

LECTURE 13

In this lecture, we prove the first part of the localization theorem. Throughout this lecture, we write $X := \mathrm{Fl}_G$.

1. FIBERS OF THE LOCALIZATION FUNCTOR

In this section, we prove the following result.

Proposition 1.1. *For $M \in U(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}$ and any closed point $x \in X$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Loc}(M)|_x \simeq M_{\mathrm{stab}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)},$$

where $\mathrm{stab}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)$ is the stabilizer of \mathfrak{g} at x , i.e., $\mathrm{stab}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x) := \ker(\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X,x})$.

Remark 1.2. It is easy to see $\mathrm{stab}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)$ is the Borel subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} corresponding to the closed point $x \in X$ (see [Lecture 12, Construction 1.7]).

Proof. By definition,

$$\mathrm{Loc}(M)|_x \simeq \Gamma(X, k_x \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{D}_X \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g})} \underline{M}) \simeq \Gamma(X, \delta_x \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g})} \underline{M}) \simeq \Gamma(X, \delta_x) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g})} M,$$

where δ_x is the Delta right \mathcal{D} -module in [Lecture 11, Exercise 6.8]. By *loc.cit.*, δ_x has a unique global section $\mathrm{Dirac}_x \in \Gamma(X, \delta_x)$ such that $\mathrm{Dirac}_x \cdot f = f(x)\mathrm{Dirac}_x$ for any local section f of \mathcal{O}_X . It follows for any vector field ∂ with $\partial|_x = 0$, we have $\mathrm{Dirac}_x \cdot \partial = 0$ because locally we can write $\partial = \sum f_k \partial_k$ with $f_k(x) = 0$. In particular, the right $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -action on Dirac_x annihilates $\mathrm{stab}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x) \subset \mathfrak{g} \subset U(\mathfrak{g})$. In other words, we have a right $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -linear map

$$k \otimes_{U(\mathrm{stab}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x))} U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \delta_x), \quad 1 \otimes u \mapsto \mathrm{Dirac}_x \cdot u.$$

It is easy to see both sides have natural filtrations induced respectively by the PBW filtrations on $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and \mathcal{D}_X , and the above map is compatible with the filtrations. Taking associated graded spaces, we only need to show the following obtained map is an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}/\mathrm{stab}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\mathcal{T}_{X,x}).$$

Unwinding the definitions, this map is induced by the isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}/\mathrm{stab}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x) \simeq \mathcal{T}_{X,x}$. □

Remark 1.3. As can be seen from the proof, Proposition 1.1 remains true if X is replaced by any homogenous space under G .

Remark 1.4. As can be seen from the proof, Proposition 1.1 remains true for derived categories and derived functors. In other words, the derived fiber of $\mathrm{Loc}(M)$ at x can be identified with the derived coinvariance of M for $\mathrm{stab}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)$.

Let $e \in X \simeq G/B$ be the closed point corresponding to the chosen Borel subgroup B . In the above proof, we have shown

$$\Gamma(X, \delta_e) \simeq k \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} U(\mathfrak{g})$$

as right $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. Note that the RHS is the “*right* Verma module” with highest weight 0. As stated in the localization theorem, we can produce the (left) Verma module $M_{-2\rho}$ with highest weight -2ρ if using the left \mathcal{D} -module corresponding to δ_e . The following exercise gives a direct proof to this fact.

Exercise 1.5. This is **Homework 6, Problem 4**. In above, let $\delta_e^l \simeq \delta_e \otimes \omega_X^{-1}$ be the left \mathcal{D} -module corresponding to δ_e . Consider the left $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $V := \Gamma(X, \delta_e^l)$.

- (1) Prove: there is a *canonical* isomorphism

$$\delta_e^l \simeq \mathcal{D}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \ell,$$

where ℓ is the fiber of ω_X^{-1} at e , viewed as a skyscraper sheaf.

