

## Pseudorandom Generators via Polarizing Random Walks (lecture notes)

Course: Analysis of Boolean Functions, Autumn 2025, University of Chicago  
Instructor: William Hoza ([williamhoza@uchicago.edu](mailto:williamhoza@uchicago.edu))

In these lecture notes, we prove the following.

**Theorem 0.1** (Fourier growth bounds imply foolability). *For every  $n, b \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , there exists an explicit PRG  $G: \{\pm 1\}^r \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}^n$  with the following properties.*

- Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of Boolean functions  $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is closed under restrictions. Assume that for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $L_{1,k}(f) \leq b^k$ . Then  $G$  fools  $\mathcal{F}$  with error  $\varepsilon$ .
- The seed length is  $r = \tilde{O}(b^2 \cdot \log(n/\varepsilon) \cdot \log(1/\varepsilon))$ .

For example, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is the class of size- $s$   $\text{AC}_d^0$  circuits, then we can take  $b = O(\log s)^{d-1}$ , so the seed length is  $\text{polylog}(ns/\varepsilon)$ .

As another example, recall that we proved that width- $w$  oblivious regular ROBPs satisfy  $L_{1,k}(f) \leq w^k$ . Unfortunately, the class of width- $w$  oblivious regular ROBPs is not closed under restriction. However, we can consider the subclass of width- $w$  oblivious *permutation* ROBPs. These are width- $w$  oblivious ROBPs in which there are no “collisions,” i.e., edges with the same label pointing to the same vertex. This class is closed under restrictions, so by [Theorem 0.1](#), we can fool it using a seed of length  $\tilde{O}(w^2 \cdot \log(n/\varepsilon) \cdot \log(1/\varepsilon))$ .

## 1 Fractional PRGs

The proof of [Theorem 0.1](#) is based on the notion of a *fractional PRG*. A fractional PRG is a function  $G: \{\pm 1\}^r \rightarrow [-1, 1]^n$ . And what does it mean for a fractional PRG to “fool” a Boolean function? The Fourier expansion is the key to making sense of it.

**Definition 1.1** (Multilinear extension). For any  $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the *multilinear extension* of  $f$  is the function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f(x) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \cdot \prod_{i \in S} x_i.$$

When  $x \in [-1, 1]^n$ , there is a nice probabilistic interpretation of  $f(x)$ . We use the following notation.

**Definition 1.2** (Product distribution notation). For  $x \in [-1, 1]^n$ , let  $\Pi_x$  be the unique product distribution over  $\{\pm 1\}^n$  with expectation  $x$ .

**Claim 1.3.** *Let  $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and extend  $f$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  via the Fourier expansion. Then for every  $x \in [-1, 1]^n$ , we have  $f(x) = \mathbb{E}_{y \sim \Pi_x}[f(y)]$ . More generally, if  $X$  has a product distribution over  $[-1, 1]^n$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[f(X)] = f(\mathbb{E}[X])$ .*

*Proof.*

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X)] = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{i \in S} X_i \right] = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \cdot \prod_{i \in S} \mathbb{E}[X_i] = f(\mathbb{E}[X]). \quad \square$$

It follows that if  $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ , then its multilinear extension maps  $[-1, 1]^n \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ . Furthermore,  $f(0^n) = \mathbb{E}[f]$ .

**Definition 1.4** (Fractional PRGs). Let  $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and extend  $f$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  via the Fourier expansion. Let  $X$  be a random variable taking values in  $[-1, 1]^n$ . We say that  $X$  *fools*  $f$  with error  $\delta$  if  $|\mathbb{E}[f(X)] - \mathbb{E}[f]| \leq \delta$ . Let  $G: \{\pm 1\}^r \rightarrow [-1, 1]^n$ . We say that  $G$  fools  $f$  with error  $\delta$  if  $G(U_r)$  fools  $f$  with error  $\delta$ .

For example, if  $G$  always outputs  $0^n$ , then  $G$  trivially fools every  $f$  with error 0. The first step of proving [Theorem 0.1](#) is to construct a fractional PRG that fools  $\mathcal{F}$  and that takes values in  $\{\pm p\}^n$  for a not-too-small value  $p$ .

