Nuclear Norm stuff

William J. Maxwell Portland State University, 2016

ABSTRACT

some cool stuff about the nuclear norm

Nuclear Norm stuff

William J. Maxwell

B.S. Computer Science

501 Project Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Master of Science at

Portland State University

Copyright by

William J. Maxwell

APPROVAL PAGE

Master of Science 501 Project

Nuclear Norm stuff

	Presented by	
	William J. Maxwell, ,	
Advisor		
	Mau Nam Nguyen	
Second reader		

Portland State University 2016

Contents

Singular Value Decomposition	iv
Fenchel Conjugate	vi
Symmetric Functions	viii

Singular Value Decomposition

Consider a real matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. For any such matrix there are four fundamental subspaces associated with it. These are $null(A) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $null(A^T) \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, $col(A) \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, and $col(A^T) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. It can easily be shown that $null(A) \perp col(A^T)$ and $null(A^T) \perp col(A)$ and furthermore are orthogonal complements of each other.

Lemma 0.0.1. null(A) and $col(A^T)$ are orthogonal complements in \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. Let $v \in null(A)$ and $u \in col(A^T)$. Then $\langle u, v \rangle = \langle A^T b, v \rangle$ for some $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$, so $\langle A^T b, v \rangle = (A^T b)^T v = b^T A v = b^T 0 = 0$. Thus, $null(A) \perp col(A^T)$. Since $dim(col(A^T)) = rank(A)$ the rank-nullity theorem tells us that the sum of the dimensions of $col(A^T)$ and null(A) is n. So, every vector in \mathbb{R}^n is in null(A) or $col(A^T)$. Thus they are orthogonal complements.

Theorem 1. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. Then there exists $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ such that U, V are orthogonal and Σ is diagonal-like with $A = U\Sigma V^T$.

Proof.

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ then define $L = A^T A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Note that L is positive semi-definite since for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have $\langle Lx, x \rangle = \langle A^T Ax, x \rangle = \langle Ax, Ax \rangle = ||Ax||^2 \geq 0$. Similarly, define $M = AA^T \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ which is also positive semi-definite.

Let $x \in null(A)$ then $Ax = 0 \implies A^TAx = A^T0 = 0 \implies Lx = 0 \implies x \in null(L)$.

Let $x \in null(L)$ then $Lx = 0 \implies \langle Lx, x \rangle = \langle 0, x \rangle = 0 \implies \langle A^TAx, x \rangle = 0 \implies \langle Ax, Ax \rangle = 0 \implies |Ax|^2 = 0 \implies Ax = 0$, so $x \in null(A)$ and null(A) = null(L).

Consequently, we have $range(L) = range(L^T) = null(L)^{\perp} = null(A)^{\perp} = range(A^T)$.

Similarly, range(M) = range(A) and $null(A^T) = null(M)$.

Since $rank(A) = rank(A^T)$ we have $rank(L) = rank(A^T) = rank(A) = rank(M) = \rho$.

Now we need to find orthonormal bases for null(A) = null(L), $null(A^T) = null(M)$, $range(A^T) = range(L)$, and range(A) = range(M).

Since L is positive semi-definite there exists an orthonormal basis for null(L) = null(A) given by $\phi_1, ..., \phi_{N_A}$ which are eigenvectors of L corresponding to the eigenvalue 0.

Since M is positive semi-definite there exists an orthonormal basis for $null(M) = null(A^T)$ given by $\psi_1, ..., \psi_{N_A}$ which are eigenvectors of M corresponding to the eigenvalue 0.

Since L is positive semi-definite there exist positive eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq ... \geq \lambda_\rho > 0$ and their eigenvectors $v_1, ..., v_\rho$ form an orthonormal basis for $range(L) = range(A^T)$.

We have an orthonormal basis of $range(L) = range(A^T)$ consisting of eigenvectors $v_1, ..., v_\rho$ corresponding to eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq ... \geq \lambda_\rho > 0$. So, $Lv_j = \lambda_j v_j$. Define $w_j = Av_j$. Then $\langle w_i, w_j \rangle = \langle Av_i, Av_j \rangle = \langle v_i, A^T Av_j \rangle = \langle v_i, Lv_j \rangle = \langle v_i, \lambda_j v_j \rangle$. This equals 0 when $i \neq j$ and λ_j when i = j. So, $w_1, ... w_\rho$ are orthogonal, but $\|w_j\|^2 = \lambda_j$. Define $u_j = \frac{w_j}{\|w_j\|} = \frac{w_j}{\sqrt{\lambda_j}}$ then $u_1, ..., u_\rho$ form an orthonormal basis for range(M) = range(A). Denote $\sigma_j = \sqrt{\lambda_j}$ we call these the singular values of A. Note that $u_j = \frac{w_j}{\sigma_j} = \frac{Av_j}{\sigma_j} \implies Av_j = \sigma_j u_j$ and $A^T u_j = \frac{A^T Av_j}{\sigma_j} = \frac{Lv_j}{\sigma_j} = \frac{\lambda_j v_j}{\sigma_j} = \sigma_j v_j$. This is the fundamental relation of singular values.

