

Common Distributions

Normal $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

$$PDF : \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

$$MGF : \exp(\mu t + \frac{\sigma^2 t^2}{2})$$

Lognormal $X \sim Lognormal(\mu, \sigma^2)$

$$PDF : \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\log(x) - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2}\right), x > 0$$

$$E[X] = \exp\left(\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right), Var(X) = [\exp(\sigma^2) - 1]E[X]^2$$

Note: A lognormally distributed r.v. is an r.v. whose logged version is normally distributed.

Chi-Square $X \sim \chi_n^2$

Let $Z \sim Normal(0, I_n)$, $Z'Z = \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^2 \sim \chi_n^2$

$$E[X] = n, Var(X) = 2n$$

t Distribution with df = n

Let $Z \sim Normal(0, 1)$, $X \sim \chi_n^2$. Define $T \equiv \frac{Z}{\sqrt{X/n}}$.

Then $T \sim \mathcal{T}_n$. As $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}_n \rightarrow Normal(0, 1)$

F Distribution with df = n

Let $X_1 \sim \chi_{k_1}^2$, $X_2 \sim \chi_{k_2}^2$. Define $W \equiv \frac{X_1/k_1}{X_2/k_2} \sim \mathcal{F}_{k_1, k_2}$

Gamma $X \sim Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$

$$PDF : \frac{1}{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} \exp\left(-\frac{x}{\beta}\right), x > 0$$

$$MGF : (1 - \beta t)^{-\alpha}, t < \frac{1}{\beta}$$

$$E[X] = \alpha\beta, Var(X) = \alpha\beta^2$$

When $\alpha = 1$, this is equivalent to $Exponential(\frac{1}{\beta})$.

If $X, Y \sim Gamma(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$, $X + Y \sim Gamma(2\alpha_0, \beta_0)$

$Gamma(\alpha = \frac{n}{2}, \beta = 2) \equiv \chi_n^2$.

α represents the time waiting and β represents the scale of the event (e.g. $\frac{1}{\beta}$ customers come in every α hours, $\lambda = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$ for exponential).

Note: This distribution is typically used to model a continuous time until an event. However, generally, the **gamma distribution is NOT memoryless** unless it is the case of an exponential distribution. In a general question, try to use exponential instead (reducing α to 1. See problem \star in selected problems for variations.

Exponential $X \sim Exponential(\lambda)$

$$PDF : \lambda e^{-\lambda x}, \lambda > 0$$

$$CDF : 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$$

$$MGF : \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t}, t < \lambda$$

$$E[X] = \frac{1}{\lambda}, Var(X) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$$

Note: This distribution is typically used to model a continuous time until an event. For an example, see problem \star in selected problems.

Exponential is memoryless¹

Binomial $X \sim Binomial(n, p)$

$$PMF : \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$

$$MGF : (1 - p + pe^t)^n$$

$$E[X] = np, Var(X) = np(1-p)$$

Negative Binomial $X \sim NegBin(\mu, \alpha)$

$$\Gamma(r) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-u) u^{r-1} du, r > 0$$

$$\Gamma(k) = (k-1)!, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{++}$$

$$PMF : \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+x)}{\Gamma(\alpha)x!} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\mu}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha+\mu}\right)^x, x \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

$$MGF : \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{\alpha} [1 - \exp(t)]\right)^{-\alpha}, t < -\ln\left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha+\mu}\right)$$

$$E[X] = \mu, Var(X) = \mu + \frac{\mu^2}{\alpha}$$

When $\alpha = 1$, this is the *geometric* distribution

As $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, NB converges to *Poisson*(μ)

Poisson $X \sim Poisson(\theta)$

$$PMF : \frac{\exp(-\theta)\theta^x}{x!}, x \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

$$CDF : \exp(-\theta) \sum_{x=0}^t \frac{\theta^x}{x!}$$

$$MGF : \exp[\theta(\exp(t) - 1)]$$

$$E[X] = \theta, Var(X) = \theta$$

$$Poisson(\theta_1) + Poisson(\theta_2) = Poisson(\theta_1 + \theta_2)$$

Note: This distribution is typically used to model the probability of an event happening given a specific time period. λ is the frequency of the event in said time period.

