

## 1.8 Free Lie algebras

Today, all modules and algebras will be over  $k$ .

### 1.8.1 Free magmas

#### Definition 1.8.1

A set with a map  $M \times M \rightarrow M$  is called a *magma*.

Let  $X$  be a set and by induction define  $X_1 = X$ ,

$$X_n = \bigsqcup_{p+q=n} X_p \times X_q.$$

Let  $M_X = \bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ , and define

$$M_X \times M_X \rightarrow M_X$$

by

$$X_p \times X_q \hookrightarrow X_{p+q}$$

where  $\hookrightarrow$  denotes the canonical inclusion from the definition of  $X_n$ . We call  $M_X$  the *free magma* on  $X$ . An element of  $M_X$  is called a non-associative word on  $W$ . If  $w \in X_n$ , then its length is  $\ell(w) = n$ . The free magma enjoys the following universal property:

#### Proposition 1.8.2

Let  $N$  be a magma and  $f: X \rightarrow N$  a map. Then there exists a unique magma homomorphism  $F: M_X \rightarrow N$  such that  $f = F \circ \iota$ , where  $\iota: X = X_1 \hookrightarrow M_X$  is the canonical inclusion.

*Proof.* Define  $F$  inductively by  $F(u, v) = F(u) \cdot F(v)$  if  $u, v \in X_p \times X_q$ . □

### 1.8.2 Free algebras

Let  $A_X$  be the  $k$ -algebra of  $M_X$ . Concretely, each  $\alpha \in A_X$  is a finite sum  $\alpha = \sum_{m \in M_X} c_m m$  for  $c_m \in k$ , and multiplication in  $A_X$  is a linear extension of multiplication in  $M_X$ . We call  $A_X$  the *free algebra* on  $X$ . It enjoys a similar universal property:

#### Proposition 1.8.3

Let  $B$  be a  $k$ -algebra and  $f: X \rightarrow B$  a map. Then there exists a unique  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $F: A_X \rightarrow B$  such that  $f = F \circ \iota$ .

*Proof.* By the universal property of the free magma, we have a magma homomorphism  $f': M_X \rightarrow B$  which extends  $f$ . Then extend  $f'$  linearly to a  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $F: A_X \rightarrow B$ . □

*Remark 1.8.4.*  $A_X$  admits a natural grading by longest word length.

### 1.8.3 Free Lie algebras

Let  $I \subset A_X$  be the ideal generated by the elements  $aa$  for  $a \in A_X$  and  $J(a, b, c)$ , where  $a, b, c \in A_X$  and  $J$  denotes the Jacobi product.

We call  $L_X := A_X/I$  the *free Lie algebra* on  $X$

**Proposition 1.8.5**

If  $f: X \rightarrow X'$  is any map, then there exists a unique map  $F: L_X \rightarrow L_{X'}$  which restricts to  $f$ .

*Proof.*  $L_X$  has basis  $\{e_x\}_{x \in X}$ , so we define  $F(e_x) = e_{f(x)}$ . Note that this is functorial.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.8.6**

Let  $(X_\alpha, i_\alpha^\beta)$  be a directed system and  $X = \varinjlim_\alpha X_\alpha$ . Then

$$\varinjlim L_{X_\alpha} \cong L_X.$$

*Proof.* Let  $i_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow X$  be the canonical inclusions. By the previous proposition, we get  $I_\alpha: L_{X_\alpha} \rightarrow L_X$  which is functorial so  $L_X = \varinjlim L_{X_\alpha}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.8.7**

If  $k \subset k'$  then  $L_X(k') = L_X(k) \otimes_k k'$ .

*Proof.* We have a natural isomorphism  $e_x \otimes \lambda \mapsto \lambda e_x$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.8.8**

$I$  is a graded ideal of  $A_X$ , so  $L_X$  has a natural grading.

