ANC 3B E-mail on DDOT Bike Lane Proposal

OVERALL THEMES	
PRO:	Increases general safety as well as bike safety; wave of the future; slow down traffic; a critically important transportation option
CON:	Support bike lanes but not this plan; a lot of people do not have private parking; hardship on elderly and disabled; Losing parking will be detrimental to both residents and busineses; a solution in search of a problem

Neighborhood	Pro/Con DDOT Plan	Notes
		Generally in favor of bike lanes but not this proposal. Not that
Cathedral Heights	Con	many bikers compared to drivers.
Cathedral Heights	Con	Pro bike lanes in general but streets are too naroow for this plan.
		Is a biker but sees importance of keeping parking spaces. Need
Cathedral Heights	Con	better plan.
Cathedral Heights	Con	Enough is enough
Cathedral Heights (?)	Con	Solving a problem that doesn't exist
Glover Park	Con	Lack of pedestrian safety. Lead to parking crisis.
Glover Park	Con	Increase hardship on elderly
Glover Park	Con	Need a car to live in GP. Lack of metro/ adequate bus service.
		Parking is already impossible. Losing spaces would drive down
Glover Park	Con	property values.
Glover Park	Con	No problem sharing the road. Parking already a difficult.
		Spt bike lanes but not this plan. Maye single side parking, A
Glover Park	Con	phased in plan over 10 years, etc.

SUMMARY		
Reponses	Total	168
Glover		
Park/Cathes		
dral Heights		
	Total	134
	Con	87
	Pro	47
Other	Total	34
	Con	11
	Pro	23

		Spt bike lanes in general but not this plan. Losing so many
		spaces will make parking impossible. Speed will increase down
Glover Park	Con	tunlaw without parked cars.
		Parking already difficult. Will be worse. Home values will
Glover Park	Con	decline.
		No need for bike lanes. Too much parking lost. Increase in
Glover Park	Con	speed down Tunlaw.
		Not much bike traffic. Don't need to add bike lanes for few
Glover Park	Con	people and eliminate parking for many people.
		Streets too narrow for bike lanes. Decreases property values.
Glover Park	Con	Commuter traffic should not be coming through these streets.
		Does not have private parking. Makes situation less safe for
Glover Park	Con	everyone.
		Biking is safe now. Taking away parked cars will increase
Glover Park	Con	speeding. If bike lanes, then speed bumps.
		This plan won't work. Bike lanes are good if implemented
Glover Park	Con	correctly. Not at expense of parking.
Glover Park	Con	Need to keep parking
Glover Park	Con	Less pakring spaces will increase parking pressure in GP proper
		Losing parking is bad for the community and bad for GP
Glover Park	Con	businesses
		Support bike travel in general but undue burden if fewer parking
Glover Park	Con	spaces. Car needed for her children.
		37th is major emergency route. Traffic will be blocked by
Glover Park	Con	delivery, etc. vehicles with bike lanes.
Glover Park	Con	Lack of parking detrimental to businesses
		Support bike lanes but this plan is too detrimental to much of
Glover Park	Con	GP.
		Would cause am Impossible parking problem. Not fair to
Glover Park	Con	eliminate parking for relatively few bikers.
		Current plan is an unworkable solution. Demand for biking isn't
Glover Park	Con	there. Parking will become impossible.
		Street too narrow; area is too dense to lose so much public
		parking, too few entrance/exit spots (isolated area). City should
Glover Park	Con	provide exampkes from similar hoods.
Glover Park	Con	Make current parking problem worse.

		Makes parking problem even greater. People who live on
Glover Park	Con	effected streets won't be able to even unload their cars.
		Support bike lanes but not this plan. Many people on tunlaw rely
Glover Park	Con	on curb parking.
		Bikers but don't agree with this proposals. Increase illegal
Glover Park	Con	parking. Need to come up compromises.
		If going to take away parking, need to also improve instead of
Glover Park	Con	degrade public tranp options
Glover Park	Con	Would increase parking pressure too much
Glover Park	Con	Parking is already too difficult
		parking is already difficult. Will increase car congestion in GP
Glover Park	Con	proper.
		Support bike lanes but on streets that have a lot of bike traffic.
Glover Park	Con	Tunlaw does not. Losing parking would be too detrimental.
		Adversely affect GP. Livability study found lack of parking ID'ed
Glover Park	Con	as major problem. This exacerbates the problem.
Glover Park	Con	People parking on tunlaw have few other options.
		Prioritizes commuters over those who live in GP. Parking too
Glover Park	Con	difficult.
Glover Park	Con	Against loss of parking, not bike lanes.
Glover Park	Con	Parking is a serious issue with several not having private parking
Glover Park	Con	Need car and must be able to park
		Biker but do not need bike lanes that eliminate parking. Leave
Glover Park	Con	things as they are.
		Parking difficult. Makes access to buildings more difficult.
Glover Park	Con	Public transp is degrading.
		Biker but a lot of problems with DDOT slides. Concerned about
Glover Park	Con	merging bikes and cars.
		Increasing bike safety is a worthy goal but not at the cost of
Glover Park	Con	eliminating parking
		Would make parking much harder. Not enough bike traffic to
Glover Park	Con	support this DDOT plan.
		Removes too many spaces. This DDOT pan negatively impacts
Glover Park	Con	all and make GP less safe.