Poisson is memoryless¹.

Geometric $X \sim Geometric(p)$

k total trials ($k \in \mathbb{N}$)

$$PMF : (1-p)^{k-1} p$$

$$CDF : 1 - (1-p)^{\lfloor k \rfloor}$$

$$MGF : \frac{pe^t}{1 - (1-p)e^t}, t < -\ln(1-p)$$

$$E[X] = \frac{1}{p}, Var(X) = \frac{1-p}{p^2}$$

k failures before success ($k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$)

This is the special case of $\Gamma(1, \mu)$

$$PMF : (1-p)^k p$$

$$CDF : 1 - (1-p)^{\lfloor k \rfloor + 1}$$

$$MGF : \frac{p}{1 - (1-p)e^t}, t < -\ln(1-p)$$

$$E[X] = \frac{1-p}{p}, Var(X) = \frac{1-p}{p^2}$$

Geometric is memoryless¹

Some Common Use Cases

Continuous wait time before an event:

$\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ or $Exponential(\lambda) \equiv \Gamma(1, \frac{1}{\lambda})$

Discrete wait time before an event: $NegBin(\mu, \alpha)$ or $Geometric(\lambda)$

Probability of event in a given time:

$Poisson(\theta)$

Important Properties

σ -algebra

Let Ω be the outcome space and \mathcal{B} be the σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{B} . Then \mathcal{B} must satisfy:

1. $\Omega \in \mathcal{B}$
2. $\forall A \in \mathcal{B}, A^c \in \mathcal{B}$
3. $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, A_i \in \mathcal{B}, \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty A_i \in \mathcal{B}$

Probability of Random Draws

	Without Replacement	With Replacement
Ordered	$P_k^n = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!}$	n^k
Unordered	$C_k^n = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!}$	$C_k^{n+k-1} = \frac{(n+k-1)!}{k!(n-1)!}$

Bayes' Rule

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$$

$$P(A|B)P(B) = P(B|A)P(A)$$

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B|A)P(A) + P(B|A^c)P(A^c)}$$

¹For discrete $P(X > m + n | X \geq m) = P(X > n)$, for continuous $P(X > t + s | X > t) = P(X > s)$

Probability as Expectation

Define the indicator function $I\{statement\}$ to be

$$I\{statement\} \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & \text{Statement is TRUE} \\ 0 & \text{Statement is False} \end{cases}$$

Then the probability of an event is the expectation of the indicator function of the event happening:

$$P(A) = E[I\{A\}]$$

Markov’s Inequality

$$P(h(X) \geq b) \leq \frac{E[h(X)]}{b}$$

Chebyshev’s Inequality

For $c > 0, a > 0, E[X^2] < \infty$

$$P(|X - \mu| \geq c) \leq \frac{\sigma_X^2}{c^2}$$
$$P(|X - \mu| \geq a\sigma) \leq \frac{1}{a^2}$$

Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality

$$|E[XY]| \leq E[|XY|] \leq [E[X^2]]^{\frac{1}{2}} [E[Y^2]]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Jensen’s Inequality

Let $\mathcal{X} = supp(X)$, if $g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **convex**, then

$$g(E[X]) \leq E[g(X)]$$

Holder’s Inequality

$\forall p, q \in [1, \infty)$ s.t. $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$

$$\|fg\|_1 = \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$$

Minkowski’s Inequality

$\forall p \in [1, \infty),$

$$E[|X + Y|^p]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq E[|X|^p]^{\frac{1}{p}} + E[|Y|^p]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
$$E[|X + Y|] \leq E[|X|] + E[|Y|]$$
$$SD(X + Y) \leq SD(X) + SD(Y)$$

