

Semisimple Lie algebras

William Gao

December 25, 2025

Today, k will be a field of characteristic zero and all algebras or modules will be finite-dimensional over k .

If \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are solvable ideals of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , then $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$ is solvable, being an extension of $(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b})/\mathfrak{a} \cong \mathfrak{b}/(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b})$ by \mathfrak{a} . Hence there exists a largest solvable ideal in \mathfrak{g} , called the *radical* \mathfrak{r} .

1 Semisimple Lie algebras

A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is *semisimple* if the radical is zero. Equivalently, \mathfrak{g} contains no nonzero abelian ideal. Indeed if $\mathfrak{r} \neq 0$, then the last nonzero derived algebra of \mathfrak{r} is a nonzero abelian ideal. The following criterion is often the most handy:

Theorem 1.1

\mathfrak{g} is semisimple if and only if its Killing form is nondegenerate.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{u} be the ideal of $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\text{tr}(\text{ad } x \text{ ad } y) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathfrak{g}$. For $x \in \mathfrak{u}$ we have $\text{tr}(\text{ad } x \text{ ad } y) = 0$ for $y \in D\mathfrak{u}$, so by Cartan's criterion, $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathfrak{u}$ is a solvable Lie subalgebra of $\text{End } \mathfrak{g}$. Since it is the quotient of \mathfrak{u} by the center of \mathfrak{g} , it follows that \mathfrak{u} is solvable, so if \mathfrak{g} is semisimple, then $\mathfrak{u} = 0$.

Conversely, let \mathfrak{a} be an abelian ideal; we claim that $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{u}$. Indeed, $\text{ad } x \text{ ad } y(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{a}$ and $\text{ad } x \text{ ad } y(\mathfrak{a}) = 0$, so $(\text{ad } x \text{ ad } y)^2 = 0$ which implies $\text{Tr}(\text{ad } x \text{ ad } y) = 0$. \square

Theorem 1.2

Let \mathfrak{g} be semisimple and \mathfrak{a} an ideal in \mathfrak{g} . Then \mathfrak{a}^\perp is an ideal and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^\perp$ is a direct sum.

Proof. The invariance of the Killing form shows that \mathfrak{a}^\perp is an ideal. Then $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{a}^\perp$ is solvable by Cartan's criterion, by similar arguments as the preceding proof. Since \mathfrak{g} is semisimple, $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{a}^\perp = 0$. \square

2 Semisimple Lie algebras

Definition 1.3

A Lie algebra \mathfrak{s} is *simple* if it is nonabelian and it has no ideal other than 0 and itself.

By induction on $\dim \mathfrak{g}$ we see that every semisimple Lie algebra is isomorphic to a product of simple Lie algebras.

If \mathfrak{s} is simple, then $D\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}$. It follows that

Corollary 1.4

If \mathfrak{g} is semisimple, then $D\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}$.

Corollary 1.5

If $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus \mathfrak{a}_\alpha$ is a direct sum decomposition of \mathfrak{g} into simple ideals, then any ideal of \mathfrak{g} is some sum of the \mathfrak{a}_α .

Example 1.6. $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$, the trace zero endomorphisms of V , is simple for $\dim V \geq 2$.

Example 1.7. $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$, the endomorphisms which fix a nondegenerate alternating form, is simple for $\dim V = 2n$, $n \geq 1$.

Example 1.8. $\mathfrak{o}(V)$, the endomorphisms of V fixing a nondegenerate symmetric form, is semisimple for $\dim V \geq 3$, and simple unless $\dim V = 4$ and the discriminant of the symmetric form is square.

2 Complete reducibility

Definition 2.1

A representation V of \mathfrak{g} is *simple* if $V \neq 0$ and V has no submodules other than 0 and V . It is called *semisimple* or *completely reducible* if V is the direct sum of simple submodules.

By induction, complete reducibility is equivalent to every submodule of V having a supplementary submodule.

Remark 2.2. \mathfrak{g} may be semisimple as a \mathfrak{g} -module without being semisimple as a Lie algebra. Consider $\mathfrak{g} = k$.

However, the converse is not possible.

Theorem 2.3 (Weyl)

Let \mathfrak{g} be semisimple. Then every finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module is semisimple.

