# **Real-Root Isolation of Polynomials**

XINLONG YI,

Computer Science and Engineering Department, University of California Riverside, USA

#### 1 ABSTRACT

Computing the real roots of univariate polynomial is one of fundamental tasks in numeric and computer algebra. Usual root-finding algorithms for computing the real roots of a polynomial may produce some real roots, but cannot generally certify having found all real roots.

In this project, we implemented a basic real roots isolation program based on Budan's Theorem and Continued Fraction Method. Both methods are developed from Descartes' rule of signs. Then I optimize the program with my best effort and compare the running time of these two methods. Beside that, I also analyzed the conditions that make this program fail.

#### 2 INTRODUCTION

One of the most fundamental scientific computation is to computing the real roots of polynomials. For the polynomials with low order, like quadratic or cubic, we can using formula to get roots directly. However, according to the Abel–Ruffini theorem[1], there is no solution in radicals to general polynomial equations of degree of five or higher with arbitrary coefficients. Therefore, general root-finding algorithms are needed for general polynomials.

However, the usual root-finding algorithms, like Newton Method, cannot generally certify having found all real roots. Especially, if such algorithms does not find any root, one cannot know if there is real roots or not. In order to get all real roots, real-root isolation is useful. Real-root isolation can generate intervals, which contain only one real root of the polynomial, so that no real root will be missed.

In this project, I implemented two real-root isolation algorithms based on Budan's Theorem and Continued Fraction. After basic implemented, I optimized the program with better data structure and interval arithmetic. Then I tried to analysis the percision of this program. After that, I compare the running time of two algorithms and analysis in what condition these methods will fail.

The origanization of this project is as follows. In Section 2, I will review the development of methods that used in this project. In Section 3, I will introduced the methodologies that used to implemente the real-root isolation. Section 4 has the way that I implemented this project and what I tried to optimize it. And the analysis of error and percision will be described in Section 5.

#### 3 LITERATURE REVIEW

## 4 METHODOLOGY

In this section, I will introduce the methods that applied to this project. Since both two methods are only work on square-free polynomials, the first step of this project is appling square free decomposition to original polynomials to avoid repeat roots. After square free decomposition, methods based on Budan's Theorem and Continued Fraction will be applied to square-free polynomials. They both based on Descartes' rule of sign to check how many real roots in a interval. The continued fraction method also uses Mobius transformation, which will be introduce in Continued Fraction subsection.

Author's address: Xinlong Yi, xyi007@ucr.edu,

Computer Science and Engineering Department, University of California Riverside, 900 University Ave, Riverside, California, USA. 92507.

2 Xinlong Yi

### 4.1 Square Free Decomposition

In mathematics, a square-free polynomial is a polynomial defined over a field that does not have a divisor any square of a non-constant polynomial[3]. Usually, a square-free polynomial refers to the polynomials with no repeated roots. This project applied Yun's algorithm[3] to perform square-free decomposition. It's based one the succession of Greatest Common Divisor(GCD).

4.1.1 Greatest Common Divisor. In algebra, the greatest common divisor of two polynomials is a polynomial, of the highest possible degree, that is a factor of both the two original polynomials. This concept is simillar to the GCD of two integers.

This project applied Euclid's algorithm to compute the GCD of two polynomials. In the Algorithm 1, rem(a, b) refers to the remainder of Euclidean division of polynomial a and polynomial b.

```
Algorithm 1: GCD of two polynomials
```

```
input :P1: a univariate polynomial P2: a univariate polynomial output:Greatest common divisor of P1 and P2 r_0 = P1; r_1 = P2; for i = 1; r_i \neq 0; i = i + 1 do r_{i+1} = rem(r_{i-1}, r_i) end return r_{i-1}
```

4.1.2 Yun's Algorithm. Based on the succession of GCD, Yun developed a square-free decomposition algorithm for univariate polynomials. Given a primitive polynomial P, the algorithm will compute square-free polynomials  $P_i$  and the subscript refers to the times this square-free polynomial appears. Which means  $P = \prod_{i=1}^k P_i^i$ .

