


History-Independent Load Balancing



Michael A. Bender

Stony Brook University

William Kuszmaul

CMU

Elaine Shi


CMU

Rose Silver


CMU

HISTORY-INDEPENDENT DATA STRUCTURES


HISTORY-INDEPENDENT DATA STRUCTURES



HISTORY-INDEPENDENT DATA STRUCTURES




HISTORY-INDEPENDENT DATA STRUCTURES



History Independence (Micciancio '97, Naor & Teague '01)

- ▶ The state reveals only the current elements—**not the history of operations**.

HISTORY-INDEPENDENT DATA STRUCTURES



History Independence (Micciancio '97, Naor & Teague '01)

- ▶ The state reveals only the current elements—not the history of operations.

HISTORY INDEPENDENT DATA STRUCTURES

A History of Applications

Hash tables, trees, memory allocation, PMAs, graph algorithms, cache-oblivious data structures, and more.

Micciancio '97, Naor & Teague '01, Buchbinder & Petrank '03, Molnar et al. '06, Blelloch & Golovin '07,
Moran et al. '07, Naor et al. '08, Golovin '08–'10, Bajaj & Sion '13, Roche et al. '15, Bender et al. '16

HISTORY INDEPENDENT DATA STRUCTURES

A History of Applications

Hash tables, trees, memory allocation, PMAs, graph algorithms, cache-oblivious data structures, and more.

Micciancio '97, Naor & Teague '01, Buchbinder & Petrank '03, Molnar et al. '06, Blelloch & Golovin '07,
Moran et al. '07, Naor et al. '08, Golovin '08–'10, Bajaj & Sion '13, Roche et al. '15, Bender et al. '16

Yet some basic questions remain open.

HISTORY INDEPENDENT DATA STRUCTURES

A History of Applications


Hash tables, trees, memory allocation, PMAs, graph algorithms, cache-oblivious data structures, and more.

Micciancio '97, Naor & Teague '01, Buchbinder & Petrank '03, Molnar et al. '06, Blelloch & Golovin '07,
Moran et al. '07, Naor et al. '08, Golovin '08–'10, Bajaj & Sion '13, Roche et al. '15, Bender et al. '16


Yet some basic questions remain open.

This work: History-Independent Load Balancing

TWO-CHOICE LOAD BALANCING




TWO-CHOICE LOAD BALANCING




- ▶ Balls are **inserted**/**deleted**, with up to m present at a time.

TWO-CHOICE LOAD BALANCING




- ▶ Balls are **inserted**/**deleted**, with up to m present at a time.
- ▶ Each ball has two random bins where it can go.

TWO-CHOICE LOAD BALANCING



- ▶ Balls are **inserted**/**deleted**, with up to m present at a time.
- ▶ Each ball has two random bins where it can go.
- ▶ We must maintain a valid assignment of balls to bins.


TWO GOALS



Minimize Overload:

- i.e., the amount by which the fullest bin exceeds m/n .

TWO GOALS



Minimize Overload:

- ▶ i.e., the amount by which the fullest bin exceeds m/n .

Minimize Recourse:

- ▶ i.e., the number of balls moved around on any given insertion/deletion.

PUTTING IT ALL TOGETHER

PUTTING IT ALL TOGETHER

History-Independent Load Balancing:

PUTTING IT ALL TOGETHER

History-Independent Load Balancing:

- ▶ For all sets S of balls: If the current set is S , then the assignment is always A_S .

PUTTING IT ALL TOGETHER

History-Independent Load Balancing:

- ▶ For all sets S of balls: If the current set is S , then the assignment is always A_S .

Question: Does there exist a history-independent solution with small recourse and small overload?

PUTTING IT ALL TOGETHER

History-Independent Load Balancing:

- ▶ For all sets S of balls: If the current set is S , then the assignment is always A_S .

Question: Does there exist a history-independent solution with small recourse and small overload?