Interesting Property of Expectation

$$\forall X \geq 0, E[X] = \int_{supp(X)} 1 - F(x)dx$$

Law of Iterated Expectations

$$E_Y[Y] = E_X[E_Y[Y|X]] = E_X[E_Z[E_Y[Y|X, Z]|X]]$$

Law of Total Variance

$$Var(Y) = E[V[Y|X]] + V[E[Y|X]]$$

Conditional/Joint PDFs

$$f_{XY}(x, y) = f_X(x) \cdot f_Y(y) \iff X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y$$

$$f_X(x) = \int_{supp(Y)} f_{XY}(x, y)dy$$
$$f_{Y|X} = \frac{f_{XY}}{f_Y} = \frac{\int_{supp(Z)} f_{XYZ}(x, y, z) dz}{f_Y}$$
$$= \int_{supp(Z)} \frac{f_{XYZ}(x, y, z)}{f_Y(y)} \cdot \frac{f_{XY}(x, y)}{f_{XY}(x, y)} dz$$
$$= \int_{supp(Z)} f_{Z|X, Y} \cdot f_{X|Y} dz$$

Moreover,

$$f_{Y, X|Z} = \frac{f_{YXZ}(y, x, z)}{f_Z(z)} = \frac{f_{Y|X, Z}(y|x, z) f_{X, Z}(x, z)}{f_Z(z)}$$
$$= f_{Y|X, Z}(y|x, z) \cdot \frac{f_{X, Z}(x, z)}{f_Z(z)} = f_{Y|X, Z}(y|x, z) f_{X|Z}(x|z)$$

Matrix Algebra

A $n \times n$ matrix A is orthogonal if $A^T A = I_n$.
A $n \times n$ matrix A is idempotent if $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, A^n = A$.
Two matrices A_1, A_2 are orthogonal to each other if $A_1 A_2 = 0_n$
If a matrix $Q_{n \times k}$ is idempotent, then $Rank(Q) = tr(Q)$
For any two matrices $A_{n \times k}, B_{k \times l}$, we have $tr(AB) = tr(BA), tr(A + B^T) = tr(A) + tr(B), tr(cA) = c \cdot tr(A), c \in \mathbb{R}$

Asymptotic Properties

- A sequence of random variables X_n converges **in mean squared errors** to a random variable X if $E[(X_n - X)^2] \rightarrow 0$
- A sequence of random variables X_n converges **in probability** to a random variable X if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$. We can also denote this as $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ or say $X_n - X$ is $o_p(1)$.
- A sequence of random variables X_n converges **in distribution** to a random variable X if $F_{X_n} \rightarrow F_X$.
- A sequence of random variables X_n is **bounded in probability** if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists b_\varepsilon > 0, P(|X_n| \geq b_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon$. We denote X_n being bounded in probability as $X_n = O_p(1)$.
- Note that convergence in probability implies convergence in distribution, which implies boundedness in probability.

Weak Law of Large Numbers (WLLN)

Version 1: If $X_i \sim D_i(X)$ such that $E[X], E[X^2] < \infty$ AND $E[X_i X_j] = 0$, then $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{p} E[X]$

Version 2: If $X_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} D(X)$ such that $E[X] < \infty$, then $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{p} E[X]$

Central Limit Theorem (CLT)

If $X_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} D(X)$ such that $E[X_i^2] < \infty$, then

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, Var(X_i))$$

Continuous Mapping Theorem (CMT)

Let $g(X) : supp(X_n) \rightarrow supp(X)$. If g is a continuous function on the support of X , we have

$$X_n \xrightarrow{p} X \Rightarrow g(X_n) \xrightarrow{p} g(X)$$
$$X_n \xrightarrow{d} X \Rightarrow g(X_n) \xrightarrow{d} g(X)$$
$$X_n \xrightarrow{p} c \in \mathbb{R} \wedge Y_n \xrightarrow{p} Y \Rightarrow X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{p} cY$$
$$X_n \xrightarrow{p} c \in \mathbb{R} \wedge Y_n \xrightarrow{d} Y \Rightarrow X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{d} cY$$

