

# Semisimple Lie algebras

William Gao

December 25, 2025

Today,  $k$  will be a field of characteristic zero and all algebras or modules will be finite-dimensional over  $k$ .

If  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  are solvable ideals of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then  $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$  is solvable, being an extension of  $(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b})/\mathfrak{a} \cong \mathfrak{b}/(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b})$  by  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Hence there exists a largest solvable ideal in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , called the *radical*  $\mathfrak{r}$ .

## 1 Semisimple Lie algebras

A Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is *semisimple* if the radical is zero. Equivalently,  $\mathfrak{g}$  contains no nonzero abelian ideal. Indeed if  $\mathfrak{r} \neq 0$ , then the last nonzero derived algebra of  $\mathfrak{r}$  is a nonzero abelian ideal. The following criterion is often the most handy:

### Theorem 1.1

$\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple if and only if its Killing form is nondegenerate.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{u}$  be the ideal of  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\text{tr}(\text{ad } x \text{ ad } y) = 0$  for all  $y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . For  $x \in \mathfrak{u}$  we have  $\text{tr}(\text{ad } x \text{ ad } y) = 0$  for  $y \in D\mathfrak{u}$ , so by Cartan's criterion,  $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathfrak{u}$  is a solvable Lie subalgebra of  $\text{End } \mathfrak{g}$ . Since it is the quotient of  $\mathfrak{u}$  by the center of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , it follows that  $\mathfrak{u}$  is solvable, so if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple, then  $\mathfrak{u} = 0$ .

Conversely, let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an abelian ideal; we claim that  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{u}$ . Indeed,  $\text{ad } x \text{ ad } y(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{a}$  and  $\text{ad } x \text{ ad } y(\mathfrak{a}) = 0$ , so  $(\text{ad } x \text{ ad } y)^2 = 0$  which implies  $\text{Tr}(\text{ad } x \text{ ad } y) = 0$ .  $\square$

### Theorem 1.2

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be semisimple and  $\mathfrak{a}$  an ideal in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a}^\perp$  is an ideal and  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^\perp$  is a direct sum.

*Proof.* The invariance of the Killing form shows that  $\mathfrak{a}^\perp$  is an ideal. Then  $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{a}^\perp$  is solvable by Cartan's criterion, by similar arguments as the preceding proof. Since  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple,  $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{a}^\perp = 0$ .  $\square$

## 2 Semisimple Lie algebras

### Definition 1.3

A Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{s}$  is *simple* if it is nonabelian and it has no ideal other than 0 and itself.

By induction on  $\dim \mathfrak{g}$  we see that every semisimple Lie algebra is isomorphic to a product of simple Lie algebras.

If  $\mathfrak{s}$  is simple, then  $D\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}$ . It follows that

### Corollary 1.4

If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple, then  $D\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}$ .

### Corollary 1.5

If  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus \mathfrak{a}_\alpha$  is a direct sum decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  into simple ideals, then any ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is some sum of the  $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha$ .

**Example 1.6.**  $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$ , the trace zero endomorphisms of  $V$ , is simple for  $\dim V \geq 2$ .

**Example 1.7.**  $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$ , the endomorphisms which fix a nondegenerate alternating form, is simple for  $\dim V = 2n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

**Example 1.8.**  $\mathfrak{o}(V)$ , the endomorphisms of  $V$  fixing a nondegenerate symmetric form, is semisimple for  $\dim V \geq 3$ , and simple unless  $\dim V = 4$  and the discriminant of the symmetric form is square.

## 2 Complete reducibility

### Definition 2.1

A representation  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is *simple* if  $V \neq 0$  and  $V$  has no submodules other than 0 and  $V$ . It is called *semisimple* or *completely reducible* if  $V$  is the direct sum of simple submodules.

By induction, complete reducibility is equivalent to every submodule of  $V$  having a supplementary submodule.

*Remark 2.2.*  $\mathfrak{g}$  may be semisimple as a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module without being semisimple as a Lie algebra. Consider  $\mathfrak{g} = k$ .

However, the converse is not possible.

**Theorem 2.3 (Weyl)**

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be semisimple. Then every finite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module is semisimple.

