## Consistent comparison of BGK and ES-BGK equations

Neglecting body forces the ES-BGK equation is

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + \eta_i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} = n^2 K_{ES}[\Psi - f] = n K_{ES}[\psi - \varphi] \tag{1}$$

where I have absorbed one factor of n into the bracketed term to write an equation in terms of  $\varphi$ . The standard BGK equation in a similar form would be

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + \eta_i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} = nK_{BGK}[\varphi_M - \varphi] \tag{2}$$

Introducing our standard scaling on the each side of the ES-BGK equation,

$$\eta_{ref} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{kT_{ref}}{m}}, \lambda_{ref} = \frac{1}{n_{ref}\sigma_{ref}}; \hat{f} = \eta_{ref}^3 f, \hat{n} = \frac{n}{n_{ref}}, \hat{\varphi} \equiv \hat{n}\hat{f} \Rightarrow \varphi = \frac{n_{ref}}{\eta_{ref}^3} \hat{\varphi}; \frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \frac{\eta_{ref}}{L_{ref}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{t}}$$
(3)

we get

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\varphi}}{\partial \hat{t}} + \hat{\eta}_i \frac{\partial \hat{\varphi}}{\partial \hat{x}_i} = \frac{L_{ref} n_{ref}}{\eta_{ref}} \hat{n} K_{ES} [\hat{\psi} - \hat{\varphi}]. \tag{4}$$

Defining the Knudsen number  $Kn = \lambda_{ref}/L_{ref}$  the scaled ES-BGK equation becomes

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\varphi}}{\partial \hat{t}} + \hat{\eta}_i \frac{\partial \hat{\varphi}}{\partial \hat{x}_i} = \frac{\hat{K}_{ES}}{\mathrm{Kn}} \hat{n} [\hat{\psi} - \hat{\varphi}], \qquad \hat{K}_{ES} \equiv \frac{K_{ES}}{\sigma_{ref} \eta_{ref}}$$
 (5)

Here

$$\hat{\psi} = \frac{\hat{n}}{(2\pi)^{3/2} (\det \hat{\Lambda}_{ij})^{1/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \hat{C}_i \hat{\Lambda}_{ij}^{-1} \hat{C}_j\right]$$
 (6)

$$\hat{\Lambda}_{ij} = (1 - \lambda^*) \hat{T} \delta_{ij} + \lambda^* \hat{M}_{ij} \tag{7}$$

and to get the correct value of the Prandtl number, Pr=2/3 we require  $\lambda^* = -1/2$ . Similarly the scaled BGK equation in this form is

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\varphi}}{\partial \hat{t}} + \hat{\eta}_i \frac{\partial \hat{\varphi}}{\partial \hat{x}_i} = \frac{\hat{K}_{BGK}}{\text{Kn}} \hat{n} [\hat{\varphi}_M - \hat{\varphi}], \qquad \hat{K}_{BGK} \equiv \frac{K_{BGK}}{\sigma_{ref} \eta_{ref}}$$
(8)

Note that Kn = 1 for the shock wave problem.

To make the most consistent comparisons of shock profiles computed using different model forms of the collision integral (BGK vs ES-BGK) and molecular models (hard sphere vs pseudo-Maxwell VHS) let us assume that we choose our models based on matching the coefficient of viscosity  $\mu$ . Then for a monatomic gas we have

$$K_{ES} = Pr \frac{kT}{\mu} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{kT}{\mu} \qquad K_{BGK} = \frac{kT}{\mu}$$
 (9)

Now in the Chapman-Enskog limit for a single component gas we have

$$\mu = \frac{5}{8} \frac{kT}{\Omega_{pp}^{(2,2)}} \Rightarrow K_{ES} = \frac{16}{15} \Omega_{pp}^{(2,2)}, K_{BGK} = \frac{8}{5} \Omega_{pp}^{(2,2)}$$
(10)

From Omeiri and Djafri (2010) and using  $\sigma_{ref}=\pi d_{ref}^2$  for VHS molecules we have

$$\Omega_{pp}^{(2,2)} = \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} \sigma_{ref}(5 - 2\omega_p)(7 - 2\omega_p) \left(\frac{2kT}{m}\right)^{1 - \omega_p} \left(\frac{2kT_{ref}}{m}\right)^{\omega_p - \frac{1}{2}}$$
(11)

For VHS pseudo-Maxwell (pM) molecules  $\omega_p = 1$  and we get

$$\Omega_{pp,pM}^{(2,2)} = \frac{15}{12} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} \sigma_{ref} \left(\frac{2kT_{ref}}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Rightarrow K_{ES,pM} = \frac{4}{3\sqrt{\pi}} \sigma_{ref} \eta_{ref}$$
(12)

For hard sphere (HS) molecules  $\omega_p = 1/2$  and we get

$$\Omega_{pp,HS}^{(2,2)} = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \sigma_{ref} \eta_{ref} \left(\frac{T}{T_{ref}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Rightarrow K_{ES,HS} = \frac{16}{15} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \sigma_{ref} \eta_{ref} \left(\frac{T}{T_{ref}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(13)

It follows that

$$\hat{K}_{ES,pM} = \frac{4}{3\sqrt{\pi}}, \qquad \hat{K}_{ES,HS} = \frac{16}{15}\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (14)

while

$$\hat{K}_{BGK,pM} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}, \qquad \hat{K}_{BGK,HS} = \frac{8}{5} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (15)