

The University of Manchester
Department of Computer Science
Project Report 2020

**Image-to-Image Translation
Using Generative Adversarial Networks**

Author: Wenchang Liu

Supervisor: Prof. Angelo Cangelosi

Abstract

Image-to-Image Translation Using Generative Adversarial Networks

Author: Wenchang Liu

Automatically translating between different representations of a scene given adequate training data is one of the popular applications of recent generative models. The work of Isola et al.[IZZE16] has proved that Generative Adversarial Network(GAN) is an effective end-to-end way for generating images with rich details such as photorealistic images from sketches like the edge maps or segmentation maps. Following this work, more models have been proposed to improve the results.

This report starts with a background introduction to the basics of these topics and then discuss the principles behind the state-of-the-art models which translate segmentation maps into photorealistic images. Moreover, I manage to implement two recent high computational resources demanded state-of-the-art models with limited computational resources on a smaller dataset. The implementation details are shown and the results of the models are analyzed in this report.

Supervisor: Prof. Angelo Cangelosi

Acknowledgements

First of all, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Angelo Cangeli for all his patience, guidance and constructive suggestions during the research and development of my final year project.

I also want to thank my school teachers, all my friends, and my family for their encouragement and support throughout my studies.

Finally, I wish to thank my university, all my lecturers, my friends, my family for their solicitude and all the staffs at the airport, hospital, hotel for their help throughout the COVID-19 outbreak.

Without your help, none of this would have been possible.

Contents

1	Introduction and Background	6
1.1	Image-to-Image Translation	6
1.1.1	Definition	6
1.1.2	Segmentation Maps	6
1.1.3	Applications	7
1.2	Deep Learning	7
1.2.1	Neural Networks	7
1.2.2	Activation Functions	8
1.2.3	Backpropagation	9
1.2.4	Convolutional Neural Network	10
1.2.5	Residual Blocks	11
1.2.6	Batch Normalization	11
1.3	Generative Adversarial Network	11
1.3.1	Conditional Generative Adversarial Network	12
1.4	Impact of COVID-19	12
2	Literature Review	14
2.1	Image-to-Image Translation with cGAN	14
2.1.1	Objective Function	14
2.1.2	Architecture	15
2.1.3	Summary	15
2.2	State-of-the-art Model — Pix2pixHD	16
2.2.1	Generator	16
2.2.2	Discriminator	17
2.2.3	Objective Function	17
2.3	State-of-the-art Model — SPADE	18
2.3.1	SPADE Block	18
2.3.2	SPADE Residual Block	19
2.3.3	Generator	19
2.3.4	VAE Encoder	20
3	Project Development	21
3.1	Computational Resources	21
3.2	Deep Learning Framework	21
3.3	Graphical User Interface	22
4	Experiments and Evaluation	24

4.1	Dataset	24
4.1.1	Benchmark Datasets	24
4.1.2	Freiburg Forest Dataset	25
4.1.3	Preprocessing	25
4.2	Pix2pixHD Implementation	25
4.2.1	VGG Loss Experiment	26
4.2.2	Cityscapes Experiment	27
4.2.3	Train on Freiburg Forest Dataset	28
4.2.4	Experiment Summary	29
4.3	SPADE Implementation	30
4.3.1	Generator of SPADE	30
4.3.2	Training	30
4.4	Comparison	32
5	Reflection and Conclusion	34
5.1	Planning and Management	34
5.2	Future Works	35
5.3	Conclusion	36
	Bibliography	37
A	Model Architectures Summary	39
A.1	Architecture of Pix2pixHD Generator	39
A.2	Architecture of Discriminator	42
A.3	Architecture of SPADE Generator	42

List of Figures

1.1	A Segmentation Map	7
1.2	Neural Network Structure	8
1.3	Rectified Linear Unit(ReLU) Activation Function	8
1.4	Leaky ReLU Activation Function	9
1.5	Hyperbolic Tangent Activation Function	9
1.6	Example CNN Task	10
1.7	Structure of Residual Layer	11
1.8	Structure of GANs	12
1.9	Structure of conditional GANs	12
2.1	cGAN in pix2pix	15
2.2	Encoder-decoder generator VS. Skip connections generator	15
2.3	Example outputs from pix2pix model	16
2.4	Pix2pixHD generator architecture	17
2.5	Structure of a single SPADE Block	19
2.6	Structure of a single SPADE Residual Block	19
2.7	Architecture of SPADE generator	20
2.8	Architecture of SPADE GAN model	20
3.1	Screenshot of the web page that allow users to try translating segmentation maps from the test dataset	22
3.2	Screenshot of the web page that allow users to try translating segmentation maps drawn by themselves	23
4.1	Example generated image without VGG loss during training	26
4.2	Example generated image with VGG loss during training	26
4.3	Example generated image during testing phase with ADE20K air base images .	27
4.4	Example generated image during training phase with part of Cityscapes .	27
4.5	Example generated image during testing phase with part of Cityscapes .	28
4.6	Loss values curve of global generator and discriminator during training .	28
4.7	Example output from Pix2pixHD global generator during testing	29
4.8	Example output from Pix2pixHD local enhancer during testing	29
4.9	Loss values curve of local enhancer and discriminator during training .	29
4.10	SPADE Generator for Freiburg Forest Dataset	31
4.11	Example output from SPADE generator during testing	31
4.12	Loss values curve of SPADE generator during training	31
5.1	Segmentation Map(a) VS. Instance Map(b)	35

List of Tables

4.1	Experimental results on Pix2pixHD model	30
4.2	Experimental results on SPADE model	32
5.1	Schedule of 3rd Year Project	35
A.1	Model Stats of Pix2pixHD Generator generated by tensorwatch	41
A.2	Model Stats of Pix2pixHD Discriminator generated by tensorwatch	42
A.3	Model Stats of SPADE generator generated by tensorwatch	46

Chapter 1

Introduction and Background

This chapter briefly introduces the problem of image-to-image translation, deep learning and Generative Adversarial Networks. General ideas of these topics are very useful for the reader to grasp the more complicated concepts that the report talking about in the later chapters.

1.1 Image-to-Image Translation

1.1.1 Definition

Many challenges in computer vision and machine learning can be regarded as “translating” an input image into a corresponding output image. As we all know that a concept may be expressed in either English or Chinese, a scene can be represented as various forms including RGB images, gradient fields, edge maps, segmentation maps, etc. Similar to machine translation of languages, we cite the definition of image translation from Isola et al.: “tasks of automatically translating one possible representation of a scene into another”[IZZE16]. Researchers have solved some kinds of image translation using independent, specific-purpose machinery(e.g. style transfer[GEB15]), even if the settings of these problems are the same: predict pixels from pixels. The models this report discuss (originated from [IZZE16]) make using one common framework for all these problems possible. In this project, we will focus on translating semantic segmentation maps into photorealistic images but you can apply these approaches on other types of image translation problems.

1.1.2 Segmentation Maps

In computer vision, image segmentation is often needed in order to switch to different representations of an image especially to those representations that are more meaningful and simpler for analysis[Wik20], and a lot of deep learning algorithms have been invented to do semantic labeling. Therefore, the data this project needs is easy to acquire.

Segmentation maps are also known as semantic label maps, which is a set of segments that collectively cover the entire image[Wik20]. Each region contains similar pixels in terms of the semantic of the image and each region is assigned with a different color and a semantic label.

The following figure 1.1 is an example segmentation map image, where each color represent one type of object:



Figure 1.1: A Segmentation Map

1.1.3 Applications

The translation of photorealistic images from sketches will be very useful once this technology is mature enough for commercial uses. Designers could get a fast preview of their work not by imagination, but with vivid photorealistic images. For example, game designers can preview the scene, items, or characters they design vividly by drawing just sets of color blocks or edges. Besides, this technology provides opportunities for people who are not good at art to create their own masterpieces.

1.2 Deep Learning

Deep learning is a member of the machine learning algorithms family, based on artificial neural networks and representation learning[[Wik20](#)](i.e. automatically discover representations needed for feature detection or classification from raw data). Learning can be supervised, semi-supervised, or unsupervised. Deep learning approaches have widely been applied to fields including computer vision(CV), natural language processing(NLP), reinforcement learning(RL), text filtering, machine translation, image synthesis, drug design, etc., where they perform comparably to and in some cases better than human experts.

1.2.1 Neural Networks

Artificial neural networks(ANN) are computing systems vaguely inspired by the biological neural networks that constitute animal brains[[Wik20](#)]. Neural networks used in deep learning can be regarded as a parametric approximation function that can map the input A into the output B with parameters ω i.e. $f_{\omega} : A \rightarrow B$. The mapping function can gradually get optimized by updating its parameters through raw data and back propagation algorithms.

The multi-layer architecture of neural networks can achieve complex mappings by composing multiple but simple non-linear functions together. In neural network implementations, the input “signal” will pass into each neuron(or node) through connection edges, the output of each neuron is computed by some non-linear function of the sum of its inputs, typically, neurons are

aggregated into layers and the “signals” travel from the first input layer to the last output layer to produce the final results.




Figure 1.2: Neural Network Structure

1.2.2 Activation Functions

The activation function of a node(i.e. neuron) in neural networks determines the output of that node given an input or set of inputs. Note that only non-linear activation functions allow such networks to compute complex mappings, if we do not use activation function or use linear activation function, no matter how many layers we have, we can only result in getting linear mapping functions.

The activation functions this project uses are the following(explanations referenced from [Li20]):

- Rectified Linear Unit(ReLU)

The Rectified Linear Unit is one of the simplest and most commonly used activation functions in the last few years, it computes the function: $f(x) = \max(0, x)$. This activation function is just threshold at zero, which is much simpler than tanh or sigmoid, and it was found to greatly accelerate the convergence of gradient descent compared to other activation functions including tanh or sigmoid. However, it does has a disadvantage of being fragile during training, i.e. the ReLU units can irreversibly die and forever be zero during training since they can get knocked off the data manifold.




Figure 1.3: Rectified Linear Unit(ReLU) Activation Function

- Leaky Rectified Linear Unit(Leaky ReLU)

Leaky ReLUs are one type of approach trying to fix the ”dying ReLU” problem. Instead of just threshold at zero, it computes: $f(x) = 1(x < 0)(\alpha x) + 1(x \geq 0)(x)$, where α is

a small constant. Some report leaky ReLUs are effective but the results are necessarily consistent.




