

# FLE

Last time:

We explained

- $\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\text{crit}} = \mathcal{O}_G^D$  as  $D$ -scheme

- quantization of Hitchin system

$$\mathcal{A} : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_G^D(x), 0) = \mathfrak{Z}_{\text{crit}}(x) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(B_{\text{crit}}, D_{\text{crit}})$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \nearrow (\mathfrak{L}_G, \mathfrak{L}_G^* G) \circ \beta_{B_{\text{crit}}}$$

$$\mathfrak{Z}_{\text{crit}}(D_x) = (\hat{U}_{\text{crit}} / \mathfrak{L}_G^* \hat{U}_{\text{crit}})^{\mathbb{Z}_G}$$

- $\mathfrak{e} \in \mathcal{O}_G^D(x)$ .  $\text{Auto}_{\mathfrak{e}} := D_{\text{crit}} \otimes_A k_{\mathfrak{e}}$  is Hecke-eigen for  $\mathfrak{e}$

The proof of the Hecke-eigenproperty uses two key inputs:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{crit}} - \text{mod}_x & \xrightarrow{\text{Loc}_x} & D\text{-Mod}_{\text{crit}}(B_{\text{crit}}) \\ \curvearrowleft & & \curvearrowright \text{ (quant. Hitch.)} \\ \mathcal{O}\text{-sh}(\mathcal{O}_G^D(D_x)) & \xrightarrow{\text{pullback}} & \mathcal{O}\text{-sh}(\mathcal{O}_G^D(x)) \end{array}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \text{Sat}(V) * W_{\text{crit}} = \mathbb{P}(G_L, \text{Sat}(V)) \cong F(V) \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\text{crit}}(D)} W_{\text{crit}}$$

Here  $F(V)$  is the vector bundle on

$$\mathcal{O}_G^D(D) \cong \text{Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}_{\text{crit}}(D))$$

provided by  $V \in \text{Rep}(\tilde{G})$  and the universal  $\tilde{G}$ -fiber.

Today, generalize both of them; which is called  
Fundamental Local Equivalence (FLE)

This [ $\mathbb{F}_G, \dots$ ]

-exact

$$\cdot \quad \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_{\text{cont}} - \text{mod}^{\frac{2}{\ell} G} = \text{Ind Coh}(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\text{unr}})$$

compatible with Satake.

Def :

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{G}, \infty}^{\text{unr}} := \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{G}}(\mathbb{D}_x) \times_{LS_{\widetilde{G}}(\mathbb{D}_x)} LS_{\widetilde{G}}(D_x).$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\text{unr}} \neq \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{G}}(D) =: \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\text{reg}}.$$

$$\mathbb{C}\text{-point: } \nabla = d + \omega \quad \omega \in g^*(\mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{t})^*$$

$\omega \text{ mod } b(\mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{t})^*$ , generic

such that under certain  $G(\mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{t})$ -gauge,  $\nabla \mapsto \nabla'$

$$\nabla' - d \in g^*\mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{t}^*$$

However, it is not true that  $\exists \nabla' \sim \nabla$  s.t.

$$\nabla' - d \in g^*\mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{t}^* \text{ dt } \& (\nabla' - d) \text{ mod } b(\mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{t})^* \text{ is generic}$$

In fact, for any dominant weight  $\lambda$ , (const. terms)

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\text{reg}, \lambda} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\text{unr}}$$

$$\nabla' - d \in g^*\mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{t}^*$$

&  $(\nabla' - d) \text{ mod } b(\mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{t})^*$  is of the form

$$= t^{(\lambda, \alpha_i)} \cdot \varphi_i \cdot (-\alpha_i) \text{ dt}, \quad \varphi_i \in k[\mathfrak{t}], \varphi_i(0) \neq 0.$$

$$\text{Faut: } \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_{\tilde{\alpha}}}^{\text{unr}}(k) \simeq \bigcup_{\tilde{\gamma}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_{\tilde{\alpha}}}^{\text{reg}, \tilde{\gamma}}(k).$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_{\tilde{\alpha}}}^{\text{unr}} \simeq \coprod_{\tilde{\gamma}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_{\tilde{\alpha}}}^{\text{unr}, \tilde{\gamma}}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_{\tilde{\alpha}}}^{\text{reg}, \tilde{\gamma}} \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_{\tilde{\alpha}}}^{\text{unr}, \tilde{\gamma}})_{\text{red}}$$

$$\boxed{\text{FLE}_{\text{cut}}(W_{\text{cut}}^{\tilde{\gamma}}) = \bar{z}^{\text{reg}, \tilde{\gamma}} = \text{End}(W_{\text{cut}}^{\tilde{\gamma}}).}$$

$$\text{Spec } \bar{z}^{\text{reg}, \tilde{\gamma}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_{\tilde{\alpha}}}^{\text{reg}, \tilde{\gamma}}.$$

$$\hat{g}_{\text{cut}} \text{-rel lines over } \text{Spf } \mathcal{Z}_{\text{cut}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_{\tilde{\alpha}}}(\mathring{D})$$

The theorem implies

$\hat{g}_{\text{cut}}$ -rel is supported on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_{\tilde{\alpha}}}^{\text{unr}}$ .

unramified on geometric side  $\Leftrightarrow$  unramified in spectral side.