		Bike lanes not necessary. DDOT data not correct. Install
		unprotected bike lanes both ways and make rush hour
Glover Park	Con	restrictions.
		Biker but this plan eliminates too much parking. Public transp
Glover Park	Con	options keep declining.
Glover Park	Con	Parking already difficult. Not enough biking to warrant bike lanes.
		Parking is very difficult. Not enough bikers to justify removing so
Glover Park	Con	many spaces.
Glover Park	Con	No problem with bike lanes but this plan isn't good. Eliminates too much parking for few bikes.
Glover Park	Con	Bike lanes unnecessary. Needlessly increases problems. Need to follow Dutch practices.
		Many residents don't have private parking. Eliminating spaces
Glover Park	Con	would make things worse for GP.
Glover Park	Con	Streets are already too narrow for even driving
Glover Park	Con	Increasing parking congestion and difficulty
Glover Park	Con	The bigger problem is pedestrian safety which this plan does not
Glover Park	Con	improve.
Glover Park	Con	Biker but this plan is a mistake because it eliminates too much parking and could increase traffic speed. More study needed.
Glover Fark	COIT	Streets are already too narrow; parking too limited. Better to
Glover Park	Con	spend energy on improving public transp
Glover Park	Con	Parking is already very limited on 37th. This would make things much worse.
Glover Fark	COIT	Not opposed to bike lanes per se but opposed to this plan.
Glover Park	Con	Doesn't make sense.
Gioverrank	2011	GP is car dependent. Parking is limited. Public Transp options
Glover Park	Con	are declining.
		Suport bike lanes but this plan eliminates too much parking
Glover Park	Con	which is already limited
		Would eliminate valuable parking. Public Transp already limited
Glover Park	Con	option.
		Bikers but oppose because there isn't a problem. This would
Glover Park	Con	create several including increasing speeds and lack of parking.

		Via GPCA. Fully support bike lanes but not a good idea through
Glover Park	Con	this part of GP.
Glover Park	Con	Via GPCA. Cannot afford to lose so much parking
Glover Park	Con	Via GPCA. Less parking is difficult for the elderly and the disabled and depresses consumers to the commercial strip.
Glover Park	Con	Concerned about declining public transportation choices
Glover Park	Con	Open to compromise. Would support bike lanes but not the DDOT proposals.
Glover Park	Con	Both options unworkable. Unhappy that community has not been engaged more. Numerous issues with lost parking.
Glover Park	Con	Eliminating parking will be difficult and especially cause more hardship to seniors.
Glover Park	Con	Live in apartment bildg that does not have private parking. Eliminating parking spots would hurt GP.
Glover Park	Con	Eliminating parking especially hard on seniors trying to age in place. DDOT needs to come up with a comprehensive plan that addresses all transp options and problems.
Glover Park	Con	These roads are too narrow and congested to handle bike lanes. Eliminating parking detrimental.
Glover Park	Con	This is addressing a problem that doesn't exist. No need for bike lanes.
Glover Park	Con	Bikers and cars can share the road fine if all obey traffic laws. Eliminate too many parking spaces.
Glover Park	Con	Many residents do not have private parking. Increase parking problems and decrease home values.
Glover Park	Con	Doesn't think enough planning has been done or the community sufficiently consulted.
Glover Park	Con	Will negatively impact people's lives including less parking, difficulty for service deliveries, decreased safety in general.
Glover Park (?)	Con	Would create a parking crisis
Glover Park (?)	Con	parking pressure is already too high
Glover Park (?)	Con	Opposed because not notified in advance of this plan.
Cathedral Heights	Con ?	
Cathedral Heights	Pro	Biking too dangerous here
Cathedral Heights	Pro	Generally. Thinks there needs to be compromise on both sides.