*Proof.* Let  $I^\sharp$  be the ideal of  $a \in A_X$  such that every homogeneous component of  $a$  belongs to  $I$ . Then  $I^\sharp \subset I$ . Conversely if  $x = \sum x_n \in A_X$  then

$$x^2 = \sum x_n^2 + \sum_{n < m} (x_n x_m + x_m x_n),$$

but  $x_n^2 \in I$  and

$$x_n x_m + x_m x_n = (x_n + x_m)^2 - x_n^2 - x_m^2 \in I,$$

so  $x^2 \in I^\sharp$ . Likewise

$$J(x, y, z) = \sum_{\ell, m, n} J(x_\ell, y_m, z_n) \in I^\sharp,$$

so  $I^\sharp = I$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.8.9**

$L_X^1$  has basis  $X$  and  $L_X^2$  has basis  $\{[x, y]\}_{x < y}$  where we have chosen a total order on  $X$ .

*Proof.* Clearly  $X$  generates  $L_X^1$  and  $[X, X]$  generates  $L_X^2$ . Let  $E = k^{(X)}$  and let  $E \oplus \Lambda^2 E = \mathfrak{g}$  be the associated Lie algebra. The canonical map  $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  yields a Lie homomorphism  $L_X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ , and  $L_X^1 \oplus L_X^2 \rightarrow L_X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**1.8.4 Free associative algebras**

Let  $E = k^{(X)}$  be the free  $k$ -module with basis  $X$ . Then the free associative algebra on  $X$ , denoted by  $\text{Ass}_X$ , is the tensor algebra  $TE$ .

**Theorem 1.8.10**

Let  $\phi: L_X \rightarrow \text{Ass}_X$  and  $\Phi: UL_X \rightarrow \text{Ass}_X$  be the maps induced by  $X \rightarrow \text{Ass}_X$ . Then

- (1)  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism.
- (2)  $\phi$  is an isomorphism onto the Lie subalgebra generated by  $X$ .
- (3)  $L_X$  and its homogeneous components are free  $k$ -modules.
- (4) If  $X$  is finite and  $\#X = d$  then  $L_X^n$  is free of finite rank  $\ell_d(n)$ , which is determined by induction according to the formula

$$\sum_{m|n} m\ell_d(m) = d^m.$$

*Proof.* (1) is clear as  $X \rightarrow UL_X$  gives a homomorphism  $\Psi: \text{Ass}_X \rightarrow UL_X$ , which is seen to be inverse to  $\Phi$ .

Also,  $\phi: L_X \rightarrow \text{Ass}_X$  maps into the Lie subalgebra generated by  $X$ , so it suffices to show  $\phi$  is injective. By the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem, if (3)  $L_X$  is free over  $k$  then (2)  $L_X \rightarrow UL_X$  is injective, and under the identification of  $UL_X$  with  $\text{Ass}_X$  we have (2).

To prove (3) and (4), first assume  $k$  is a field and  $X$  is finite. Let  $(\gamma_i)_{i \in I}$  be a totally ordered homogeneous basis for  $L_X$ . Let  $d_i = \deg(\gamma_i)$ . The PBW theorem implies that the elements

$$\gamma^e = \gamma_{i_1}^{e_1} \cdots \gamma_{i_s}^{e_s} \quad \text{for } i_1 < \cdots < i_s$$

form a basis of  $UL_X \cong \text{Ass}_X$  and  $\deg(\gamma^e) = \sum e_i d_{i_j}$ . Let  $a(n)$  be the rank of  $\text{Ass}_X^n$ . Then  $a(n)$  is the number of families  $(e_i)$  such that  $n = \sum e_i d_i$ . Equivalently, the power series

$$A(t) = \sum a(n)t^n$$

can be written in the form

$$A(t) = \prod_{i \in I} \frac{1}{1 - t^{d_i}}$$

and the coefficient of  $t^n$  in

$$\prod_{i \in I} \frac{1}{1 - t^{d_i}} = \prod_{i \in I} (1 + t^{d_i} + t^{2d_i} + \cdots)$$

is the number of  $(e_i)$  such that  $\sum e_i d_i = n$ . For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  we have that the number of  $d_i = m$  in the product  $\prod_{i \in I} \frac{1}{1-t^{d_i}}$  is  $\ell_d(m)$  the rank of  $L_X^m$ , so

$$A(t) = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-t^m)^{\ell_d(m)}}.$$

On the other hand,  $\text{Ass}_X$  is the free associative algebra on  $X$  and so the monomials  $x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_n}$  form a basis. So  $a(n) = d^n$ , and thus

$$\begin{aligned} A(t) &= \sum d^n t^n \\ &\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} = \frac{1}{1-dt} \\ \sum_{m,\nu} \frac{1}{\nu} \ell_d(m) t^{m\nu} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} d^n t^n \\ \frac{1}{n} d^n &= \sum_{m\nu=n} \frac{1}{\nu} \ell_d(m) \\ d^n &= \sum_{m|n} m \ell_d(m), \end{aligned}$$

proving (4).