Figure 1.4: Leaky ReLU Activation Function

- Hyperbolic Tangent(\tanh)

The \tanh activation function squashes a real-valued number to the range of $[-1, 1]$, this activation saturates but is zero-centered so that it can be regarded as a scaled and more desirable sigmoid activation function in practice.




Figure 1.5: Hyperbolic Tangent Activation Function

1.2.3 Backpropagation

Backpropagation(BP) is a widely used algorithm for neural networks training and supervised learning. While training a neural network, there will be a loss function L describing how well the current approximation f_{ω} approximates the correct mapping function by calculating the differences between the output from the neural network and the ground-truth from a training dataset. Then the backpropagation algorithm will try to approximate the correct mapping function by continuing minimizing the loss function, this can be done by computing the gradients of the loss function with respect to each weight from each pair of input-output data by the chain rule, computing the gradient one layer at a time, iterating backward from the last layer to the first(in order to avoid redundant computation) and update every parameter ω_i using $\omega_i \leftarrow \omega_i - \alpha \frac{\partial L}{\partial \omega_i}$ where $\alpha (> 0)$ is the learning rate and $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \omega_i}$ is the partial derivative(i.e. gradient). Theoretically, the gradient has the direction away from the minimal point, so each time of these updates will make the neural network approximate better by taking a little step in the opposite direction of the gradient, this idea of minimizing the loss function is called gradient descent.

1.2.4 Convolutional Neural Network

Convolutional Neural Network(CNN) is a popular type of deep neural networks which commonly applied to the computer-vision-related tasks. A typical Convolution block consists of a convolution layer, a pooling layer and a fully-connected layer(exactly the same as regular neural network). A simple pipeline could be: [INPUT-CONV2D-ACTIVATION-POOLING-FC], In more detail(explanations cited from [Li20]):

- INPUT [width, height, channels] will hold the raw pixel values of an input image, for example, for MNIST would be [28, 28, 1], i.e. 28x28 resolution images with only one channel for the black and white colors.
- CONV2D is the key of the convolutional neural network, the convolution layer will compute the output of neurons that are connected to local regions in the input, each computing a dot product between their weights and a small region they are connected to the input volume. The shape of the output tensor will be [width, height, filters], where the number of filters is a hyperparameter for our CNN layer. The convolution layer can extract related feature maps from the original images(e.g. edges, corners, etc.) with appropriately optimized parameters, which is very useful for further analysis such as classification or generation.
- ACTIVATION is easy to understand, we can simply use RELU(or leaky RELU, tanh), this will not change the shape of the output tensor.
- POOLING: in most cases, we will use max pooling, which is typically a downsampling operation along the spatial dimensions(width, height), and change the output tensor shape to [width/n, height/n, filters].
- FC, fully-connected layer, each neuron in this kind of layer will be connected to all the numbers in the previous volume. For instance, in a classification task, a fully-connected layer will compute the class scores resulting in the shape of [1, 1, classes], where there will be a score for each class representing how likely the image is that class.

In this way, CNN transforms the original image from the pixel values to encoded feature maps or classification class scores. Note that the reverse version of CNN called CNN transpose can decode feature maps back to images, so in our image translation task, we will first use CNN to get the segmentation map into features maps, and then use CNN transpose to get the feature maps to photorealistic images.




Figure 1.6: Example CNN Task

1.2.5 Residual Blocks

A traditional view of deep learning is that using a deeper network not necessarily results in better performance, in fact, simply stacking too many CNN blocks has been shown to cause a negative effect since the gradient can easily shrink to zero. However, the ResNet with residual blocks brought by He et al. [HZRS15] has eliminated this problem. The residual block skip connects between layers which adds the output from previous layers x to the output of stacked layers $F(x)$, in this way, even if something wrong happens to the stacked deeper layers output(e.g. gradient vanishing), the network is still able to learn the identity output from the previous output. Therefore, residual blocks guarantee us to get results no worse than a shallow network, and when this apply to CNN, a even deeper CNN can be more powerful for computer vision tasks.




Figure 1.7: Structure of Residual Layer

1.2.6 Batch Normalization

Batch Normalization(BN) is a popular technique proposed by Ioffe and Szegedy [IS15] recently which alleviates a lot of headaches with properly initializing neural networks by forcing the activations throughout a network to take on a unit gaussian distribution at the beginning of the training according to [Li20]. In deep learning, the latter layer could get inputs with a high variance and a mean value far from zero from the previous layer, which is not good for stable training, to fix this problem, BN process every data with the equation:

$$y_i = \gamma \hat{x}_i + \beta \text{ and } \hat{x}_i = \frac{x_i - \mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 + \epsilon}}$$

Where x_i is an activation for the i^{th} example in the batch and \hat{x}_i is the output after the process, μ and σ^2 are the mean value and variance of the activation over the batch, and γ and β are trainable parameters.

In practice, we usually insert the BN layer between FC and non-linearities. It has been shown that BN can make networks more robust to inappropriate initialization. In addition, BN can be interpreted as doing preprocessing at every layer of a network, but integrated into the network itself in a differentiable manner, which is why BN is widely used nowadays. For more details, please check the referenced paper [IS15].

1.3 Generative Adversarial Network

Generative Adversarial Network(GAN) is one kind of deep learning approach originated from Goodfellow et al. [GPAM⁺14]. The idea of GAN is inspired from game theory: two neural

networks contest against each other in a game(i.e. the training process of deep learning), where one generator network tries to generate fake images while the other discriminator network tries to identify whether an image is real or fake. GAN models can learn a loss that tries to classify if the output image is real or fake, while simultaneously training a generative model to minimize this loss. One advantage that GAN is more powerful than traditional CNN approach on image translation tasks is that GAN can produce clearer results for blurry images look obviously fake. Furthermore, we need expert knowledge and carefully designed loss function for traditional CNN models, while we only need to specify a high-level objective for GAN models: make the output looks like real, and then automatically learn a loss function for satisfying this goal, which is much more desirable.




Figure 1.8: Structure of GANs

1.3.1 Conditional Generative Adversarial Network

Conditional Generative Adversarial Network(cGAN) is a special kind of GAN whose input of the generator is not only the random noises, but also send in a condition image, the networks will learn to adapt and adjust their parameters to these additional condition inputs. For conventional GAN models, only the input noise can influence the output, however, for cGAN models, the conditional image can also influence the results. In image translation tasks, the encoded segmentation map is the condition we apply to the GAN model. Both pix2pix[IZZE16] and pix2pixHD[WLZ⁺18] use this kind of GAN model.




Figure 1.9: Structure of conditional GANs

1.4 Impact of COVID-19

I decided to go back to Beijing after finishing my presentation of the 3rd year project on 16th March, my flight arrived at Xi'an, China at 23rd March, I had a low fever and was sent to a hospital for further checks, and fortunately, I am OK. After that, I was sent to a hotel and

quarantine for 14 days in Xi'an, and then traveled back to Beijing and self-isolate for another 14 days at home according to the policies from the government. Generally speaking, I had quarantine for a month before I can work on my work wholeheartedly. Fortunately, I finished my demonstration before I left and the deadlines of the 3rd year project and other coursework have also been extended.

Chapter 2

Literature Review

In this chapter, I will introduce how solving these kinds of image-to-image translation tasks with GANs are originated, how the following models trying to improve the results by discussing three representative models. For the two state-of-the-art models(pix2pixHD and SPADE), I will only discuss the theory here, please check chapter 4 for the implementation details and results evaluation.

2.1 Image-to-Image Translation with cGAN

Dealing Image-to-Image translation tasks with GANs originated from Isola et al.[IZZE16] which tries to develop a common framework for all “predict pixels from pixels” problems with conditional GANs, which shows the ability to generate decent 256×256 resolution images. The following content is referenced from [IZZE16].

2.1.1 Objective Function

The objective of the model is to produce photorealistic images that is indistinguishable from the real images given input segmentation maps, the cGAN objective function is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{cGAN}(G, D) = \mathbb{E}_{x,y}[\log D(x, y)] + \mathbb{E}_{x,z}[\log(1 - D(x, G(x, z)))]$$

where the generator G tries to minimize the cGAN loss against an adversarial discriminator D that tries to maximize it, i.e. $G^* = \arg \min_G \max_D \mathcal{L}_{cGAN}(G, D)$. In the implementation stage, the GAN loss usually consists of a fake loss and a real loss, where the fake loss represents how well the discriminator can classify the generated images and the real loss represents how well the discriminator can classify the real images. The generator aims to maximize the discriminator predicting a high probability of realness for the images it generates.

The paper also claims that it is beneficial to mix the GAN objective with a conventional loss such as L1 loss: $\mathcal{L}_{L1}(G) = \mathbb{E}_{x,y,z}[\|y - G(x, z)\|_1]$, so the final loss function of our GAN model is:

$$G^* = \arg \min_G \max_D \mathcal{L}_{cGAN}(G, D) + \lambda \mathcal{L}_{L1}(G)$$

Note in this model, we do not provide noise z like the traditional cGAN does, for we only need deterministic results and the random noise is not found helpful in terms of the final outputs.




Figure 2.1: cGAN in pix2pix

2.1.2 Architecture

Both the generator and discriminator use modules of the form [CONV2D-BN-ReLU], the generator uses a classic encoder-decoder structure where the encoder extracts the feature maps from the input segmentation map, and the decoder filled the details to produce a photorealistic output from that feature maps. In addition, sharing low-level information between input and output is helpful for many image translation tasks. So, to pass this information throughout the neural network, the author adds skip connections following the general structure of a “U-Net”, specifically, we try to connect each layer i and corresponding layer $n - i$, where n is the total number of layers, and each skip connections simply concatenates all channels at layer i with those at layer $n - i$. For discriminator, the author introduces PatchGAN which tries to classify if each $N \times N$ part in an image is real or fake, this discriminator has the advantages of fewer parameters, faster running speed, and the capability of being applied to larger images.