**Lemma 1.5** (Fractional PRG based on Fourier growth bounds). *For every  $n, b \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , there exists an explicit fractional PRG  $G: \{\pm 1\}^r \rightarrow \{\pm \frac{1}{2b}\}^n$  with the following properties.*

- Let  $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $L_{1,k}(f) \leq b^k$ . Then  $G$  fools  $f$  with error  $\delta$ .
- The seed length of  $G$  is  $O(\log(1/\delta) + \log \log n)$ .
- Each individual bit of  $G(U_r)$  is uniformly distributed over  $\{\pm \frac{1}{2b}\}$ .

*Proof.* The PRG  $G$  samples  $X \in \{\pm 1\}^n$  from a  $k$ -wise  $\gamma$ -biased distribution and samples  $\sigma \in \{\pm 1\}$  uniformly at random, and then it outputs  $p\sigma X \in \{\pm p\}^n$ , where  $k = \lceil \log(2/\delta) \rceil$ ,  $\gamma = \delta/2$ , and  $p = \frac{1}{2b}$ . The seed length is  $O(\log(k/\gamma) + \log \log n) = O(\log(1/\delta) + \log \log n)$ . Each individual output bit is uniform because of  $\sigma$ . Now let us prove that the generator fools  $f$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}[f(p\sigma X)] - \mathbb{E}[f]| &= \left| \sum_{S \neq \emptyset} \widehat{f}(S) \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{i \in S} (p\sigma X)_i \right] \right| \leq \sum_{S \neq \emptyset} |\widehat{f}(S)| \cdot p^{|S|} \cdot \left| \mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{i \in S} X_i \right] \right| \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{d=1}^k L_{1,d}(f) \cdot p^d \cdot \gamma \right) + \left( \sum_{d=k+1}^n L_{1,d}(f) \cdot p^d \right) \\ &\leq \gamma \cdot \sum_{d=1}^k (pb)^d + \sum_{d=k+1}^n (pb)^d \\ &\leq \gamma + 2^{-k} \\ &\leq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

□

## 2 Polarizing Random Walks

[Theorem 1.5](#) is the only part of the proof of [Theorem 0.1](#) that uses the assumed  $L_{1,k}$  bound. The rest of the proof is a generic transformation from fractional PRGs to non-fractional PRGs. Here's how it works. Let  $X$  be a distribution over  $\{\pm p\}^n$  that  $\delta$ -fools  $\mathcal{F}$ . We construct the following non-fractional PRG:

1. Sample  $t$  independent samples from  $X$ , say  $X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(t)}$ , for a suitable value  $t = O(\frac{\log(n/\varepsilon)}{p^2})$ .
2. Let  $Y^{(0)} = 0^n$ , and for  $j > 0$  define

$$Y^{(j)} = Y^{(j-1)} + \Delta_{Y^{(j-1)}} \odot X^{(j)},$$

where  $\Delta_y := (1 - |y_1|, 1 - |y_2|, \dots, 1 - |y_n|)$  and  $\odot$  is coordinatewise multiplication.

3. Output  $\text{sign}(Y^{(t)})$ , where  $\text{sign}(\cdot)$  is applied coordinatewise.

We will prove that  $\text{sign}(Y^{(t)})$  fools  $\mathcal{F}$  with error  $O(\varepsilon \cdot t)$ .

The construction can be interpreted as a *pseudorandom walk* through  $[-1, 1]^n$ . We start at  $0^n$ . We use  $X^{(j)}$  to decide which direction to move in step  $j$ , and the magnitude of the step is determined based on the current location.

The first step of the analysis is to prove that a single step doesn't do much harm.

**Lemma 2.1** (One step doesn't do much harm). *Let  $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that  $X$  fools every restriction of  $f$  with error  $\delta$ . Then for every  $y \in [-1, 1]^n$ , we have  $|f(y) - \mathbb{E}[f(y + \Delta_y \odot X)]| \leq \delta$ .*

*Proof.* Sample  $\rho \in \{+1, -1, \star\}^n$  in which the coordinates are independent and

$$\rho_i = \begin{cases} \text{sign}(y_i) & \text{with probability } |y_i| \\ \star & \text{with probability } 1 - |y_i|. \end{cases}$$

For each  $x \in [-1, 1]^n$ , define  $\rho \circ x \in [-1, 1]^n$  by the rule

$$(\rho \circ x)_i = \begin{cases} x_i & \text{if } \rho_i = \star \\ \rho_i & \text{if } \rho_i \neq \star. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\rho \circ x$  is a product distribution, so  $\mathbb{E}[f|_\rho(x)] = \mathbb{E}[f(\rho \circ x)] = f(\mathbb{E}[\rho \circ x])$ . Now, in each individual coordinate, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[(\rho \circ x)_i] = \text{sign}(y_i) \cdot |y_i| + x_i \cdot (1 - |y_i|) = y_i + x_i \cdot (1 - |y_i|),$$

so  $\mathbb{E}[\rho \circ x] = y + \Delta_y \odot x$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}[f(y + \Delta_y \odot X)] - f(y)| &= |\mathbb{E}[f(y + \Delta_y \odot X)] - f(y + \Delta_y \odot 0^n)| \\ &= |\mathbb{E}[f|_\rho(X)] - \mathbb{E}[f|_\rho(0^n)]| \\ &\leq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

□

The next step of the analysis is to show that the random walk *polarizes*, meaning that  $Y^{(t)}$  is close to  $\{\pm 1\}^n$  with high probability. We will focus on a single coordinate ( $n = 1$ ) and eventually do a union bound.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Assume  $n = 1$ . Then  $\Pr[\Delta_{Y^{(t)}} \geq \cdot e^{-tp^2/5}] \leq e^{-tp^2/50}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $n = 1$ ,  $X$  is just the uniform distribution over  $\{\pm p\}$ . So in step  $j$ , independently of whatever happened before, there is a 50% chance that we take a “good” step, meaning  $X = p \cdot \text{sign}(Y^{(j-1)})$ , and there is a 50% chance that we take a “bad” step, meaning  $X = -p \cdot \text{sign}(Y^{(j-1)})$ . In a “good” step, the distance  $\delta_{Y^{(j-1)}}$  decreases by a factor of  $1 - p$ . In a “bad” step, the distance might increase, but at most it increases by a factor of  $1 + p$ . By Hoeffding’s inequality, except with probability  $e^{-tp^2/50}$ , the number of good steps is at least  $(1/2 - p/10) \cdot t$ . In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{Y^{(t)}} &\leq (1 - p)^{(1/2 - p/10) \cdot t} \cdot (1 + p)^{(1/2 + p/10) \cdot t} = (1 - p^2)^{(1/2 - p/10) \cdot t} \cdot (1 + p)^{0.2pt} \\ &\leq (1 - p^2)^{0.4t} \cdot (1 + p)^{0.2pt} \\ &\leq e^{-0.4p^2t} \cdot e^{0.2p^2t} \\ &= e^{-0.2p^2t}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The final step is to argue that outputting  $\text{sign}(Y^{(t)})$  instead of  $Y^{(t)}$  itself doesn’t do too much harm.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ , and extend  $f$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  via the Fourier expansion. Then for every  $y \in [-1, 1]^n$ , we have*

$$|f(y) - f(\text{sign}(y))| \leq \|\Delta_y\|_1.$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} |f(y) - f(\text{sign}(y))| &= |\mathbb{E}[f(\Pi_y)] - f(\text{sign}(y))| \\ &\leq 2 \cdot \Pr_{x \sim \Pi_y} [x \neq \text{sign}(y)] \\ &\leq 2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \Pr_{x \sim \Pi_y} [x_i \neq \text{sign}(y_i)] \\ &= 2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - |y_i|}{2} \\ &= \|\Delta_y\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

□

*Proof sketch of Theorem 0.1.* Let  $X$  be the fractional PRG from [Theorem 1.5](#) with error  $\delta$ . Our PRG outputs the corresponding  $\text{sign}(Y^{(t)})$ . By the analysis above, the error of this PRG is at most

$$t\delta + 2n \cdot e^{-tp^2/50} + n \cdot e^{-tp^2/5} \leq \varepsilon,$$

provided we choose  $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2t}$ . The seed length is

$$\begin{aligned} t \cdot O(\log(1/\delta) + \log \log n) &= O(t \log t + t \log(1/\varepsilon) + t \log \log n) = \tilde{O}(p^{-2} \cdot \log(n/\varepsilon) \cdot \log(1/\varepsilon)) \\ &= \tilde{O}(b^2 \cdot \log(n/\varepsilon) \cdot \log(1/\varepsilon)). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$