Secondly, assume  $k = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $X$  is finite. It is a fact from the structure theorem of abelian groups that if  $E$  is a finitely-generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module and  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p)$  is independent of  $p$ , then  $E$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module with rank  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p)$ . Now since  $L_X^n(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p$  and  $\dim(L_X^n(\mathbb{F}_p)) = \ell_d(n)$  is independent of  $p$ ,  $L_X^n$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank  $\ell_d(n)$ .

Now for  $k = \mathbb{Z}$  and dropping the finiteness assumption on  $X$ , let  $(Y_\alpha)$  be the finite subsets of  $X$ , so that  $X = \varinjlim Y_\alpha$ . We first prove (2). By the previous case

$$\phi_\alpha : L_{Y_\alpha} \rightarrow \text{Ass}_{Y_\alpha}$$

is injective. Now  $\phi = \varinjlim \phi_\alpha$  is injective as the colimit of injective maps, proving (2). Then (2) implies that  $L_X$  and  $L_X^n$  are  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodules of  $\text{Ass}_X$ , which is free, so  $L_X$  and  $L_X^n$  are free.

Finally, the result for arbitrary  $k$  follows from the equality

$$L_X^n(k) = L_X^n(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k.$$

This shows  $L_X^k$  is free (3), which implies (2). Also  $\text{rank } L_X^n(k) = \text{rank } L_X^n(\mathbb{Z})$  so we have (4) when  $X$  is finite.  $\square$

### 1.8.5 P. Hall families

#### Definition 1.8.11

Let  $X$  be a set. A *P. Hall family* in  $M_X$  is a totally ordered subset  $H \subset M_X$  such that

- (i)  $X \subset H$ .
- (ii) If  $u, v \in H$  with  $\ell(u) < \ell(v)$  then  $u < v$ .
- (iii) Let  $u \in M_X \setminus X$  and write  $u = vw$ . Then  $u \in H$  if and only if (a)  $v, w \in H$  and  $v < w$ ,

and (b) either  $w \in X$  or  $w = w'w''$  with  $w', w'' \in H$  and  $w' \leq v$ .

### Proposition 1.8.12

Every set admits a P. Hall family.

*Proof.* By induction, we define  $H^n = H \cap X_n$ . Let  $H^1 = X$ , and let  $X$  be totally ordered. If  $H^1, \dots, H^{n-1}$  are defined and totally ordered so that (i), (ii), (iii) hold for elements of length  $\leq n-1$ , then  $H^n$  is defined by (iii): we order  $H^n$  and put  $u < v$  if  $u \in H^i$  and  $v \in H^n$ . By induction,  $H = \bigcup H^n$  is a P. Hall family.  $\square$

We will not prove the following result.

### Theorem 1.8.13

Let  $H$  be a P. Hall family in  $M_X$ . Then the canonical image of  $H$  is a basis of  $L_X$ .

## 1.8.6 Free groups

Let  $k = \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $X$  be a set and  $F_X$  the free group on  $X$ . Let  $F_X^n$  be the descending central series of  $F_X$ , defined by  $F_X^1 = F_X$  and  $F_X^n = (F_X, F_X^{n-1})$ .

The associated graded group

$$\text{gr } F_X = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{gr}_n F_X$$

where

$$\text{gr}_n F_X = F_X^n / F_X^{n+1}$$

is a Lie algebra; in particular  $\text{gr}_1 F_X = F_X / (F_X, F_X)$  is the free abelian group on  $X$ .

### Theorem 1.8.14

The canonical map  $X \rightarrow \text{gr}_1 F_X$  induces a Lie isomorphism

$$\phi_1: L_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gr } F_X.$$

### Corollary 1.8.15

The groups  $\text{gr}_n F_X$  are free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules. If  $X$  is finite with  $\#X = d$ , then  $\text{rank } \text{gr}_n F_X = \ell_d(n)$ .