*Proof.* We provide Weyl's geometric proof in the case where  $k = \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $G$  be a connected and simply connected complex Lie group for  $\mathfrak{g}$  and let  $K$  be a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ . Any complex group submanifold of  $G$  containing  $K$  is equal to  $G$ , so the  $G$ -submodules are the same as  $K$ -submodules. By compactness of  $K$  there exists a  $K$ -invariant definite Hermitian form on  $V$ , with respect to which we can construct orthogonal supplementary subspaces.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4**

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semisimple ideal of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Then there exists a unique ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{h}$  such that  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathfrak{h}$  is semisimple as an  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, there exists a  $k$ -subspace  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathfrak{h}$  supplementary to  $\mathfrak{g}$  and stable under  $\text{ad } x$  for  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ . We claim that  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}] = 0$ . Indeed,  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}] \subset \mathfrak{g}$  since  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an ideal and  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}] \subset \mathfrak{a}$  since  $\mathfrak{a}$  is  $\mathfrak{g}$ -stable. Therefore  $\mathfrak{a}$  consists precisely of the  $y \in \mathfrak{h}$  such that  $[\mathfrak{g}, y] = 0$ , which is 0 because the center of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is zero. Therefore  $\mathfrak{a}$  is unique as a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, and as the annihilator of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , it is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{h}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5**

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be semisimple. Then every derivation  $\mathfrak{g}$  takes the form  $\text{ad } x$  for  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

*Proof.* Applying the previous corollary with  $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an ideal in  $\text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$  because  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $D \in \text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$  implies  $[D, \text{ad } x] = \text{ad}(Dx)$ . Hence we have a decomposition  $\text{Der } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ , where  $\mathfrak{a}$  consists of the derivations which commute with  $\text{ad } \mathfrak{g}$ . If  $D \in \mathfrak{a}$ , then  $\text{ad}(Dx) = [D, \text{ad } x] = 0$  implies  $Dx = 0$ , since the center of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is 0. Hence  $\mathfrak{a} = 0$ .  $\square$

### 3 Levi's theorem

**Theorem 3.1 (Levi)**

Let  $\phi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s}$  be a surjective homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$  onto a semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{s}$ . Then there exists a homomorphism  $\epsilon: \mathfrak{s} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\phi \circ \epsilon = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{a} = \ker \phi$ , so that  $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}$ . We reduce to the case where  $\mathfrak{a}$  is abelian and simple as a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module with a nontrivial action. Let  $\mathfrak{a}_1$  be any ideal in  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $0 \subset \mathfrak{a}_1 \subset \mathfrak{a}$ . If there exists a supplementary subalgebra  $\mathfrak{s}_1 = \mathfrak{g}_1/\mathfrak{a}_1$  to  $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}_1$  in  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}_1$  and a supplementary

#### 4 Semisimple Lie algebras

subalgebra  $\mathfrak{s}_2$  to  $\mathfrak{a}_1$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ , then  $\mathfrak{s}_2$  is supplementary to  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . By induction on  $\dim \mathfrak{a}$ , we may assume  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. Then  $\mathfrak{a}$  contains the radical of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and if  $\mathfrak{r} = 0$  then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple and we are done. Otherwise if  $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{a}$  then  $\mathfrak{a}$  is solvable,

so  $\mathfrak{a} \neq [\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}]$ . But the latter is an ideal, and thus 0 as  $\mathfrak{a}$  is abelian. If  $\mathfrak{g}$  acts trivially on  $\mathfrak{a}$ , then  $\mathfrak{a}$  is in the center of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , so  $\mathfrak{g}$  acts through  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a} \cong \mathfrak{s}$ , so  $\mathfrak{g}$  is completely reducible as an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -module. We conclude that there exists an ideal supplementary to  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

Now for the case where  $\mathfrak{a}$  is abelian and simple as a  $\mathfrak{s}$ -module with nontrivial action, then we will use the following lemma.  $\square$

#### Lemma 3.2

Let  $W$  be a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module which contains some  $w$  such that  $a \mapsto aw$  is a bijection  $\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}w$  and  $\mathfrak{g}w = \mathfrak{a}w$ . Let  $i_w = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : xw = 0\}$  be the stabilizer of  $w$ . Then  $i_w$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus i_w$ .