Stochastic Order Algebra

- (i) $o_p(1) + o_p(1) = o_p(1)$
- (ii) $o_p(1) + O_p(1) = O_p(1)$
- (iii) $o_p(1) \cdot O_p(1) = o_p(1)$
- (iv) $(1 + o_p(1))^{-1} = O_p(1)$
- (v) $o_p(O_p(1)) = o_p(1)$

Properties of Estimators

- An estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is biased if $E[\hat{\theta}] \neq \theta$.
- An estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is consistent if $\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{p} \theta$
- An estimator is asymptotically unbiased if $Bias(\hat{\theta}) \rightarrow 0$
- In general, $E[\bar{X}_n] = E[X], Var(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{Var(X)}{n}$
- The best unbiased estimator is an estimator that is unbiased AND has the smallest asymptotic variance
- The best unbiased estimator is an estimator that is unbiased AND has the smallest asymptotic variance, and is a linear function of the observations X_i .
- A sequence of estimators $\hat{\theta}_n$ is \sqrt{n} -consistent if

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) = O_p(1)$$

- Two sequences of estimators $\hat{\theta}_n, \tilde{\theta}_n$ is \sqrt{n} -asymptotically equivalent if

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \tilde{\theta}_n) = O_p(1)$$

The Delta Method

For a sequence of estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$ such that

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, v(\theta))$$

and that $g(x)$ is continuous on $supp(\theta_n)$, we have

$$\sqrt{n}(g(\hat{\theta}_n) - g(\theta)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, g'(\theta)^T \cdot v(\theta) \cdot g'(\theta))$$

Cookbook Approach to Delta Method

Suppose that we want to find the asymptotic distribution of an estimator $\hat{\theta}$:

Step 1: $\hat{\theta} = \bar{X}$?

Yes \Rightarrow CLT, $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \text{Var}(X))$

No \Rightarrow Step 2

Step 2: Is $\hat{\theta}$ a function of \bar{X}_n ?

Yes \Rightarrow CLT, $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - E[X]) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \text{Var}(X))$

and by Delta method

$\sqrt{n}(g(\bar{X}) - g(E[X])) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, g'(\theta)\text{Var}(X)g(\theta))$

No \Rightarrow Step 3

Step 3: Is $\hat{\theta}$ a function of some \bar{Y}_n ? Most likely yes, \Rightarrow CLT,

$\sqrt{n}(\bar{Y} - E[Y]) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \text{Var}(Y))$

and by Delta method

$\sqrt{n}(g(\bar{Y}) - g(E[Y])) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, g'(\theta)\text{Var}(Y)g(\theta))$

No, then we likely cannot use the delta method.

Common Estimators

Method of Moments: Figure out which moment you want to estimate, then use the sample analogue as the estimator.

(See Example).

Maximum Likelihood Estimators: Figure out the joint (log-)likelihood function of the n -sample, check first and second order conditions so that you have an estimator that maximizes the joint likelihood function. (Note that MLE are usually consistent asymptotically most efficient, but they are often biased.)

Common MLE for First moments:

Poisson	\bar{X}_n
Exponential	\bar{X}_n
Normal	\bar{X}_n
Binomial/Bernoulli	\bar{X}_{nm}/\bar{X}_n
Uniform	$\max X_i $ or $\max X_i$

Common Estimators for Variance:

Normal	$\hat{\sigma}_{MLE}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2$
General	$\hat{\sigma}_{Unbiased}^2 = S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2$

Cramer-Rao Lower Bound

For any distribution that satisfies:

- $f(x; \theta)$ has bounded support in x and the bounds do not depend on θ (so CRLB does not work on uniform)
- $f(x; \theta)$ has infinite support, is continuously differentiable, and integrable for all θ

The lower bound of the asymptotic variance of **any estimator** for parameters of the distribution is

$$V(\hat{\theta}) \geq \frac{1}{I(\theta)}$$

where $I(\theta)$ is the Fisher information matrix defined as:

$$I(\theta) = nE \left[\left(\frac{\partial l(X; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 \right] = -nE \left[\frac{\partial^2 l(X; \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \right]$$

where $l(X; \theta)$ is the log-likelihood function for a single observation.