- (2) Let $\ell \hookrightarrow V$ be the injection induced by taking global sections for the embedding $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \ell \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \ell$. Prove: this line in V is a weight subspace of weight $-2\rho^1$.
 (3) Prove: the subalgebra $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ stabilizes the line $\ell \subset V^2$.
 (4) Construct a $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -linear map

$$M_{-2\rho} \rightarrow V$$

and prove it is an isomorphism.

2. THE RING $\mathcal{D}(X)$

Proposition 2.1. *The homomorphism $a : U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X)$ factors through $U(\mathfrak{g})_{\chi_0}$.*

Proof. We only need to show $a(z) = 0$ for any $z \in \ker(\chi_0) \subset Z(\mathfrak{g})$. We only need to show for any closed point $x \in X$, the composition

$$\ker(\chi_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, k_x \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{D}_X)$$

is zero. By the proof of Proposition 1.1, this map can be identified with

$$\ker(\chi_0) \rightarrow k \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b}_x)} U(\mathfrak{g}),$$

where $\mathfrak{b}_x = \text{stab}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)$ is the Borel subalgebra corresponding to x . Note that the ideal $\ker(\chi_0) \subset Z(\mathfrak{g})$ does not depend on the choice of any Borel subalgebra: it is the character for the trivial representation. Hence we only need to show $\ker(\chi_0) \rightarrow k \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b}^-)} U(\mathfrak{g})$ is the zero map. But this follows from the Harish-Chandra embedding

$$Z(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow k \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{n}^-)} U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{n})} k \simeq U(\mathfrak{t}).$$

□

Remark 2.2. Alternatively, we can use left \mathcal{D} -modules and reduce to show

$$\ker(\chi_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} k_e)$$

is zero. By Exercise 1.5, the RHS is non-canonically isomorphic to $M_{-2\rho}^3$ and the above map can be identified with the action map on a highest weight vector. Then the claim follows from $\varpi(-2\rho) = \chi_0$.

¹Hint: $\ell \simeq \wedge^d \mathcal{T}_{X,e}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{X,e} \simeq \mathfrak{n}^-$.

²Hint: consider the PBW filtration of \mathcal{D}_X and the induced filtration on V . Show that $\mathfrak{b} \otimes \ell \rightarrow V$ factors through $\mathbb{F}^{\leq 1} V$ and the composition $\mathfrak{b} \otimes \ell \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{\leq 1} V \rightarrow \text{gr}^1 V$ is zero.

³Such an isomorphism depends on a trivialization of the line ℓ , i.e., a choice of vector in it.

To prove the obtained homomorphism

$$U(\mathfrak{g})_{\chi_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X)$$

is an isomorphism, we consider filtrations on both sides.

Construction 2.3. The PBW filtration on $U(\mathfrak{g})$ induces a filtration on $U(\mathfrak{g})_{\chi_0}$. The surjection $U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})_{\chi_0}$ induces a surjection $\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}^\bullet(U(\mathfrak{g})_{\chi_0})$ which sends $\ker(\mathrm{gr}^\bullet(Z(\mathfrak{g}))) \rightarrow k$ to 0. Recall $\mathrm{gr}^\bullet(Z(\mathfrak{g})) \simeq \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ ([Lecture 5, Lemma 3.2]). Hence we obtain a surjection

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g}^* \times_{\mathfrak{g}^*/G} 0) \simeq \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}} k \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{gr}^\bullet(U(\mathfrak{g})_{\chi_0}).$$

Note that a priori we do not know this is an isomorphism.

Construction 2.4. On the other hand, the short exact sequences $0 \rightarrow F^{\leq k-1}\mathcal{D}_X \rightarrow F^{\leq k}\mathcal{D}_X \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^k \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow 0$ induce

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, F^{\leq k-1}\mathcal{D}_X) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, F^{\leq k}\mathcal{D}_X) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^k \mathcal{T}_X)$$

and therefore an injection

$$\mathrm{gr}^\bullet \mathcal{D}(X) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^\bullet \mathcal{T}_X) \simeq \mathcal{O}(T^*X),$$

where $T^*X \simeq \mathrm{Spec}_X(\mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^\bullet \mathcal{T}_X)$ is the cotangent bundle on X . Note that a priori we do not know this is an isomorphism.

