

Integration

William Gao

December 28, 2025

1 Integrals

Let (E, \mathcal{E}, μ) be a measure space. Today we use it to define a notion of integration. We begin by considering nonnegative measurable functions $f: (E, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow ([0, \infty), \mathcal{B}([0, \infty)))$. Just as the Riemann integral approximates functions by rectangles, we approximate nonnegative measurable functions by simple functions.

Definition 1.1

A nonnegative measurable function is *simple* if

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^k \alpha_n \mathbb{1}_{A_n} \quad \text{for } \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}, A_n \in \mathcal{E}$$

is a finite linear combination of characteristic functions.

For a nonnegative simple function we define

$$\int_E f d\mu = \mu(f) := \sum_{n=1}^k \alpha_n \mu(A_n).$$

We use the convention $0 \cdot \infty = 0$. To see that this is independent of the choice of linear combination, suppose $0 \leq \alpha_n, \beta_n < \infty$ and $A_n, B_n \in \mathcal{E}$ are such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^k \alpha_n \mathbb{1}_{A_n} = \sum_{n=1}^\ell \beta_n \mathbb{1}_{B_n}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^k \alpha_n \mu(A_n) &= \sum_{n=1}^k \alpha_n \sum_{m=1}^\ell \mu(A_n \cap B_m) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^k \alpha_n \sum_{m=1}^\ell \mu(A_n \cap B_m) \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^\ell \beta_m \sum_{n=1}^k \mu(A_n \cap B_m) \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^\ell \beta_m \mu(B_m),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the assumption in the fourth equality. One can easily check that this definition of the integral is linear, preserves inequalities, and $\mu(f) = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$ almost everywhere. Now for a nonnegative measurable function f , we define its integral

$$\mu(f) = \int_E f d\mu = \sup\{\mu(g) : g \text{ simple with } 0 \leq g \leq f\}.$$

Once again this preserves inequalities, and for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a simple function f_ϵ such that

$$\int_E |f - f_\epsilon| d\mu = \int_E (f - f_\epsilon) d\mu < \epsilon.$$

Moving onto any real-valued function, recall that if $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable then so are the nonnegative functions f^+ , f^- , and $|f|$. We say f is integrable if $\mu(|f|) < \infty$, in which case we define

$$\mu(f) = \mu(f^+) - \mu(f^-).$$

Since $f \leq g$ if and only if $f^+ \leq g^+$ and $f^- \geq g^-$, we have $\mu(f) \leq \mu(g)$ for $f \leq g$. In particular, $|\mu(f)| \leq \mu(|f|)$, and as before given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a simple function f_ϵ such that

$$\int_E |f - f_\epsilon| d\mu < \epsilon,$$

by applying the above approximation result to both f^+ and f^- .

If exactly one of $\mu(f^+) = \infty$ or $\mu(f^-) = \infty$ holds, then we can still define $\mu(f)$ by the same formula. However if they are both infinite, then there is no sensible value for $\mu(f)$.

More generally if $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we say f is integrable if each $f_i = \pi_i \circ f$ is integrable, and we define

$$\int_E f d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \int_E f_i d\mu.$$

2 Convergence theorems

Theorem 2.1 (Monotone convergence)

Let (E, \mathcal{E}, μ) be a measure space and $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ an increasing sequence of nonnegative measurable functions $E \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. Then for each $x \in E$,

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \infty} f_n(x)$$

exists in $[0, \infty]$. We know f is measurable; the monotone convergence theorem states that

$$\int_E f d\mu = \lim \int_E f_n d\mu.$$

Proof. Let $M = \sup_n \mu(f_n)$. We claim that $M = \mu(f)$. Since the f_n are increasing, we have $f_n \leq f$ so $\mu(f_n) \leq \mu(f)$ for all n , thus

$$M \leq \mu(f) = \sup\{\mu(g) : g \text{ simple with } g \leq f\}.$$

Let $0 \leq g \leq f$ be a simple function. We wish to show $\mu(g) \leq M$. If $g = \sum_{i=1}^m a_k \mathbb{1}_{A_k}$, then without loss of generality assume the A_k are disjoint. Let $g_n(x) = \min\{g(x), \frac{1}{2^n} \lfloor 2^n f_n \rfloor\}$. Then the g_n form an increasing sequence of simple functions such that $g_n \leq f_n \leq f$ and $g_n \rightarrow g$. For $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ let