Notice that, by construction, $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$ always achieves CRLB, but it is also almost always biased.

Pooled Standard Deviation Estimator

Suppose X and Y are assumed to have the same variance and $X \sim N(\mu_X, \sigma^2)$ and $Y \sim N(\mu_Y, \sigma^2)$, and we have the null hypothesis $H_0: \mu_x = a\mu_y$ against $H_1: \mu_x \neq \mu_y$.

Suppose that σ^2 is known, then for asymptotic inference, we can use the standard normal distribution. By CLT, we have:

$$\sqrt{n_X}(\bar{X}_{n_X} - \mu_X) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2)$$

$$\sqrt{n_Y}(\bar{Y}_{n_Y} - \mu_Y) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2)$$

meaning

$$\bar{X}_{n_X} \overset{a}{\sim} N(\mu_X, n_X^{-1}\sigma^2)$$

$$\bar{Y}_{n_Y} \overset{a}{\sim} N(\mu_Y, n_Y^{-1}\sigma^2)$$

Under H_0 , we have

$$\bar{X}_{n_X} - a\bar{Y}_{n_Y} \overset{a}{\sim} N(0, (n_X^{-1} + a^2 n_Y^{-1})\sigma^2)$$

so

$$\frac{\bar{X}_{n_X} - a\bar{Y}_{n_Y}}{\sigma \sqrt{n_X^{-1} + a^2 n_Y^{-1}}} \overset{a}{\sim} N(0, 1) \quad (*)$$

Now suppose that σ^2 is unknown, meaning that we would have to estimate it somehow. Naturally, since we now have to estimate 2 parameters from the normal distribution, we want to construct a test-statistic T that follows a T -distribution:

$$\frac{N(0, 1)}{\sqrt{\chi^2/n}} = T \sim T_n$$

Notice that the estimator $(*)$ above can conveniently serve as the numerator of our test statistic. Next, we have to estimate the standard deviation of the two population. Since we assumed that the variances across the two distributions are equal, we can use a pooled estimator.

Recall that $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$ is the unbiased

population estimator because the analogue sample variance estimator is biased:

$$E \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \right] = \frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^2$$

So we have

$$E \left[\frac{1}{n_X} \sum_{i=1}^{n_X} (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \right] = \frac{n_X - 1}{n_X} \sigma^2$$

This means that our new estimator S^2 has to be the weighted average of the two estimators

$S^2 = w_X \sum_{i=1}^{n_X} (X_i - \bar{X})^2 + w_Y \sum_{i=1}^{n_Y} (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2$. Consider what would happen if I multiplied each estimator by their weights (i.e., n_X and n_Y)

$$E \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n_X} (X_i - \bar{X})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_Y} (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 \right] = (n_X - 1)\sigma^2 + (n_Y - 1)\sigma^2 = (n_X + n_Y - 2)\sigma^2$$

and so we obtain a pooled unbiased population variance estimator:

$$\frac{1}{n_X + n_Y - 2} E[(n_X - 1)S_X^2 + (n_Y - 1)S_Y^2] = \sigma^2$$

$$\Rightarrow E[S^2] = E \left[\frac{n_X - 1}{n_X + n_Y - 2} S_X^2 + \frac{n_Y - 1}{n_X + n_Y - 2} S_Y^2 \right] = \sigma^2$$

Now we need to determine the asymptotic variances of S^2 , recall that, by CLT and some algebra, we can show that

$$\frac{X_i - \bar{X}_{n_X}}{\sigma} \overset{a}{\sim} N(0, 1)$$

and we can rewrite S^2 (approximately) as:

$$S^2 = (n_X + n_Y - 2)^{-1} \cdot$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1 - \bar{X} \\ \vdots \\ X_{n_X} - \bar{X} \\ Y_1 - \bar{Y} \\ \vdots \\ Y_{n_Y} - \bar{Y} \end{pmatrix}^T \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & I_{n_X+n_Y-2} & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{Rank} = n_X + n_Y - 2} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 - \bar{X} \\ \vdots \\ X_{n_X} - \bar{X} \\ Y_1 - \bar{Y} \\ \vdots \\ Y_{n_Y} - \bar{Y} \end{pmatrix}$$