Now that we have orthonormal bases, we can construct the matrices $U = [u_1 \dots u_\rho \, \psi_1 \dots \psi_{N_{A^T}}] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ and $V = [v_1 \dots v_\rho \, \phi_1 \dots \phi_{N_A}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Note that both U and V are orthogonal since they are constructed from orthonormal column vectors. Then we have $AV = [Av_1 \dots Av_\rho \, A\phi_1 \dots A\phi_{N_A}] = [\sigma_1 u_1 \dots \sigma_\rho u_\rho \, 0 \dots 0]$. It follows that

$$U^{T}AV = \begin{bmatrix} u_{1}^{T} \\ \vdots \\ u_{\rho}^{T} \\ \psi_{1}^{T} \\ \vdots \\ \psi_{N_{A^{T}}}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}u_{1} & \dots & \sigma_{\rho}u_{\rho} & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{2} & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \sigma_{\rho} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \Sigma$$

$$(0.0.1)$$

And by the orthogonality of U^T and V we have $A = U\Sigma V^T$.

Fenchel Conjugate

Definition 1. For any function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ the **Fenchel conjugate** $f^*: \mathbb{R}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is defined by

$$f^*(v) = \sup\{\langle v, x \rangle - f(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$$

$$f^*(v) = \sup\{\langle v, x \rangle - f(x) \mid x \in \text{dom}(f)\}$$

Note that the Fenchel conjugate is always convex as it is the supremum of a family of affine functions, and it is order reversing in the sense that $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ implies that $f^*(v) \geq g^*(v)$ since for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

$$f^*(v) = \sup\{\langle v, x \rangle - f(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \le \sup\{\langle v, x \rangle - g(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} = g^*(v).$$

Lemma 0.0.2. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a function with $dom(f) \neq \emptyset$. Then we have $\langle v, x \rangle \leq f(x) + f^*(v)$ for all $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. From the definition of the Fenchel conjugate it follows

$$f^*(v) = \sup\{\langle v, x \rangle - f(x) \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$$
$$f^*(v) \ge \langle v, x \rangle - f(x)$$
$$f^*(v) + f(x) \ge \langle v, x \rangle$$

Theorem 2. For any convex function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ and any $\overline{x} \in \text{dom}(f)$, we have $v \in \partial f(\overline{x})$ if and only if $f(\overline{x}) + f^*(v) = \langle v, \overline{x} \rangle$.

Proof.

By the previous lemma we have $\langle v, \overline{x} \rangle \leq f(\overline{x}) + f^*(v)$. Since $v \in \partial f(\overline{x})$ we have for all

 $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$f(\overline{x}) + \langle v, x \rangle - f(x) \le \langle v, \overline{x} \rangle$$
$$f(\overline{x}) + \sup\{\langle v, x \rangle - f(x)\} \le \langle v, \overline{x} \rangle$$
$$f(\overline{x}) + f^*(v) \le \langle v, \overline{x} \rangle$$

Conversely, we have

$$f(\overline{x}) + f^*(v) = \langle v, \overline{x} \rangle$$

$$f(\overline{x}) + \sup \{ \langle v, x - f(x) \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \} = \langle v, \overline{x} \rangle$$

$$f(\overline{x}) + \langle v, x \rangle - f(x) \le \langle v, \overline{x} \rangle$$

$$\langle v, x - \overline{x} \rangle \le f(x) - f(\overline{x})$$

Thus, $v \in \partial f(\overline{x})$.

Symmetric Functions

We define the function $[\cdot]: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ to map x to the vector consisting of the components of x in nonincreasing order. We call a function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ symmetric if f(x) = f([x]). Note that for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have $x^Ty \leq [x]^T[y]$ since $x^Ty = x_1y_1 + \ldots + x_ny_n$ and $[x]^T[y] = [x]_1[y]_1 + \ldots + [x]_n[y]_n$ and for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$ we have $x_i \leq [x]_i$ and $y_i \leq [y]_i$.

Definition 2. The spectral function is defined as $\lambda : \mathbb{S}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ assigning $X \in \mathbb{S}^n$ to the vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $x = (\lambda_1(X), \lambda_2(X), ..., \lambda_n(X))$ where each $\lambda_i(X)$ is an eigenvalue of X and $\lambda_1(X) \geq \lambda_2(X) \geq ... \geq \lambda_n(X)$.

Definition 3. Two matrices $X,Y \in \mathbb{S}^n$ have a **simultaneous ordered spectral** decomposition if there exists a matrix $U \in \mathbb{O}^n$ with $X = U^T(\text{Diag}(\lambda(X)))U$ and $Y = U^T(\text{Diag}(\lambda(Y)))U$.

