

# A RING OF GERMS

WILLIAM GAO

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $a$  be a point in a manifold  $M$ , let  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a smooth function, and let  $U$  a neighbourhood of  $a$  in  $M$ . Define an equivalence relation on pairs  $(f, U)$  by

$$(f, U) \sim (g, V) \iff f = g \text{ on some neighbourhood } W \subseteq U \cap V \text{ of } a.$$

**Definition 1.1.** The equivalence classes  $[f, U]$  are called germs, and we denote by  $\mathcal{C}_a^\infty(M)$  the ring of germs of smooth functions on  $M$  at  $a$ , under pointwise addition and multiplication.

For convenience, we will simply denote a germ by its function, although it remains true that we are only looking at its local behaviour.

## 2. A LOCAL RING

The ring of germs was a seminal example of a local ring; that is, a ring with a unique maximal ideal.

**Proposition 2.1.**  $\mathcal{C}_a^\infty(M)$  has unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_a = \{f \in \mathcal{C}_a^\infty(M) : f(a) = 0\}$ .

*Proof.* We first show  $\mathfrak{m}_a$  is a subring of  $\mathcal{C}_a^\infty(M)$ . It surely contains 0; for any  $f, g \in \mathfrak{m}_a$ ,  $(f + g)(a) = f(a) + g(a) = 0$  and  $(-f)(a) = -f(a) = 0$ . To see that  $\mathfrak{m}_a$  is an ideal, if  $f \in \mathfrak{m}_a$  and  $g \in \mathcal{C}_a^\infty(M)$  then  $(fg)(a) = f(a)g(a) = 0$ .

It remains to show  $\mathfrak{m}_a$  is maximal, and uniquely so. If  $[f, U] \notin \mathfrak{m}_a$ , then  $f(a) \neq 0$ . By continuity,  $f(a) \neq 0$  in some neighbourhood  $V$  of  $a$ . It follows that  $f^{-1} = \frac{1}{f}$  exists smoothly on  $V$ , so  $[f, U] = [f, V]$  is a unit in  $\mathcal{C}_a^\infty(M)$ . On other words, any ideal containing  $[f, U]$  must be all of  $\mathcal{C}_a^\infty(M)$ . It follows that every proper ideal in  $\mathcal{C}_a^\infty(M)$  must be contained in  $\mathfrak{m}_a$ , hence it is the unique maximal ideal in  $\mathcal{C}_a^\infty(M)$ .  $\square$

Before taking a closer look  $\mathfrak{m}_a$ , we acquire the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.2** (Hadamard). *Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $U$  be a star-convex neighbourhood of  $a$ . Let  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be smooth. Then for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , there exist smooth functions  $g_i : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$f(x) = f(a) + \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - a_i)g_i(x).$$

*Proof.* For  $x \in U$ , define  $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $h(t) = f(a + t(x - a))$ . By the chain rule,

$$h'(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a + t(x - a))(x_i - a_i),$$

and by the fundamental theorem of calculus,

$$\begin{aligned} h(1) - h(0) &= \int_0^1 h'(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a + t(x - a))(x_i - a_i) dt \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - a_i) \int_0^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a + t(x - a)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $h(1) - h(0) = f(x) - f(a)$ , defining

$$g_i(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a + t(x - a)) dt$$

gives the desired result.  $\square$

Now we look at the unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_a$  in  $\mathcal{C}_a^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *In  $\mathcal{C}_a^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}_a$  is generated by  $x_1 - a_1, x_2 - a_2, \dots, x_n - a_n$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly  $x_i - a_i \in \mathfrak{m}_a$  for each  $i$ . Conversely, suppose  $f \in \mathfrak{m}_a$ . Then  $f$  is smooth on some star-convex neighbourhood  $U$  of  $a$  and  $f(a) = 0$ , so by Hadamard's Lemma there exist smooth functions  $g_i : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - a_i) g_i(x) \in \langle x_1 - a_1, x_2 - a_2, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle.$$

$\square$

While we will not assume  $M$  is  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for the remaining sections, we can try to match the elegance of this result. In general, if  $M$  is an  $n$ -dimensional manifold and  $a \in M$  then we have a chart (diffeomorphism)  $\varphi : W \rightarrow V$  from a neighbourhood  $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  of 0 into a neighbourhood  $V \subseteq M$  of  $a$ .

The natural inclusion  $\mathcal{C}_a^\infty(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_a^\infty(M)$  is an isomorphism, because it has an inverse in the restriction  $\mathcal{C}_a^\infty(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_a^\infty(V)$  given by  $[f, U] \mapsto [f|_{U \cap V}, U \cap V]$ . Moreover we have a pullback

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0^* : \mathcal{C}_a^\infty(V) &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(W) \\ [f, U] &\mapsto [f \circ \varphi, \varphi^{-1}(U)], \end{aligned}$$

which is an isomorphism, having inverse  $(\varphi^{-1})_a^*$ . Another inclusion  $W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  completes the following sequence of isomorphisms:

$$\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \leftarrow \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(W) \xleftarrow{\varphi_0^*} \mathcal{C}_a^\infty(U) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_a^\infty(M).$$

### 3. A TANGENT SPACE

We endow  $\mathcal{C}_a^\infty(M)$  with the obvious  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra structure. We immediately get:

**Proposition 3.1.**  *$\mathcal{C}_a^\infty(M)/\mathfrak{m}_a$  is a 1-dimensional  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space.*

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{C}_a^\infty(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $f \mapsto f(a)$ . Clearly  $\varphi$  is surjective, and  $\ker(\varphi) = \mathfrak{m}_a$ . The First Isomorphism Theorem yields

$$\mathcal{C}_a^\infty(M)/\mathfrak{m}_a \cong \mathbb{R}.$$

$\square$

Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional manifold.