Proof. We provide Weyl's geometric proof in the case where $k = \mathbb{C}$. Let G be a connected and simply connected complex Lie group for \mathfrak{g} and let K be a maximal compact subgroup of G . Any complex group submanifold of G containing K is equal to G , so the G -submodules are the same as K -submodules. By compactness of K there exists a K -invariant definite Hermitian form on V , with respect to which we can construct orthogonal supplementary subspaces. \square

Corollary 2.4

Let \mathfrak{g} be a semisimple ideal of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} . Then there exists a unique ideal \mathfrak{a} in \mathfrak{h} such that $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$.

Proof. Since \mathfrak{h} is semisimple as an \mathfrak{g} -module, there exists a k -subspace \mathfrak{a} of \mathfrak{h} supplementary to \mathfrak{g} and stable under $\text{ad } x$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. We claim that $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}] = 0$. Indeed, $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}] \subset \mathfrak{g}$ since \mathfrak{g} is an ideal and $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}] \subset \mathfrak{a}$ since \mathfrak{a} is \mathfrak{g} -stable. Therefore \mathfrak{a} consists precisely of the $y \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $[\mathfrak{g}, y] = 0$, which is 0 because the center of \mathfrak{g} is zero. Therefore \mathfrak{a} is unique as a \mathfrak{g} -module, and as the annihilator of \mathfrak{g} , it is an ideal of \mathfrak{h} . \square

Corollary 2.5

Let \mathfrak{g} be semisimple. Then every derivation \mathfrak{g} takes the form $\text{ad } x$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. Applying the previous corollary with $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$, \mathfrak{g} is an ideal in $\text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$ because $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $D \in \text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$ implies $[D, \text{ad } x] = \text{ad}(Dx)$. Hence we have a decomposition $\text{Der } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$, where \mathfrak{a} consists of the derivations which commute with $\text{ad } \mathfrak{g}$. If $D \in \mathfrak{a}$, then $\text{ad}(Dx) = [D, \text{ad } x] = 0$ implies $Dx = 0$, since the center of \mathfrak{g} is 0. Hence $\mathfrak{a} = 0$. \square

3 Levi's theorem

Theorem 3.1 (Levi)

Let $\phi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s}$ be a surjective homomorphism of \mathfrak{g} onto a semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{s} . Then there exists a homomorphism $\epsilon: \mathfrak{s} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\phi \circ \epsilon = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{s}}$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{a} = \ker \phi$, so that $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}$. We reduce to the case where \mathfrak{a} is abelian and simple as a \mathfrak{g} -module with a nontrivial action. Let \mathfrak{a}_1 be any ideal in \mathfrak{g} with $0 \subset \mathfrak{a}_1 \subset \mathfrak{a}$. If there exists a supplementary subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}_1 = \mathfrak{g}_1/\mathfrak{a}_1$ to $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}_1$ in $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}_1$ and a supplementary

4 Semisimple Lie algebras

subalgebra \mathfrak{s}_2 to \mathfrak{a}_1 in \mathfrak{g}_1 , then \mathfrak{s}_2 is supplementary to \mathfrak{a} in \mathfrak{g} . By induction on $\dim \mathfrak{a}$, we may assume \mathfrak{a} is a simple \mathfrak{g} -module. Then \mathfrak{a} contains the radical of \mathfrak{g} , and if $\mathfrak{r} = 0$ then \mathfrak{g} is semisimple and we are done. Otherwise if $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{a}$ then \mathfrak{a} is solvable,

so $\mathfrak{a} \neq [\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}]$. But the latter is an ideal, and thus 0 as \mathfrak{a} is abelian. If \mathfrak{g} acts trivially on \mathfrak{a} , then \mathfrak{a} is in the center of \mathfrak{g} , so \mathfrak{g} acts through $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a} \cong \mathfrak{s}$, so \mathfrak{g} is completely reducible as an \mathfrak{s} -module. We conclude that there exists an ideal supplementary to \mathfrak{a} .

Now for the case where \mathfrak{a} is abelian and simple as a \mathfrak{s} -module with nontrivial action, then we will use the following lemma. \square

Lemma 3.2

Let W be a \mathfrak{g} -module which contains some w such that $a \mapsto aw$ is a bijection $\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}w$ and $\mathfrak{g}w = \mathfrak{a}w$. Let $i_w = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : xw = 0\}$ be the stabilizer of w . Then i_w is a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus i_w$.

