script. This research was supported in part by RAP-TOR, Inc., Martin and Julie Wiltz, Brad and Marsha Lindner, and the Ohio Biological Survey.

LITERATURE CITED

- BOAL, C. W., D. E. ANDERSEN, AND P. L. KENNEDY. 2005. Foraging and nest habitat of breeding male Northern Goshawks in the Laurentian mixed forest province, Minnesota. Journal of Wildlife Management 69:1516–1527.
- Buehler, D. A. 2000. Bald Eagle (*Haliaeetus leucoce-phalus*). The birds of North America. Number 506.
- CLARK, L. 1991. The nest protection hypothesis: the adaptive use of plant secondary compounds by European Starlings. Pages 205–221 in Bird-parasite interactions: ecology, evolution and behaviour (J. E. Loye and M. Zuk, Editors). Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom.
- CONN, E. E. 1979 Biosynthesis of cyanogenic glycosides. Naturwissenschaften 66:28–34.
- DYKSTRA, C. R., J. L. HAYS, AND S. C. CROCOLL. 2008. Red-shouldered Hawk (*Buteo lineatus*). The birds of North America. Number 107.
- DYKSTRA, C. R., J. L. HAYS, F. B. DANIEL, AND M. M. SIMON. 2000. Nest site selection and productivity of suburban Red-shouldered Hawks in southern Ohio. Condor 102:401–408.

- DYKSTRA, C. R., J. L. HAYS, M. M. SIMON, J. B. HOLT JR., G. R. AUSTING, AND F. B. DANIEL. 2004. Dispersal and mortality of Red-shouldered Hawks banded in Ohio. Journal of Raptor Research 38:304–311.
- ENGLAND, A. S., M. J. BECHARD, AND C. S. HOUSTON. 1997. Swainson's Hawk (*Buteo swainsoni*). The birds of North America. Number 265.
- Ferguson-Lees, J. and D. A. Christie. 2001. Raptors of the world. Houghton Mifflin Company, New York, USA.
- JAMES, F. C. AND H. H. SHUGART JR. 1970. A quantitative method of habitat description. Audubon Field Notes 24:727–736.
- Newton, I. 1979. Population ecology of raptors. T. and A. D. Poyser Ltd., London, United Kingdom.
- Peterson, S. C., N. D. Johnson, and J. L. LeGuyader. 1987. Defensive regurgitation of allelochemicals derived from host cyanogenesis by eastern tent caterpillars. Ecology 68:1268–1272.
- Preston, C. R. and R. D. Beane. 1993. Red-tailed Hawk (*Buteo jamaicensis*). The birds of North America. Number 52.
- SHUTLER, D. AND A. A. CAMPBELL. 2007. Experimental addition of greenery reduces flea loads in nests of a non-greenery using species, the Tree Swallow *Tach-ycineta bicolor*. Journal of Avian Biology 38:7–12.

The Wilson Journal of Ornithology 121(1):210-212, 2009

Ad Libitum Water Source for a Common Raven

Lawrence M. Hanks, 1,5 James D. Barbour, 2 Kim Kratz, 3 and William C. Webb⁴

ABSTRACT.—We report a Common Raven (*Corvus corax*) that learned to turn on a water faucet in a campground at Death Valley National Park, Inyo County, California, USA, and drink from it. *Ad libitum* availability of water has important implications for survival and reproductive success of desert birds. Ravens commonly exploit anthropogenic sources of water and food; these behaviors are of interest because ravens can be important predators of the federally-threatened desert tortoise (*Gopherus agassizii*). Our observation is further evidence of the resourcefulness of ravens and challenges involved in limiting access to anthropogenic resources for an intelli-

gent, subsidized predator. Received 14 January 2008. Accepted 6 June 2008.

Anthropogenic sources of water, whether provided intentionally or not, can influence the size and quality of habitats for birds that require free water (Fisher et al. 1972, Kotler et al. 1998, Harrington 2002, Boarman 2003). Ravens (Corvus spp.) commonly drink from unrestricted artificial water sources such as stock tanks (Knight et al. 1998, Harrington 2002), sewage ponds (Boarman 2003), and wildlife water catchments (O'Brien et al. 2006). Common Ravens (C. corax) in the Mojave Desert of North America greatly improve their survivorship and fecundity by exploiting anthropogenic subsidies (Webb et al. 2004, Kristan and Boarman 2007), which has resulted in a dramatic increase in their population size in recent years (Boarman and Berry

¹ Department of Entomology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA.

² University of Idaho, South West Idaho Research and Extension Center, Parma, ID 83660, USA.

³ National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration-Fisheries, 1201 Northeast Lloyd Boulevard, Suite 1100, Portland, OR 97232, USA.