It remains to construct a suitable  $w$ . Let  $W = \text{End } \mathfrak{g}$  as a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, the representation being

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : \mathfrak{g} &\longrightarrow \text{End } \text{End } \mathfrak{g} \\ x &\longmapsto (\phi \mapsto \text{ad } x \circ \phi - \phi \circ \text{ad } x). \end{aligned}$$

Define  $P \subset Q \subset R \subset W$  by

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \{\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} a : a \in \mathfrak{a}\} \\ Q &= \{\phi \in W : \phi \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{a} \text{ and } \phi \mathfrak{a} = 0\} \\ R &= \{\phi \in W : \phi \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{a} \text{ and } \phi|_{\mathfrak{a}} \text{ is a homothety}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have an exact sequence of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\rho} k \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $Q \rightarrow R$  is the inclusion and  $\rho$  sends  $r \in R$  to the scalar by which  $r$  multiplies an element of  $\mathfrak{a}$ . For  $x \in \mathfrak{a}$  and  $\phi \in R$ , we have

$$\sigma(x)\phi = \text{ad } x \circ \phi - \phi \circ \text{ad } x = -\lambda \text{ad } x,$$

where  $\lambda = \rho(\phi)$ . Thus  $\sigma(x)R \subset P$ , so we get an exact sequence of  $\mathfrak{s}$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow Q/P \rightarrow R/P \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0.$$

By lifting invariants, there exists  $\bar{w} \in R/P$  such that  $\bar{\rho}(\bar{w}) = 1$  and which is  $\mathfrak{s}$ -invariant. Let  $w$  be a representative of  $\bar{w}$  in  $R$ . We verify:

- (i) For  $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ ,  $\sigma(a)w = -\text{ad } a$ . If  $\sigma(a)w = 0$ , then  $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} a = 0$ , so  $[a, x] = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $a = 0$  since  $\mathfrak{a}$  is simple, so  $\mathfrak{g}$  acts nontrivially.
- (ii) Let  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ . We wish to show  $\sigma(x)w$  takes the form  $\sigma(a)w$  for some  $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ . Since  $\sigma(a)w = -\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} a$ , it suffices to show  $\sigma(x)w \in P$ . This holds since  $\bar{w}$  is  $\mathfrak{s}$ -invariant.

Applying Levi's theorem to  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{r}$ , we get:

**Corollary 3.3**

Any Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the semidirect product of its radical with a semisimple subalgebra.

If  $\mathfrak{g} \neq D\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  has codimension 1 and contains  $D\mathfrak{g}$ , then  $\mathfrak{a}$  is an ideal, so  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus kx$  for any  $x \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \mathfrak{a}$ .  $kx$  is by construction a Lie subalgebra, so:

**Corollary 3.4**

A nonzero Lie algebra which is neither simple nor one-dimensional abelian is a semidirect product of two lower-dimensional Lie algebras.

## 4 Complete reducibility II

The next theorem characterizes complete reducibility of a representation:

**Theorem 4.1**

Let  $k$  be algebraically closed. Let  $V$  be a vector space and  $\mathfrak{g}$  a Lie subalgebra of  $\text{End } V$ . Then  $V$  is a completely reducible  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module if and only if (a)  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a product  $\mathfrak{c} \times \mathfrak{s}$  with  $\mathfrak{c}$  abelian and  $\mathfrak{s}$  semisimple, and (b) the elements of  $\mathfrak{c}$  are diagonalizable.

*Proof.* If  $V = 0$  there is nothing to show. If  $V \neq 0$  is completely reducible, then there exists a line in  $V$  stable under  $\mathfrak{r}$ , or equivalently a linear form  $\chi: \mathfrak{r} \rightarrow k$  with nonzero eigenspace  $V_\chi$ . By the lemma used in Lie's theorem,  $V_\chi$  is  $\mathfrak{g}$ -stable. By complete reducibility, there exist characters  $\chi_i$  of  $\mathfrak{r}$  such that

$$V = V_{\chi_1} \oplus V_{\chi_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{\chi_m}.$$

It is then clear that  $\mathfrak{r}$  acts diagonally and commutes with the action of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , so  $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{r}$  is the center of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We get  $\mathfrak{s}$  from Levi's theorem.