It remains to construct a suitable w . Let $W = \text{End } \mathfrak{g}$ as a \mathfrak{g} -module, the representation being

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma: \mathfrak{g} &\longrightarrow \text{End End } \mathfrak{g} \\ x &\longmapsto (\phi \mapsto \text{ad } x \circ \phi - \phi \circ \text{ad } x).\end{aligned}$$

Define $P \subset Q \subset R \subset W$ by

$$\begin{aligned}P &= \{\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} a : a \in \mathfrak{a}\} \\ Q &= \{\phi \in W : \phi \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{a} \text{ and } \phi \mathfrak{a} = 0\} \\ R &= \{\phi \in W : \phi \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{a} \text{ and } \phi \mid \mathfrak{a} \text{ is a homothety}\}.\end{aligned}$$

We have an exact sequence of \mathfrak{g} -modules

$$0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\rho} k \rightarrow 0,$$

where $Q \rightarrow R$ is the inclusion and ρ sends $r \in R$ to the scalar by which r multiplies an element of \mathfrak{a} . For $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $\phi \in R$, we have

$$\sigma(x)\phi = \text{ad } x \circ \phi - \phi \circ \text{ad } x = -\lambda \text{ad } x,$$

where $\lambda = \rho(\phi)$. Thus $\sigma(x)R \subset P$, so we get an exact sequence of \mathfrak{s} -modules

$$0 \rightarrow Q/P \rightarrow R/P \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0.$$

By lifting invariants, there exists $\bar{w} \in R/P$ such that $\bar{\rho}(\bar{w}) = 1$ and which is \mathfrak{s} -invariant. Let w be a representative of \bar{w} in R . We verify:

- (i) For $a \in \mathfrak{a}$, $\sigma(a)w = -\text{ad } a$. If $\sigma(a)w = 0$, then $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} a = 0$, so $[a, x] = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then $a = 0$ since \mathfrak{a} is simple, so \mathfrak{g} acts nontrivially.
- (ii) Let $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. We wish to show $\sigma(x)w$ takes the form $\sigma(a)w$ for some $a \in \mathfrak{a}$. Since $\sigma(a)w = -\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} a$, it suffices to show $\sigma(x)w \in P$. This holds since \bar{w} is \mathfrak{s} -invariant.

Applying Levi's theorem to $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{r}$, we get:

Corollary 3.3

Any Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is the semidirect product of its radical with a semisimple subalgebra.

If $\mathfrak{g} \neq D\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ has codimension 1 and contains $D\mathfrak{g}$, then \mathfrak{a} is an ideal, so $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus kx$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \mathfrak{a}$. kx is by construction a Lie subalgebra, so:

Corollary 3.4

A nonzero Lie algebra which is neither simple nor one-dimensional abelian is a semidirect product of two lower-dimensional Lie algebras.

4 Complete reducibility II

The next theorem characterizes complete reducibility of a representation:

Theorem 4.1

Let k be algebraically closed. Let V be a vector space and \mathfrak{g} a Lie subalgebra of $\text{End } V$. Then V is a completely reducible \mathfrak{g} -module if and only if (a) \mathfrak{g} is a product $\mathfrak{c} \times \mathfrak{s}$ with \mathfrak{c} abelian and \mathfrak{s} semisimple, and (b) the elements of \mathfrak{c} are diagonalizable.

Proof. If $V = 0$ there is nothing to show. If $V \neq 0$ is completely reducible, then there exists a line in V stable under \mathfrak{r} , or equivalently a linear form $\chi: \mathfrak{r} \rightarrow k$ with nonzero eigenspace V_χ . By the lemma used in Lie's theorem, V_χ is \mathfrak{g} -stable. By complete reducibility, there exist characters χ_i of \mathfrak{r} such that

$$V = V_{\chi_1} \oplus V_{\chi_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{\chi_m}.$$

It is then clear that \mathfrak{r} acts diagonally and commutes with the action of \mathfrak{g} , so $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{r}$ is the center of \mathfrak{g} . We get \mathfrak{s} from Levi's theorem.