$$A_{k,n} := \{x \in A_k : g_n(x) \geq (1 - \epsilon)a_k\}.$$

Since the g_n are increasing, we have $A_{k,n} \subset A_{k,n+1}$. By countable additivity of μ , we have $\mu(A_{k,n}) \rightarrow \mu(A_k)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and by construction

$$\mathbb{1}_{A_k} g_n \geq (1 - \epsilon)a_k \mathbb{1}_{A_{k,n}}$$

which implies

$$\mu(\mathbb{1}_{A_k} g_n) \geq (1 - \epsilon)a_k \mu(A_{k,n}).$$

By linearity $g = \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{1}_{A_k} g_n$ we have

$$\mu(g_n) \geq (1 - \epsilon) \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \mu(A_{k,n}) \rightarrow (1 - \epsilon) \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \mu(A_k) = (1 - \epsilon)\mu(g).$$

Now the desired inequality $\mu(g) \leq M$ follows from

$$\mu(g_n) \leq \mu(f_n) \leq M,$$

taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. □

Corollary 2.2

Let (f_n) be a sequence of nonnegative measurable functions on E . Then

$$\int_E \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \right) d\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_E f_n d\mu.$$

Proof. Apply the monotone convergence theorem to the increasing sequence $(\sum_{n=1}^N f_n)$. \square

Corollary 2.3

Let (f_n) be a decreasing sequence of bounded measurable functions. Then

$$\int_E f d\mu = \lim \int_E f_n d\mu.$$

Proof. We take $g_n = f_1 - f_n$. Since the f_n are bounded we avoid $\infty - \infty$ problems, so this is well-defined. Then apply the monotone convergence theorem to the increasing sequence (g_n) . \square

The monotone convergence theorem allows us to generalize the following facts from simple functions to arbitrary measurable functions:

- $\int_E (af + bg) d\mu = a \int_E f d\mu + b \int_E g d\mu$.
- If $f \leq g$ then $\int_E f d\mu \leq \int_E g d\mu$.
- $\int_E f d\mu = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$ almost everywhere.

As a result, we can integrate over a restriction $(A, \mathcal{E}|_A, \mu|_A)$ of our measure space, so that

$$\int_A f d\mu = \int_E f \cdot \mathbf{1}_A d\mu = \int_A f|_A d\mu|_A.$$

Moreover if A, B are disjoint then

$$\int_A f d\mu + \int_B f d\mu = \int_{A \cup B} f d\mu$$

and if $|f| \leq K$ almost everywhere and $\mu(E) < \infty$, then f is integrable and

$$\left| \int_E f d\mu \right| \leq K \mu(E).$$

A more interesting result connects the Lebesgue integral to the Riemann integral.

Theorem 2.4

Let $A = [a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_n, b_n]$ be a rectangle in \mathbb{R}^n and let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be bounded. Then f is Riemann integrable if and only if f is continuous almost everywhere. In this case f is Lebesgue integrable and the two integrals agree:

$$\int_A f(x) dx = \int_A f d\mu.$$

Proof. The first part is well-known. We show f is Lebesgue integrable and the integrals agree. Since f is bounded, without loss of generality $0 \leq f \leq K$. Let \mathcal{P}_n be a sequence of partitions of A such that \mathcal{P}_{n+1} refines \mathcal{P}_n and the mesh of \mathcal{P}_n goes to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let

$$\underline{f}_n := \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_n} \inf_{\pi} f \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{f}_n := \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_n} \sup_{\pi} f \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\pi},$$

so that

$$0 \leq \underline{f}_n \leq \underline{f}_{n+1} \leq f \leq \bar{f}_{n+1} \leq \bar{f}_n \leq K.$$

Since each $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_n$ is a rectangle, it is Lebesgue measurable and $\underline{f}_n, \bar{f}_n$ are simple functions. Moreover

$$\int_A \underline{f}_n d\mu = L(f, \mathcal{P}_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_A \bar{f}_n d\mu = U(f, \mathcal{P}_n).$$

Now (\underline{f}_n) is a monotone increasing sequence bounded above by f , so its limit (equivalently supremum) is a bounded measurable function $\underline{f} \leq f$. Similarly, the limit or infimum of \bar{f}_n is a bounded measurable function \bar{f} . By monotone convergence,

$$\lim \int_A \underline{f}_n d\mu = \int_A \underline{f} d\mu \leq \int_A \bar{f} d\mu = \lim \int_A \bar{f}_n d\mu.$$

As we can see these correspond to the upper and lower Riemann integrals, and their difference becomes 0. By squeezing, the integrals agree. \square

Lemma 2.5 (Fatou)

