A bit of Palaeoclimate

Will Tebbutt

University of Cambridge

25/05/2018

Introduction

- What is it?
- Why bother?
- How do people do it?
- Isn't this really hard?
- Unresolved issues and a controversy

What is it?

- The study of past climate e.g.

What is it?

- The study of past climate e.g.
  - Temperature
  - Greenhouse gas concentrations

What is it?

- ▶ The study of past climate e.g.
    - ▶ Temperature
    - ▶ Greenhouse gas concentrations
- ▶ In principle, entire history of the planet.

What is it?

- The study of past climate e.g.
  - Temperature
  - Greenhouse gas concentrations
- In principle, entire history of the planet.
- In practice, not the entire history of the planet.

What is it?

- ▶ The study of past climate e.g.
  - ▶ Temperature
  - ▶ Greenhouse gas concentrations
- ▶ In principle, entire history of the planet.
- ▶ In practice, not the entire history of the planet.

palaeo-
/ˈpalɪəʊ,ˈpeɪlɪəʊ/

combining form
prefix: **paleo-**

older or ancient, especially relating to the geological past.
"Palaeolithic"

Why bother?

- Curiosity

Why bother?

- Curiosity
- Understand natural climate variability

Why bother?

- Curiosity
- Understand natural climate variability
- Climate sensitivity

How do people do it?

1. "Direct measurements" of climate from recent-ish past

How do people do it?

1. "Direct measurements" of climate from recent-ish past
2. "Indirect measurements" via proxy data (long history)

How do people do it?

1. "Direct measurements" of climate from recent-ish past
2. "Indirect measurements" via proxy data (long history)
   2.1 e.g. ice cores, tree rings, pollen record

How do people do it?

1. "Direct measurements" of climate from recent-ish past
2. "Indirect measurements" via proxy data (long history)
   2.1 e.g. ice cores, tree rings, pollen record
3. Infer relationship between the two

How do people do it?

1. "Direct measurements" of climate from recent-ish past
2. "Indirect measurements" via proxy data (long history)
   2.1 e.g. ice cores, tree rings, pollen record
3. Infer relationship between the two
4. Proxy data + inferred relationship $\rightarrow$ infer past climate

How do people do it?

Figure 1: [Smerdon and Kaplan, 2007]

How do people do it?

The dark band in this ice core from the West Antarctic Ice Sheet Divide (WAIS Divide) is a layer of volcanic ash that settled on the ice sheet approximately 21,000 years ago.
—Credit: Heidi Roop, NSF

Figure 2: An ice core: `https://icecores.org/icecores/`

How do people do it?

Figure 3: `https://www.bas.ac.uk/data/our-data/publication/ice-cores-and-climate-change/`

How do people do it?

Usual assumptions:

- ▶ Relationship between proxy and direct is stationary
- ▶ Linearity
- ▶ Preprocessing steps are reasonable

Isn't this really hard?

- Yes

Unresolved / contentious issues

- "Hockey Stick Curve"
- [Mann et al., 1998] - temperatures for last 800 years
- [Mann et al., 1999] - temperatures for last 1000 years
- 2001 IPCC report

Unresolved / contentious issues

FIG. 1. *Multiproxy reconstruction of Northern Hemisphere surface temperature variations over the past millennium (blue), along with 40-year average (black), a measure of the statistical uncertainty associated with the reconstruction (gray), and instrumental surface temperature (red), based on the work by Mann, Bradley and Hughes (1999). This figure has sometimes been referred to as the "hockey stick." Source: IPCC (2001).*

Aside: A controversy

- Climatic Research Unit email controversy

Aside: A controversy

- Climatic Research Unit email controversy
- a.k.a. "Climategate".

Aside: A controversy

- Climatic Research Unit email controversy
- a.k.a. "Climategate".
- Lots of media attention (see Wikipedia)
    - e.g. "Climategate: the final nail in the coffin of 'Anthropogenic Global Warming'?" (The Telegraph)

Aside: A controversy

- Climatic Research Unit email controversy
- a.k.a. "Climategate".
- Lots of media attention (see Wikipedia)
  - e.g. "Climategate: the final nail in the coffin of 'Anthropogenic Global Warming'?" (The Telegraph)
- No actual wrong-doing

Aside: A controversy

- Climatic Research Unit email controversy
- a.k.a. "Climategate".
- Lots of media attention (see Wikipedia)
  - e.g. "Climategate: the final nail in the coffin of 'Anthropogenic Global Warming'?" (The Telegraph)
- No actual wrong-doing
- Minimal effect of public opinion (maybe) [Anderegg and Goldsmith, 2014]

Unresolved / contentious issues

FIG. 17. *This figure modifies Figure 3 from Mann et al. (2008). We take that figure and superimpose the backcast from Bayesian model of Section 5. The backcast is given by the thin yellow line, the smoothed backcast by a thick yellow line, and the backcast error in gray.*

Figure 4: [McShane and Wyner, 2011] + rejoinder

Anderegg, W. R. and Goldsmith, G. R. (2014).
Public interest in climate change over the past decade and the
effects of the 'climategate'media event.
Environmental Research Letters, 9(5):054005.

Mann, M. E., Bradley, R. S., and Hughes, M. K. (1998).
Global-scale temperature patterns and climate forcing over the
past six centuries.
Nature, 392(6678):779.

Mann, M. E., Bradley, R. S., and Hughes, M. K. (1999).
Northern hemisphere temperatures during the past millennium:
Inferences, uncertainties, and limitations.
Geophysical research letters, 26(6):759–762.

McShane, B. B. and Wyner, A. J. (2011).
A statistical analysis of multiple temperature proxies: are
reconstructions of surface temperatures over the last 1000
years reliable?
The Annals of Applied Statistics, pages 5–44.

Smerdon, J. E. and Kaplan, A. (2007).
Comments on "testing the fidelity of methods used in proxy-based reconstructions of past climate": The role of the standardization interval.
Journal of Climate, 20(22):5666–5670.