Conversely if (a), (b) hold then (b) gives a decomposition of V in the form

$$V = V_{\chi_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{\chi_m}$$

where the χ_i are linear forms on \mathfrak{c} , which lies in the center of \mathfrak{g} . Thus the V_{χ_i} are \mathfrak{g} -stable, so we have reduced to $V = V_\chi$. In this case \mathfrak{g} -submodules are equivalent to \mathfrak{s} -submodules, so Weyl's theorem gives the desired result. \square

Corollary 4.2

If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{c} \times \mathfrak{s}$ with \mathfrak{c} abelian and \mathfrak{s} semisimple, then a \mathfrak{g} -module is semisimple if and only if \mathfrak{c} acts diagonally on it.

6 Semisimple Lie algebras

Corollary 4.3

If a \mathfrak{g} -module V is completely reducible, then so are the $V_{p,q}$.

Corollary 4.4

The tensor product of completely reducible \mathfrak{g} -modules is completely reducible.

Theorem 4.5

Let V be a finite-dimensional k -vector space. Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \text{End } V$ be a Lie algebra. If \mathfrak{g} is semisimple, then \mathfrak{g} is determined by its tensor invariants; that is, there exist some $v_\alpha \in V_{p,q}$ such that $\mathfrak{g} = \{x \in \text{End } V : xv_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha\}$.

Proof. As usual, we reduce to the case where k is algebraically closed. Let \mathfrak{h} be the set of $x \in \text{End } V$ such that $xv = 0$ for all $v \in V_{p,q}$ with $\mathfrak{g}v = 0$. Clearly $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{h} \subset \text{End } V$ and \mathfrak{h} is a Lie algebra. We wish to show that $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}$.

If $u: V_{p,q} \rightarrow V_{r,s}$ is a \mathfrak{g} -linear homomorphism, then it is \mathfrak{h} -linear, as $\text{Hom}_k(V_{p,q}, V_{r,s}) \cong V_{q+r, p+s}$ as $\text{End } V$ -modules, and a k -linear map is a \mathfrak{g} -linear homomorphism if and only if killed by \mathfrak{g} .

If $W \subset V_{p,q}$ is \mathfrak{g} -stable, then it is \mathfrak{h} -stable. Indeed, $V_{p,q}$ is completely reducible as a \mathfrak{g} -module, so there exists a \mathfrak{g} -endomorphism projecting $V_{p,q}$ onto W . Since u is also an \mathfrak{h} -endomorphism, its image is \mathfrak{h} -stable.

Taking $W = \mathfrak{g}$ and $p = q = 1$, we see that \mathfrak{g} is an ideal in \mathfrak{h} , so by a corollary of Weyl's theorem $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{c}$ where \mathfrak{c} commutes with \mathfrak{g} . Thus \mathfrak{c} lies in the center of \mathfrak{h} .

Now let W be an irreducible \mathfrak{g} -submodule of V . Then W is \mathfrak{c} -stable, and by Schur's lemma the elements of \mathfrak{c} act as homotheties on W . It remains to show they are zero, since V is the direct sum of W 's this will show $\mathfrak{c} = 0$. Since we are in characteristic zero, it is enough to show the trace of each homothety is 0.

By the following lemma, we have

$$\Lambda^m W \subset W^{\otimes m} \subset V^{\otimes m} = V_{m,0}.$$

\mathfrak{g} , being semisimple, has no nontrivial one-dimensional module, so $\Lambda^m W$ is killed by \mathfrak{g} , hence killed by \mathfrak{c} , hence $\text{Tr}_W(x) = 0$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. \square

Lemma 4.6

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra and W a \mathfrak{g} -module of dimension m . Then $\Lambda^m W$ is \mathfrak{g} -stable as a quotient space of $W^{\otimes m}$ and $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ acts on $\Lambda^m W$ by scaling by $\text{Tr}_W(x)$.

Corollary 4.7

Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \text{End } V$ be semisimple. Let $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and write $x = n + s$ the canonical decomposition. Then $n, s \in \mathfrak{g}$ and for any $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(k, k)$, $\phi(s) \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. Any element in $V_{p,q}$ which is killed by \mathfrak{g} is also killed by x so also by $n, s, \phi(s)$. \square

Definition 4.8

Let \mathfrak{g} be a semisimple Lie algebra. $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ is called semisimple if $\text{ad } x$ is semisimple.

Theorem 4.9

If \mathfrak{g} is semisimple, then every $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ has a canonical decomposition $x = n + s$ with $n \in \mathfrak{g}$ nilpotent, $s \in \mathfrak{g}$ semisimple, and $[n, s] = 0$.