Combining the above constructions, we obtain homomorphisms

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g}^* \times_{\mathfrak{g}^*/G} 0) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{gr}^\bullet(U(\mathfrak{g})_{\chi_0}) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}^\bullet \mathcal{D}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(T^*X).$$

We only need to show this composition is an isomorphism. This composition corresponds to a map

$$(2.1) \quad T^*X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \times_{\mathfrak{g}^*/G} 0$$

which will be studied in the next section.

Remark 2.5. The map $T^*X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$, which is the (algebra-geometric) dual of $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(X)$ is called the **moment map**.

3. NILPOTENT CONE AND THE SPRINGER RESOLUTION

In this and the next sections, we study the map (2.1). I recommend [CG, Section 3] for these contents.

Recall we have an identification $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{g}^*$ provided by the Killing form. Also recall $\mathfrak{g}^*/G \simeq \mathfrak{g}/G \simeq \mathfrak{t}/W$ are isomorphic to an affine space of dimension equal to $\dim(\mathfrak{t})$ (see [Lecture 6]).

We first describe the target of (2.1).

Definition 3.1. Define \mathcal{N} to be the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{N} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}/G, \end{array}$$

and call it the **nilpotent cone** of \mathfrak{g} .

Remark 3.2. By Kostant's theorem ([Lecture 6, Corollary 1.15]), the projection map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/G$ is flat. Recall regular immersions are closed under flat base-changes. Hence $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a regular immersion. In particular, \mathcal{N} is Cohen–Macaulay.

Remark 3.3. We have $\dim(\mathcal{N}) = \dim(\mathfrak{g}) - \dim(\mathfrak{t})$.

Warning 3.4. *The nilpotent cone \mathcal{N} is always singular.*

The name “nilpotent cone” is justified by the following result:

Proposition 3.5. *A closed point of \mathfrak{g} is contained in \mathcal{N} iff it is an nilpotent element.*

Proof. Recall for any Borel pair $(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{t})$, we have a commutative diagram (see [Lecture 5, (4.2)])

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{b} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}/G \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \simeq \\ \mathfrak{t} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{t}/W. \end{array}$$

Also, W acts transitively on the fibers of the map $\mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}/W$ at the closed points ([Lecture 6, Proposition 1.1]). It follows that a closed point $v \in \mathfrak{b}$ is sent to $0 \in \mathfrak{g}/G$ iff it is sent to $0 \in \mathfrak{t}$. The latter condition is equivalent to v being nilpotent. Now the claim follows from the fact that any element of \mathfrak{g} is contained in some Borel subalgebra. \square

Remark 3.6. We will see \mathcal{N} is reduced (and even normal) and therefore it can be characterized by the above proposition.

Now we describe the source of (2.1). Note that T^*X is smooth because X is so.

Proposition 3.7. *Consider the obvious projection $T^*X \rightarrow X$ and the moment map $T^*X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. The obtained map*

$$(3.1) \quad T^*X \rightarrow X \times \mathfrak{g}^*$$

is a closed embedding. Moreover, via the identification $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{g}^$, a closed points $(x, v) \in X \times \mathfrak{g}$ is contained in T^*X iff $v \in \mathfrak{n}_x := [\mathfrak{b}_x, \mathfrak{b}_x]$, where \mathfrak{b}_x is the Borel subalgebra corresponding to x .*

Proof. Let $x \in X$ be a closed point. We have a “realizing” map $X \simeq G/B_x$, $x \mapsto B_x/B_x$. It follows that $\mathcal{T}_{X,x} \simeq \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}_x$ and therefore $\mathcal{T}_{X,x}^* \simeq (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}_x)^*$. By definition, the fiber of (3.1) at $x \in X$ is the obvious map $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}_x)^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ which is a closed embedding.

