

ON A PROBLEM OF PÁLFY AND SAXL

WILLIAM DEMEO

1. INTRODUCTION

In the paper [1], Péter Pálfi and Jan Saxl pose the following

PROBLEM. Let \mathbf{A} be a finite algebra with $\text{Con } \mathbf{A} \cong M_n$, $n \geq 4$. If three nontrivial congruences of \mathbf{A} pairwise permute, does it follow that every pair of congruences of \mathbf{A} permute?

These notes collect some notation and facts that might be useful for attacking this problem. Throughout, X denotes a finite set, $\text{Eq}(X)$ denotes the lattice of equivalence relations on X and, for $\alpha \in \text{Eq}(X)$ and $x \in X$, we denote by x/α the equivalence class of α containing x . We often refer to equivalence classes as “blocks,” and we denote by $\#\text{Blocks}(\alpha)$ the number of blocks of the equivalence relation α .

For a given $\alpha \in \text{Eq}(X)$ the map $\varphi_\alpha : x \mapsto x/\alpha$ is a function from X into the power set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ with kernel $\ker \varphi_\alpha = \alpha$. The *block-size function* $x \mapsto |x/\alpha|$ is a function from X into $\{1, 2, \dots, |X|\}$.

We will often abuse notation and equate an equivalence relation with the corresponding partition of the set X . For example, we will equate the relation

$$\alpha = \{(0, 0), (1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (0, 1), (1, 0), (2, 3), (3, 2)\}$$

with the partition $|0, 1|2, 3|$, and often we resort to writing $\alpha = |0, 1|2, 3|$.

We say that α has *uniform blocks* if all blocks of α have the same size; or, equivalently, the block-size function is constant: for all $x, y \in X$, $|x/\alpha| = |y/\alpha|$. We will use $|x/\alpha|$, without specifying a particular $x \in X$, to denote this block size. Thus, when α has uniform blocks, we have $|X| = |x/\alpha| \cdot \#\text{Blocks}(\alpha)$.

We say that two equivalence relations with uniform blocks have *complementary uniform block structure*, or simply *complementary blocks*, if the number of blocks of one is equal to the block size of the other. In other words, if α and β are two equivalence relations on X with uniform block sizes $|x/\alpha|$ and $|x/\beta|$, respectively, then α and β have complementary blocks if and only if $(\forall x)(\forall y) |x/\alpha| \cdot |y/\beta| = |X|$.

Given two equivalence relations α and β on X , the relation

$$\alpha \circ \beta = \{(x, y) \in X^2 : (\exists z) x \alpha z \beta y\}$$

is called the *composition of α and β* , and if $\alpha \circ \beta = \beta \circ \alpha$ then α and β are said to *permute*, or to be *permuting* equivalence relations. Note that $\alpha \circ \beta \subseteq \alpha \vee \beta$ with equality if and only if α and β permute.

The largest and smallest equivalence relations on X are $1_X = X^2$ and $0_X = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$, respectively.

2. BASIC OBSERVATIONS

We say that α and β are *complementary* equivalence relations on X provided $\alpha \vee \beta = 1_X$ and $\alpha \wedge \beta = 0_X$.

Lemma 1. Suppose α and β are complementary equivalence relations on X . Then α and β permute if and only if they have complementary blocks. That is,

$$\alpha \circ \beta = 1_X \iff (\forall x)(\forall y) |x/\alpha| \cdot |y/\alpha| = |X|.$$

Corollary 1. Suppose $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ are pairwise complementary equivalence relations on X . Then $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ pairwise permute if and only if they all have uniform blocks of size $\sqrt{|X|}$. In other words,

$$(\forall i)(\forall j) (i \neq j \longrightarrow \alpha_i \circ \alpha_j = 1_X) \iff (\forall i)(\forall x) |x/\alpha_i| = \sqrt{|X|}.$$

In this case, we clearly have $|x/\alpha_i| = \# \text{Blocks}(\alpha_i)$.

Proof of Lemma 1. Assume $\alpha \circ \beta = \alpha \vee \beta = 1_X$. Then, for all $x \in X$ we have

$$(2.1) \quad x/(\alpha \circ \beta) = \coprod_{y \in x/\alpha} y/\beta = X,$$

where \coprod denotes disjoint union. The union is disjoint since $\alpha \wedge \beta = 0_X$. Since the union in (2.1) is all of X , every block of β must appear in the union, so the block x/α has exactly $\# \text{Blocks}(\beta)$ elements. Since x was arbitrary, α has uniform blocks of size $|x/\alpha| = \# \text{Blocks}(\beta)$. Similarly, $x/(\beta \circ \alpha) = \coprod_{y \in x/\beta} y/\alpha = X$, so $|x/\beta| = \# \text{Blocks}(\alpha)$ holds for all $x \in X$. Therefore, for all $x, y \in X$, we have

$$|x/\alpha| \cdot |y/\beta| = |x/\alpha| \cdot \# \text{Blocks}(\alpha) = |X|.$$