Our Main Result: There exists a history-independent solution with:

- ▶ High probability overload $O(1)$
- ▶ Expected recourse $O(\log \log(m/n))$

PAST WORK (NOT HISTORY INDEPENDENT)

Overload	Recourse	Reference	Caveats
$O(\log \log n)$	0	[ABKU '94] [BCSV '00]	insertion-only
$O(1)$	$O(\log(m/n))$	[Dietzfelbinger, Weidling '07]	insertion-only
$\tilde{O}(\sqrt{m/n})$	$O(1)$	[Frieze, Petti '18]	insertion-only
$O(\log(m/n))$	0	[Bansal, Kuszmaul '22]	no reinsertions
$O(1)$	$O(m/n)$	[Dietzfelbinger, Weidling '07]	

PAST WORK (NOT HISTORY INDEPENDENT)

Overload	Recourse	Reference	Caveats
$O(\log \log n)$	0	[ABKU '94] [BCSV '00]	insertion-only
$O(1)$	$O(\log(m/n))$	[Dietzfelbinger, Weidling '07]	insertion-only
$\tilde{O}(\sqrt{m/n})$	$O(1)$	[Frieze, Petti '18]	insertion-only
$O(\log(m/n))$	0	[Bansal, Kuszmaul '22]	no reinsertions
$O(1)$	$O(m/n)$	[Dietzfelbinger, Weidling '07]	
$O(1)$	$O(\log \log(m/n))$	[This Paper]	

PAST WORK (NOT HISTORY INDEPENDENT)

Overload	Recourse	Reference	Caveats
$O(\log \log n)$	0	[ABKU '94] [BCSV '00]	insertion-only
$O(1)$	$O(\log(m/n))$	[Dietzfelbinger, Weidling '07]	insertion-only
$\tilde{O}(\sqrt{m/n})$	$O(1)$	[Frieze, Petti '18]	insertion-only
$O(\log(m/n))$	0	[Bansal, Kuszmaul '22]	no reinsertions
$O(1)$	$O(m/n)$	[Dietzfelbinger, Weidling '07]	
$O(1)$	$O(\log \log(m/n))$	[This Paper]	

If we want overload $O(1)$, our result is a new state of the art!


REST OF TALK: A SIMPLE WARMUP

Theorem: There exists a history-independent solution with:

- ▶ High-probability overload $\Theta(1)$ $O(\log \log n)$.
- ▶ Expected recourse $\Theta(\log \log(m/n))$ $O(m/n)$.


BASELINE 1: THE SINGLE-CHOICE STRATEGY

To insert a ball x , just put it in bin $h_1(x)$:



BASELINE 1: THE SINGLE-CHOICE STRATEGY


To insert a ball x , just put it in bin $h_1(x)$:



- ▶ This is history-independent ✓

BASELINE 1: THE SINGLE-CHOICE STRATEGY


To insert a ball x , just put it in bin $h_1(x)$:



- ▶ This is history-independent ✓
- ▶ The recourse is 0 ✓

BASELINE 1: THE SINGLE-CHOICE STRATEGY


To insert a ball x , just put it in bin $h_1(x)$:



- ▶ This is history-independent ✓
- ▶ The recourse is 0 ✓
- ▶ But... the overload is huge, roughly $\sqrt{m/n}$ ✗


BASELINE 2: GREEDY INSERTIONS

To insert a ball x , put it in the **emptier** of its choices:



BASELINE 2: GREEDY INSERTIONS


To insert a ball x , put it in the **emptier** of its choices:



- ▶ This is **not** history-independent \times

BASELINE 2: GREEDY INSERTIONS


To insert a ball x , put it in the **emptier** of its choices:



- ▶ This is **not** history-independent ✗
- ▶ The recourse is 0 ✓

BASELINE 2: GREEDY INSERTIONS


To insert a ball x , put it in the **emptier** of its choices:




- ▶ This is **not** history-independent ✗
- ▶ The recourse is 0 ✓
- ▶ In the insertion-only case, the overload is $O(\log \log n)$ ✓
[Berenbrink, Czumaj, Steger, and Vöcking '00]

WARMUP: HISTORY-INDEPENDENT GREEDY

WARMUP: HISTORY-INDEPENDENT GREEDY




WARMUP: HISTORY-INDEPENDENT GREEDY



To compute $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$:

1. Empty out the bins.
2. Sort the balls in $S \cup \{x\}$ to get x_1, x_2, \dots
3. Insert the balls in sorted order using greedy.