We define the completion  $\hat{\text{Ass}}_X$  of  $\text{Ass}_X$  to be the product  $\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Ass}_X^n$ . An element  $f \in \hat{\text{Ass}}_X$  is

represented by a formal series  $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n$  with  $f_n \in \text{Ass}_X^n$ . We have a natural homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}\theta: F_X &\longrightarrow \hat{\text{Ass}}_X^* \\ x &\longmapsto 1+x.\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\hat{\mathfrak{m}}^n = \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f_m \in \hat{\text{Ass}}_X : f_0 = f_1 = \dots = f_{n-1} = 0 \right\},$$

and let  $'F_X^n = \theta^{-1}(1 + \hat{\mathfrak{m}}^n)$ . Then  $g \in F_X$  is in  $'F_X^n$  if and only if

$$\theta(g) = 1 + \sum_{m \geq n} \psi_m.$$

**Theorem 1.8.16**

$$'F_X^n = F_X^n.$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.8.14 and Theorem 1.8.16.* It is clear that  $\phi_1$  is surjective.

We claim that  $'F_X^n$  is a filtration of  $F_X$ . It suffices to show

$$('F_X^m, 'F_X^p) \subset 'F_X^{m+p}.$$

Indeed, pick  $g \in 'F_X^m, h \in 'F_X^p$  with  $\theta(g) = 1 + G$  for  $G \in \hat{\mathfrak{m}}^m, \theta(h) = 1 + H$  for  $H \in \hat{\mathfrak{m}}^p$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}gh &= hg(g, h), \theta(gh) &= 1 + G + H + GH, \\ \theta(hg) &= 1 + G + H + HG.\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\theta$  is a homomorphism we get  $\theta(gh) = \theta(hg)\theta((g, h))$ , so

$$\theta((g, h)) = 1 + (GH - HG) + \text{higher terms}.$$

Hence  $(g, h) \in 'F_X^{m+p}$ .

Now there is a natural map  $\eta: 'gr F_X \rightarrow \text{Ass}_X$ : given  $\xi \in 'gr_n F_X$  let  $g \in 'F_X^n$  be a representative and let

$$\theta(g) = 1 + G_n + G_{n+1} + \dots,$$

where  $G_p \in \text{Ass}_X^p$ . Define

$$\eta(\xi) = G_n.$$

This clearly doesn't depend on  $g$ , and the above equation for  $\theta((g, h))$  shows that  $\eta$  is a Lie homomorphism.

Now since  $'F_X^n$  is a filtration we automatically have  $F_X^n \subset 'F_X^n$ , which yields a homomorphism  $\psi: gr F_X \rightarrow 'gr F_X$ . Consider the composition

$$L_X \xrightarrow{\phi_1} gr F_X \xrightarrow{\psi} 'gr F_X \xrightarrow{\eta} \text{Ass}_X,$$

where  $\phi_1$  is surjective and  $\eta$  is injective. This composition coincides with the map  $\phi: L_X \rightarrow \text{Ass}_X$  we saw earlier, which is injective. Hence  $\phi_1$  is injective, proving Theorem 1.8.14. This implies  $\psi$  is injective. By induction, we claim that  $F_X^n = 'F_X^n$ . For  $n = 1$  this is by definition, and if  $n > 1$  then

$$F_X^n \subset 'F_X^n \subset F_X^{n-1} \subset 'F_X^{n-1},$$

and the injection

$$gr_{n-1} F_X \rightarrow 'gr_{n-1} F_X$$

is the canonical map

$$F_X^{n-1}/F_X^n \rightarrow F_X^{n-1}/'F_X^n,$$

so  $F_X^n = 'F_X^n$ . □

### 1.8.7 The Campbell–Hausdorff formula

In this section let  $k$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra, for example a field of characteristic 0.

**Theorem 1.8.17**

Let  $X$  be a set. Then the free Lie algebra  $L_X$  is the set of primitive elements of  $\text{Ass}_X$ .