Figure 2.2: Encoder-decoder generator VS. Skip connections generator

2.1.3 Summary

The pix2pix model does not require a lot of computation resources, one can easily achieve similar results on Google Colab. I ran the official tutorial [[Inc20](#)] of image translation from Tensorflow2.0 web page, and here are some example outputs after 100 epochs of training on facade dataset [[TS13](#)]:




Figure 2.3: Example outputs from pix2pix model

Based on my experiment results and the example results from the paper, I found the Pix2pix model can achieve decent results with limited computation resources on small-scaled datasets such as the facade dataset. However, it still cannot produce very clear textures or high-resolution photorealistic images.

2.2 State-of-the-art Model — Pix2pixHD

Pix2pixHD is the upgraded version of pix2pix brought by Nvidia which can generate high-resolution images. In the paper they successfully generate 2048×1024 resolution images using the Cityscapes benchmark dataset [COR⁺16]. The pix2pixHD model is still based on conditional GAN, but it makes improvements on the generator, the discriminator, and the loss function. The following content is referenced from [WLZ⁺18].

2.2.1 Generator

Generator G consists of two generators, we define G1 as the global generator and G2 as the local enhancer. The global generator plays the similar role as the pix2pix model which is basically an encoder-decoder generator with residual blocks that can output lower resolution(1024×512) images, and the local enhancer will augment the output images to a higher resolution, e.g. 2048×1024 . Both the global generator and local enhancer consist of convolution blocks, residual blocks, and transposed convolution blocks, we named them $G_1^{(c)}$, $G_1^{(r)}$, $G_1^{(t)}$ and $G_2^{(c)}$, $G_2^{(r)}$, $G_2^{(t)}$ respectively. In order to integrate the global generator and local enhancer, the input to the residual block of the local enhancer is the element-wise sum of two feature maps: the output feature map of $G_2^{(c)}$, and the last feature map of the global generator $G_1^{(t)}$. The architecture of the whole generator is shown as the following figure:




Figure 2.4: Pix2pixHD generator architecture

When training the generator, we need to train the global generator first and then train the local enhancer in the order of their resolutions.

2.2.2 Discriminator

Discriminator D is upgraded to Multi-scale discriminators, which alleviate the headache that a discriminator needs to have a larger receptive field, i.e. more memory is required, however, we all know that memory is already a scarce resource for deep learning models. Therefore, what a multi-scale discriminator does is to use three smaller discriminators that have identical network structure but operate at different scales, we named the discriminators after D_1, D_2, D_3 respectively. D_1, D_2 will deal with the real and synthesized images by factor 2 and 4, while D_3 will deal with the original images. The discriminator that operates at the finest scale will focus on the details of an image, while the discriminator that operates at the largest scale will have the largest receptive field, discriminating the image with a global view. In implementation stages, we can simply add up the three discriminators' objective functions, which makes the learning problem to be:

$$\min_G \max_{D_1, D_2, D_3} \sum_{i=1,2,3} \mathcal{L}_{\text{GAN}}(G, D_i)$$

2.2.3 Objective Function

The improved adversarial loss consists of:

- The GAN loss similar to the one in pix2pix model:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{GAN}}(G, D) = \mathbb{E}_{x,y}[\log D(x, y)] + \mathbb{E}_x[\log(1 - D(x, G(x)))]$$

- The feature map loss, it is desirable if we can learn to match the intermediate representations from the real and the synthesized image, so in pix2pixHD we extract features from multiple layers of the discriminator and calculate the feature map loss of each layer and then add them up together:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{FM}}(G, D_k) = \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x})} \sum_{i=1}^T \frac{1}{N_i} \left[\left\| D_k^{(i)}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) - D_k^{(i)}(\mathbf{s}, G(\mathbf{s})) \right\|_1 \right]$$

Where $D_k^{(i)}$ is the i -th layer feature extractor of discriminator D_k , T is the total number of layers and N_i is the number of elements in each layer.

- (Optional)VGG loss, also called perceptual loss, we calculate loss with the help of pretrained VGG network[[SZ14](#)]: $\lambda \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{M_i} \left[\left\| F^{(i)}(\mathbf{x}) - F^{(i)}(G(\mathbf{s})) \right\|_1 \right]$, where we choose $\lambda = 10$, and F_i is the i-th layer with M_i elements of the VGG network.

The final objective without VGG could be:

$$\min_G \left(\left(\max_{D_1, D_2, D_3} \sum_{k=1,2,3} \mathcal{L}_{\text{GAN}}(G, D_k) \right) + \lambda \sum_{k=1,2,3} \mathcal{L}_{\text{FM}}(G, D_k) \right)$$

or including VGG loss:

$$\min_G \left(\left(\max_{D_1, D_2, D_3} \sum_{k=1,2,3} \mathcal{L}_{\text{GAN}}(G, D_k) \right) + \lambda \sum_{k=1,2,3} \mathcal{L}_{\text{FM}}(G, D_k) + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{M_i} \left[\left\| F^{(i)}(\mathbf{x}) - F^{(i)}(G(\mathbf{s})) \right\|_1 \right] \right)$$

2.3 State-of-the-art Model — SPADE

Spatially-adaptive (DE)normalization(SPADE) is another upgraded image-to-image translation model brought by Nvidia, previous designs including pix2pix [[IZZE16](#)] and pix2pixHD [[WLZ⁺18](#)] are based on cGAN structure, which directly feeds the semantic layout as input to the generator network, then the segmentation map is processed through stacks of convolution, batch normalization, residual, non-linearity layers. The paper claims that some semantic information get removed by normalization layers, therefore, they proposed a new normalization layer which can integrate the information of semantic label maps instead of just two trainable parameters in the traditional batch normalization layer. The SPADE borrows the design of objective function and discriminator, so we will mainly discuss the generator upgrades next. The following content is referenced from [[PLWZ19](#)].

2.3.1 SPADE Block

The SPADE block is the key of SPADE generator, similar to batch normalization, the activation is normalized in the channel-wise manner by the following formula(explained in section [1.2.6](#)):

$$y_i = \gamma \hat{x}_i + \beta \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{x}_i = \frac{x_i - \mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 + \epsilon}}$$

However, in SPADE block, γ and β are not just trainable parameters anymore, they are determined by the input segmentation map, the SPADE block uses a two-layer convolution module that convert an image into values. Since the important parameters in this new normalization block are influenced by the input segmentation map, we do not need to worry the semantic information being “washed away” during normalization.




Figure 2.5: Structure of a single SPADE Block

2.3.2 SPADE Residual Block

The SPADE residual block is used to replace the conventional residual block, instead of simply using convolutions, it also uses SPADE Block, in this way, we can add the information of the input segmentation map into the residual blocks. The structure of a single SPADE residual block is as follows:




Figure 2.6: Structure of a single SPADE Residual Block

2.3.3 Generator

In order to build a SPADE generator, we need one more step which is to put SPADE residual blocks into the structure of a GAN generator. Because we have already put the information of the input segmentation map into the residual blocks, we do not need the encoder part of conditional GAN, we can just use a random noise as the input like the original GAN models and only keep the decoder part. So the structure of the SPADE generator is like the following:




Figure 2.7: Architecture of SPADE generator

2.3.4 VAE Encoder

Apart from the improvement on the generator, SPADE also make some other slight modifications including changing LSGAN loss in pix2pixHD with Hinge loss, applying spectral normalization to the convolution layers in the discriminator. In addition, since the encoder is no longer necessary to the image transaltion task, SPADE allows using a variational auto encoder(VAE) to achieve style transfer. The complete architecture of SPADE GAN model is like the following:




Figure 2.8: Architecture of SPADE GAN model

The VAE image encoder encodes a style image to generate a mean and variance which are used to further compute the noise that is input to the decoder. The discriminator takes the concatenation of the semantic label map and the output image from the generator as input and tries to identify whether each image is real or fake.

Chapter 3

Project Development

In this chapter, I will introduce how the project is developed, the development tools and computational resources that I use for development.

This project tries to implement the two state-of-the-art models and expand their scope of application from datasets to arbitrary drawing. Therefore, the whole project consists of two parts, training the deep learning models and building GUI to show the capability of the models. Since training deep learning networks requires high computation power especially Graphics Processing Units(GPUs), which is not available to my laptop, so the plan is to train the models on Google Colab with free a GPU to use and then download the models and build a web app locally.

3.1 Computational Resources

Colaboratory brought by Google, often referred as Google Colab, is a free online interactive environment as the form of a jupyter notebook, where we can write our python code and execute like our local jupyter notebook, furthermore, Google Colab has already configured most of the libraries for data science and machine learning, and it also provides a free GPU for us to use(K80, T4, P4, P100 will be allocated randomly). However, it does have some problems, for example, sessions can get disconnected and accounts can be banned for a long time continuously using the GPU. So my solution is to save the model checkpoints after certain epochs of training, since Colab allows users to mount their google drive, I set the checkpoints saving directory in google drive so that I can continue training when I get disconnected. Despite these inconveniences, this is the best solution I can think of.

3.2 Deep Learning Framework

The deep learning framework I choose for this project is PyTorch from Facebook Inc. Pytorch is the Python implementation of the famous framework Torch, which offers tensor computation with strong GPU acceleration and deep neural networks built on a tape-based autograd system and it has become more and more popular in academia recently. On the one hand, Pytorch is friendly to tyros for you can easily understand what the code is doing and use the predefined frequently-used layers such as convolution layers, batch normalization layers, etc. On the other

hand, it allows researchers to build deep neural networks with complex architectures flexibly which is an advantage over popular frameworks like Keras. Unlike Tensorflow, Pytorch uses a dynamic computation graph instead of a static computation graph which is beneficial for tweaking and debugging. And the most important advantage of Pytorch over Tensorflow for me is that Pytorch has better documentation than Tensorflow, for example, you may find several functions doing the thing under different packages in Tensorflow documentation, which is kind of chaos. For more information about Pytorch please visit <https://pytorch.org/>.

3.3 Graphical User Interface

I decided to use a web page as the graphical user interface(GUI) for my project. Unlike C++ or C#, Python does not have any powerful desktop application GUI frameworks, however, web development tools like Flask and Django for Python can easily integrate the Pytorch library and can also make use of the front-end technology to make decent-looking GUI with their template system. When the user performs an action on the GUI, the front-end will send a request to the back-end, and the Flask framework will handle the request and ask Pytorch model to do the image translation if necessary.