In general, a linear map between two vector bundles on X is a closed embedding iff its fiber at any closed point $x \in X$ is a closed embedding. Therefore (3.1) is a closed embedding.

Now the second claim follows from the isomorphism $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}_x)^* \simeq \mathfrak{n}_x$. \square

Remark 3.8. One can find local trivialization of the vector bundle $T^*X \rightarrow \mathrm{Fl}_G$ as follows. Let $x, x^- \in X$ be closed points such that the Borel subalgebras \mathfrak{b}_x and \mathfrak{b}_{x^-} intersect transversally. Using the Bruhat decomposition, the (N_{x^-}) -orbit of x is open, and we denote it by U_{x,x^-} . It follows that the commposition

$$T^*X \rightarrow X \times \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow X \times \mathfrak{n}_{x^-}^* \simeq X \times \mathfrak{n}_x$$

is an isomorphism when restricted to the open subscheme $U_{x,x^-} \subset X$. Indeed, this follows from the fact that for any $y \in U_{x,x^-}$, the composition $\mathfrak{b}_{x^-} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}_y$ is an isomorphism.

Definition 3.9. We write $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} := T^*X$ can call the map (2.1)

$$\mathfrak{p} : \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}.$$

the **Springer resolution of the nilpotent cone**.

Lemma 3.10. *The map $\mathfrak{p} : \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is proper and surjective.*

Proof. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{N}} & \longrightarrow & X \times \mathfrak{g} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{N} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}. \end{array}$$

The top horizontal map is proper because it is a closed embedding. The right vertical map is proper because X is complete. Hence the composition $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is proper. Since $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is separated, the map $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is also proper.

It remains to show \mathfrak{p} is surjective on closed points. This follows from the fact that any (nilpotent) element in \mathfrak{g} is contained in some Borel subalgebras. \square

Corollary 3.11. *The scheme \mathcal{N} is irreducible.*

We will see $\mathfrak{p} : \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is a resolution of singularities. For example, in the case of SL_2 , we have:

Exercise 3.12. This is [Homework 6, Problem 5](#). For $G = \mathrm{SL}_2$, prove $\mathfrak{p} : \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is the blow-up of \mathcal{N} at the point $0 \in \mathcal{N}$.

Remark 3.13. The Springer resolution plays a central role in geometric representation theory.

4. KOSTANT'S THEOREM

Our goal is to prove the following result.

Theorem 4.1 (Kostant). *The map $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\mathcal{N}})$.*

Remark 4.2. In fact, one can show the *derived* direct image functor $\mathfrak{p}_* : D(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{qc}}) \rightarrow D(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{qc}})$ sends $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}$ to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}}$. The proof of this stronger result is an elaboration of the proof of Theorem 4.1 displayed below, with the help of the (derived non-flat) base-change isomorphisms. See [G, Section 7] for more details.

Note that the above theorem implies the first part of the localization theorem.

Corollary 4.3. *The homomorphism $U(\mathfrak{g})_{\chi_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. By the discussion in previous sections, we only need to show $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\mathcal{N}})$ is an isomorphism, which is Kostant's theorem. \square

To prove Kostant's theorem, we need more geometric inputs.

Proposition-Definition 4.4. *There is a unique reduced closed subscheme $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $X \times \mathfrak{g}$, called the **Grothendieck's alteration**, whose closed points are those (x, v) satisfying $v \in \mathfrak{b}_x$. Moreover, $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is smooth.*

Sketch. It is easy to show $v \in \mathfrak{b}_x$ is a closed condition and therefore defines a reduced closed subscheme $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Also, as in Remark 3.8, the commposition

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow X \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow X \times \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n}_x \simeq X \times \mathfrak{b}_x$$

is an isomorphism when restricted to the open subscheme $U_{x,x^-} \subset X$. This implies $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is smooth. \square

Lemma 4.5. *There exists a Cartesian square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{N}} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{t}, \end{array}$$

where \mathfrak{t} is the abstract Cartan Lie algebra (see Appendix A). Moreover, the vertical maps are smooth.