Cathedral Heights	Pro	Important to increase bike safety
Cathedral Heights	Pro	Improved bike safety
Cathedral Heights	Pro	Would like to see even more dedicated bus and bike lanes in DC
Cathedral Heights (?)	Pro	Critical for the environment
Glover Park	Pro	Lack of Bike Safety
Glover Park	Pro	Parked cars make biking more dangerous
Glover Park	Pro	Make climbing lane and keep more parking
Glover Park	Pro	Add more handicapped parking to mitigate parking losses
Glover Park	Pro	Bike lanes are critical to increase safety for all.
		Bike lanes will solve mobility problems and good alternative to
Glover Park	Pro	declining bus service.
		Bike lanes would increase safety for bicyclists and pedestrians
Glover Park	Pro	alike.
Glover Park	Pro	Bike commuter
Glover Park	Pro	Makes biking safer and improves environment
Glover Park	Pro	Maximize transportation choices for all
Glover Park	Pro	Would help biking be safer in GP. Would slow traffic down.
Glover Park	Pro	Biking unsafe in the area now
		Thinks GP is trending toward fewer cars so parking will be less in
Glover Park	Pro	demand
Glover Park	Pro	Protected bike lanes will encourage more people to bike
		Bike lanes will incresae safety. Intrasigence will be a losing
Glover Park	Pro	proposition for GP.
Glover Park	Pro	Benefits outweigh costs
Glover Park	Pro	Increasing bike safety is more important than parking spaces
Glover Park	Pro	Welcome increased bike safety
Glover Park	Pro	Need a safe options for bikers
Glover Park	Pro	Bike lanes safer fpr both bikers and cars
Glover Park	Pro	Safe cycling is key component of DC transportation structure
		Increasing bike safety is critical and also environmentally
Glover Park	Pro	important
Glover Park	Pro	Need to find a compromise that benefits everyone.
		Biking in GP is unsafe. This plan would improve safety for both
Glover Park	Pro	bikers and everyone else.
Glover Park	Pro	

		Support bike lanes but this plan needs to be improved to
Glover Park	Pro	minimize impact on everyone
		Bike lanes would increase safety and add options since public
Glover Park	Pro	transp is so bad in GP
Glover Park	Pro	Need improved bike safety
Glover Park	Pro	Need improved cycle infrastructure. Questions for GPCA.
Glover Park	Pro	Improving bike safety is important
Glover Park	Pro	Improves bike safety. Unsafe drivers.
		Bikes are integral part of transp system and safety should be
Glover Park	Pro	improved
Glover Park	Pro	Improves bike safety and builds critical transp infrastructure.
Glover Park	Pro	Necessary safety step
		Critical need to increase bike safety but also critical to improve
Glover Park	Pro	public transp options.
		Environmentally crucial. Will be some hardship but must find a
Glover Park	Pro	way to compromise and implement.
		Support bike lanes but not this plan. Offers an alternate
Glover Park	Pro	soluction. Has been in contact with Mike Goodno to discuss.
		Would be happy if compromise could be reached. Fewer cars,
Glover Park	Pro	more bikes are the future.
Glover Park (?)	Pro	Bike lanes have more utility than stationary parking spaces
Glover Park (?)	Pro	Increasing bike safety is moving DC into the future
Glover Park (?)	Pro	VisionZero must be implemented; plenty or parking available
Burleith	Con	Decrease value of homes and make parking impossible
		50 year resident of Burleith whose never been able to find
Burleith	Con	parking in front of her home
Burleith	Con	Serious parking problems already exist
Burleith	Con	Lose of parking would be detrimental
		Via GPCA. Eliminating parking is wrong. DDOT data is
Burleith	Con	problematic.
Burleith	Con	Eliminating parking and adding bike lanes would cause chaos
		Lives on 37th and does not have private parking. Elderly and in
Burleith	Con	need of parking closeby.
		Biker but this plan is bad. No need for separate bike lanes.
Burleith	Con	Making parking more difficult is not a solution.
Georgetown	Con	Biker but does not see need for any bike lanes

		Bike lanes unnecessary and do not take into account the needs ot
Wesley Heights	Con	the residents.
		Density is too high in GP to be able to lose so much street
Wesley Heights	Con	parking.
Adams Morgan	Pro	Owns several rental properties in GP. Bike lanes are the future.
AU Park	Pro	Need to improve bike safety
Bethesda	Pro	Bike safety improves public safety generally.
Burleith	Pro	Critical to improve bike safety. Good for the environment.
Chevy Chase	Pro	Bike safety must be increased to make DC more accessible
Columbia Heights, Shaw, etc	Pro	Increasing bike safety increases safety for everyone
DC	Pro	Bike lanes improve safety and are the wave of the future.
Friendship Heights	Pro	No protected bike lanes in this area. Need to increase safety.
Georgetown	Pro	Need to improve bike and pedestrian safety in GP
		Studies have shown that bike lanes improved safety and reduce
Georgetown	Pro	speed
Georgetown	Pro	Bike lanes would improve safety.
Georgetown	Pro	Increasing bike safety helps everyone.
Georgetown	Pro	
Georgetown	Pro	Safer biking increases safety in general.
Kingman Park	Pro	Biking is dangerous in this area. Need bike lanes.
Spring Valley	Pro	bike commuter feels unsafe
Tenley	Pro	Public space must benefit everyone, not just car owners.
Ward 2	Pro	All bike lanes are good
		Appreciates 3D support. Concerned about GP resistance. Parking
Ward 3	Pro	is not a right.
Ward 3	Pro	Installing bike lanes on this corridor major priority for them
Ward 3	Pro	
Woodley Park	Pro	Unsafe cooridor to bike. This would improve safety.
	Pro	Bike safety good for everyone