The front-end is basically developed with HTML, CSS, JavaScript, and libraries such as Bootstrap and Font Awesome. In terms of back-end, I chose Flask, which is a lightweight WSGI web application framework for python. Flask projects start with a quick and easy setup but can scale up to complex applications, it also wraps Jinja2 which is a full-featured template engine that allows developers to integrate with front-end.

The web app including 4 pages including a home page, an about page, two pages demonstrating the capability of the model for translating segmentation maps in the dataset and arbitrary drawing semantic label maps respectively.




Figure 3.1: Screenshot of the web page that allow users to try translating segmentation maps from the test dataset




Figure 3.2: Screenshot of the web page that allow users to try translating segmentation maps drawn by themselves

As shown in figure 3.1 and figure 3.1, the web app offers functionalities including getting next random sample segmentation map from the test dataset, a simple drawing tool for users to draw their own segmentation maps, downloading segmentation maps or generated photorealistic images, and translating the segmentation map into photorealistic with both pix2pixHD and SPADE model.

The usage is so simple that all you need to do is get the segmentation map at the left(either by sampling from testing set or drawing one), press either of the translate buttons, and the photorealistic image will be appear at the right.

Chapter 4

Experiments and Evaluation

In this chapter, I demonstrate the implementation details of the two state-of-the-art models, along with the experiments' results and evaluation. Due to the large number of training time of these state-of-the-art models and the limited computing resources(one free GPU from Colab), I decided to use one simpler dataset [VOBB16] instead of the benchmark datasets for my project. In addition, most of the experiments were conducted in Pix2pixHD because the only difference for Pix2pixHD and SPADE is the generator, it is not necessary to carry out the experiments again on SPADE again.

4.1 Dataset

During the training of Pix2pixHD model, I experimented several different datasets, including benchmark datasets such as ADE20K[ZZP⁺¹⁷] and Cityscapes[COR⁺¹⁶], the simpler and smaller freiburg forest dataset [VOBB16]. Based on my experiments, the freiburg forest dataset [VOBB16] is more suitable for my project.

4.1.1 Benchmark Datasets

For image translation tasks, the training data we need are pairs of segmentation map and photorealistic images, which is exactly the same training data used for image segmentation tasks. Therefore, there are several popular benchmark datasets containing this kind of data, including coco-stuff[CUF18], ADE20K[ZZP⁺¹⁷], Cityscapes[COR⁺¹⁶] which contain numerous classes of objects(e.g. Cityscapes have 30 classes of objects). I have tried ADE20K and Cityscapes in the early stage of experiments:

- ADE20K[ZZP⁺¹⁷] is a fully annotated image dataset designed for semantic segmentation, there are 20210, 2000, 3000 images in the training, validation, testing set. All the images in the training and validation set are annotated with objects with different colors, many of them even get annotated with their parts. Unlike Cityscapes where all the images are the scenes of cities, ADE20K covers various scenarios including airfields, airports, houses, hospitals, etc. which makes the model even more difficult to learn how to generate all these objects for they do not appear in the similar environments. The size of this dataset is around 4GB.

- Cityscapes dataset[[COR⁺16](#)] is a dataset focuses on semantic understanding of urban street scenes including scenes from 50 cities, all seasons except winter, different weather conditions, with 30 classes of objects. There are 5000 fine annotated images for us to use. The real images are in high resolution so it is around 11GB large in total, also a large number of classes has also increased the difficulties for our model to generate decent photorealistic images.

If we want to achieve decent results on the testing set, we will have to train on all the images provided in the training set so that our model can adapt to different scenarios and learn the patterns of different kinds of objects. Nevertheless, even the smallest dataset among them has more than 4GB data, which makes each experiment of training lasts for days on the free GPU that Colab provides. This is the reason why in the project, I only use a small part of those data and keep the training time within an acceptable amount of time.

4.1.2 Freiburg Forest Dataset

Freiburg Forest dataset[[VOBB16](#)] was collected using an autonomous mobile robot equipped with cameras, where all scenes were recorded at 20HZ with 1024×768 resolution. The annotated images containing only 5 classes of objects including object, trail, grass, sky and vegetation, 230 pairs of images(each pair consists of a segmentation map and a real photo) in the training set and 136 pairs of images in the testing set. The small scale of such dataset increases the fault tolerance for each training, i.e. I do not have to wait for hours to see the wrong intermediate results and start all over. Because the scenes are all similar and the objects are simple, so it does not need to train on considerable training data to generate decent and clear images like the benchmark datasets, which compensates the limited computing resources issue. Even if this is a comparable small dataset, it still takes hours to train one single model. For downloading the annotated dataset(around 1GB) and more details, please check [DeepScene](#) website.

4.1.3 Preprocessing

Pytorch allows us to load images from folders dynamically with “CustomDataset” function, so what I have to do is use “glob” function to find the pair of segmentation map and real photo image, and then use PIL library to crop the image into the required resolution(e.g. 512×256), and then return them to Pytorch.

Data augmentation techniques such as rotation or flipping can be applied, however, I do not see obvious improvement when I run the tutorial script of Pix2pix model, this is why I do not apply any of those to my implementation.

4.2 Pix2pixHD Implementation

The implementation of my Pix2pixHD basically follows the architecture from the paper, except I only uses 6 residual blocks instead of 9, you can see the architecture of the generator in figure [2.2](#) and table [A.1](#), the discriminator architecture in table [A.2](#). The final solution is to train the networks with VGG loss on the Freiburg dataset with a resolution of 512×256 . Before that, I ran simulations on a small portion ADE20K to determine if the VGG loss component

is effective or not. I also ran simulations on the Cityscapes dataset and tries to achieve similar results as the paper, but they all have some problems.

Adam optimizer is used for training. In terms of the learning rates, I set a higher learning rate for the generator instead of using identical learning rate because I noticed the discriminator loss can get down to a low value after just a few epochs of training while the generator merely reduce its loss a little each epoch, therefore, I hope I can speed up the training of the generator while keeping the discriminator as before. The generator learning rate 2×10^{-5} and discriminator learning rate 10^{-5} seems to work fine for me.

The whole GAN model training is a cyclic process, where for each epoch:

1. Get a pair of images from the training dataset
2. Generator generates a fake image according to input segmentation map
3. Calculate discriminator loss(real loss, fake loss)
4. Calculate generator loss(GAN loss, feature matching loss and VGG perceptual loss)
5. Update weights of the models by backward pass

4.2.1 VGG Loss Experiment

Even though in the paper the author claims that VGG loss does not have a significant influence on the generated image, I found the opposite conclusion when training the Pix2pixHD model on ADE20K dataset. In order to reduce the training time, I only uses air base images from ADE20K.

At first, I did not use VGG loss component, the generator can learn to generate the shape of the objects however it cannot learn how to fill in the textures. As you can see in figure 4.1, the generator can only learn the shape of the plane and people, but the generated picture consists of only two color mosaics.




Figure 4.1: Example generated image without VGG loss during training

On the other hand, after adding the VGG loss component, the generator returns to normal, as you can see in figure 4.2. Therefore, all simulations after this used VGG loss as well.



Figure 4.2: Example generated image with VGG loss during training

The VGG perceptual loss we use here is based on VGG-19[[SZ14](#)], which is a very powerful neural network trained for image classification. Using VGG loss means we compare the differences between the features extracted from the real images by a pre-trained VGG network and the features from the generator, since VGG network is very powerful, if our generator generates similar features as those from VGG, the final output should be excellent as well. The VGG perceptual loss has achieved marvelous results [[JAL16](#)] in style transfer tasks.

Even with the help of VGG loss, merely using a few categories of images in ADE20K cannot achieve decent results when it comes to testing phases. As you can see in figure [4.3](#), the model seemed to suffer from overfitting issue, i.e. the model performs quite well on a training set, but perform poorly at testing phases. This may because the training data is not enough, for example, during the training phase, the generator never encounter enough patterns of a person in front of the camera, so it does not know what pattern it should fill into that shape, that is why we can only see mosaics. Next, I experimented with several cities images from the Cityscapes dataset for all images in Cityscapes dataset describe the similar scenes, which is easier for our model to learn the patterns.




Figure 4.3: Example generated image during testing phase with ADE20K air base images

4.2.2 Cityscapes Experiment

I spent a lot of time running simulation on Cityscapes dataset, despite I used only 3000 pairs of images out of 5000. For the local enhancer, it took about 500 seconds for one epoch. The following figures [4.4](#) [4.5](#) are my results after 500 epochs of training on the global generator and 200 epochs of training on local enhancer, we can still observe the overfitting kind of issue as the training results look very realistic while the testing results have blur patterns everywhere which does not look real to me.



Figure 4.4: Example generated image during training phase with part of Cityscapes




Figure 4.5: Example generated image during testing phase with part of Cityscapes

Comparing the testing results with the training results, we can see the testing results are not as good as the training results. Continuing to train for more epochs or adjusting the hyperparameters are not likely to fix this issue since the training results have already been good enough, so it is more like a overfitting issue than an underfitting issue. Increasing the amount of images used for training is very likely to help, however, the significant training time makes it too difficult to finish on Colab. The reason for all these is that Cityscapes dataset contains too many classes of objects, and some class of objects have complex textures, which requires our model to learn from more training image if we want to generate them correctly without too many blurs. This is why I decided to use a Cityscapes like dataset(i.e. single scenario) with fewer classes of objects and simpler textures for each class of objects, and the freiburg forest dataset just meets all the requirements.

4.2.3 Train on Freiburg Forest Dataset

We have already introduced freiburg forest dataset in 4.1.2. I trained the global generator for 200 epochs first, the training remain stable even we increase the learning rate for the generator which seems to be a success. I tracked the loss of the generator and discriminator for each epoch and figure 4.6 is the curve chart of the loss values for global generator and discriminator, which looks making sense.




Figure 4.6: Loss values curve of global generator and discriminator during training

The global generator can generate decent images even when at testing phase, however, we do observe many “dots” around the generated image as figure 4.7, this can be alleviated after we trained for certain epochs for the local enhancer.




