




Proton Calorimetry Study: Update




Zachary Williams

Motivation

- Current analyses account for the energy loss by particles between Wire Chamber 4 and the beginning of the TPC by subtracting a flat number
 - This number is found as the peak of MC distribution tracking all the energy deposited for the particular particle species
 - E.g. for pions this is ~43 MeV and is subtracted to estimate the initial Kinetic Energy in the TPC
- Perhaps there is a better method we can use and validate using data and MC?
 - Stopping protons seem to be the ideal sample



Schematic of the upstream beamline



Energy loss used in the Pion analysis


Why stopping protons?

- In principle, when we are accounting for all the energy of a proton, the only thing we don't measure directly is the energy loss upstream ($E_{\text{Loss}}^{\text{Upstream}}$)

- Particle ID from the beamline can ensure we have a sample of protons in data
- We can select events in the TPC where the proton stops without interacting

$$E_{\text{Total}} = KE_i^{\text{WC4}} - E_{\text{Loss}}^{\text{Upstream}} - E_{\text{Deposited}}^{\text{TPC}}$$

- Better, we can use the MC to validate all the pieces and develop a new method to estimate the energy loss upstream




How we hope this will benefit the analyses

1. Better model the energy loss in the upstream region of the beamline prior to reaching the TPC and reduce the systematic on this (for the pions currently 3.5%).
2. Aid in the measurement of the hadronic cross section for protons/kaons where the energy loss upstream is likely to be larger than for the pions.

More backstory can be found in:

DocDB #2235
DocDB #2360



Current Upstream Energy Loss Model: The Flat Method for protons

MC Sample Used

- We use a sample of MC Stopping Protons.
 - The MC was generated by using the single particle gun being fired from $z = -100$ cm, which is the location of Wire Chamber 4 (I basically made a bunch of different versions of the 'prodsingle_proton_0_500MeV.fcl' file over the different ranges of energies).
- For MC True Stopping Protons:
 - Because this is MC, we use truth information of the endpoint of the particle (within the fiducial volume) to classify it as a stopping proton, whether or not it was the primary particle (process_primary[iG4] == 1), and it has a pdg code of 2212.
- For Reco on the MC Sample:
 - We require that there is at least a single point reconstructed in the fiducial boundaries of the TPC ($0 < x < 47$ cm, $-20 < y < 20$ cm) and is within the first 14 cm in the beamline ($0 < z < 14$ cm) and the track's end point is within the fiducial volume of the TPC. We then match the most upstream point of the track to the MC truth track and the difference between the X and Y's should be sufficiently small to identify them as the same.

New MC:

New MC

Version

v06.44.00


of Stopping Protons

245,543

of Stopping Protons
with Reco Info

226,891

Quick Look at Parameters About to be Used (MC)




Initial Kinetic Energy for stopping protons

$$E_{\text{Total}} = KE_i^{\text{WC4}} - E_{\text{Loss}}^{\text{Upstream}} - E_{\text{Deposited}}^{\text{TPC}}$$


Quick Look at Parameters About to be Used (MC)

The current method for approximating the energy loss by protons in the upstream region of the beamline is to use a single value for the energy loss of all proton events taken from a fit to a MC stopping proton sample's true upstream energy loss. This is shown in the plot, and the value for the energy loss upstream by a proton for the flat method is found to be: 60.01 MeV.




$$E_{\text{Total}} = KE_i^{\text{WC4}} - E_{\text{Loss}}^{\text{Upstream}} - E_{\text{Deposited}}^{\text{TPC}}$$

Quick Look at Parameters About to be Used (MC)




dE/dx for MC stopping protons



dE/dx vs Residual Range for MC stopping protons

Quick Look at Parameters About to be Used (MC)



The spiky structure in the “True” energy loss is associated with the physics list used by GEANT4

We use this physics list for the study here because it is the same used by the pion/kaon/proton analyses

