CS 644: Homework 2 ANSWERS

Instructions. Answer the following multiple choice questions by selecting all correct choices. Some of the questions will have more than one correct choice.

Select all correct choices to receive full credit!

1.	(6 points) Programming Paradigms
	(a) Which of the following is <i>not</i> an example of a programming paradigm?
	$\sqrt{assembly}$ \square declarative \square imperative \square functional \square object-oriented
	(b) Which of the following characteristics are typical of imperative programs.
	\Box values of variables may change or "mutate" (they are $mutable$)
	\square program execution proceeds by carrying out a sequence of instructions
	\Box functions often have $side$ -effects
	$\sqrt{\ all\ of\ the\ above}$
	(c) Which of the following characteristics are typical of functional programs.
	□ values of variables do not change or "mutate" (they are <i>immutable</i>)
	\Box functions are referentially transparent
	\Box functions do not have $side$ -effects
	$\sqrt{\ all\ of\ the\ above}$
2.	(2 points) A higher-order function is a function that
	□ can be passed as an argument to other functions
	\Box can be returned as output by other functions
	\square can be called a higher order of times than ordinary, "lower-order" functions
	$\sqrt{\ accepts\ a\ function\ (or\ functions)}\ as\ input\ or\ returns\ a\ function\ (or\ functions)\ as\ output.$
	□ takes a higher order of magnitude of time to return a value than ordinary, "lower-order" functions
3.	(2 points) An expression e is called referentially transparent provided
	\Box the value of e , when it is reduced to "normal form," is obvious or "transparent."
	$\hfill\Box$ the values all expressions to which e refers are obvious or "transparent."
	$\sqrt{\ }$ for all programs p , all occurrences of e in p can be replaced by the result of evaluating e without affecting the meaning of p .
	\Box none of the above

4. (6 points) Scala I
(a) The programming paradigm(s) of Scala is(are) which of these? (select all that apply). \Box assembly \Box declarative \Box imperative $\sqrt{functional}$ $\sqrt{object-oriented}$
 (b) What is the result of the following program? val x = 0 def f(y: Int) = y + 1 val result = { val x = f(3) x * x } + x □ 0 √ 16 □ 32 □ it does not terminate (c) Why should we care about writing functions that are "tail-recursive?" □ Recursion should be carried out on the tail, not the head.
□ Recursion should be carried out on the head, not the tail.
$\sqrt{\ Non-tail-recursive\ functions\ may\ exhaust\ stack\ memory.}$
□ Non-tail-recursive functions may exhaust heap memory.
5. (6 points) Consider the following code.
<pre>def sq(x: Double): Option[Double] = if (x < 0) None else Some(Math.sqrt(x))</pre>
val list = List(-1.0, 4.0, 9.0)
(a) To what does the expression list.map(sq) evaluate?
□ List(2.0, 3.0)
$\sqrt{List(None, Some(2.0), Some(3.0))}$
□ Some(List(2.0, 3.0))
□ None
\square none of the above
(b) To what does the expression list.flatMap(sq) evaluate?
$\sqrt{\ List(2.0,\ 3.0)}$
☐ List(None, Some(2.0), Some(3.0))
□ Some(List(i, 2.0, 3.0))
None
,
\Box none of the above

6.	(4 p	oints) Scala II. The parts below refer to the function $test(x:Int, y:Int) = x * x$.
	(a)	For the function call test(2, 3), which evaluation strategy is most efficient (takes the least number of steps)?
		□ call-by-value is more efficient
		□ call-by-name is more efficient
		$\sqrt{\ call ext{-}by ext{-}value}\ and\ call ext{-}by ext{-}name\ require\ the\ same\ number\ of\ steps}$
		the program does not terminate
		Explanation.
		In both cases we have to do one multiplication (2 * 2).
	(b)	For the function call test(3 + 4, 8), which evaluation strategy is most efficient?
		$\sqrt{\ call ext{-}by ext{-}value\ is\ more\ efficient}$
		□ call-by-name is more efficient
		□ call-by-value and call-by-name require the same number of steps
		☐ the program does not terminate
		Explanation.
		Call-by-value performs one addition $(3 + 4)$ and one multiplication $(7 * 7)$, whereas call-by-name performs two additions and one multiplication $((3 + 4) * (3 + 4))$.
	(c)	For the function call test(7, 2*4), which evaluation strategy is most efficient?
	(0)	□ call-by-value is more efficient
		$\sqrt{\ call ext{-}by ext{-}name\ is\ more\ efficient}}$
		□ call-by-value and call-by-name require the same number of steps
		the program does not terminate
		Explanation.
		Call-by-value performs two multiplications (2 * 4 and 7 * 7), whereas call-by-name performs
		just one multiplication (7 * 7).
	(d)	For the function call test(3+4, 2*4) which evaluation strategy is most efficient?
		□ call-by-value is more efficient
		□ call-by-name is more efficient
		$\sqrt{\ call ext{-}by ext{-}value}\ and\ call ext{-}by ext{-}name\ require\ the\ same\ number\ of\ steps}$
		□ the program does not terminate
		Explanation.
		Call-by-value performs one addition $(3 + 4)$ and two multiplications $(2 * 4$ and $7 * 7)$, and
		call-by-name performs two additions and one multiplication ($(3 + 4) * (3 + 4)$).