Let $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of nonnegative measurable functions $E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\int_E \liminf f_n d\mu \leq \liminf \int_E f_n d\mu.$$

Proof. Apply monotone convergence theorem to the increasing sequence $g_n = \inf_{m \geq n} f_m$ of nonnegative measurable functions, which goes to $\liminf f_n$. Indeed, $g_n \leq f_k$ for $k \geq n$ so

$$\int_E g_n d\mu \leq \int_E f_k d\mu \quad \text{for } k \geq n.$$

Hence

$$\int_E g_n d\mu \leq \inf_{k \geq n} \int_E f_k d\mu$$

and we are done as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Theorem 2.6 (Dominated convergence)

Let (f_n) be a sequence of measurable functions such that

- (a) There exists an integrable function g such that $|f_n| \leq g$.
- (b) $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for all x .

Then f is integrable and

$$\int_E f_n d\mu \rightarrow \int_E f d\mu.$$

Proof. We know f is measurable and $\text{abs } f \leq g$ implies f is integrable. Note

$$0 \leq g \pm f_n \rightarrow g \pm f,$$

so $\liminf g \pm f_n = g \pm f$. By Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E g d\mu + \int_E f d\mu &= \int_E \liminf(g + f_n) d\mu \\ &\leq \liminf \int_E g + f_n d\mu \\ &= \int_E g d\mu + \liminf \int_E f_n d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\int_E g d\mu - \int_E f d\mu \leq \int_E g d\mu - \limsup \int_E f_n d\mu.$$

Rearranging,

$$\int_E f d\mu \leq \liminf \int_E f_n d\mu \leq \limsup \int_E f_n d\mu \leq \int_E f d\mu,$$

hence $\liminf \int_E f_n d\mu = \limsup \int_E f_n d\mu$. □

3 Product measures

Given measure spaces (E, \mathcal{E}, μ) and (F, \mathcal{F}, ν) we will construct a measure space on $E \times F$. A *rectangle* is a subset of $E \times F$ of the form $A \times B$ with $A \in \mathcal{E}$, $B \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}$ denote the collection of finite disjoint unions of rectangles. Then for $A_i \in \mathcal{E}$ and $B_i \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (A_1 \times B_1) \cap (A_2 \times B_2) &= (A_1 \cap A_2) \times (B_1 \cap B_2) \\ (A_1 \times B_1) \cup (A_2 \times B_2) &= (A_1 \times B_1 \setminus B_2) \cup (A_1 \cup A_2 \times B_1 \cap B_2) \cup (A_2 \times B_2 \setminus B_1) \\ (A_1 \times B_1)^c &= (E \times B_1^c) \cup (A_1^c \times F), \end{aligned}$$

so $\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}$ is an algebra. Let $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ be the σ -algebra it generates and define a set function

$$\begin{aligned} \pi: \mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{F} &\longrightarrow [0, \infty] \\ \bigcup_{i=1}^N A_i \times B_i &\longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^N \mu(A_i)\nu(B_i). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that for a sequence of disjoint rectangles $(A_j \times B_j)$ with $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j \times B_j = A \times B \in \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$\mu(A)\nu(B) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j)\nu(B_j).$$

Indeed, note that

$$\mathbb{1}_A(x)\mathbb{1}_B(y) = \mathbb{1}_{A \times B}(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{A_j \times B_j}(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{A_j}(x)\mathbb{1}_{B_j}(y).$$

Integrating with respect to x , we get

$$\mu(A)\mathbb{1}_B(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{B_j}(y) \int \mathbb{1}_{A_j} d\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{B_j}(y)\mu(A_j).$$

Integrating with respect to y ,

$$\mu(A)\mu(B) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j)\nu(B_j).$$

This shows that $\pi(C)$ is well-defined for $C \in \mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}$. Moreover this satisfies the hypothesis of Carathéodory's theorem, so we get an outer measure π^* on $E \times F$ whose restriction to $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ gives a measure which agrees with π on $\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}$. We call this measure the product measure $\mu \times \nu$. We now consider integration of a measurable function $f: E \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If $x \in E, y \in F$ we define

$$f_x(y) = f(x, y) = f^y(x).$$

Also

$$A_x = \pi_2(\pi_1^{-1}(x)) \subset F \quad \text{and} \quad A^-y = \pi_1(\pi_2^{-1}(y)) \subset E.$$

Note that

$$(\mathbb{1}_A)_x = \mathbb{1}_{A_x} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbb{1}_A)^y = \mathbb{1}_{A^y}.$$