**Proposition 3.2.**  $\mathfrak{m}_a/\mathfrak{m}_a^2$  is an  $n$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space.

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{C}_a^\infty(M) \cong \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , it suffices to prove the result for the latter ring. We show that  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  is a basis for  $\mathfrak{m}_0/\mathfrak{m}_0^2$ . By Theorem 2.3,  $\mathfrak{m}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is generated by  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ . Thus given  $f \in \mathfrak{m}_0$ , write

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i g_i$$

for some  $g_i \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Defining  $b_i = g_i(0)$ , we now have  $g_i - b_i \in \mathfrak{m}_0$ , so

$$f + \mathfrak{m}_0^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i + x_i(g_i - b_i) + \mathfrak{m}_0^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i + \mathfrak{m}_0^2.$$

This shows the  $x_i$ 's span  $\mathfrak{m}_0/\mathfrak{m}_0^2$ . To show linear independence, we will use the linear functionals

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right|_0 : \mathfrak{m}_0/\mathfrak{m}_0^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$f + \mathfrak{m}_0^2 \mapsto \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(0),$$

which will in fact define a basis of the dual space  $(\mathfrak{m}_a/\mathfrak{m}_a^2)^*$ . To see that this functional is well-defined on  $\mathfrak{m}_0/\mathfrak{m}_0^2$ , suppose  $f, g \in \mathfrak{m}_0$ . By the product rule,

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right|_0 (fg) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(0) \cdot g(0) + f(0) \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}(0) = 0,$$

so  $\mathfrak{m}_0^2 \mapsto 0$  as desired. We remark that

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right|_0 (x_i) = \delta_{ij},$$

showing that the  $x_i$ 's are linearly independent. Therefore they form a basis of  $\mathfrak{m}_0/\mathfrak{m}_0^2$  with the above functionals forming its dual basis.  $\square$

While defining the dual basis in the above proof may appear overkill, it becomes useful after our definition of the tangent space below.

**Definition 3.3.** The tangent space  $TM_a$  of  $M$  at  $a$  is the dual space  $(\mathfrak{m}_a/\mathfrak{m}_a^2)^*$ .

With this definition, we construct a tangent mapping.

**Theorem 3.4.** A smooth function  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  between manifolds induces a linear transformation  $\partial_a \varphi : TM_a \rightarrow TN_{\varphi(a)}$  satisfying

$$\partial_a(\psi \circ \varphi) = \partial_{\varphi(a)} \psi \circ \partial_a \varphi.$$

*Proof.* Recall that we have a pullback

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_a^* : \mathcal{C}_{\varphi(a)}^\infty(N) &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}_a^\infty(M) \\ [f, U] &\mapsto [f \circ \varphi, \varphi^{-1}(U)]. \end{aligned}$$

Given  $f \in \mathfrak{m}_{\varphi(a)}$ ,  $(f \circ \varphi)(a) = 0$  implies  $\varphi_a^*(f) \in \mathfrak{m}_a$ . From this, we obtain the restriction  $\varphi_a^* : \mathfrak{m}_{\varphi(a)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_a$ . Moreover given  $f \in \mathfrak{m}_{\varphi(a)}^2$ , write  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i h_i$  for  $g_i, h_i \in \mathfrak{m}_{\varphi(a)}$ . Then

$$\varphi_a^*(f) = \varphi_a^* \left( \sum_{i=1}^n g_i h_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_a^*(g_i) \varphi_a^*(h_i) \in \mathfrak{m}_a^2.$$

Thus  $\varphi_a^* : \mathfrak{m}_{\varphi(a)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_a$  descends to a linear map  $\varphi_a^* : \mathfrak{m}_{\varphi(a)}/\mathfrak{m}_{\varphi(a)}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_a/\mathfrak{m}_a^2$ .

Given another smooth function  $\psi : N \rightarrow K$ , the composition of pullbacks is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_a^* \circ \psi_{\varphi(a)}^* : \mathcal{C}_{(\psi \circ \varphi)(a)}^\infty(K) &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}_a^\infty(M) \\ [f, U] &\mapsto [f \circ \psi \circ \varphi, \varphi^{-1}(\psi^{-1}(U))], \end{aligned}$$

which may be written as  $[f \circ (\psi \circ \varphi), (\psi \circ \varphi)^{-1}(U)]$ , or simply  $(\psi \circ \varphi)_a^*([f, U])$ . In other words,  $\varphi_a^* \circ \psi_{\varphi(a)}^* = (\psi \circ \varphi)_a^*$ .

Define  $\partial_a \varphi : (\mathfrak{m}_a/\mathfrak{m}_a^2)^* \rightarrow (\mathfrak{m}_{\varphi(a)}/\mathfrak{m}_{\varphi(a)}^2)^*$  as the dual of  $\varphi_a^* : \mathfrak{m}_{\varphi(a)}/\mathfrak{m}_{\varphi(a)}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_a/\mathfrak{m}_a^2$ . Under the identification of Definition 3.3,  $\partial_a \varphi : TM_a \rightarrow TN_{\varphi(a)}$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(\psi \circ \varphi) &= ((\psi \circ \varphi)_a^*)^* \\ &= \left( \varphi_a^* \circ \psi_{\varphi(a)}^* \right)^* \\ &= \left( \psi_{\varphi(a)}^* \right)^* \circ (\varphi_a^*)^* \\ &= \partial_{\varphi(a)} \psi \circ \partial_a \varphi, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □