- **1.6** Vector of differences. Suppose x is an n-vector. The associated vector of differences is the (n-1)-vector d given by  $d = (x_2 x_1, x_3 x_2, \ldots, x_n x_{n-1})$ . Express d in terms of x using vector operations (e.g., slicing notation, sum, difference, linear combinations, inner product). The difference vector has a simple interpretation when x represents a time series. For example, if x gives the daily value of some quantity, d gives the day-to-day changes in the quantity.
  - Slicing Notation

    let i and k be integers such that 0 < i < k < n  $d_{i:k} = (x_{i+1} x_i, ..., x_k x_{k-1})$  Difference  $X_{2:4} = (x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4})$   $X_{1:3} = (x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3})$   $d = X_{2:1} X_{1:1}$   $d = X_{2:1} X_{1:1}$
- 1.7 Transforming between two encodings for Boolean vectors. A Boolean n-vector is one for which all entries are either 0 or 1. Such vectors are used to encode whether each of n conditions holds, with  $a_i = 1$  meaning that condition i holds. Another common encoding of the same information uses the two values -1 and +1 for the entries. For example the Boolean vector (0,1,1,0) would be written using this alternative encoding as (-1,+1,+1,-1). Suppose that x is a Boolean vector with entries that are 0 or 1, and y is a vector encoding the same information using the values -1 and +1. Express y in terms of x using vector notation. Also, express x in terms of y using vector notation.

$$y_{i} = -1$$
  $X_{i} = 0$ 

$$Z(0) - 1 = -1$$

$$Z(1) - 1 = Z - 1 = 1$$

$$y_{i} = Zx_{i} - 1$$
  $X_{i} = \left(\frac{y_{i} + 1}{Z}\right)$ 

- 1.13 Average age in a population. Suppose the 100-vector x represents the distribution of ages in some population of people, with  $x_i$  being the number of i-1 year olds, for  $i=1,\ldots,100$ . (You can assume that  $x \neq 0$ , and that there is no one in the population over age 99.) Find expressions, using vector notation, for the following quantities.
  - (a) The total number of people in the population.
  - (b) The total number of people in the population age 65 and over.
  - (c) The average age of the population. (You can use ordinary division of numbers in your expression.)

Total number of people:

To find the total population we would sum the elements of the vector  $X^{T} = (X_1 + X_2 + ... \times X_{100})$   $X^{T} = (X_1 + X_2 + ... \times X_{100})$   $X^{T} = (X_1 + X_2 + ... \times X_{100})$ 

Total number of people 65 and older . We sum starting at i=66 and continue to i=100  $X_i = (X_{66} + X_{67} + \cdots \times X_{100})$   $X_{66:100} + X_{35} = X_{100} + X_{100}$ 

To find the Average age we will use a weighted average we will multiply each index (which contains the number of people of i-1 age) Let a be an a-vector with elements such that  $a_i = i-1$ If we transform  $a^Tx$  we will get the summed ages of every person in our population so to get the average age we need to divide this by population size which is

given by transforming a 1,00 vector with X

- **1.16** Inner product of nonnegative vectors. A vector is called nonnegative if all its entries are nonnegative.
  - (a) Explain why the inner product of two nonnegative vectors is nonnegative.
  - (b) Suppose the inner product of two nonnegative vectors is zero. What can you say about them? Your answer should be in terms of their respective sparsity patterns, *i.e.*, which entries are zero and nonzero.

a) It a 1s a nonnegative vector and b 1s a nonnegative vector 
$$\forall i$$
 s.t.  $0 < i \le n$   $a_1 > 0$ ,  $b_1 > 0$  since the product of two nonnegative numbers is always nonnegative then  $a^Tb = (0.1) + (0.2) + (1.3) + (0.4) + (0.5)$ 

$$a^Tb = (0.1) + (0.2) + (1.3) + (0.4) + (0.5)$$

$$= 3$$

- b) using the previous example, it the two vectors don't have indises s.t a, >0 b; >0 then the inner product could be 0 at the least
  - **1.18** Linear combinations of linear combinations. Suppose that each of the vectors  $b_1, \ldots, b_k$  is a linear combination of the vectors  $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ , and c is a linear combination of  $b_1, \ldots, b_k$ . Then c is a linear combination of  $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ . Show this for the case with m = k = 2. (Showing it in general is not much more difficult, but the notation gets more complicated.)

Max size 
$$Z$$
 for simplicity, let  $B$ 

$$b_1 = \{\beta_1 a_1, \beta_2 a_2\}$$

$$b_2 = \{\gamma_1 a_1, \gamma_2 a_2\}$$

$$C = (\mathcal{E}, b_1, \mathcal{E}_2 b_2)$$

$$= \mathcal{E}_1(\beta_1 a_1 + \beta_2 a_2) + \mathcal{E}_2(\gamma_1 a_1 + \gamma_2 a_1)$$
Using distributive property we can distribute scalars
$$= \mathcal{E}_1\beta_1 a_1 + \mathcal{E}_1\beta_2 a_2 + \mathcal{E}_2\gamma_1 a_1 + \mathcal{E}_2\gamma_2 a_2$$

$$= a_1(\mathcal{E}_1\beta_1 + \mathcal{E}_2\gamma_1) + a_2(\mathcal{E}_1\beta_2 + \mathcal{E}_2\gamma_2)$$
since there  $(\mathcal{E}_1\beta_1 + \mathcal{E}_2\gamma_1)$ 
since there  $($