⁴ College of Forest Resources, Box 352100, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA.

⁵ Corresponding author; e-mail: hanks@life.uiuc.edu

1995, Kristan and Boarman 2007). This increase in raven abundance is of concern because Common Ravens can be important predators of the federally-threatened desert tortoise (*Gopherus agassizii*) (Boarman 2003). We observed a Common Raven that learned to exploit an artificial water source usually unavailable to wildlife: a water faucet that was intended for use of campers and travelers in Death Valley National Park where Common Ravens are abundant year round (National Park Service 2006) and the desert tortoise is present (Morafka and Berry 2002).

OBSERVATIONS

At ~1700 hrs PST on 9 April 2007, three of the authors (LMH, JDB, and KK) observed a Common Raven at Emigrant Campground (36° 29′ 47.73″ N, 117° 13′ 39.26″ W; elevation 656 m) in Death Valley National Park, Inyo County, California, USA. Natural sources of water were limited at the time because of low amounts of precipitation during the previous 6 months (Western Regional Climate Center 2007). The raven landed on the ground near a picnic table and walked slowly by, unsuccessfully searching for food. After a few minutes, it flew to a sign above a nearby water faucet. It then hopped down to the faucet, turned it on, and bent down to drink several times. The raven hopped back onto the sign and then flew to a tree ~30 m away. There also was a smaller raven perched in the tree, and it visited the campground, but did not drink from the faucet. The two birds were the only ravens in the vicinity of the trees at that time. We suspected the larger raven was a male and the smaller raven was its mate because male ravens are larger than females, they more readily engage in potentially risky foraging behaviors, and because the two birds were amicable and in close association (all diagnostic of mated pairs; WCW, unpubl. data). The smaller bird was probably not a juvenile, because juveniles of the current year would not yet have fledged at the time of our observation, and juveniles of the previous year would have dispersed from their natal territory by that time (Heath and Ballard 2003, Webb et al. 2004).

The larger raven returned to the sign after <30 min and again turned on the faucet and drank from it. We photographed the male dur-

ing the second visit, and also video recorded it with a digital camera (Olympus C-765 Ultra Zoom, Olympus America Inc., Center Valley, PA, USA). The faucet was a brass self-closing hose bib with a lever handle with an internal spring that shut it off automatically. It was operated by pushing down on the lever to start the flow of water, then releasing the lever to stop the flow. The raven landed with its left foot on the handle; it was not possible to identify the position of its right foot. Water began flowing immediately, the raven bent down and the flow stopped, then started again, and the raven drank for about 1 sec. The raven then straightened up, and the flow of water nearly stopped, apparently because the bird had reduced pressure on the lever. It bent down again, the flow of water increased, it drank for about 1 sec, straightened up, and the flow nearly stopped again. It repeated this sequence of behaviors (bending down and increasing the flow, drinking for 1 sec, then straightening up and slowing the flow) another four times. The entire process, from landing on the faucet to the last drink, lasted ~20 seconds. A raven of similar size drank from the faucet later that afternoon in a similar manner, but we could not be certain it was the same bird.

DISCUSSION

Desert birds are subject to desiccation by water loss from respiration and evaporative cooling that may be exacerbated by flight (Fisher et al. 1972, Kotler et al. 1998). Desiccation can be a leading cause of mortality of birds in lower elevation areas of Death Valley (Wauer 1962). Availability of free water has important implications for desert birds, particularly because it may be of much higher quality than water from natural desert sources (California Department of Water Resources 2004). This is especially true for diurnal species that are permanent residents (Bartholomew and Cade 1963, Lynn et al. 2006).

Ravens are capable of learning sophisticated behaviors to solve problems (Range et al. 2006, Heinrich and Bugnyar 2007), allowing them to adapt to extreme environments and obtain essential resources (Restani et al. 2001). The raven we observed may have learned to operate the faucet by individual problem-solving, imitating another raven, or by imitating human campground visitors (e.g.,

Hosey et al. 1997, Sasvári and Hegyi 1998). Ravens use a wide variety of anthropogenic resources, including those available in campgrounds (Marzluff and Neatherlin 2006). Our observation is evidence of the resourcefulness of the Common Raven and challenges involved in limiting access to anthropogenic resources for an intelligent, subsidized predator.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge two anonymous reviewers for helpful comments on this manuscript. We also thank Michael Bellamy and park rangers Matthew Martin and Vicki Wolfe for confirming the basic design of the faucet and its mode of operation. Edited video footage of the raven drinking from the faucet may be viewed at http://www.life.uiuc.edu/hanks/raven.html.