This is in fact proven by [FG2] before the theorem.

The functor  $\text{FL}_{\text{cut}}$  is Drinfeld-Schovan reduction:

$\mathbb{F}(M) := C^{\infty}_c(n((t)), M \otimes \chi)$  is the underlying vector space.

$$\chi: n((t)) \rightarrow G_m$$

Thm

$$\widehat{G}_{\text{cat}} - \text{mod-Wit} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Ind}(G \wr \text{Op}_G^{\text{ur}}).$$

Satake action :

$$D\text{Mod}(\mathcal{F}(G) \mathcal{F}(G) \mathcal{F}(G))_{\text{cat}} = \text{Ind}(G \wr \begin{pmatrix} L\mathcal{S}_G^{(0)} & L\mathcal{S}_G^{(0)} \\ 0 & L\mathcal{S}_G^{(0)} \end{pmatrix})$$

$$\widehat{G}_{\text{cat}} - \text{mod}^{\text{Satake}} \simeq \text{Ind}(G \wr \text{Op}_G^{\text{ur}})$$

In FG paper, they used abelian categories and abelian Satake. But this is also true.

Why  $\text{FLE}_{\text{cat}}$  ?

$$\text{Crt}_G + c \text{Kil}_G \xleftarrow[\kappa]{\text{dual}} \text{Crt}_G + \frac{1}{c} \text{Kil}_G.$$

Thm (.....)  $c \neq 0$ .

$$\widehat{G}_{\text{cat}} - \text{mod}^{\mathcal{F}(G)} \xrightleftharpoons[\text{Rep}_F(G)]{\text{FLE}_{\text{cat}}} \text{Whit}(D(G)_G)$$

$[KL] \Leftrightarrow$  If (original conjecture by Lurie)

$\text{Rep}_F(G)$

If  $c \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\widehat{G}_{\text{cat}} - \text{mod}^{\mathcal{F}(G)}$  and  $\text{Rep}(G)$   
 $f \rightarrow 1$

$$\text{Whit}_{\text{crit}}(\mathcal{D}(G)) = \text{Rep}_k(G)$$

(C-S).

$$\text{If } c \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{Whit}(\mathcal{D}(G))_k \rightsquigarrow \text{IndGr}(O_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\text{unr}}).$$


---

Why FLE<sub>c</sub> ? quantum local geometric Langlands.

$$\mathfrak{L}G\text{-mod}_n \xrightarrow{c_{ij}} \mathfrak{L}\bar{G}\text{-mod}_{\bar{n}}$$

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_c\text{-mod} \longrightarrow \text{Whit}(\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{L}G)_k)$$

$$\text{Whit}(\mathcal{D}(LG)_k) \longrightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_k\text{-mod}$$

$$D_k(G_B) \longrightarrow D_{\bar{k}}(G_B)$$

$$D_k(Fl_G) \longrightarrow D_{\bar{k}}(Fl_{\bar{G}})$$

$$D_k(Bun_G^{\text{laux}}) \longrightarrow D_{\bar{k}}(Bun_{\bar{G}}^{\text{laux}})$$


---

$k \rightarrow \text{crys}$      $\bar{k} \rightarrow \infty$

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\bar{k}}\text{-mod}_{\infty} \rightsquigarrow \text{ShvCat}(\mathcal{LS}_{\bar{k}}(\mathfrak{F}))$$

$$\text{Whit}(\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{L}\bar{G})_{\infty}) \rightsquigarrow \text{IndGr}(O_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathfrak{F}))$$

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\infty}\text{-mod} \rightsquigarrow \text{IndGr}(\mathcal{LS}_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathfrak{F}))$$

$$D_{\infty}(G_{\bar{\alpha}}) \rightsquigarrow \text{IndGr}(\mathcal{LS}_{\bar{\alpha}}(D))$$

$\mathfrak{L}\mathcal{G}$ -modcat  $\xrightarrow{\text{Gr}}$  ShvCat ( $\mathbf{LS}_\infty^u(\mathbb{S})$ )

$\widehat{\mathcal{G}}\text{cat}$ -mod  $\mapsto \text{IndGh}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^u_\infty}(\mathbb{S}))$

In other words, if  $\mathcal{C}$  is the universal

$\mathfrak{L}\mathcal{G}$ -module cat's on  $\mathfrak{L}\mathcal{G}^\infty(\mathbb{W})$ , then

$\mathcal{C}|_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^u_\infty}(\mathbb{S})} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{G}}\text{cat}$ -mod.