Sketch. We have an obvious injective map $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ between vector bundles on X . By Remark 3.8 and the proof of Proposition-Definition 4.4, this map can be identified with $X \times \mathfrak{n}_x \rightarrow X \times \mathfrak{b}_x$ when restricted to the open subscheme $U_{x,x'} \subset X$. Hence the quotient bundle can be identified with $X \times \mathfrak{t}_x \simeq X \times \mathfrak{t}$ over $U_{x,x'}$, where we used the realizing isomorphism $\mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_x$.

One can show these identifications can be glued into a short exact sequence of vector bundles over X :

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow X \times \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow 0,$$

which makes the desired claim manifest. □

Notation 4.6. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{rss}} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\text{reg}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the open subschemes whose closed points are regular semisimple (resp. regular⁴) elements in \mathfrak{g} . Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{rss}} \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{reg}} \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ be their preimages.

Let $\mathcal{N}_{\text{reg}} := \mathfrak{g}_{\text{reg}} \cap \mathcal{N}$ be the open subscheme of \mathcal{N} . Its closed points are regular nilpotent elements.

Let $\mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}} \subset \mathfrak{t}$ be the open subscheme whose closed points are regular elements⁵.

We have the following basic results. See e.g. [CG, Section 3.1] for a proof.

Proposition 4.7. *Consider the map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} // G \rightarrow \mathfrak{t} // \mathbf{W}$ given by the abstract Chevalley isomorphism (see Appendix A). We have:*

- (1) *The following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{t} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{g} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{t} // \mathbf{W} \end{array}$$

- (2) *When restricted to the regular locus $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{reg}}$, the above diagram is Cartesian. In other words, the following diagram is Cartesian:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{reg}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{t} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{g}_{\text{reg}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{t} // \mathbf{W}. \end{array}$$

⁴Recall an element $v \in \mathfrak{g}$ is regular if its centralizer is of minimal dimension, which is $\dim(\mathfrak{t})$.

⁵This means the realizations in any/all Cartan subalgebras are regular. Equivalently, this means \mathbf{W} acts freely at these points.

- (3) When restricted to the regular semisimple locus $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{rss}}$, the following diagram is Cartesian, and the Vertical maps are finite étale covers with Galois group \mathbf{W} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{rss}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{g}_{\text{rss}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}/\mathbf{W}. \end{array}$$

Warning 4.8. The map $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}$ does not send regular elements to regular elements. Indeed, it sends \mathcal{N}_{reg} to 0.

Proposition 4.9. The scheme \mathcal{N} is normal.

Sketch. We have seen \mathcal{N} is Cohen–Macaulay (Remark 3.2). Hence by Serre’s criterion, we only need to show \mathcal{N} is regular in codimension 1.

By Lemma 4.5, the map $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}$ is smooth, hence so is $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{reg}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}$. Recall $\mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}/\mathbf{W}$ is faithfully flat ([Lecture 6, Proposition 1.1 and Corollary 1.5]). Hence by Proposition 4.7(2), the map $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{reg}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}/\mathbf{W}$ is smooth (by flat descent of smooth maps). By definition, we have a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{N}_{\text{reg}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}_{\text{reg}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}/G \simeq \mathfrak{t}/\mathbf{W}. \end{array}$$

Hence \mathcal{N}_{reg} is smooth.

It remains to show the closed subset $\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{N}_{\text{reg}}$ of \mathcal{N} is of codimension ≥ 2 . Since \mathcal{N} is irreducible (Corollary 3.11), $\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{N}_{\text{reg}}$ is of codimension ≥ 1 . We need to use the following two basic facts:

- (i) The adjoint action of G on \mathcal{N} has only finitely many orbits⁶ (see [CG, Proposition 3.2.9]);
- (ii) Each G -orbit on \mathfrak{g} has a symplectic structure (see [CG, Proposition 1.1.5]).