## Algorithm 2: Yun's Square-free Decomposition Algorithm

```
input : P: primitive polynomial

output: List of square-free polynomials

G = GCD(P, dP/dx);

C_1 = P/G;

D_1 = (dP/dx)/G - dC_1/dx;

for i = 1, C_i \neq 0; i = i + 1 do

P_i = GCD(C_i, D_i);

C_{i+1} = C_i/P_i;

D_{i+1} = D_i/P_i - dC_{i+1}/dx;

end

return P_1, P_2...P_k
```

#### 4.2 Budan's Theorem

Budan's Theorem is a theorem used for bounding the number of real roots in a given interval. Given a univariate polynomial P, we denote  $\#_{l,r}(P)$  as the number of real roots of P in half-open interval (l,r]. Then we denote  $v_h(P)$  as the number of sign changes in coefficients of polynomial  $P_h$ , where  $P_h(x) = P(x+h)$ .

Budan's Theorem states that  $v_l(h) - v_r(h) - \#_{l,r}(P)$  is a nonnegative even integer.

From above statement, we can know that if  $v_l(h) - v_r(h) = 1$  or 0, there is only one real root or no root in interval (l, r].

Based on this theorem, this project applied bisection to isolate the real roots. This process described in Algorithm 3

Algorithm 3: Real-root isolation based on Budan's Theorem

```
input : P square-free polynomial
output: List of intervals contains only one real root
ret = [];
up\_bound = Upper(P);
low\_bound = -up\_bound;
search = [(low\_bound, up\_bound)];
while search not empty do
   l, r = pop(search);
   vl = sign\_change(P(x + l));
   vr = sign\_change(P(x + r));
   if vl - vr = 1 then
    ret.append([l,r]);
   else if vl - vr > 1 then
       mid = l + (r - l)/2;
       search.append(mid, r);
       search.append(l, mid);
   end
end
return ret;
```

In Algorithm 3, Upper(P) returns the upper bound of real roots of P. We are using Lagrange's bound in this project. Assuming  $P = a_0 + a_1x + ... + a_nx^n$ , Lagrange's bound is  $max\{1, \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\frac{a_i}{a_n}|\}$ .

#### 4.3 Continued Fraction

Let's first introduce some notation used in this algorithm. Let M(x) represent a Mobius Transformation, which map x to  $\frac{ax+b}{cx+d}$ . So that the number of positive roots of P(M(x)) equals to the number of roots in interval  $(\frac{b}{d}, \frac{a}{c}]$  of P. And  $s = sign\_change(P)$  represents the sign changes along coefficients of P.

We using  $\{a, b, c, d, p, s\}$  to represent a interval. Where,  $ad - bc \neq 0$  and the roots of original polynomial P in  $(\frac{b}{d}, \frac{a}{c}]$  are images of positive roots of p.  $s = sign\_change(p)$ .

4 Xinlong Yi

The algorithm can be described as Algorithm 4.

Algorithm 4: Real-root isolation based on Continued Fraction

```
input : P square-free polynomial with no zero root
output: List of intervals contains only one positive real root
s = sign\_change(P);
if s = 0 then
  return [];
end
else if s = 1 then
return [(0, \infty)];
end
ret = [];
intervals = [\{1, 0, 0, 1, P, s\}];
while intervals not empty do
    {a, b, c, d, p, s} = pop(intervals);
    p' = p(x+1);
    if p'(0) = 0 then
        ret.append([\frac{b}{d}, \frac{b}{d}]);
        p' = p'/x;
    end
    s' = sign \ change(p');
    intervals.append({a, a + b, c, c + d, p', s'});
    if s - s' = 1 then
        ret.append([\frac{a}{c}, \frac{b}{d}]);
    else if s - s' > 1 then
        p'' = p(b/(1+x));
        intervals.append(\{b, a + b, d, c + d, p'', sign change(p'')\});
    end
end
return ret;
```

Above algorithm only accept the polynomials with non-zero roots, therefore before using the Algorithm 4, we need to remove the zero roots of original P. Then we used Algorithm 4 with P(x) and P(-x) to get the positive roots and negative roots.

#### **5 IMPLEMENTATION**

This section will introduce how I implement this project and what I tried to optimize it.