Also, if f is $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ -measurable, then f_x is \mathcal{F} -measurable and f^y is \mathcal{E} -measurable. Indeed

$$(f_x)^{-1}(S) = (f^{-1}(S))_x \quad \text{and} \quad (f^y)^{-1}(S) = (f^{-1}(S))^y.$$

We use this to prove special cases of the Tonelli and Fubini theorems. First, a lemma:

Lemma 3.1

Let μ, ν be finite and $A \in \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$x \mapsto \nu(A_x) \quad \text{and} \quad y \mapsto \mu(A^y)$$

are measurable functions and

$$(\mu \times \nu)(A) = \int_E \nu(A_x) d\mu(x) = \int_E \mu(A^y) d\nu(y).$$

Proof. This is clearly true for rectangles A . Let \mathcal{C} consist of all the sets $A \in \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ for which the conclusion holds. Since rectangles form a π -system, if we can show that \mathcal{C} is a d -system then the result will follow. Clearly $E \times F \in \mathcal{C}$. Now suppose $B \subset A \in \mathcal{C}$. Then

$$(A \setminus B)_x = A_x \setminus B_x$$

so

$$\nu((A \setminus B)_x) = \nu(A_x) - \nu(B_x)$$

so $x \mapsto \nu((A \setminus B)_x)$ is measurable and

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu \times \nu)(A \setminus B) &= (\mu \times \nu)(A) - (\mu \times \nu)(B) \\ &= \int_E \nu(A_x) d\mu(x) - \int_E \nu(B_x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_E \nu((A \setminus B)_x) d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for $(A \setminus B)^y$, we see that $A \setminus B \in \mathcal{C}$. Now if $(A_n) \in \mathcal{C}$ is an increasing sequence with union A , then by countable additivity

$$(x \mapsto \nu((A_n)_x)_{n=1}^{\infty})$$

is a monotone increasing sequence of functions with limit $\nu(A_x)$ so by monotone convergence $\nu(A_x)$ is measurable and

$$\int_E \nu(A_x) d\mu(x) = \lim \int_E \nu((A_n)_x) d\mu(x) = \lim (\mu \times \nu)(A_n) = \mu \times \nu(A).$$

Similarly for $\mu(A^y)$, we see that $A \in \mathcal{C}$ and we are done. \square

Theorem 3.2 (Tonelli)

Let (E, \mathcal{E}, μ) , (F, \mathcal{F}, ν) be σ -finite measure spaces. If $f: E \times F \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a nonnegative measurable function, then

$$h(x) = \int_F f_x(y) d\nu(y) \quad \text{and} \quad g(y) = \int_E f^y(x) d\mu(x)$$

are nonnegative measurable functions with

$$\int_{E \times F} f d(\mu \times \nu) = \int_E h d\mu = \int_F g d\nu.$$

Proof. Let (f_n) be a monotone increasing sequence of nonnegative simple functions converging to f . Let

$$h_n(x) = \int_F (f_n)_x(y) d\nu(y) \quad \text{and} \quad g_n(y) = \int_E (f_n)^y(x) d\mu(x),$$

so that

$$\int_{E \times F} f_n d(\mu \times \nu) = \int_E h_n d\mu = \int_F g_n d\nu$$

by the previous lemma and linearity. For each $x \in E$, the monotone increasing sequence $(f_n)_x$ converges to f_x and similarly for $(f_n)^y$. Thus (h_n) is increasing and converges to h , and similarly for g_n . By passing to the limit we obtain the desired result. \square

Theorem 3.3 (Fubini)

Let (E, \mathcal{E}, μ) , (F, \mathcal{F}, ν) be σ -finite measure spaces. Let $f: E \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be integrable. Then f_x is integrable for μ -almost all $x \in E$ and f^y is integrable for ν -almost all y . Thus

$$h(x) = \int_F f_x(y) d\nu(y) \quad \text{and} \quad g(y) = \int_E f^y(x) d\mu(x)$$

are defined almost everywhere. $h: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g: F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are integrable and

$$\int_{E \times F} d(\mu \times \nu) = \int_E h d\mu = \int_F g d\nu.$$

Proof. Write $f = f^+ - f^-$; Tonelli's theorem implies

$$\int_{E \times F} f^\pm d(\mu \times \nu) = \int_E h^\pm d\mu = \int_F g^\pm d\nu.$$

The first integral is finite, so h^\pm and g^\pm must be finite almost everywhere and integrable. The result follows from combining the above equations for $h = h^+ - h^-$ and $g = g^+ - g^-$. \square