

# Testing Report (User 24)

## Overview

Methods that Passed:

- `insert()`
- `remove()`

Methods that Failed:

- `toString()`
- `split()`
- `join()`
- `iterator()`
- Custom edge cases

## Testing Methodology

### `insert()`

I tested this method by first inserting random integer keys and values into your TreapMap. I stored the values I inserted in a hash map. After inserting 1000 elements, I made sure that every element was found in the HashMap was found in the TreapMap and vice versa. I also made sure that the treap satisfied the binary tree property and the heap property.

### `remove()`

I tested this method similarly to how I tested `insert()`, except I gave the treap a 33% chance of removing an element. I made sure that when an element was removed, when trying to look it up again, null would be returned. I did the same checks as I did in `insert()`.

### `split()` and `join()`

I tested this method by inserting elements randomly into the TreapMap with sizes of powers of 2 until 1024. I split the Treap, made sure that the left Treap had elements strictly less than the key, and made sure that the right Treap had elements greater than or equal to the key. I also made sure both Treaps had the same keys as the original Treap. I then joined the subtrees and made sure it had the same elements as the original treap. For the subtrees and the joined treap, I made sure they satisfied the binary tree property and the heap property.

### `iterator()`

I tested this method by constructing a Treap similarly to the Treap constructed in `remove()` and made sure that each element as it was iterating was greater than its previous element. I made sure that each element that was iterated through was present in the TreapMap. I also made sure that `ConcurrentModificationException` was thrown when the TreapMap was altered. I made sure that operations that did not affect the TreapMap, such as removing a node that wasn't found or passing in nulls for all operations, did not affect the iterator.

### `toString()`

This was indirectly tested through my testing of the heap property and the bst property. I built a parser to build a tree from string output.

## Specific Edge Cases

The edge cases I tested were as follows. I made sure that on an empty Treap, insert and join returned null while split led to an array of empty strings when calling *Arrays.toString()* and join with an empty Treap led to another empty Treap. I also made sure that when you pass in null to any of these methods, the methods return null and does not alter the Treap. I also made sure that when all entries were removed, the treap was properly reset to an empty Treap.

## Notes

- `NullPointerException` when trying to join with an empty treap.
- `Split()` threw a `NullPointerException`, potentially due to the edge case of the split key being the minimum/maximum element in the treap
- Extra new line character at the end of the output of the `toString()` method. Also considering using `System.lineSeparator()` instead of `"\n"` to ensure that your `toString()` method uses the appropriate end of line characters on the OS it is running on (i.e. on Unix systems, `"\r\n"` is used).
- Iterator throws `ConcurrentModificationException` when a method is called that does not modify the treap (such as inserting a node with a null key)
- `TreapMap`'s generic definition should be `<K extends Comparable<? super K>, V>` and not just `<K extends Comparable<K>, V>`
- Recommendation: Make sure you handle the cases when the root of a treap/subtreap is null and you should be fine.

The following images empirically determine the complexity of your methods. I could not test split or join due to the errors described above.