To prove the converse, suppose α and β are pairwise complements with complementary blocks. Then $|x/\alpha| \cdot |y/\beta| = |X|$, thus $|y/\beta| = |x/\alpha|^{-1} \cdot |X| = \# \text{Blocks}(\alpha)$ hold for all $x, y \in X$. Therefore, for all $x \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x/(\alpha \circ \beta)| &= \left| \coprod_{y \in x/\alpha} y/\beta \right| = \sum_{y \in x/\alpha} |y/\beta| \\ &= \sum_{y \in x/\alpha} \# \text{Blocks}(\alpha) \\ &= |x/\alpha| \# \text{Blocks}(\alpha) = |X|. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $\alpha \circ \beta = 1_X$, as desired. \square

Proof of Corollary 1. Since α_1 and α_2 permute and are complements, Lemma 1 implies they have complementary blocks, so

$$(2.2) \quad |x/\alpha_1| = |x/\alpha_2|^{-1} \cdot |X| = \# \text{Blocks}(\alpha_2).$$

(This holds for all $x \in X$. Recall that complementary blocks are always uniform.) Similarly, since α_1 and α_3 permute, we have $|x/\alpha_1| = |x/\alpha_3|^{-1} \cdot |X| = \# \text{Blocks}(\alpha_3)$. Therefore, $\# \text{Blocks}(\alpha_2) = \# \text{Blocks}(\alpha_3)$. Since α_2 and α_3 permute, we have

$$(2.3) \quad |x/\alpha_2| = |x/\alpha_3|^{-1} \cdot |X| = \# \text{Blocks}(\alpha_3),$$

and the latter is equal to $\# \text{Blocks}(\alpha_2)$. Therefore,

$$|X| = |x/\alpha_2| \cdot \# \text{Blocks}(\alpha_2) = |x/\alpha_2| \cdot |x/\alpha_2|.$$

Thus, $|x/\alpha_2| = \sqrt{|X|}$, so by (2.2) and (2.3) we have $|x/\alpha_i| = \sqrt{|X|} = \# \text{Blocks}(\alpha_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.



FIGURE 1. The graph defining the relation $\rho(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta)$; that is, $(x, y) \in \rho(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta)$ if and only if there exist $a, b \in X$ satisfying the relations in the diagram.

The converse is obvious, since if α_i and α_j are complementary equivalence relations on X with $|x/\alpha_i| = \sqrt{|X|}$, then $\#\text{Blocks}(\alpha_i) = \sqrt{|X|}$, so $\alpha_i \circ \alpha_j = 1_X$. \square

From Corollary 1 we see that the Pálfi-Saxl problem can be stated as

PROBLEM. Let \mathbf{A} be a finite algebra with $\text{Con } \mathbf{A} \cong M_n$, $n \geq 4$. If three atoms of \mathbf{A} have Property (2.4) below, does it follow that every atom has Property (2.4)?

$$(2.4) \quad (\forall x) |x/\alpha| = \sqrt{|X|} = \#\text{Blocks}(\alpha)$$

To prove that the answer is “yes,” it will suffice to prove that if $M_n \leq \text{Eq}(X)$ has 3 atoms with Property (2.4) and an atom β with $|x/\beta| < \sqrt{|X|}$, then this M_n is not a congruence lattice.

3. GRAPHICAL COMPOSITIONS

Suppose α_1, α_2 , and α_3 are pairwise permuting pairwise complements (PPPC) in $\text{Eq}(X)$, and let $\beta \in \text{Eq}(X)$ be complementary to each α_i , so that

$$L = \{0_X, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta, 1_X\} \cong M_4.$$

Define the relation $\rho = \rho(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta) \subseteq X \times X$ as follows:

$$x \rho y \iff (\exists(a, b) \in \beta) x \alpha_1 a \alpha_2 y \alpha_1 b \alpha_2 x.$$

Graphically, $x \rho y$ if and only if there exist $a, b \in X$ satisfying the relations depicted in Figure 1.

It is clear that ρ is reflexive and symmetric but not transitive. Suppose $f \in X^X$ is a unary function that respects each relation $\theta \in \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta\}$ —that is, $(u, v) \in \theta \implies (f(u), f(v)) \in \theta$. Then it is clear that f must also respect ρ . (Use the same diagram as above, replacing each vertex label u with the label $f(u)$.)

Fact 1. If $L = \{0_X, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta, 1_X\} \cong M_4$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 \cap \rho(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta) &= 0_X = \alpha_2 \cap \rho(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta), \\ \alpha_1 \cap \rho(\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \beta) &= 0_X = \alpha_3 \cap \rho(\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \beta), \\ \alpha_2 \cap \rho(\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta) &= 0_X = \alpha_3 \cap \rho(\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Fix $(x, y) \in \alpha_1 \cap \rho(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta)$ and suppose a and b satisfy the diagram in Figure 1. Then $(x, y) \in \alpha_1$ implies $(a, b) \in \alpha_1 \wedge \beta = 0_X$, so $a = b$. Therefore, $(x, y) \in \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 = 0_X$, so $x = y$. Proofs of the other identities are similar. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] P. P. Pálffy and J. Saxl. Congruence lattices of finite algebras and factorizations of groups.
Comm. Algebra, 18(9):2783–2790, 1990.