Figure 4.7: Example output from Pix2pixHD global generator during testing

I trained 100 epochs(about 4-5 hours) for local enhancer and it can already produce high quality images as figure 4.8. Since local enhancer aims to generate high resolution images, it alleviates the “dots” problem mentioned in global generator significantly. Even you may still observe some blurs in certain areas, the overall quality is acceptable given the computing resources and training time we spent. All the training parameters remain unchanged, and the training is also very stable, as you can see from figure 4.9.



Figure 4.8: Example output from Pix2pixHD local enhancer during testing




Figure 4.9: Loss values curve of local enhancer and discriminator during training

4.2.4 Experiment Summary

The table 4.1 is the summary of the simulations that I ran with Pix2pixHD model, the results are consistent on multiple simulations:

Dataset	With/Without VGG	Stable Training	Training results	Testing results
ADE20K	Without VGG	Yes	Not Good	Not Good
ADE20K	With VGG	Yes	Good	Not Good
Cityscapes	With VGG	Yes	Good	Not Good
Freiburg Forest	With VGG	Yes	Good	Good

Table 4.1: Experimental results on Pix2pixHD model

4.3 SPADE Implementation

SPADE is proposed after Pix2pixHD, which is trying to improve the results of Pix2pixHD further. The paper of SPADE provided the implementation of the networks in detail, so it is not a very difficult task to build the generator, also, apart from the generator, we can use the same code as Pix2pixHD implementation since they follow the same structure of GAN training.

4.3.1 Generator of SPADE

The generator of SPADE can be directly built according to the “Additional Implementation Details” in the paper [PLWZ19] by stacking the building blocks. The order of building such networks is to build the SPADE block first, and then use SPADE blocks to build SPADE residual blocks, and in the end we can integrate SPADE residual blocks with the traditional GAN generator structure to build the SPADE generator. Note we use 512×256 resolution images, instead of 256×256 in the original paper, so we need to make small changes in terms of the tensor shapes, the general structure of the generator is showed with figure 4.10, and you can also check the final network structure with table A.3.

As shown in figure 4.10, the generation process is like we first generate random input noises shaped $(1, 8192)$ for the batch size we use is one, we then reshape 8192 into $(1024, 4, 2)$, next, the input noises will go through seven SPADE residual blocks together with the segmentation map, the output tensor will be upsampled by 2 after each SPADE residual block, eventually, a convolution layer with tanh activation will convert the tensor into images. You can refer to the SPADE block and SPADE residual block structure that the generator depends on in figure 2.5 and figure 2.6 in chapter 2.

4.3.2 Training

The training configuration remained the same as Pix2pixHD, the only significant difference between these two model is the generator. I trained the SPADE model for 150 epochs and it can produce clear photorealistic images as figure 4.11. The loss value curve of the SPADE model training is also stable, the loss of the generator went down gradually as shown in figure 4.12. We can see from table 4.2 that the generator learns the correct way to generate images better and better as we trained it with more and more epochs, the improvement is significant from 1 to 45 epochs, after that, the generator improves slower.




Figure 4.10: SPADE Generator for Freiburg Forest Dataset



Figure 4.11: Example output from SPADE generator during testing




Figure 4.12: Loss values curve of SPADE generator during training

Epochs	Generator Loss	Testing Results
1	3.118682861328125	
15	2.3608477115631104	
30	2.2259953022003174	
45	1.8741878271102905	
60	1.6682815551757812	
75	1.5951969623565674	
150	1.4927189350128174	

Table 4.2: Experimental results on SPADE model

4.4 Comparison

If we compare the results of the Pix2pixHD model(figure 4.8) and SPADE model(figure 4.11), we might find SPADE model has the following advantages over Pix2pixHD:

- Clearer images without any “dot”: although the generated images are not perfectly clear without any blur, it does remove the “dots” observed in the output of Pix2pixHD model. We need more training data and more training time if we want to learn the textures better of each class of objects.
- Only need to train one generator: when doing the implementation, I found training the two generators for Pix2pixHD model really annoying, two generators’ training require more overall training time than one more complex model.
- Possibility of applying style transfer: since in the SPADE model, we no longer uses conditional GAN structure for the generator, we remove the encoder part of the conditional GAN generator, which adds the possibility of building another encoder that can encode a style image and achieve style transfer effects. I have not implemented this feature which I discuss further in section 5.2.

Nevertheless, the Pix2pixHD model is still the state-of-the-art model because it offers a way

for us to generate high-resolution images. The SPADE model also takes more memory and longer time in the testing phase. The SPADE model can be used for higher resolution image translation in theory, but it has already needed a lot of training time, generating high-resolution images will require even more training time.

Chapter 5

Reflection and Conclusion

5.1 Planning and Management

The plan of my project is divided into four parts:

1. Read related research papers and prepare related knowledge and tools:

Main research papers related to this project are [IZZE16], [WLZ⁺18], [PLWZ19], I also spend time learning knowledge related to deep learning and computer vision including CNN, ResNet, GAN, style transfer, etc. Learning frameworks including Pytorch and Flask are also needed for implementation.

2. Implement image translation models and trained them:

This is the most difficult and time-consuming part of the project, I decided to implement the two state-of-the-art models regarding image translation, however, it is not easy to train such models on Colab because I do not have enough computing power, for example, to duplicate the results mentioned in the paper of SPADE, the authors have trained the network using eight Nvidia V100 GPUs for four days for one simulation, which is obviously not practicable for me, not to mention the disconnecting issue. The solution here is to remove the unnecessary parts of the project and choose a relatively small but still effective dataset for my implementation.

3. Develop GUI for the project:

This is mainly a software engineering task, I have a similar experience using Spring framework and Java for web development, so it is a relatively easy work for me to learn a similar framework Flask for this project.

4. Write report and record screencast:

The original plan is to start writing report once the demonstration(March 16th) is finished, nevertheless, due to COVID-19 issue, I decided to travel back home on March 22nd, it took me nearly a month before I can work on the report wholeheartedly.

Table 5.1 includes the to-do list for the project together with the originally planned timeline and the timeline that actually carried out. Several things were not carried out according to the original plan, the original plan was made before the arrangement of the lightening talk and

To-Do	Planned Schedule	Actual Schedule
Background Preparation	Oct 01 - Nov 04	Oct 01 - Nov 07
Train neural networks	Nov 04 - Feb 01	Nov 04 - Mar 16
Develop Application	Feb 01 - Mar 02	Nov 04 - Mar 16
Report and Screencast	Mar 16 - Apr 28	Apr 06 - May 05

Table 5.1: Schedule of 3rd Year Project

demonstration, so I decided to start the training after I finished the lightening talk on November 7th. Apart from that, Since I have a lot of time waiting for the intermediate results during training, I decided to merge the model training phase with the GUI development phase so that I can make full use of my time. For the last part of the project, I could not follow my original plan due to COVID-19 outbreak, and I cannot focus on writing the report before finishing my quarantine and go back home, and fortunately, the deadline has also postponed.

5.2 Future Works

Due to computational resources and development time limitations, I am not able to implement all the functionalities mentioned in the paper of pix2pixHD[WLZ⁺18] and SPADE[PLWZ19], including:

- Instance Maps in Pix2pixHD

In segmentation maps, each pixel value represents a class of object, the maps will not differentiate objects of the same category, e.g. if two cars stitch together in a segmentation map, we are unable to know the boundaries of each car. On the other hand, an instance map(or boundary map) will track each object with a unique ID. With the help of instance maps, the model can deal the boundaries of objects better.




Figure 5.1: Segmentation Map(a) VS. Instance Map(b)

- Variational Auto Encoder in SPADE

As introduced in chapter 2, SPADE allows using a variational auto encoder to achieve style transfer along with image translation. The encoder is consists of several convolution blocks and convert the image into a mean vector μ and a variance vector σ , which is later used to calculate the noise input into the generator. The VAE part needs separate training and tuning.

- Benchmark Datasets

There are several benchmark datasets for image translation tasks including Cityscapes [COR⁺16], ADE20K [ZZP⁺17], etc. These benchmark datasets provide much more

training data than the one I use for this project, which can check if the model can cope with complex scenes, i.e. test its ability of translating segmentation maps with various objects(e.g. there are 20 classes of objects in Cityscapes) or different kind of scenes(e.g. ADE20K contains houses scenes, art galleries scenes, airports scenes, etc.). However, it requires more computational resources to train networks on such datasets.

- Hyperparameters Search

It is desirable if we can search for the best hyperparameters for each model, this requires training the networks multiple times(each time may take days). We might need some ways to get some heuristics for hyperparameters combinations or it is not possible to iterate all the possible options.

5.3 Conclusion

This project provides literature reviews on image-to-image translation topic and experiments with two state-of-the-art image translation models with limited computational resources and compare their advantages and disadvantages. The experiments details have been written in jupyter notebooks and the implementation of the models are also wrapped up into a web app with a GUI so that people who are not familiar with this topic can check the experiments' process and results, and people who have no ideas of coding and machine learning can also try image translation themselves.

During this research and development process, I not only acquired a broad range of knowledge about deep learning and image translation, but also enhanced my self-learning skills. At first, I only knew some basic concepts of neural networks, then I learned how convolutional neural networks and its variations can solve those complex computer vision tasks, how to build a simple convolutional neural network myself with the help of deep learning frameworks. I read several papers regarding the image-to-image translation task, it is not easy to keep up with the novel ideas at first, but after searching for explanations from blogs or YouTube channels, I managed to get the ideas. Apart from that, I also practiced how to deploy machine learning models into a functional application like a web app.

In my perspective, even if the current state-of-the-art models can achieve astonishing results and are good enough for working prototypes, the approaches are still far from commercial uses. First, the approaches still demand too many computation resources, for example, if I want to duplicate image translation for the landscape dataset that the researchers did, I would still need eight Nvidia V100 GPUs training for days, which is not available for most of the users who just want to train a model for their specific domain. Another problem is that we have to provide enough training data for the model to work, which is not necessarily as easy as it seems, for example, if we want to make a commercial application for designers to assist their designs, it is not easy to acquire novel designs as the training dataset.