Conversely if (a), (b) hold then (b) gives a decomposition of  $V$  in the form

$$V = V_{\chi_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{\chi_m}$$

where the  $\chi_i$  are linear forms on  $\mathfrak{c}$ , which lies in the center of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Thus the  $V_{\chi_i}$  are  $\mathfrak{g}$ -stable, so we have reduced to  $V = V_\chi$ . In this case  $\mathfrak{g}$ -submodules are equivalent to  $\mathfrak{s}$ -submodules, so Weyl's theorem gives the desired result.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2**

If  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{c} \times \mathfrak{s}$  with  $\mathfrak{c}$  abelian and  $\mathfrak{s}$  semisimple, then a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module is semisimple if and only if  $\mathfrak{c}$  acts diagonally on it.

**Corollary 4.3**

If a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $V$  is completely reducible, then so are the  $V_{p,q}$ .

**Corollary 4.4**

The tensor product of completely reducible  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules is completely reducible.

**Theorem 4.5**

Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional  $k$ -vector space. Let  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \text{End } V$  be a Lie algebra. If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple, then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is determined by its tensor invariants; that is, there exist some  $v_\alpha \in V_{p,q}$  such that  $\mathfrak{g} = \{x \in \text{End } V : xv_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha\}$ .

*Proof.* As usual, we reduce to the case where  $k$  is algebraically closed. Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be the set of  $x \in \text{End } V$  such that  $xv = 0$  for all  $v \in V_{p,q}$  with  $\mathfrak{g}v = 0$ . Clearly  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{h} \subset \text{End } V$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a Lie algebra. We wish to show that  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}$ .

If  $u: V_{p,q} \rightarrow V_{r,s}$  is a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -linear homomorphism, then it is  $\mathfrak{h}$ -linear, as  $\text{Hom}_k(V_{p,q}, V_{r,s}) \cong V_{q+r, p+s}$  as  $\text{End } V$ -modules, and a  $k$ -linear map is a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -linear homomorphism if and only if killed by  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

If  $W \subset V_{p,q}$  is  $\mathfrak{g}$ -stable, then it is  $\mathfrak{h}$ -stable. Indeed,  $V_{p,q}$  is completely reducible as a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, so there exists a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -endomorphism projecting  $V_{p,q}$  onto  $W$ . Since  $u$  is also an  $\mathfrak{h}$ -endomorphism, its image is  $\mathfrak{h}$ -stable.

Taking  $W = \mathfrak{g}$  and  $p = q = 1$ , we see that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an ideal in  $\mathfrak{h}$ , so by a corollary of Weyl's theorem  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{c}$  where  $\mathfrak{c}$  commutes with  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{c}$  lies in the center of  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

Now let  $W$  be an irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$ -submodule of  $V$ . Then  $W$  is  $\mathfrak{c}$ -stable, and by Schur's lemma the elements of  $\mathfrak{c}$  act as homotheties on  $W$ . It remains to show they are zero, since  $V$  is the direct sum of  $W$ 's this will show  $\mathfrak{c} = 0$ . Since we are in characteristic zero, it is enough to show the trace of each homothety is 0.

By the following lemma, we have

$$\Lambda^m W \subset W^{\otimes m} \subset V^{\otimes m} = V_{m,0}.$$

$\mathfrak{g}$ , being semisimple, has no nontrivial one-dimensional module, so  $\Lambda^m W$  is killed by  $\mathfrak{g}$ , hence killed by  $\mathfrak{c}$ , hence  $\text{Tr}_W(x) = 0$  for  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6**

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and  $W$  a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module of dimension  $m$ . Then  $\Lambda^m W$  is  $\mathfrak{g}$ -stable as a quotient space of  $W^{\otimes m}$  and  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  acts on  $\Lambda^m W$  by scaling by  $\text{Tr}_W(x)$ .

**Corollary 4.7**

Let  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \text{End } V$  be semisimple. Let  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and write  $x = n + s$  the canonical decomposition. Then  $n, s \in \mathfrak{g}$  and for any  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(k, k)$ ,  $\phi(s) \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

*Proof.* Any element in  $V_{p,q}$  which is killed by  $\mathfrak{g}$  is also killed by  $x$  so also by  $n, s, \phi(s)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.8**

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semisimple Lie algebra.  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  is called semisimple if  $\text{ad } x$  is semisimple.

**Theorem 4.9**

If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple, then every  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  has a canonical decomposition  $x = n + s$  with  $n \in \mathfrak{g}$  nilpotent,  $s \in \mathfrak{g}$  semisimple, and  $[n, s] = 0$ .

**Theorem 4.10**

Let  $\phi: \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$  be a Lie homomorphism between semisimple Lie algebras. If  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  is semisimple, then so is  $\phi(x)$ .