Theorem 3. Any matrices X and Y in \mathbb{S}^n satisfy the inequality

$$\operatorname{tr}(XY) \leq \lambda(X)^T \lambda(Y)$$

Equality holds if and only if X and Y have a simultaneous ordered spectral decomposition

Theorem 4. If $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is a symmetric function, then $(f \circ \lambda)^* = (f^* \circ \lambda)$.

Proof. By Fan's inequality for $Y \in \mathbb{S}^n$ it follows that

$$(f \circ \lambda)^*(Y) = \sup_{X \in \mathbb{S}^n} \{ \operatorname{tr}(XY) - f(\lambda(X)) \}$$

$$\leq \sup_{X \in \mathbb{S}^n} \{ \lambda(X)^T \lambda(Y) - f(\lambda(X)) \}$$

$$\leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ x^T \lambda(Y) - f(x) \}$$

$$= f^*(\lambda(Y))$$

Then by using the spectral decomposition $Y = U^T(\text{Diag}(\lambda(Y)))U$ for some $U \in \mathbb{O}^n$ we have the inequality

$$f^*(\lambda(Y)) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{x^T \lambda(Y) - f(x)\}$$

$$= \sup_x \{ \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Diag}(x) U Y U^T) - f(x) \}$$

$$= \sup_x \{ \operatorname{tr}(U^T(\operatorname{Diag}(x) U Y)) - f(\lambda(U^T \operatorname{Diag}(x) U)) \}$$

$$\leq \sup_{X \in \mathbb{S}^n} \{ \operatorname{tr}(XY) - f(\lambda(X)) \}$$

$$= (f \circ \lambda)^*(Y)$$

Theorem 5. If $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is a symmetric function, then for any matrices $X, Y \in \mathbb{S}^n$ the following are equivalent:

- (i) $Y \in \partial (f \circ \lambda)(X)$.
- (ii) X and Y have a simultaneous ordered spectral decomposition and satisfy $\lambda(Y) \in \partial f(\lambda(X))$.
- (iii) $X = U^T(\operatorname{Diag}(x))U$ and $Y = U^T(\operatorname{Diag}(y))U$ for some matrix $U \in \mathbb{O}^n$ and vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $y \in \partial f(x)$.

Proof.

 $(i \implies ii)$ Let $Y \in \partial(f \circ \lambda)(X)$. By Fan's inequality we have $\operatorname{tr}(XY) \leq \lambda(Y)^T \lambda(X)$. Since Y is in the subdifferential it follows from the preceding theorem and a lemma about the Fenchel conjugate that:

$$(f \circ \lambda)(X) + (f \circ \lambda)^*(Y) = \langle X, Y \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(XY)$$
$$f(\lambda(X)) + f^*(\lambda(Y)) = \operatorname{tr}(XY)$$
$$\langle \lambda(Y), \lambda(X) \rangle \le \operatorname{tr}(XY)$$
$$\lambda(Y)^T \lambda(X) \le \operatorname{tr}(XY)$$

Since $\operatorname{tr}(XY) = \lambda(Y)^T \lambda(X)$ it follows that X and Y have a simultaneous ordered spectral decomposition. Using the fact that X and Y have a simultaneous ordered spectral decomposition we get

$$(f \circ \lambda)(X) + (f \circ \lambda)^*(Y) = \langle X, Y \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(XY)$$
$$(f \circ \lambda)(X) + (f \circ \lambda)^*(Y) = \lambda(X)^T \lambda(Y)$$
$$f(\lambda(X)) + f^*(\lambda(Y)) = \langle \lambda(X), \lambda(Y) \rangle$$

So, by the lemma we have $\lambda(Y) \in \partial f(\lambda(X))$.

 $(ii \implies i)$ Since X and Y have a simultaneous ordered spectral decomposition it follows

from the definition of $\lambda(Y) \in \partial f(\lambda(X))$ that

$$\begin{split} \langle \lambda(Y), \lambda(\overline{X}) - \lambda(X) \rangle &\leq f(\lambda(Y)) - f(\lambda(X)) \\ \langle \lambda(Y), \lambda(\overline{X}) \rangle - \langle \lambda(Y), \lambda(X) \rangle &\leq (f \circ \lambda)(Y) - (f \circ \lambda)(X) \\ \operatorname{tr}(Y\overline{X}) - \operatorname{tr}(YX) &\leq (f \circ \lambda)(Y) - (f \circ \lambda)(X) \\ \operatorname{tr}(Y(\overline{X} - X) &\leq (f \circ \lambda)(Y) - (f \circ \lambda)(X) \\ \langle Y, \overline{X} - X \rangle &\leq (f \circ \lambda)(Y) - (f \circ \lambda)(X) \end{split}$$

Thus, $Y \in \partial (f \circ \lambda)(X)$.