LITERATURE CITED

- BARTHOLOMEW, G. A. AND T. J. CADE. 1963. The water economy of land birds. Auk 80:504–539.
- BOARMAN, W. I. 2003. Managing a subsidized predator population: reducing Common Raven predation on desert tortoises. Environmental Management 32:205–217.
- Boarman, W. I. and K. H. Berry. 1995. Common Ravens in the southwestern United States, 1968– 92. Pages 73–75 *in* Our living resources: a report to the nation on the distribution, abundance, and health of U.S. plants, animals, and ecosystems (E. T. Laroe, Editor). USDI, National Biological Survey, Washington, D.C., USA.
- CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES. 2004. South Lahontan Hydrologic Region, Death Valley Groundwater Basin. California's Ground Water Bulletin Number 118. California Department of Water Resources, Sacramento, USA. http://www.dpla2.water.ca.gov/publications/groundwater/bulletin118/basins/pdfs_desc/6-18.pdf (accessed 2 June 2008).
- FISHER, C. D., E. C. LINDGREN, AND W. R. DAWSON. 1972. Drinking patterns and behavior of Australian desert birds in relation to their ecology and abundance. Condor 74:111–136.
- HARRINGTON, R. 2002. The effects of artificial watering points on the distribution and abundance of avifauna in an arid and semi-arid mallee environment. Dissertation. University of Melbourne, Australia.
- HEATH, S. A. AND G. BALLARD. 2003. Bird species composition, phenology, nesting substrate, and productivity for the Owens Valley alluvial fan, Eastern Sierra Nevada, California, 1998–2002. Great Basin Birds 6:18–35.
- HEINRICH, B. AND T. BUNGYAR. 2007. Just how smart are ravens? Scientific American 296:64–71.
- HOSEY, G. R., M. JACQUES, AND A. PITTS. 1997. Drink-

- ing from tails: social learning of a novel behaviour in a group of ring-tailed lemurs (*Lemur catta*). Primates 38:415–422.
- KNIGHT, R. L., R. J. CAMP, AND H. A. L. KNIGHT. 1998. Ravens, cowbirds, and starlings at spring and stock tanks, Mojave National Preserve, California. Great Basin Naturalist 58:393–395.
- KOTLER, B. P., C. R. DICKMAN, AND J. S. BROWN. 1998. The effects of water on patch use by two Simpson Desert granivores (*Corvus coronoides* and *Pseudomys hermannsburgensis*). Australian Journal of Ecology 23:574–578.
- Kristan III, W. B. and W. I. Boarman. 2007. Effects of anthropogenic developments on Common Raven nesting biology in the west Mojave Desert. Ecological Applications 17:1703–1713.
- LYNN, J. C., C. L. CHAMBERS, AND S. S. ROSENSTOCK. 2006. Use of wildlife water developments by birds in southwest Arizona during migration. Wildlife Society Bulletin 34:592–601.
- MARZLUFF, J. M. AND E. NEATHERLIN. 2006. Corvid response to human settlements and campgrounds: causes, consequences, and challenges for conservation. Biological Conservation 130:301–314.
- MORAFKA, D. J. AND K. H. BERRY. 2002. Is *Gopherus agassizii* a desert-adapted tortoise, or an exaptive opportunist? Implications for tortoise conservation. Chelonian Conservation Biology 4:263–287.
- NATIONAL PARK SERVICE. 2006. Death Valley birds checklist. USDI, National Park Service. http://www.nps.gov/deva/naturescience/upload/DEVA_Birdlist.pdf (accessed 2 June 2008).
- O'BRIEN, C. S., R. B. WADDELL, S. S. ROSENSTOCK, AND M. J. RABE. 2006. Wildlife use of water catchments in southwestern Arizona. Wildlife Society Bulletin 34:582–591.
- RANGE, F., T. BUGNYAR, C. SCHLÖGL, AND K. KOTRSCHAL. 2006. Individual and sex differences in learning abilities of ravens. Behavioural Processes 73:100–106.
- RESTANI, M., J. M. MARZLUFF, AND R. E. YATES. 2001. Effects of anthropogenic food sources on movements, survivorship, and sociality of Common Ravens in the arctic. Condor 103:399–404.
- SASVÁRI, L. AND Z. HEGYI. 1998. How mixed-species foraging flocks develop in response to benefits from observational learning. Animal Behaviour 55:1461–1469.
- WAUER, R. H. 1962. A survey of the birds of Death Valley. Condor 64:220–233.
- Webb, W. C., W. I. Boarman, and J. T. Rotenberry. 2004. Common Raven juvenile survival in a human-augmented landscape. Condor 106:517–528.
- Western Regional Climate Center. 2007. Death Valley, California, monthly total precipitation. Western Regional Climate Center, Reno, Nevada, USA. http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/cliMAIN.pl?ca2319 (accessed 2 June 2008).