Total energy deposited in the TPC for stopping protons


$$E_{\text{Total}} = KE_i^{\text{WC4}} - E_{\text{Loss}}^{\text{Upstream}} - E_{\text{Deposited}}^{\text{TPC}}$$

The Data Sample

Run I Information

1. Run-I Picky Track Proton Mass Filter Data
 - a. Mean momentum $\sim 730 \text{ MeV}/c$
2. Same sample that was used on the dE/dx calibration here: DocDB #2513


– proton: $650 \text{ MeV} < \text{mass} < 3000 \text{ MeV}$



$$\text{mass} = \frac{p}{c} \sqrt{\left(\frac{\text{TOF} \times c}{l}\right)^2 - 1}$$

Event Selection	Run-I Negative Polarity π, μ, e	Run-I Positive Polarity π, μ, e	Run-I Positive Polarity Proton
Beam Filter	113,336	140,954	140,954
Mass Selection	5,909	5,349	9,104
> 1 Track Reconstructed in the TPC	5,722	5,223	8,062
< 3 Tracks Reconstructed with length < 5 cm	4,323	4,058	6,561
Unique match between WC and TPC Track	2,464	2,446	3,373

Run I ToF vs WC Track Momentum Histogram




- **TOF vs WC-Track momentum for all Run-I proton data**
 - Slight deuteron contamination
 - Ignored for this study

Run I Event Reduction Table

Total Number of Events	9014
Events w/ WC Track & TOF Object	9014
Events w/ TOF > 0 ns and < 90 ns	9010
Events w/ Good TPC Info (nHits > 0)	9005
Events w/ PID Consistent w/ proton (450 MeV < P < 1100 MeV, 28 ns < TOF < 55 ns)	8903
Events w/ Trk Z < 2	7211
Events w/ < 8 Trks in the First 14 cm of TPC	7021
Events That Are Not Shower Like (< 3 tracks with length < 5 cm)	5738
Events w/ ONE WC Trk Matched	3211
Events w/ Stopping Proton in TPC Within Fiducial Boundaries (z > 2 cm, z < 85 cm, y > -15 cm, y < 15 cm, x > 5 cm, x < 42 cm) (RR < 16 cm with dE/dX > 4 MeV/cm)	1587

Run I dE/dx vs Residual Range Histogram




- **dE/dX vs RR for stopping proton sample**
 - We remove tracks which have a low dE/dX at small residual range to help reduce contamination from mismatched minimum ionizing tracks
 - $dE/dX < 4$ MeV/cm in the last 16 cm of the track

Run II Information

1. Run-II Picky Track Proton Mass Filter Data
 - a. Mean momentum $\sim 725 \text{ MeV}/c$
2. Same sample that was used on the dE/dx calibration here: DocDB #2513


– proton: $650 \text{ MeV} < \text{mass} < 3000 \text{ MeV}$



$$\text{mass} = \frac{p}{c} \sqrt{\left(\frac{\text{TOF} \times c}{l}\right)^2 - 1}$$

Event Selection	Run-II Negative Polarity π, μ, e	Run-II Positive Polarity π, μ, e	Run-II Positive Polarity Proton
Beam Filter	1,585,598	1,555,402	1,555,402
Mass Selection	124,965	89,561	94,210
> 1 Track Reconstructed in the TPC	117,869	86,918	82,099
< 3 Tracks Reconstructed with length < 5 cm	88,717	69,509	68,847
Unique match between WC and TPC Track	48,076	43,547	36,278

Run II ToF vs WC Track Momentum Histogram




- **TOF vs WC-Track momentum for all Run-II proton data**
 - Slight deuteron contamination
 - Ignored for this study