Note that  $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}\text{cat}$ -mod lies on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^u_\infty}(\mathbb{S})$   
"Span"  $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}\text{cat}$ .

---

Functoriality  $\widetilde{\text{FL}}$

$\widehat{\mathcal{G}}\text{cat}$ -mod  $\xrightarrow[\mathcal{R}\text{an}]{{\mathfrak{L}\mathcal{G}}^u}$   $\widetilde{\text{IndGh}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^u_\infty}^{\text{unr}})_{\mathcal{R}\text{an}}$

---

Then:

$\widehat{\mathcal{G}}\text{cat}$ -mod  $\xrightarrow[\mathcal{R}\text{an}]{{\text{FL}}_{\mathcal{G}\text{cat}}} \text{IndGh}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^u_\infty}^{\text{unr}})_{\mathcal{R}\text{an}}$

$\int_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{L}}$       |       $\text{Point}^{\text{sp}}$

$D\text{Mod}(\mathcal{R}\text{an})_{\text{cat}} \xrightarrow{L} \text{IndGh}(\mathbf{LS}_\infty^u)$

(Recall  $L$  is constructed very spectral, decmp. & duality)

$$\downarrow \text{catt} \qquad \downarrow \mathcal{T}^{\text{pre}}$$

$$\text{Whit}_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Gr}_G)_{\text{Ren}} \xrightarrow{\sim \text{FLD}_{\infty}} \text{Rep}(L)_{\text{Ren}}$$

Here  $\text{Point}^{\text{pre}}$  is the functor

$$\text{Op}_G^{\circ}(X)^{\text{unr}} = \underbrace{\text{Op}_G^{\circ}(X) \times_{\text{Op}_G^{\circ}(R)} \text{LS}_G(X)}_{\downarrow \text{Barts}} \xrightarrow{\text{pull}} \text{Op}_G^{\circ}(W) \times_{\text{Op}_G^{\circ}(D)} \text{LS}_G(D)$$

$$\text{LS}_G(X)$$

Later we will see essentially it being equivalent  
is proven using this diagram and many other things.

Have not proven vanishing conjecture yet!

$$\widehat{g}_{\text{catt}-\text{red}} \underset{x_1, \dots, x_n}{\overset{\mathcal{L}^{\text{pre}}}{=}} \bigotimes_x \widehat{g}_{\text{catt}-\text{red}} \underset{x}{\overset{\mathcal{L}^{\text{pre}}}{=}} \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$$\text{Loc}_x : \widehat{g}_{\text{catt}-\text{red}} \underset{x}{\overset{\mathcal{L}^{\text{pre}}}{=}} \longrightarrow \text{Dih}(T_{\mathbb{Z}^n})$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \uparrow$$

$$\text{QSh}(\text{Op}_{G,x}^{\text{unr}}) \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{QSh}(\text{Op}_G^{\circ}(X))$$

Fact:  $\text{Loc}_x$  can be enhanced to

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{\text{out}-\text{hol}_x^{\text{FLG}}} \otimes \begin{matrix} \mathcal{O}_{\text{flg}}(\mathcal{O}_{\text{flg}}(X-x)^{\text{unr}}) \\ \mathcal{O}_{\text{flg}}(\mathcal{O}_{\text{flg}}^{\text{unr}}) \end{matrix} \longrightarrow D\text{Mod}(B_{\text{flg}})$$

$\rightsquigarrow$   $\text{Rgs}(\tilde{C})_x$  - acts on  $\text{Im}(\text{Loc}_x)$   
factors through  $L_S(x)$ .

Claim: When  $x$  is larger and larger, generate all  
categories.

In fact: for any  $q \in \mathbb{N}$   $U \subset B_{\text{flg}}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{\text{out}-\text{hol}_{\mathcal{R}_n}^{\text{FLG}}} & \longrightarrow & D(B_{\text{flg}})_{\text{out}} \\ \text{Variable quant.} & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & D(U)_{\text{out}} \end{array}$$

Coming to the next Ex. By induction  
& compatibility of FLG with  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell/\mathbb{Z}$ .