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that the analogous result about the universal enveloping algebra under the identification  $\text{Ass}_X \cong UL_X$ .  $\square$

As with the associative algebra, define the completion of  $L_X$  by

$$\hat{L}_X = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} L_X^n$$

and define the *completed tensor product*

$$\hat{\text{Ass}}_X \hat{\otimes} \hat{\text{Ass}}_X = \prod_{p,q} \text{Ass}_X^p \otimes \text{Ass}_X^q.$$

The diagonal  $\Delta: \text{Ass}_X \rightarrow \text{Ass}_X \otimes \text{Ass}_X$  thus extends to

$$\Delta: \hat{\text{Ass}}_X \rightarrow \hat{\text{Ass}}_X \hat{\otimes} \hat{\text{Ass}}_X,$$

and the above theorem about primitive elements remains true when we pass to completions. Let  $\hat{\mathfrak{m}} \subset \hat{\text{Ass}}_X$  be the ideal generated by  $X$  as before. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \exp: \hat{\mathfrak{m}} &\longrightarrow 1 + \hat{\mathfrak{m}} \\ x &\longmapsto \sum \frac{x^n}{n!}, \\ \log: 1 + \hat{\mathfrak{m}} &\longrightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{m}} \\ 1 + x &\longmapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{x^n}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1.8.18**

$\exp \circ \log = \text{id}$  and  $\log \circ \exp = \text{id}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y \in \hat{\mathfrak{m}}$ , we show that  $\exp(\log(1+y)) = 1+y$ . For any indeterminate  $T$ , the formula

$$\exp(\log(1+T)) = 1+T$$

is true in  $\mathbb{Q}[[T]]$ . But  $y \in \hat{\mathfrak{m}}$  so there exists a well-defined and continuous homomorphism  $f: \mathbb{Q}[[T]] \rightarrow \hat{\text{Ass}}_X$  sending  $T$  to  $y$ , so we are done. The other formula is similar.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.8.19**

$\exp$  is a bijection of the set of primitive elements in  $\hat{\mathfrak{m}}$  onto the set of  $\beta \in 1 + \hat{\mathfrak{m}}$  with  $\Delta\beta = \beta \otimes \beta$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \hat{\mathfrak{m}}$  and  $\beta \in e^\alpha$ . Since  $\Delta$  commutes with  $\exp$  and  $\alpha \otimes 1$  commutes with  $1 \otimes \alpha$ ,

$$\Delta\beta = \Delta e^\alpha = e^{\Delta\alpha} = e^{\alpha \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \alpha} = e^{\alpha \otimes 1} e^{1 \otimes \alpha} = (\beta \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \beta) = \beta \otimes \beta.$$

□

Now for our second big theorem:

**Theorem 1.8.20** (Campbell–Hausdorff)

Let  $X = \{x, y\}$  where  $x \neq y$ . Then  $e^x e^y = e^z$  whith  $z \in \hat{L}_X$ .

*Proof.* Since  $e^x, e^y \in 1 + \hat{\mathfrak{m}}$  we have  $e^x e^y \in 1 + \hat{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Since  $\exp$  is a bijection, there exists a unique  $z \in \hat{\mathfrak{m}}$  with  $e^z = e^x e^y$ . In particular

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta e^z &= \Delta(e^x e^y) \\ &= \Delta(e^x)\Delta(e^y) \\ &= (e^x \otimes e^x)(e^y \otimes e^y) \\ &\quad e^z \otimes e^z.\end{aligned}$$

By the previous proposition this implies  $z$  is a primitive element, and by the correspondence between primitive elements of  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_X$  and  $\hat{L}_X$ , we have  $z \in \hat{L}_X$ . □

If  $X$  is arbitrary and  $z(x, y)$  is the element of  $\hat{L}_{\{x, y\}} \subset \hat{L}_X$  such that  $e^x e^y = e^{z(x, y)}$ , then write

$$z(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n(x, y)$$

for  $z_n(x, y) \in L_X^n$ . Explicitly, we may compute the first few homogeneous components?

$$\begin{aligned}z_1(x, y) &= x + y \\ z_2(x, y) &= \frac{1}{2}[x, y] \\ z_3(x, y) &= \frac{1}{12}[x, [x, y]] + \frac{1}{12}[y, [y, x]].\end{aligned}$$

It is also clear that

$$\begin{aligned}z(x, 0) &= x \\ z(0, y) &= y \\ z(z(w, x), y) &= z(w, z(x, y)).\end{aligned}$$