Run-II Event Reduction Table

Total Number of Events	94210
Events w/ WC Track & TOF Object	94210
Events w/ TOF > 0 ns and < 90 ns	94122
Events w/ Good TPC Info (nHits > 0)	92873
Events w/ PID Consistent w/ proton (450 MeV < P < 1100 MeV, 28 ns < TOF < 55 ns)	90890
Events w/ Trk Z < 2	68158
Events w/ < 8 Trks in the First 14 cm of TPC	67414
Events That Are Not Shower Like (< 3 tracks with length < 5 cm)	55838
Events w/ ONE WC Trk Matched	30037
Events w/ Stopping Proton in TPC Within Fiducial Boundaries (z > 2 cm, z < 85 cm, y > -15 cm, y < 15 cm, x > 5 cm, x < 42 cm) (RR < 16 cm with dE/dX > 4 MeV/cm)	17804


Run II dE/dx vs Residual Range Histogram




- **dE/dX vs RR for stopping proton sample**
 - We remove tracks which have a low dE/dX at small residual range to help reduce contamination from mismatched minimum ionizing tracks
 - $dE/dX < 4$ MeV/cm in the last 16 cm of the track

Quick Look at Parameters About to be Used

The Initial Kinetic Energy at Wire Chamber 4





The Initial Kinetic Energy at Wire Chamber 4



These are the distributions of the parameter for the initial Kinetic Energy at WC4 on stopping proton data from Run I and Run II of LArIAT.
Zachary Williams

$$E_{\text{Total}} = KE_i^{\text{WC4}} - E_{\text{Loss}}^{\text{Upstream}} - E_{\text{Deposited}}^{\text{TPC}}$$

Quick Look at Parameters About to be Used



These are the distributions of the parameter for the energy lost inside of the TPC on stopping proton data from Run I and Run II of LArIAT.


Zachary Williams

January 5, 2018


$$E_{\text{Total}} = KE_i^{\text{WC4}} - E_{\text{Loss}}^{\text{Upstream}} - E_{\text{Deposited}}^{\text{TPC}}$$

Run I and Run II dE/dx Fit

The Energy Deposition Reconstructed Using the Calorimetric Energy



The Energy Deposition Reconstructed Using the Calorimetric Energy



dE/dX for Run-I and Run-II data


(Since this is the sample which was used to tune the calorimetry, their agreement is by construction)

Zachary Williams

January 5, 2018

dE/dx Data and MC

This plot is to show the agreement between the data and the MC.




How the Flat Method Performs on MC and Data

- We can now check to see how using the flat estimate of the energy loss upstream does on both the MC and data sample of stopping protons

$$E_{\text{Remaining}} = KE_i^{\text{WC4}} - E_{\text{Deposited}}^{\text{TPC}} - E_{\text{Loss}}^{\text{Flat}}$$

- For MC, we have perfect information for the initial kinetic energy, and the energy deposited in the TPC
 - We also have reconstructed energy deposited in the TPC, so we can see the effect of using reco information
- For data, we only have reconstructed information...but we can compare how that looks to the MC to make sure they are similar

Energy Remaining (MC)




$$E_{\text{Remaining}} = KE_i^{\text{WC4}} - E_{\text{Deposited}}^{\text{TPC}} - E_{\text{Loss}}^{\text{Flat}}$$

Using truth information

Using “flat” number

Energy Remaining (MC)



$$E_{\text{Remaining}} = KE_i^{\text{WC4}} - E_{\text{Deposited}}^{\text{TPC}} - E_{\text{Loss}}^{\text{Flat}}$$


Using truth information

Using reco information

Using “flat” number

Aside: How well does reconstructed energy in the TPC do?

Δ Energy Loss in the TPC (True - Reco)




$$\Delta E_{\text{Deposited}}^{\text{TPC}} = E_{\text{True Deposited}}^{\text{TPC}} - E_{\text{Reco Deposited}}^{\text{TPC}}$$

$$E_{\text{Reco Deposited}}^{\text{TPC}} = \sum \left(\frac{dE}{dX} \right) \times (\text{track pitch})$$

This gives some sense of how good we will ever do given the current reconstruction of the tracks dE/dX

Energy Remaining (Data)



$$E_{\text{Remaining}} = KE_i^{\text{WC4}} - E_{\text{Deposited}}^{\text{TPC}} - E_{\text{Loss}}^{\text{Flat}}$$

Using WC Reco

Using reco
information

Using “flat” number





New Upstream Energy Loss Model: The Map Method

Our First Idea


(which we are improving on here)

The Previous Map

The previous map that I presented on over the summer was broken into three maps which are all shown below.