So we know that $(n_X + n_Y - 2) \frac{S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n_X+n_Y-2}^2$, and hence our T -statistic is

$$\frac{\star}{\sqrt{((n_X + n_Y - 2)S^2/\sigma^2)/(n_X + n_Y - 2)}} = \frac{\bar{X}_{n_X} - a\bar{Y}_{n_Y}}{\sqrt{S^2} \sqrt{n_X^{-1} + a^2 n_Y^{-1}}} = T \sim T_{n_X+n_Y-2}$$

Hypothesis Testing

When we observe data, we create a test statistics T_n . Putting T_n against a critical region C_α given the pre-determined size of the test α . We reject the null hypothesis if $T_n \in C_\alpha$

- Size $\alpha = P(\text{Reject} | H_0) = P(T_n \in C_n | H_0)$
- Power $1 - \beta = \beta(\theta) = P(\text{Reject} | H_0)$

For a test, we want to maximize power ($1 - \beta$ or equivalently $\beta(\theta)$) given a specific α .

p-value is the minimal α needed to reject H_0 with the data observed. One should not think about this as a probability.

Formally,

$$p\text{-value} \equiv \inf\{\alpha \in (0, 1) \mid T_n \in C_\alpha\}$$

This definition is important because it gives clear guidelines on calculating p-values for a discrete R.V.

A sequence of tests is **consistent** if it has asymptotic power $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\theta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\text{reject } H_0 \mid \theta) = 1, \forall \theta \in \Theta_1$

Local Power Analysis

Consider, instead, a sequence of alternative hypotheses $H_{n1} : \mu_n = \mu_0 + \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{n}}$ against the $H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$. Then we can calculate the power of a sequence of tests $\beta(\theta) = P(\text{reject } H_0 \mid H_{n1})$. As $n \rightarrow \infty$, H_1 gets closer and closer to H_0 . This gives us an idea of what the local power looks like when designing a test, **not designing an estimator**.

Confidence Intervals

By CLT, if we have iid samples with finite second moment, we know that we can achieve some type of asymptotic normality of our estimators. For example, say

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, v(\theta))$$

then we can also write

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{\hat{\theta} - \theta}{\sqrt{v(\theta)}} \right) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$$

This means that we can use the z -table to form the interval F_α for evidence that we would **fail to reject under** H_0 as:

$$F_\alpha = (\mu_0 - z_\alpha se(\hat{\theta}))$$

such that $T_n \in F_\alpha \Rightarrow$ Fail to reject H_0 .

Multivariate Normal Distribution

Conditional Normal

Consider random vectors $X_{m \times 1}, Y_{n \times 1}$ that are jointly normally distributed:

$$\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \sim Normal \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mu_X \\ \mu_Y \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{XX} & \Sigma_{XY} \\ \Sigma_{YX} & \Sigma_{YY} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

where

$$\Sigma_{XY} = Cov(X, Y)_{m \times n} = \sum_{YX}^I$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} Y|X &\sim Normal(\alpha + B'X, \Sigma_{Y|X}) \\ B &= \Sigma_{XX}^{-1} \Sigma_{XY} \\ \alpha &= \mu_Y - B' \mu_X \\ \Sigma_{Y|X} &= \Sigma_{YY} - \Sigma_{YX} \Sigma_{XX}^{-1} \Sigma_{XY} \end{aligned}$$

Diagonalization of the Variance Matrix

A real, symmetric matrix Σ (which we assume variance matrices are), $\Sigma = QDQ'$ where Q is an orthonormal matrix ($QQ' = Q'Q = I$) and D is a diagonal matrix of eigenvalues. If we further assume that A is **positive definite**, then we can define $\Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} = QD^{-\frac{1}{2}}Q'$ where λ_i 's are the eigenvalues and Q is made of corresponding eigenvectors.