By (ii), each G -orbit has an even dimension. Hence each G -orbit in $\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{N}_{\text{reg}}$ has even codimension. By (i), $\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{N}_{\text{reg}}$ has codimension ≥ 2 as desired. \square

Corollary 4.10. The map $\mathfrak{p} : \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is a resolution of singularities, i.e., it is birational proper and surjective.

Proof. We have already proved \mathfrak{p} is proper and surjective (Lemma 3.10). It remains to show \mathfrak{p} is birational. We claim its restriction on $\mathcal{N}_{\text{reg}} \subset \mathcal{N}$ is an isomorphism. Since \mathcal{N} is reduced, we only need to show any closed point of \mathcal{N}_{reg} has a unique preimage in $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\text{reg}}$. Now the claim follows from Proposition 4.7(2) because $0 \in \mathfrak{t}/\mathbf{W}$ has a unique preimage in \mathfrak{t} . \square

Remark 4.11. In fact, $\mathfrak{p} : \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is a *semismall* resolution, i.e., $\dim(\tilde{\mathcal{N}} \times_{\mathcal{N}} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}) = \dim(\mathcal{N})$. This fact is crucial in the Springer theory. The fiber product $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} \times_{\mathcal{N}} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ is known as the **Steinberg variety**, which also plays a central role in geometric representation theory. For more information, see [CG].

⁶This can be viewed as a generalization of the theory of Jordan blocks.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Follows by applying Zariski's main theorem to the projection $\mathfrak{p} : \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$. Direct proof: $\mathfrak{p}_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}$ is coherent because \mathfrak{p} is proper. It is generically of rank 1 because \mathfrak{p} is birational. Both the source and target of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}$ are sheaves of integral domains, hence they have isomorphic sheaves of fractional fields. Then we win because $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is integrally closed. \square

APPENDIX A. ABSTRACT CARTAN GROUP AND ABSTRACT WEYL GROUP

Construction A.1. Let B_x and B_y be two Borel subgroups of G . Let $T_x := B_x/[B_x, B_x]$ and $T_y := B_y/[B_y, B_y]$ be their abelianizations. Recall there exists $g \in G(k)$ such that Ad_g induces an isomorphism $\text{Ad}_g : B_x \xrightarrow{\sim} B_y$. Hence we obtain an isomorphism between the abelianizations $\overline{\text{Ad}}_g : T_x \xrightarrow{\sim} T_y$. The isomorphism $\overline{\text{Ad}}_g$ does not depend on the choice of g because any other choice g' satisfies $g' \in gB_x(k)$ and the adjoint action of B_x on T_x is trivial. For this reason, we write the above isomorphism as

$$\phi_{x,y} : T_x \xrightarrow{\sim} T_y.$$

It is easy to check $\phi_{x,x} = \text{Id}$ and $\phi_{y,z} \circ \phi_{x,y} = \phi_{x,z}$. Hence there exists an algebraic group \mathbf{T} , equipped with isomorphisms

$$r_x : \mathbf{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} T_x,$$

such that $r_y = \phi_{x,y} \circ r_x$. The data (\mathbf{T}, r_x) are unique up to a unique isomorphism⁷.

We call \mathbf{T} the **abstract Cantan group** for G , and call r_x the **realizing isomorphisms**.

Similarly, the Lie algebra of \mathbf{T} is denoted by \mathfrak{t} and is called the **abstract Cantan algebra** for \mathfrak{g} .

Warning A.2. The algebraic group \mathbf{T} is not a subgroup of G , at least not in a canonical way.

Remark A.3. A Cartan subgroup $T_1 \hookrightarrow G$ of G does not give a realizing isomorphism $\mathbf{T} \rightarrow T_1$, at least not in a canonical way. Instead, if we further choose a Borel subgroup B_x that contains T_1 , i.e., if we have a **Borel pair** (B_x, T_1) , then there is a realizing isomorphism $\mathbf{T} \rightarrow T_1$ defined to be the composition

$$r_{(B_x, T_1)} : \mathbf{T} \xrightarrow{r_x} T_x \xleftarrow{\sim} T_1,$$

where the second isomorphism is given by $T_1 \hookrightarrow B_x \twoheadrightarrow T_x$.