Bibliography

- [COR⁺16] Marius Cordts, Mohamed Omran, Sebastian Ramos, Timo Rehfeld, Markus Enzweiler, Rodrigo Benenson, Uwe Franke, Stefan Roth, and Bernt Schiele. The cityscapes dataset for semantic urban scene understanding. In *Proc. of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2016.
- [CUF18] Holger Caesar, Jasper Uijlings, and Vittorio Ferrari. Coco-stuff: Thing and stuff classes in context. In *Computer vision and pattern recognition (CVPR), 2018 IEEE conference on*. IEEE, 2018.
- [GBC16] Ian Goodfellow, Yoshua Bengio, and Aaron Courville. *Deep Learning*. MIT Press, 2016. <http://www.deeplearningbook.org>.
- [GEB15] Leon A. Gatys, Alexander S. Ecker, and Matthias Bethge. A neural algorithm of artistic style, 2015.
- [GPAM⁺14] Ian J. Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. Generative adversarial networks, 2014.
- [HZRS15] Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition, 2015.
- [Inc20] Google Inc. Official tensorflow2.0 tutorial for pix2pix. <https://www.tensorflow.org/tutorials/generative/pix2pix>, 2020.
- [IS15] Sergey Ioffe and Christian Szegedy. Batch normalization: Accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift, 2015.
- [IZZE16] Phillip Isola, Jun-Yan Zhu, Tinghui Zhou, and Alexei A Efros. Image-to-image translation with conditional adversarial networks. *arxiv*, 2016.
- [JAL16] Justin Johnson, Alexandre Alahi, and Fei-Fei Li. Perceptual losses for real-time style transfer and super-resolution. *CoRR*, abs/1603.08155, 2016.
- [Jha19] Divyansh Jha. Implementing spade using fastai - towardsdatascience blog. <https://towardsdatascience.com/implementing-spade-using-fastai-6ad86b94030a>, 2019.
- [LBH15] Yann LeCun, Y. Bengio, and Geoffrey Hinton. Deep learning. *Nature*, 521:436–44, 05 2015.
- [Li20] Fei-Fei Li. Stanford cs231n convolutional neural networks for visual recognition. <http://cs231n.stanford.edu/>, 2020.

- [PLWZ19] Taesung Park, Ming-Yu Liu, Ting-Chun Wang, and Jun-Yan Zhu. Semantic image synthesis with spatially-adaptive normalization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2019.
- [Sin19] Kushajveer Singh. Spade: State of the art in image-to-image translation by nvidia. <https://medium.com/@kushajreal/spade-state-of-the-art-in-image-to-image-translation-by-nvidia-bb49f2db2ce3>, 2019.
- [SZ14] Karen Simonyan and Andrew Zisserman. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. *arXiv 1409.1556*, 09 2014.
- [TŠ13] Radim Tyleček and Radim Šára. Spatial pattern templates for recognition of objects with regular structure. In *Proc. GCPR*, Saarbrücken, Germany, 2013.
- [VOBB16] Abhinav Valada, Gabriel Oliveira, Thomas Brox, and Wolfram Burgard. Deep multispectral semantic scene understanding of forested environments using multimodal fusion. In *International Symposium on Experimental Robotics (ISER)*, 2016.
- [Wik20] Wikipedia. Wikipedia references. www.wikipedia.org, 2020.
- [WLZ⁺18] Ting-Chun Wang, Ming-Yu Liu, Jun-Yan Zhu, Andrew Tao, Jan Kautz, and Bryan Catanzaro. High-resolution image synthesis and semantic manipulation with conditional gans. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2018.
- [ZZP⁺16] Bolei Zhou, Hang Zhao, Xavier Puig, Sanja Fidler, Adela Barriuso, and Antonio Torralba. Semantic understanding of scenes through the ade20k dataset. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.05442*, 2016.
- [ZZP⁺17] Bolei Zhou, Hang Zhao, Xavier Puig, Sanja Fidler, Adela Barriuso, and Antonio Torralba. Scene parsing through ade20k dataset. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2017.

Appendix A

Model Architectures Summary

The appendix includes information about the architectures of the neural networks used in Pix2pixHD and SPADE model implementations. Code will be available at my [GitHub](#).

A.1 Architecture of Pix2pixHD Generator

module name	input shape	output_shape	parameters
model_global.0	(1, 3, 256, 128)	(1, 3, 262, 134)	0
model_global.1	(1, 3, 262, 134)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	9,472
model_global.2	(1, 64, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	128
model_global.3	(1, 64, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	0
model_global.4	(1, 64, 256, 128)	(1, 128, 128, 64)	73,856
model_global.5	(1, 128, 128, 64)	(1, 128, 128, 64)	256
model_global.6	(1, 128, 128, 64)	(1, 128, 128, 64)	0
model_global.7	(1, 128, 128, 64)	(1, 256, 64, 32)	295,168
model_global.8	(1, 256, 64, 32)	(1, 256, 64, 32)	512
model_global.9	(1, 256, 64, 32)	(1, 256, 64, 32)	0
model_global.10	(1, 256, 64, 32)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	1,180,160
model_global.11	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	1,024
model_global.12	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	0
model_global.13.conv_block.0	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 34, 18)	0
model_global.13.conv_block.1	(1, 512, 34, 18)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	2,359,808
model_global.13.conv_block.2	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	1,024
model_global.13.conv_block.3	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	0
model_global.13.conv_block.4	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 34, 18)	0
model_global.13.conv_block.5	(1, 512, 34, 18)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	2,359,808
model_global.13.conv_block.6	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	1,024
model_global.14.conv_block.0	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 34, 18)	0
model_global.14.conv_block.1	(1, 512, 34, 18)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	2,359,808
model_global.14.conv_block.2	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	1,024
model_global.14.conv_block.3	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	0
model_global.14.conv_block.4	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 34, 18)	0

model_global.14.conv_block.5	(1, 512, 34, 18)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	2,359,808
model_global.14.conv_block.6	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	1,024
model_global.15.conv_block.0	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 34, 18)	0
model_global.15.conv_block.1	(1, 512, 34, 18)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	2,359,808
model_global.15.conv_block.2	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	1,024
model_global.15.conv_block.3	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	0
model_global.15.conv_block.4	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 34, 18)	0
model_global.15.conv_block.5	(1, 512, 34, 18)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	2,359,808
model_global.15.conv_block.6	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	1,024
model_global.16.conv_block.0	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 34, 18)	0
model_global.16.conv_block.1	(1, 512, 34, 18)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	2,359,808
model_global.16.conv_block.2	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	1,024
model_global.16.conv_block.3	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	0
model_global.16.conv_block.4	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 34, 18)	0
model_global.16.conv_block.5	(1, 512, 34, 18)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	2,359,808
model_global.16.conv_block.6	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	1,024
model_global.17.conv_block.0	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 34, 18)	0
model_global.17.conv_block.1	(1, 512, 34, 18)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	2,359,808
model_global.17.conv_block.2	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	1,024
model_global.17.conv_block.3	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	0
model_global.17.conv_block.4	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 34, 18)	0
model_global.17.conv_block.5	(1, 512, 34, 18)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	2,359,808
model_global.17.conv_block.6	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	1,024
model_global.18.conv_block.0	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 34, 18)	0
model_global.18.conv_block.1	(1, 512, 34, 18)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	2,359,808
model_global.18.conv_block.2	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	1,024
model_global.18.conv_block.3	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	0
model_global.18.conv_block.4	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 34, 18)	0
model_global.18.conv_block.5	(1, 512, 34, 18)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	2,359,808
model_global.18.conv_block.6	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	1,024
model_global.19	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 256, 64, 32)	1,179,904
model_global.20	(1, 256, 64, 32)	(1, 256, 64, 32)	512
model_global.21	(1, 256, 64, 32)	(1, 256, 64, 32)	0
model_global.22	(1, 256, 64, 32)	(1, 128, 128, 64)	295,040
model_global.23	(1, 128, 128, 64)	(1, 128, 128, 64)	256
model_global.24	(1, 128, 128, 64)	(1, 128, 128, 64)	0
model_global.25	(1, 128, 128, 64)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	73,792
model_global.26	(1, 64, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	128
model_global.27	(1, 64, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	0
model_global.28	[]	[]	0
model_global.29	[]	[]	0
model_global.30	[]	[]	0
downsample	(1, 3, 512, 256)	(1, 3, 256, 128)	0
le_downsample.0	(1, 3, 512, 256)	(1, 3, 518, 262)	0
le_downsample.1	(1, 3, 518, 262)	(1, 32, 512, 256)	4,736
le_downsample.2	(1, 32, 512, 256)	(1, 32, 512, 256)	64
le_downsample.3	(1, 32, 512, 256)	(1, 32, 512, 256)	0

le_downsample.4	(1, 32, 512, 256)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	18,496
le_downsample.5	(1, 64, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	128
le_downsample.6	(1, 64, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	0
le_upsample.0.conv_block.0	(1, 64, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 258, 130)	0
le_upsample.0.conv_block.1	(1, 64, 258, 130)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	36,928
le_upsample.0.conv_block.2	(1, 64, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	128
le_upsample.0.conv_block.3	(1, 64, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	0
le_upsample.0.conv_block.4	(1, 64, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 258, 130)	0
le_upsample.0.conv_block.5	(1, 64, 258, 130)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	36,928
le_upsample.0.conv_block.6	(1, 64, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	128
le_upsample.1.conv_block.0	(1, 64, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 258, 130)	0
le_upsample.1.conv_block.1	(1, 64, 258, 130)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	36,928
le_upsample.1.conv_block.2	(1, 64, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	128
le_upsample.1.conv_block.4	(1, 64, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 258, 130)	0
le_upsample.1.conv_block.5	(1, 64, 258, 130)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	36,928
le_upsample.1.conv_block.6	(1, 64, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	128
le_upsample.2.conv_block.0	(1, 64, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 258, 130)	0
le_upsample.2.conv_block.1	(1, 64, 258, 130)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	36,928
le_upsample.2.conv_block.2	(1, 64, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	128
le_upsample.2.conv_block.4	(1, 64, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 258, 130)	0
le_upsample.2.conv_block.5	(1, 64, 258, 130)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	36,928
le_upsample.2.conv_block.6	(1, 64, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	128
le_upsample.3	(1, 64, 256, 128)	(1, 32, 512, 256)	18,464
le_upsample.4	(1, 32, 512, 256)	(1, 32, 512, 256)	64
le_upsample.5	(1, 32, 512, 256)	(1, 32, 512, 256)	0
le_upsample.6	(1, 32, 512, 256)	(1, 32, 518, 262)	0
le_upsample.7	(1, 32, 518, 262)	(1, 3, 512, 256)	4,707
le_upsample.8	(1, 3, 512, 256)	(1, 3, 512, 256)	0
Model	[1, 3, 512, 256]	(1, 3, 512, 256)	31,709,187