$$D^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & & \lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

If matrix B is symmetric and idempotent ($B^n = B$), then $X'BX = X'B'BX = (BX)'BX$. If matrix $B_{n \times n}$ is symmetric, idempotent, and real with rank m ($\leq n$), it is diagonalizable with $B = QDQ'$ where D is a diagonal matrix with a total of m 1's in the diagonal. $X \sum N(0, I_n) \Rightarrow X'_{1 \times n} B_{n \times n} X_{n \times 1} \sim \chi_m^2$

Let $X_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} D(X)$ such that $f(x; \theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1}$, $supp(X) = (0, 1), \theta \in R_{++}$.
Derive $\hat{\theta}_{MOM}$.

Since $E[X_i] = \frac{\theta}{\theta+1}$, MOM estimator can be derived from

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X} &= \frac{\hat{\theta}}{\hat{\theta} + 1} \\ \Rightarrow \tilde{\theta}_{MOM} &= \frac{\bar{X}}{1 - \bar{X}} \end{aligned}$$

We know that, by CLT,

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \frac{\theta}{\theta+1}) \xrightarrow{d} N \left(0, \frac{\theta}{(\theta+2)(\theta+1)^2} \right)$$

So by the delta method

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(\tilde{\theta}_{MOM} - \theta) &\xrightarrow{d} N \left(0, \frac{\theta(\theta+1)^2}{\theta+2} \right) \\ \tilde{\theta}_{MOM} &\stackrel{\sim}{\sim} N \left(\theta, \frac{\theta(\theta+1)^2}{n(\theta+2)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Compare the asymptotic variance of the two estimators.

$$\begin{aligned} &AVar(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}) - AVar(\tilde{\theta}_{MOM}) \\ &= \frac{\theta}{n} \left(\frac{\theta(\theta+2)}{\theta+2} - \frac{(\theta+1)^2}{\theta+2} \right) \\ &= -\frac{\theta}{n(\theta+2)} < 0 \end{aligned}$$

The MLE estimator is more efficient than the MOM estimator.

Derive $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$.

$$L(n; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta X_i^{\theta-1} = \theta^n \prod_{i=1}^n X_i^{\theta-1}$$

$$l(n; \theta) = n \ln(\theta) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\theta - 1) \ln(X_i)$$

$$s(n; \theta) = \frac{n}{\theta} + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(X_i) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial s(n; \theta)}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{n}{\theta^2} < 0$$

So the MLE estimator is

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = -\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \ln(X_i)}$$

Let $Y_i = \ln(X_i)$

$$f_Y = e^{y\theta} (e^y)^{\theta-1} = \theta e^{y\theta}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E[Y] &= \int_{-\infty}^0 y \cdot \theta e^{y\theta} dy \\ &= y e^{y\theta} \Big|_{-\infty}^0 - \frac{1}{\theta} e^{y\theta} \Big|_{-\infty}^0 = -\frac{1}{\theta} \\ E[Y^2] &= \int_{-\infty}^0 y^2 \cdot \theta e^{y\theta} dy \\ &= y^2 e^{y\theta} \Big|_{-\infty}^0 - 2y \frac{1}{\theta} e^{y\theta} \Big|_{-\infty}^0 + \frac{2}{\theta^2} e^{y\theta} \Big|_{-\infty}^0 \\ &= \frac{2}{\theta^2} \\ Var(Y) &= \frac{2}{\theta^2} - \frac{1}{\theta^2} = \frac{1}{\theta^2} \end{aligned}$$

By CLT,

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \ln(X_i)}{n} + \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \frac{1}{\theta^2})$$

So by the delta method,

$$\sqrt{n} \left(-\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \ln(X_i)} - \theta \right) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \theta^2)$$

and hence

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = -\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \ln(X_i)} \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} N \left(\theta, \frac{\theta^2}{n} \right)$$