Theorem 4.10

Let $\phi: \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ be a Lie homomorphism between semisimple Lie algebras. If $x \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ is semisimple, then so is $\phi(x)$.

Proof. \mathfrak{g}_2 may be viewed as a \mathfrak{g}_1 -module, so if V is the product of \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 as \mathfrak{g}_1 -modules, then any $x \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ can be written as $x = n + s$. If x is semisimple then $n = 0$, so $\phi(x)$ is semisimple. \square

5 Compact Lie groups

Theorem 5.1

Let G be a connected compact complex Lie group. Then \mathfrak{g} is a complex torus; that is, of the form \mathbb{C}^n/Γ where Γ is a discrete rank $2n$ subgroup of \mathbb{C}^n .

Proof. By the maximum principle, there is no nonconstant analytic function on G , so no nonconstant analytic map $G \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g} \cong \mathbb{C}^{n^2}$ where $n = \dim \mathfrak{g}$ is the dimension of the Lie algebra of G . The inner automorphism $x \mapsto gxg^{-1}$ induced by $g \in G$ induces an automorphism $\text{Ad}g \in \text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$. Then $g \mapsto \text{Ad}g \in \mathbb{C}^{n^2}$ is analytic, hence constant, so $\text{Ad}g = \text{Ad}1 = 1$. For x near zero in \mathfrak{g} ,

$$g(\exp x)g^{-1} = \exp(\text{Ad}g(x)),$$

and since the exponential map is a homeomorphism near 0, we conclude that G is locally abelian. By connectedness, it is abelian, so its universal covering is \mathbb{C}^n and $G \cong \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$ as desired. \square

Theorem 5.2

Let G be a compact Lie group over \mathbb{R} with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Then $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{c} \times \mathfrak{s}$ where \mathfrak{c} is abelian and \mathfrak{s} is semisimple with negative definite Killing form.

Proof. As above, G acts on \mathfrak{g} by Ad and since G is compact there exists a positive definite quadratic form on \mathfrak{g} which is left-fixed by G , hence by \mathfrak{g} . This shows \mathfrak{g} is completely reducible as a \mathfrak{g} -module, so it is the direct sum of minimal nonzero ideals \mathfrak{a}_i and thus isomorphic to the product of the \mathfrak{a}_i . Each \mathfrak{a}_i is either simple or one-dimensional abelian, so $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{c} \times \mathfrak{s}$. It remains to show \mathfrak{s} has negative definite Killing form. Let (x, y) be the Euclidean inner product on \mathfrak{g} . For $x \in \mathfrak{s}$ let $u = \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}} x$. Then for $y, z \in \mathfrak{s}$,

$$(uy, z) + (y, uz) = 0$$

so taking $z = uy$ we find that $(y, u^2 y) = -(uy, uy)$. If (y_i) is an orthonormal basis for \mathfrak{s} then

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{s}}(u^2) = \sum_i (y_i, u^2 y_i) = - \sum_i |uy_i|^2,$$

so if $x \neq 0$ then $u = \text{ad } x \neq 0$, so $\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{s}} < 0$. □

We also have a converse:

Theorem 5.3

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} and $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{c} \times \mathfrak{s}$ with \mathfrak{c} abelian and \mathfrak{s} semisimple with definite Killing form. Then there exists a compact Lie group over \mathbb{R} giving \mathfrak{g} . If $\mathfrak{c} = 0$, then any such connected G is compact.

Proof. As a compact Lie group over \mathbb{R} giving \mathfrak{c} we can use a torus $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^n$. To obtain one giving \mathfrak{s} , we take $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{s}$, a closed subgroup of the orthogonal group fixing the Killing form of \mathfrak{s} . Since the Killing form is definite, $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{s}$ is compact. Its Lie algebra is $\text{Der } \mathfrak{s}$ which is isomorphic to \mathfrak{s} by Weyl's theorem.

If $\mathfrak{c} = 0$, so \mathfrak{g} is semisimple, let G be a connected Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . We have a canonical homomorphism

$$\text{Ad}: G \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}.$$

We have seen that $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$ is a compact Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , so Ad is étale. Then $H = \mathfrak{Im}(\text{Ad})$ is a connected component of $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$, and

$$G/\ker(\text{Ad}) = H$$

where Z is discrete, H is compact, and (H, H) is dense in H . Hence G is compact. □