## 5.1 Polynomial

At first, I tried to use *vector* from *std* library to implement polynomial class. But due to time consuming of dynamic memory allocation process. I changed it to static process.

I use template class with an integer template n to represents the maximum possible degree of polynomial. Which means although a polynomial class with template n, it's highest degree could be n1 where n1  $\leq n$ . Although in this way, programmer need to handle the possible change over

the template when writing some operators, like \*, +, program can get rid of dynamic memory allocation, which can save a lot of running time.

#### 5.2 Replace x with x + a

Changing x to x + a in a polynomial is a very common operation in both methods, since I need to use the coefficients of P(x + a) and get the sign variance.

At first, I used very primitive idea for this process. Initialize with very simple polynomial x + a. Then multiply it by coefficients and increase its order one by one. Add them together at last to get transformed polynomial.

In order to optimize the process that replace x to x + a in a polynomial, I applied Taylor expansion. If I want to change P(x) to P(x + a), I can take Taylor expansion at point a with  $\Delta x = x$ . Then:

$$P(x) = P(a) + P'(a) * x + ... + \frac{1}{n!} P^{(n)}(a) * x^n$$

This process avoid multiplication of two polynomials, which needs  $O(n^2)$  operations in my implementation. In order to make sure Taylor expansion can improve the perform of program, I made some experiments to compare the computation time of changing x to x + a. Reuslts are in table 5.2. Coefficients in test polynomial are generated randomly from -n to n and degree of test polynomial is n-1.

n	Tylor Expansion	Original Method
10	12 <i>us</i>	16 us
20	42 <i>us</i>	58 us
50	250us	340 us
100	986us	1345 us
150	2169us	3082 us
200	3801 <i>us</i>	5784 us

As shown above, the running time of polynomial shifting with Tylor Expansion is about 25% faster than the primitive one in my implementation.

Appling Fast Fourier Transform can speed up polynomial multiplication process. Maybe I will implement it in the future.

#### 5.3 Approximate GCD

With above implementation, it cannot perform square-free decomposition correctly all the time. The main reason behind this is the representation of float in computer and computation error.

In order to solve such error problem, I tried to use tolerance. If a number smaller than the tolerance, it will be treated as zero. At first I used fixed tolerance, which cannot perform well as the magnitude of largest coefficient grows.

Then, I tried to make the original polynomial regular[2], which means make the leading coefficient of polynomial to O(1) and remaining coefficients either O(1) or 0 by scaling transformation  $P \to \xi P$  and  $x \to \eta x$ . The notation O(c) not same as the Landau's symbol and it means a number of approximately the same magnitude as c.

However, changing of coefficients and roots will introduce extra computation. Besides that, in some cases that have several extremely large roots, changing coefficients to make them O(1) will make some coefficients very small and loose the percision.

6 Xinlong Yi

#### 5.4 Interval arithmetic

With above problem, I discard the methods introduced by Matu-Tarow[2] and applied *interval arithmetic* to the project. Every exact number in project has been changed to a interval that represents a set of possible values. If zero in such interval, this number will be seen as zero.

With interval arithmetic, the computation has been doubled since every computation need to computation both ends of interval. However, this implementation can handle the errors from float computation and data measuring.

By using interval arithmetic, I observed how error propagates during the GCD process. It's close related the magnitude of coefficient of polynomial. This will be discussed in Analysis 6 section.

## 5.5 Optimize Continued Fraction

TODO: speed up continued fraction

#### 6 ANALYSIS

#### 7 CONCLUSION

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] Raymond G. Ayoub. 1980. Paolo Ruffini's Contributions to the Quintic. Archive for History of Exact Sciences 23, 3 (1980), 253–277. http://www.jstor.org/stable/41133596
- [2] Matu-Tarow Noda and Tateaki Sasaki. 1991. Approximate GCD and its application to ill-conditioned equations. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 38, 1 (1991), 335–351. https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-0427(91)90180-R
- [3] David Y.Y. Yun. 1976. On Square-Free Decomposition Algorithms. In Proceedings of the Third ACM Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (Yorktown Heights, New York, USA) (SYMSAC '76). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 26–35. https://doi.org/10.1145/800205.806320