Table A.1: Model Stats of Pix2pixHD Generator generated by tensorwatch

A.2 Architecture of Discriminator

module name	input shape	output shape	parameters
downsample	(1, 6, 256, 128)	(1, 6, 128, 64)	0
layer0.0	(1, 6, 128, 64)	(1, 64, 65, 33)	6,208
layer0.1	(1, 64, 65, 33)	(1, 64, 65, 33)	0
layer0.2	(1, 64, 65, 33)	(1, 128, 33, 17)	131,200
layer0.3	(1, 128, 33, 17)	(1, 128, 33, 17)	256
layer0.4	(1, 128, 33, 17)	(1, 128, 33, 17)	0
layer0.5	(1, 128, 33, 17)	(1, 256, 17, 9)	524,544
layer0.6	(1, 256, 17, 9)	(1, 256, 17, 9)	512
layer0.7	(1, 256, 17, 9)	(1, 256, 17, 9)	0
layer0.8	(1, 256, 17, 9)	(1, 512, 18, 10)	2,097,664
layer0.9	(1, 512, 18, 10)	(1, 512, 18, 10)	1,024
layer0.10	(1, 512, 18, 10)	(1, 512, 18, 10)	0
layer0.11	(1, 512, 18, 10)	(1, 1, 19, 11)	8,193
layer1.0	(1, 6, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 129, 65)	6,208
layer1.1	(1, 64, 129, 65)	(1, 64, 129, 65)	0
layer1.2	(1, 64, 129, 65)	(1, 128, 65, 33)	131,200
layer1.3	(1, 128, 65, 33)	(1, 128, 65, 33)	256
layer1.4	(1, 128, 65, 33)	(1, 128, 65, 33)	0
layer1.5	(1, 128, 65, 33)	(1, 256, 33, 17)	524,544
layer1.6	(1, 256, 33, 17)	(1, 256, 33, 17)	512
layer1.7	(1, 256, 33, 17)	(1, 256, 33, 17)	0
layer1.8	(1, 256, 33, 17)	(1, 512, 34, 18)	2,097,664
layer1.9	(1, 512, 34, 18)	(1, 512, 34, 18)	1,024
layer1.10	(1, 512, 34, 18)	(1, 512, 34, 18)	0
layer1.11	(1, 512, 34, 18)	(1, 1, 35, 19)	8,193
layer2.0	(1, 6, 512, 256)	(1, 64, 257, 129)	6,208
layer2.1	(1, 64, 257, 129)	(1, 64, 257, 129)	0
layer2.2	(1, 64, 257, 129)	(1, 128, 129, 65)	131,200
layer2.3	(1, 128, 129, 65)	(1, 128, 129, 65)	256
layer2.4	(1, 128, 129, 65)	(1, 128, 129, 65)	0
layer2.5	(1, 128, 129, 65)	(1, 256, 65, 33)	524,544
layer2.6	(1, 256, 65, 33)	(1, 256, 65, 33)	512
layer2.7	(1, 256, 65, 33)	(1, 256, 65, 33)	0
layer2.8	(1, 256, 65, 33)	(1, 512, 66, 34)	2,097,664
layer2.9	(1, 512, 66, 34)	(1, 512, 66, 34)	1,024
layer2.10	(1, 512, 66, 34)	(1, 512, 66, 34)	0
layer2.11	(1, 512, 66, 34)	(1, 1, 67, 35)	8,193
Model	[1, 6, 512, 256]	(1, 1, 67, 35)	8,308,803

Table A.2: Model Stats of Pix2pixHD Discriminator generated by tensorwatch

A.3 Architecture of SPADE Generator

module name	input shape	output shape	parameters
fc	(1, 256)	(1, 8192)	2,105,344
spadeRes0.spade0.param_free_norm	(1, 1024, 4, 2)	(1, 1024, 4, 2)	0
spadeRes0.spade0.shared_net.0	(1, 3, 4, 2)	(1, 128, 4, 2)	3,584
spadeRes0.spade0.shared_net.1	(1, 128, 4, 2)	(1, 128, 4, 2)	0
spadeRes0.spade0.gamma	(1, 128, 4, 2)	(1, 1024, 4, 2)	1,180,672
spadeRes0.spade0.beta	(1, 128, 4, 2)	(1, 1024, 4, 2)	1,180,672
spadeRes0.conv0	(1, 1024, 4, 2)	(1, 1024, 4, 2)	9,438,208
spadeRes0.relu0	(1, 1024, 4, 2)	(1, 1024, 4, 2)	0
spadeRes0.spade1.param_free_norm	(1, 1024, 4, 2)	(1, 1024, 4, 2)	0
spadeRes0.spade1.shared_net.0	(1, 3, 4, 2)	(1, 128, 4, 2)	3,584
spadeRes0.spade1.shared_net.1	(1, 128, 4, 2)	(1, 128, 4, 2)	0
spadeRes0.spade1.gamma	(1, 128, 4, 2)	(1, 1024, 4, 2)	1,180,672
spadeRes0.spade1.beta	(1, 128, 4, 2)	(1, 1024, 4, 2)	1,180,672
spadeRes0.conv1	(1, 1024, 4, 2)	(1, 1024, 4, 2)	9,438,208
spadeRes0.relu1	(1, 1024, 4, 2)	(1, 1024, 4, 2)	0
spadeRes0.spade_skip.param_free_norm	(1, 1024, 4, 2)	(1, 1024, 4, 2)	0
spadeRes0.spade_skip.shared_net.0	(1, 3, 4, 2)	(1, 128, 4, 2)	3,584
spadeRes0.spade_skip.shared_net.1	(1, 128, 4, 2)	(1, 128, 4, 2)	0
spadeRes0.spade_skip.gamma	(1, 128, 4, 2)	(1, 1024, 4, 2)	1,180,672
spadeRes0.spade_skip.beta	(1, 128, 4, 2)	(1, 1024, 4, 2)	1,180,672
spadeRes0.conv_skip	(1, 1024, 4, 2)	(1, 1024, 4, 2)	1,048,576
spadeRes0.relu_skip	(1, 1024, 4, 2)	(1, 1024, 4, 2)	0
spadeRes1.spade0.param_free_norm	(1, 1024, 8, 4)	(1, 1024, 8, 4)	0
spadeRes1.spade0.shared_net.0	(1, 3, 8, 4)	(1, 128, 8, 4)	3,584
spadeRes1.spade0.shared_net.1	(1, 128, 8, 4)	(1, 128, 8, 4)	0
spadeRes1.spade0.gamma	(1, 128, 8, 4)	(1, 1024, 8, 4)	1,180,672
spadeRes1.spade0.beta	(1, 128, 8, 4)	(1, 1024, 8, 4)	1,180,672
spadeRes1.conv0	(1, 1024, 8, 4)	(1, 1024, 8, 4)	9,438,208
spadeRes1.relu0	(1, 1024, 8, 4)	(1, 1024, 8, 4)	0
spadeRes1.spade1.param_free_norm	(1, 1024, 8, 4)	(1, 1024, 8, 4)	0
spadeRes1.spade1.shared_net.0	(1, 3, 8, 4)	(1, 128, 8, 4)	3,584
spadeRes1.spade1.shared_net.1	(1, 128, 8, 4)	(1, 128, 8, 4)	0
spadeRes1.spade1.gamma	(1, 128, 8, 4)	(1, 1024, 8, 4)	1,180,672
spadeRes1.spade1.beta	(1, 128, 8, 4)	(1, 1024, 8, 4)	1,180,672
spadeRes1.conv1	(1, 1024, 8, 4)	(1, 1024, 8, 4)	9,438,208
spadeRes1.relu1	(1, 1024, 8, 4)	(1, 1024, 8, 4)	0
spadeRes1.spade_skip.param_free_norm	(1, 1024, 8, 4)	(1, 1024, 8, 4)	0
spadeRes1.spade_skip.shared_net.0	(1, 3, 8, 4)	(1, 128, 8, 4)	3,584
spadeRes1.spade_skip.shared_net.1	(1, 128, 8, 4)	(1, 128, 8, 4)	0
spadeRes1.spade_skip.gamma	(1, 128, 8, 4)	(1, 1024, 8, 4)	1,180,672
spadeRes1.spade_skip.beta	(1, 128, 8, 4)	(1, 1024, 8, 4)	1,180,672
spadeRes1.conv_skip	(1, 1024, 8, 4)	(1, 1024, 8, 4)	1,048,576
spadeRes1.relu_skip	(1, 1024, 8, 4)	(1, 1024, 8, 4)	0
spadeRes2.spade0.param_free_norm	(1, 1024, 16, 8)	(1, 1024, 16, 8)	0
spadeRes2.spade0.shared_net.0	(1, 3, 16, 8)	(1, 128, 16, 8)	3,584