Previously Presented Map Method Results



This is a plot of the energy remaining when using the **OLD** Map Method on data for both Run I and Run II.

$$KE^{TPC} = KE_i^{WC4} - E_{Map}$$

$$KE^{Calo} = \sum_i \left(\frac{dE}{dx} \right)_i \times (TrackPitch)_i$$

$$\Delta KE = KE^{TPC} - KE^{Calo}$$

Suggested Changes

At the collaboration meeting over the summer, suggestions for how to possibly improve the map method were made, which were:



1. Generate a new MC sample of protons shot through a larger area of the TPC Cryostat's upstream face.
2. Make a finer grained map method.

Generating a New MC Sample...

Making a New Sample of Stopping Protons

Changes from the old MC sample:




1. A newer version of LArIATSoft was used.
2. The protons were given a wider gaussian distribution over their spherical coordinate angles, which means that they will have a larger area of initial position with the TPC's upstream face.



The New Map for the Map Method

The New Map




The new map is broken into 100 individual upstream energy loss maps based off of the proton's initial x and y coordinates inside of the TPC and then using the theta and phi of the proton to pull the upstream energy loss from the map.



Upstream Energy Loss Pulling From Map



Because of the large amount of maps, there are a few maps that are very sparsely populated. Which means that most of the time, if a proton's initial x and y position caused a sparsely populated theta and phi map to be pulled from, the upstream energy loss that would be pulled from the map the majority of the time would be zero...

To deal with that I pull from the true energy loss distribution.




New Way of Grabbing from an Empty Bin

If you grab an energy loss from an empty bin, instead of returning zero, we pull randomly from the MC true energy loss upstream histogram (plot on the left). The histogram of pulled energy losses from an empty map bin is shown on the bottom right




Upstream Energy Loss of the New MC

Energy loss prior to entering the TPC (MC Truth)




Δ Energy Loss Upstream of the TPC (True - Reco)



The left plot is the upstream energy loss by the map method using MC True theta and phi, using reconstructed theta and phi, and plotted with the MC True energy loss upstream. The right plot shows the difference between the upstream energy loss from the map method using MC True theta and phi and the Reco theta and phi. Ideally, the right plot would be a delta function with a single sharp peak at 0 MeV.


Using Flat Method on Truth Parameters

The plot on the right is the remaining energy of the protons whenever the Flat Method. Ideally, this would be a delta function at 0 MeV.



Using Map Method on Truth Parameters


The plot on the right is the remaining energy of the protons whenever the Map Method is used using the MC True theta and phi parameters. Ideally, this would be a delta function at 0 MeV.




Assessing the Map Method's Performance

Energy Remaining using the flat correction

ΔKE (Flat - Calo)



ΔKE (Flat - Calo)



$$E_{\text{Remaining}} = KE_i^{\text{WC4}} - E_{\text{Deposited}}^{\text{TPC}} - E_{\text{Loss}}^{\text{Flat}}$$

$$E_{\text{Flat}} = 60.01 \text{ MeV}$$

Energy Remaining for Run-I and Run-II data using the flat correction

Run-I: Mean ~ 37 MeV, RMS ~ 44 MeV


Run-II Mean ~ 21 MeV, RMS ~ 54 MeV

Energy Remaining using the flat correction



Plotting Run-I and Run-II at the same time and normalizing them to the same number of events

$$E_{\text{Remaining}} = KE_i^{\text{WC4}} - E_{\text{Deposited}}^{\text{TPC}} - E_{\text{Loss}}^{\text{Flat}}$$

$$E_{\text{Flat}} = 60.01 \text{ MeV}$$



Energy Remaining using the map correction




$$E_{\text{Remaining}} = KE_i^{\text{WC4}} - E_{\text{Deposited}}^{\text{TPC}} - E_{\text{Loss}}^{\text{Map}}$$