*Proof.*  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  may be viewed as a  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ -module, so if  $V$  is the product of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  as  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ -modules, then any  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  can be written as  $x = n + s$ . If  $x$  is semisimple then  $n = 0$ , so  $\phi(x)$  is semisimple.  $\square$

## 5 Compact Lie groups

**Theorem 5.1**

Let  $G$  be a connected compact complex Lie group. Then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a complex torus; that is, of the form  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  where  $\Gamma$  is a discrete rank  $2n$  subgroup of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

*Proof.* By the maximum principle, there is no nonconstant analytic function on  $G$ , so no nonconstant analytic map  $G \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g} \cong \mathbb{C}^{n^2}$  where  $n = \dim \mathfrak{g}$  is the dimension of the Lie algebra of  $G$ . The inner automorphism  $x \mapsto gxg^{-1}$  induced by  $g \in G$  induces an automorphism  $\text{Ad } g \in \text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $g \mapsto \text{Ad } g \in \mathbb{C}^{n^2}$  is analytic, hence constant, so  $\text{Ad } g = \text{Ad } 1 = 1$ . For  $x$  near zero in  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,

$$g(\exp x)g^{-1} = \exp(\text{Ad } g(x)),$$

and since the exponential map is a homeomorphism near 0, we conclude that  $G$  is locally abelian. By connectedness, it is abelian, so its universal covering is  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $G \cong \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  as desired.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2**

Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group over  $\mathbb{R}$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{c} \times \mathfrak{s}$  where  $\mathfrak{c}$  is abelian and  $\mathfrak{s}$  is semisimple with negative definite Killing form.

*Proof.* As above,  $G$  acts on  $\mathfrak{g}$  by  $\text{Ad}$  and since  $G$  is compact there exists a positive definite quadratic form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  which is left-fixed by  $G$ , hence by  $\mathfrak{g}$ . This shows  $\mathfrak{g}$  is completely reducible as a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, so it is the direct sum of minimal nonzero ideals  $\mathfrak{a}_i$  and thus isomorphic to the product of the  $\mathfrak{a}_i$ . Each  $\mathfrak{a}_i$  is either simple or one-dimensional abelian, so  $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{c} \times \mathfrak{s}$ . It remains to show  $\mathfrak{s}$  has negative definite Killing form. Let  $(x, y)$  be the Euclidean inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For  $x \in \mathfrak{s}$  let  $u = \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}} x$ . Then for  $y, z \in \mathfrak{s}$ ,

$$(uy, z) + (y, uz) = 0$$

so taking  $z = uy$  we find that  $(y, u^2 y) = -(uy, uy)$ . If  $(y_i)$  is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathfrak{s}$  then

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{s}}(u^2) = \sum_i (y_i, u^2 y_i) = - \sum_i |uy_i|^2,$$

so if  $x \neq 0$  then  $u = \text{ad } x \neq 0$ , so  $\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{s}} < 0$ . □

We also have a converse:

**Theorem 5.3**

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{c} \times \mathfrak{s}$  with  $\mathfrak{c}$  abelian and  $\mathfrak{s}$  semisimple with definite Killing form. Then there exists a compact Lie group over  $\mathbb{R}$  giving  $\mathfrak{g}$ . If  $\mathfrak{c} = 0$ , then any such connected  $G$  is compact.

*Proof.* As a compact Lie group over  $\mathbb{R}$  giving  $\mathfrak{c}$  we can use a torus  $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^n$ . To obtain one giving  $\mathfrak{s}$ , we take  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{s}$ , a closed subgroup of the orthogonal group fixing the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{s}$ . Since the Killing form is definite,  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{s}$  is compact. Its Lie algebra is  $\text{Der } \mathfrak{s}$  which is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{s}$  by Weyl's theorem.

If  $\mathfrak{c} = 0$ , so  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple, let  $G$  be a connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We have a canonical homomorphism

$$\text{Ad}: G \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}.$$

We have seen that  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$  is a compact Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , so  $\text{Ad}$  is étale. Then  $H = \mathfrak{Im}(\text{Ad})$  is a connected component of  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$ , and

$$G/\ker(\text{Ad}) = H$$

where  $Z$  is discrete,  $H$  is compact, and  $(H, H)$  is dense in  $H$ . Hence  $G$  is compact. □