spadeRes2.spade0.shared.net.1	(1, 128, 16, 8)	(1, 128, 16, 8)	0
spadeRes2.spade0.gamma	(1, 128, 16, 8)	(1, 1024, 16, 8)	1,180,672
spadeRes2.spade0.beta	(1, 128, 16, 8)	(1, 1024, 16, 8)	1,180,672
spadeRes2.conv0	(1, 1024, 16, 8)	(1, 1024, 16, 8)	9,438,208
spadeRes2.relu0	(1, 1024, 16, 8)	(1, 1024, 16, 8)	0
spadeRes2.spade1.param_free_norm	(1, 1024, 16, 8)	(1, 1024, 16, 8)	0
spadeRes2.spade1.shared.net.0	(1, 3, 16, 8)	(1, 128, 16, 8)	3,584
spadeRes2.spade1.shared.net.1	(1, 128, 16, 8)	(1, 128, 16, 8)	0
spadeRes2.spade1.gamma	(1, 128, 16, 8)	(1, 1024, 16, 8)	1,180,672
spadeRes2.spade1.beta	(1, 128, 16, 8)	(1, 1024, 16, 8)	1,180,672
spadeRes2.conv1	(1, 1024, 16, 8)	(1, 1024, 16, 8)	9,438,208
spadeRes2.relu1	(1, 1024, 16, 8)	(1, 1024, 16, 8)	0
spadeRes2.spade_skip.param_free_norm	(1, 1024, 16, 8)	(1, 1024, 16, 8)	0
spadeRes2.spade_skip.shared.net.0	(1, 3, 16, 8)	(1, 128, 16, 8)	3,584
spadeRes2.spade_skip.shared.net.1	(1, 128, 16, 8)	(1, 128, 16, 8)	0
spadeRes2.spade_skip.gamma	(1, 128, 16, 8)	(1, 1024, 16, 8)	1,180,672
spadeRes2.spade_skip.beta	(1, 128, 16, 8)	(1, 1024, 16, 8)	1,180,672
spadeRes2.conv_skip	(1, 1024, 16, 8)	(1, 1024, 16, 8)	1,048,576
spadeRes2.relu_skip	(1, 1024, 16, 8)	(1, 1024, 16, 8)	0
spadeRes3.spade0.param_free_norm	(1, 1024, 32, 16)	(1, 1024, 32, 16)	0
spadeRes3.spade0.shared.net.0	(1, 3, 32, 16)	(1, 128, 32, 16)	3,584
spadeRes3.spade0.shared.net.1	(1, 128, 32, 16)	(1, 128, 32, 16)	0
spadeRes3.spade0.gamma	(1, 128, 32, 16)	(1, 1024, 32, 16)	1,180,672
spadeRes3.spade0.beta	(1, 128, 32, 16)	(1, 1024, 32, 16)	1,180,672
spadeRes3.conv0	(1, 1024, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	4,719,104
spadeRes3.relu0	(1, 1024, 32, 16)	(1, 1024, 32, 16)	0
spadeRes3.spade1.param_free_norm	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	0
spadeRes3.spade1.shared.net.0	(1, 3, 32, 16)	(1, 128, 32, 16)	3,584
spadeRes3.spade1.shared.net.1	(1, 128, 32, 16)	(1, 128, 32, 16)	0
spadeRes3.spade1.gamma	(1, 128, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	590,336
spadeRes3.spade1.beta	(1, 128, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	590,336
spadeRes3.conv1	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	2,359,808
spadeRes3.relu1	(1, 512, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	0
spadeRes3.spade_skip.param_free_norm	(1, 1024, 32, 16)	(1, 1024, 32, 16)	0
spadeRes3.spade_skip.shared.net.0	(1, 3, 32, 16)	(1, 128, 32, 16)	3,584
spadeRes3.spade_skip.shared.net.1	(1, 128, 32, 16)	(1, 128, 32, 16)	0
spadeRes3.spade_skip.gamma	(1, 128, 32, 16)	(1, 1024, 32, 16)	1,180,672
spadeRes3.spade_skip.beta	(1, 128, 32, 16)	(1, 1024, 32, 16)	1,180,672
spadeRes3.conv_skip	(1, 1024, 32, 16)	(1, 512, 32, 16)	524,288
spadeRes3.relu_skip	(1, 1024, 32, 16)	(1, 1024, 32, 16)	0
spadeRes4.spade0.param_free_norm	(1, 512, 64, 32)	(1, 512, 64, 32)	0
spadeRes4.spade0.shared.net.0	(1, 3, 64, 32)	(1, 128, 64, 32)	3,584
spadeRes4.spade0.shared.net.1	(1, 128, 64, 32)	(1, 128, 64, 32)	0
spadeRes4.spade0.gamma	(1, 128, 64, 32)	(1, 512, 64, 32)	590,336
spadeRes4.spade0.beta	(1, 128, 64, 32)	(1, 512, 64, 32)	590,336
spadeRes4.conv0	(1, 512, 64, 32)	(1, 256, 64, 32)	1,179,904
spadeRes4.relu0	(1, 512, 64, 32)	(1, 512, 64, 32)	0

spadeRes4.spade1.param_free_norm	(1, 256, 64, 32)	(1, 256, 64, 32)	0
spadeRes4.spade1.shared_net.0	(1, 3, 64, 32)	(1, 128, 64, 32)	3,584
spadeRes4.spade1.shared_net.1	(1, 128, 64, 32)	(1, 128, 64, 32)	0
spadeRes4.spade1.gamma	(1, 128, 64, 32)	(1, 256, 64, 32)	295,168
spadeRes4.spade1.beta	(1, 128, 64, 32)	(1, 256, 64, 32)	295,168
spadeRes4.conv1	(1, 256, 64, 32)	(1, 256, 64, 32)	590,080
spadeRes4.relu1	(1, 256, 64, 32)	(1, 256, 64, 32)	0
spadeRes4.spade_skip.param_free_norm	(1, 512, 64, 32)	(1, 512, 64, 32)	0
spadeRes4.spade_skip.shared_net.0	(1, 3, 64, 32)	(1, 128, 64, 32)	3,584
spadeRes4.spade_skip.shared_net.1	(1, 128, 64, 32)	(1, 128, 64, 32)	0
spadeRes4.spade_skip.gamma	(1, 128, 64, 32)	(1, 512, 64, 32)	590,336
spadeRes4.spade_skip.beta	(1, 128, 64, 32)	(1, 512, 64, 32)	590,336
spadeRes4.conv_skip	(1, 512, 64, 32)	(1, 256, 64, 32)	131,072
spadeRes4.relu_skip	(1, 512, 64, 32)	(1, 512, 64, 32)	0
spadeRes5.spade0.param_free_norm	(1, 256, 128, 64)	(1, 256, 128, 64)	0
spadeRes5.spade0.shared_net.0	(1, 3, 128, 64)	(1, 128, 128, 64)	3,584
spadeRes5.spade0.shared_net.1	(1, 128, 128, 64)	(1, 128, 128, 64)	0
spadeRes5.spade0.gamma	(1, 128, 128, 64)	(1, 256, 128, 64)	295,168
spadeRes5.spade0.beta	(1, 128, 128, 64)	(1, 256, 128, 64)	295,168
spadeRes5.conv0	(1, 256, 128, 64)	(1, 128, 128, 64)	295,040
spadeRes5.relu0	(1, 256, 128, 64)	(1, 256, 128, 64)	0
spadeRes5.spade1.param_free_norm	(1, 128, 128, 64)	(1, 128, 128, 64)	0
spadeRes5.spade1.shared_net.0	(1, 3, 128, 64)	(1, 128, 128, 64)	3,584
spadeRes5.spade1.shared_net.1	(1, 128, 128, 64)	(1, 128, 128, 64)	0
spadeRes5.spade1.gamma	(1, 128, 128, 64)	(1, 128, 128, 64)	147,584
spadeRes5.spade1.beta	(1, 128, 128, 64)	(1, 128, 128, 64)	147,584
spadeRes5.conv1	(1, 128, 128, 64)	(1, 128, 128, 64)	147,584
spadeRes5.relu1	(1, 128, 128, 64)	(1, 128, 128, 64)	0
spadeRes5.spade_skip.param_free_norm	(1, 256, 128, 64)	(1, 256, 128, 64)	0
spadeRes5.spade_skip.shared_net.0	(1, 3, 128, 64)	(1, 128, 128, 64)	3,584
spadeRes5.spade_skip.shared_net.1	(1, 128, 128, 64)	(1, 128, 128, 64)	0
spadeRes5.spade_skip.gamma	(1, 128, 128, 64)	(1, 256, 128, 64)	295,168
spadeRes5.spade_skip.beta	(1, 128, 128, 64)	(1, 256, 128, 64)	295,168
spadeRes5.conv_skip	(1, 256, 128, 64)	(1, 128, 128, 64)	32,768
spadeRes5.relu_skip	(1, 256, 128, 64)	(1, 256, 128, 64)	0
spadeRes6.spade0.param_free_norm	(1, 128, 256, 128)	(1, 128, 256, 128)	0
spadeRes6.spade0.shared_net.0	(1, 3, 256, 128)	(1, 128, 256, 128)	3,584
spadeRes6.spade0.shared_net.1	(1, 128, 256, 128)	(1, 128, 256, 128)	0
spadeRes6.spade0.gamma	(1, 128, 256, 128)	(1, 128, 256, 128)	147,584
spadeRes6.spade0.beta	(1, 128, 256, 128)	(1, 128, 256, 128)	147,584
spadeRes6.conv0	(1, 128, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	73,792
spadeRes6.relu0	(1, 128, 256, 128)	(1, 128, 256, 128)	0
spadeRes6.spade1.param_free_norm	(1, 64, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	0
spadeRes6.spade1.shared_net.0	(1, 3, 256, 128)	(1, 128, 256, 128)	3,584
spadeRes6.spade1.shared_net.1	(1, 128, 256, 128)	(1, 128, 256, 128)	0
spadeRes6.spade1.gamma	(1, 128, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	73,792
spadeRes6.spade1.beta	(1, 128, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	73,792

spadeRes6.conv1	(1, 64, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	36,928
spadeRes6.relu1	(1, 64, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	0
spadeRes6.spade_skip.param_free_norm	(1, 128, 256, 128)	(1, 128, 256, 128)	0
spadeRes6.spade_skip.shared_net.0	(1, 3, 256, 128)	(1, 128, 256, 128)	3,584
spadeRes6.spade_skip.shared_net.1	(1, 128, 256, 128)	(1, 128, 256, 128)	0
spadeRes6.spade_skip.gamma	(1, 128, 256, 128)	(1, 128, 256, 128)	147,584
spadeRes6.spade_skip.beta	(1, 128, 256, 128)	(1, 128, 256, 128)	147,584
spadeRes6.conv_skip	(1, 128, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 256, 128)	8,192
spadeRes6.relu_skip	(1, 128, 256, 128)	(1, 128, 256, 128)	0
up	(1, 64, 256, 128)	(1, 64, 512, 256)	0
conv_final	(1, 64, 512, 256)	(1, 3, 512, 256)	1,731
Model	[1, 3, 512, 256]	(1, 3, 512, 256)	104,376,771

Table A.3: Model Stats of SPADE generator generated by tensorwatch