Energy Remaining for Run-I and Run-II data using the map correction

Run-I: Mean ~ 15 MeV, RMS ~ 54 MeV
Run-II Mean ~ -2 MeV, RMS ~ 62 MeV

Energy Remaining using the map correction




Plotting Run-I and Run-II at the same time and normalizing them to the same number of events


$$E_{\text{Remaining}} = KE_i^{\text{WC4}} - E_{\text{Deposited}}^{\text{TPC}} - E_{\text{Loss}}^{\text{Map}}$$

Comparison MC


Remaining Energy from MC Truth Flat Method



Δ Energy Loss Upstream (True - Map)



Comparison



Conclusions




- **Worked to develop a different method to estimate the energy loss upstream of the TPC using reconstructed quantities**
 - Studied the performance of this “map” based method using stopping protons in MC and data
- **The method as it stands now shows a marginal improvement over the simple flat based correction**
 - The larger RMS in the energy remaining plots is pause for concern as this might lead to a larger uncertainty in the energy reconstruction
- **Next steps:**
 - Need to think about a way to improve the overall method



Thank you!








Back-up Slides



The Idea of the Map Method



The idea of the map method is simple: find parameters that we reconstruct well and then use that parameter as a way of pulling an energy loss from a map for the upstream region of the beamline. These are the parameters we used:




$\Delta \Theta$ (True - Reco) $\Delta \Phi$ (True - Reco) ΔX (True - Reco) ΔY (True - Reco)


Depiction of the Map Method

We then subdivide the Y and X position histograms to make maps.





Previously Presented Flat Method Results



This is a plot of the energy remaining when using the **OLD** Flat Method on data for both Run I and Run II.


$$KE^{Flat} = KE_i^{WC4} - E_{Flat}$$

$$KE^{Calo} = \sum_i \left(\frac{dE}{dx} \right)_i \times (TrackPitch)_i$$

$$\Delta KE = KE^{Flat} - KE^{Calo}$$

$$E_{Flat} = 66.6 \text{ MeV}$$

Previously Presented Map to Flat Method Results



This is a plot of the difference between the value the **OLD** Flat Method subtracted compared to what the **OLD** Map Method subtracted for the upstream region of the stopping proton sample of both Run I and Run II data.


$$KE^{TPC} = KE_i^{WC4} - E_{Map}$$

$$KE^{Flat} = KE_i^{WC4} - E_{Flat}$$

$$\Delta KE = KE^{TPC} - KE^{Flat}$$

$$E_{Flat} = 66.6 \text{ MeV}$$

Delta KE (TPC - Flat)



$$KE^{TPC} = KE_i^{WC4} - E_{Map}$$

$$KE^{Flat} = KE_i^{WC4} - E_{Flat}$$


$$\Delta KE = KE^{TPC} - KE^{Flat}$$

$$E_{Flat} = 60.01 \text{ MeV}$$

Comparing Old and New:


	Old MC	New MC
Version	v06.15.00	v06.44.00
# of Stopping Protons	161,231	245,543
# of Stopping Protons with Reco Info	156,084	226,891

Energy Loss Inside the TPC (Truth and Reco)




This is a look at the energy loss inside of the TPC for MC Truth and for Reco.

Truth has the strange ridge behavior which is seen in the plot below, too, and was also seen in the previous MC.



Energy Loss Inside the TPC (True - Reco)

Δ Energy Loss in the TPC (True - Reco)



This is the difference between the energy loss in the TPC from Truth and the energy loss in the TPC from Reco.

The plot shows that the Reco energy loss inside the TPC is actually too small on average of about ~ 16.4 MeV.