WARMUP: HISTORY-INDEPENDENT GREEDY



To compute $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$:

1. Empty out the bins.
2. Sort the balls in $S \cup \{x\}$ to get x_1, x_2, \dots
3. Insert the balls in sorted order using greedy.


WARMUP: HISTORY-INDEPENDENT GREEDY




To compute $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$:

1. Empty out the bins.
2. Sort the balls in $S \cup \{x\}$ to get x_1, x_2, \dots
3. Insert the balls in sorted order using greedy.

ANALYZING HISTORY-INDEPENDENT GREEDY




ANALYZING HISTORY-INDEPENDENT GREEDY




- ▶ The algorithm is history independent ✓

ANALYZING HISTORY-INDEPENDENT GREEDY




- ▶ The algorithm is history independent ✓
- ▶ The overload is $O(\log \log n)$ ✓

ANALYZING HISTORY-INDEPENDENT GREEDY




- ▶ The algorithm is history independent ✓
- ▶ The overload is $O(\log \log n)$ ✓
- ▶ What is the recourse?

ANALYZING THE RECOURSE




How many balls change assignments between A_S and $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$?

ANALYZING THE RECOURSE




Computing A_S




Computing $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$

Recourse = 0


ANALYZING THE RECOURSE



ANALYZING THE RECOURSE




Computing A_S




Computing $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$

Recourse = 0

ANALYZING THE RECOURSE




Computing A_S




Computing $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$

Recourse = 0

ANALYZING THE RECOURSE




Computing A_S




Computing $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$

Recourse = 0


ANALYZING THE RECOURSE




Recourse = 0



ANALYZING THE RECOURSE




Computing A_S




Computing $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$

Recourse = 0

ANALYZING THE RECOURSE





Computing A_S




Computing $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$

Recourse = 0


ANALYZING THE RECOURSE



ANALYZING THE RECOURSE




Computing A_S




Computing $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$

Recourse = 0

ANALYZING THE RECOURSE



Computing A_S




Computing $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$


Subsequent balls will experience either:

Recourse = 0

ANALYZING THE RECOURSE



Computing A_S




Computing $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$

Subsequent balls will experience either:


1. No recourse

$$\text{Recourse} = 0$$

ANALYZING THE RECOURSE



Computing A_S




Computing $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$

Future insertions will experience either:


1. No recourse

Recourse = 0

ANALYZING THE RECOURSE



Computing A_S




Computing $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$


Subsequent balls will experience either:

1. No recourse

ANALYZING THE RECOURSE



Computing A_S




Computing $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$

Subsequent balls will experience either:


1. No recourse

Recourse = 0

ANALYZING THE RECOURSE



Computing A_S





Computing $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$

Subsequent balls will experience either:

1. No recourse


ANALYZING THE RECOURSE




Subsequent balls will experience either:

1. No recourse

ANALYZING THE RECOURSE



Computing A_S




Computing $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$

Subsequent balls will experience either:


1. No recourse

$$\text{Recourse} = 0$$

ANALYZING THE RECOURSE



Computing A_S





Computing $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$

Subsequent balls will experience either:

1. No recourse
2. Recourse

$$\text{Recourse} = 0$$


ANALYZING THE RECOURSE




Subsequent balls will experience either:

1. No recourse
2. Recourse

ANALYZING THE RECOURSE



Computing A_S




Computing $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$

Subsequent balls will experience either:


1. No recourse
2. Recourse

Recourse = 1

ANALYZING THE RECOURSE



Computing A_S




Computing $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$

Subsequent balls will experience either:


1. No recourse
2. Recourse

Recourse = 1

ANALYZING THE RECOURSE



Computing A_S




Computing $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$

Subsequent balls will experience either:


1. No recourse
2. Recourse

Recourse = 1

ANALYZING THE RECOURSE



Computing A_S




Computing $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$

Subsequent balls will experience either:


1. No recourse
2. Recourse

Recourse = 2

ANALYZING THE RECOURSE



Computing A_S




Computing $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$

Subsequent balls will experience either:


1. No recourse
2. Recourse

Recourse = 2

ANALYZING THE RECOURSE



Computing A_S




Computing $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$


Two key observations:

Recourse = 2

ANALYZING THE RECOURSE



Computing A_S




Computing $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$

Two key observations:


1. There's always one special bin with an extra ball

Recourse = 2

ANALYZING THE RECOURSE



Computing A_S




Computing $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$

Two key observations:


1. There's always one special bin with an extra ball
2. If a ball incurs recourse, one of its choices is the special bin

Recourse = 2

ANALYZING THE RECOURSE



Computing A_S




Computing $A_{S \cup \{x\}}$

$$\Pr[\text{ball } x_i \text{ incurs recourse}] = O(1/n)$$

Recourse = 2

ANALYZING THE RECOURSE



$$\Pr[\text{ball } x_i \text{ incurs recourse}] = O(1/n)$$


$$\implies \mathbb{E}[\text{total recourse}] = \sum_i \Pr[\text{ball } x_i \text{ incurs recourse}] = O(m/n)$$

A SIMPLE WARMUP

Theorem: There exists a history-independent solution with:


- ▶ High-probability overload $\Theta(1)$ $O(\log \log n)$.
- ▶ Expected recourse $\Theta(\log \log(m/n))$ $O(m/n)$.

SLICE AND SPREAD




1. **Slice** off the jagged surface

SLICE AND SPREAD




1. **Slice** off the jagged surface

SLICE AND SPREAD




1. **Slice** off the jagged surface
2. **Spread** balls to their second-choice bins

SLICE AND SPREAD



1. **Slice** off the jagged surface
2. **Spread** balls to their second-choice bins

SLICE AND SPREAD



1. **Slice** off the jagged surface
2. **Spread** balls to their second-choice bins

SLICE AND SPREAD REDUCES OVERLOAD

Claim: Slice and spread reduces overload.

Proof (Sketch):

1. **Fact:** After throwing $m \gg n$ balls into n bins, every bin has load $m/n \pm \tilde{O}(\sqrt{m/n})$.
2. **Before:** Every bin has load $m/n \pm \tilde{O}(\sqrt{m/n})$.
3. **After:** Every bin has load $m/n \pm \tilde{O}(m/n)^{1/4}$.

WHAT'S THE RE COURSE?

Options:

- (A) 0
- (B) 1
- (C) # balls being sliced off
- (D) other?

REPEATING SLICE AND SPREAD

Good overload, good recourse...Could we keep slicing and spreading to get better and better overload?

Proposition: Test

ALGORITHMIC QUESTION

Question: Which balls will we slice in each round?

Option 1: Scrape off the top **Option 2:** Maintain a priority queue in each bin **What we do:** Assign every ball a round...

CHALLENGE 1: SLICING FAILURES

Challenge: There may not be enough fresh randomness in each bin. Not enough balls assigned to that round in that bin.


Result: We won't be able to slice off enough balls, and so the jaggedness will remain.

CHALLENGE 2: SPREADING FAILURES

Challenge: Spreading step got unlucky and didn't spread very evenly. There's more jaggedness than we want

Result: Either we have to slice more balls than we want and may not get the overload down, or we have to slice less balls than we want and may not get the jaggedness down.

History-Independent Load Balancing



Michael A. Bender
Stony Brook University

William Kuszmaul
CMU

Elaine Shi
CMU

Rose Silver
CMU