1)D, yT_2) = ((x'_2, y'_1)D, y'T_2).$$

Then, as above,  $(y_1, y_2)T_2 = (y'_1, y'_2)T_2$  implies  $y_1 = y'_1$ . Therefore, since  $(x_2, y_1)^{-1}(x'_2, y'_1) \in D$ , we have  $x_2^{-1}x'_2 = y_1^{-1}y'_1 = 1$ , so  $(x_1, x_2)$  and  $(x'_1, x'_2)$  can only differ in the first coordinate. It follows that  $(x_1, x_2)T_1 = (x'_1, x_2)T_1$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] DeMeo, W.: Dedekind's Transposition Principle for lattices of equivalence relations (2012). URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1301.6788>
- [2] McKenzie, R.N., McNulty, G.F., Taylor, W.F.: Algebras, lattices, varieties. Vol. I. Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, Monterey, CA (1987)

Department of Mathematics, University of South Carolina, Columbia 29208, USA  
*e-mail*: [williamdemeo@gmail.com](mailto:williamdemeo@gmail.com)  
*URL*: <http://williamdemeo.org>