Warning A.4. One cannot define the abstract Borel group for G .

Construction A.5. Let (B_x, T_1) and (B_y, T_2) be two Borel pairs. Recall there is a unique element $g \in G(k)$ such that $\text{Ad}_g : B_x \xrightarrow{\sim} B_y$ and $\text{Ad}_g : T_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} T_2$. Hence we obtain an isomorphism between the normalizers $\text{Ad}_g : N_G(T_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} N_G(T_2)$ and therefore an isomorphism between the corresponding Weyl groups. We denote this isomorphism by

$$\varphi_{(B_x, T_1), (B_y, T_2)} : W_{T_1} \rightarrow W_{T_2}.$$

It is easy to check $\varphi_{(B_x, T_1), (B_x, T_1)} = \text{Id}$ and $\varphi_{(B_y, T_2), (B_z, T_3)} \circ \varphi_{(B_x, T_1), (B_y, T_2)} = \varphi_{(B_x, T_1), (B_z, T_3)}$. Hence there exists a group \mathbf{W} equipped with isomorphisms

$$r_{(B_x, T_1)} : \mathbf{W} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{T_1}$$

such that $r_{(B_y, T_2)} = \varphi_{(B_x, T_1), (B_y, T_2)} \circ r_{(B_x, T_1)}$. The data $(\mathbf{W}, r_{(B_x, T_1)})$ are unique up to a unique isomorphism.

We call \mathbf{W} the **abstract Weyl group** for G , and call $r_{(B_x, T_1)}$ the **realizing isomorphisms**.

Warning A.6. The isomorphism $\varphi_{(B_x, T_1), (B_y, T_2)}$ depends on B_x and B_y .

⁷This means for (\mathbf{T}, r_x) and $((\mathbf{T})', r'_x)$, there is a unique isomorphism $\alpha : \mathbf{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbf{T})'$ such that $r_x = r'_x \circ \alpha$.

Warning A.7. The group \mathbf{W} is not a subgroup of G , at least not in a canonical way.

Remark A.8. One can also define the abstract Weyl group by providing a group structure on $|G \backslash (X \times X)|$. This construction was introduced by Deligne–Lusztig when developing the theory named by them.

Construction A.9. Let (B_x, T_1) be any Borel pair. The action of W_{T_1} on T_1 defines an action of \mathbf{W} on \mathbf{T} via the realizing isomorphisms $r_{(B_x, T_1)} : \mathbf{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} T_1$ and $r_{(B_x, T_1)} : \mathbf{W} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{T_1}$. Unwinding the definitions, one can show this action does not depend on the choice of the Borel pair. Hence we obtain a canonical action of \mathbf{W} on \mathbf{T} , which is called **the (abstract) action of \mathbf{W} on \mathbf{T}** .

Construction A.10. Recall for any Borel pair (B, T) , we have the Chevalley isomorphism $\mathfrak{t} // W \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{g} // G$ characterized by the following commutative diagram (see [Lecture 5, (4.2)])

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{b} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g} // G \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \simeq \\ \mathfrak{t} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{t} // W. \end{array}$$

Via the realizing isomorphism $r_{(B, T)} : \mathfrak{t} // \mathbf{W} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{t} // W$, we obtain an isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{t} // \mathbf{W} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{g} // G$$

which can be shown to do not depend on the choice of the Borel pair. We call this isomorphism the **abstract Chevalley isomorphism**.

REFERENCES

- [CG] Chriss, Neil, and Victor Ginzburg. Representation theory and complex geometry. Vol. 42. Boston: Birkhäuser, 1997.
- [G] Gaitsgory, Dennis. Course Notes for Geometric Representation Theory, 2005, available at <https://people.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/gaitsgde/267y/cat0.pdf>.