

# SEMINAR NOTES ON GEOMETRIZATION OF THE LOCAL LANGLANDS CORRESPONDENCE

LSG

## CONTENTS

1.	Talk I by Lin: the big picture	1
1.1.	What is the local Langlands correspondence?	1
1.2.	What is geometrization?	2
1.3.	Why Fargues–Fontaine curve?	5
1.4.	What can be translated from Geometric Langlands?	5
1.5.	What else?	5
	References	5

## 1. TALK I BY LIN: THE BIG PICTURE

... once we could merely *formulate* Fargues’ conjecture, enough mathinery is available to apply Lafforgue’s ideas to get the “automorphic-to-Galois” direction...

[FS21, Sect. I.11]

### 1.1. What is the local Langlands correspondence?

**Notation 1.1.1.** *We fix the following notations:*

- $E$  is a local field (e.g. ...  $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{F}_p((t))$ )<sup>1</sup>;
- $G$  is a reductive group over  $E$ ;
- $\hat{G}$  is the Langlands dual group over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ;
- $W_E$  is the Weil group for  $E$ .

**Conjecture 1.1.2.** *There is a canonical map between sets:*

$$\left\{ \text{irreducible objects } \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G(E)) \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ W_E \rightarrow \hat{G}(\mathbb{C}) \right\}, \pi \mapsto \varphi_{\pi}$$

*subject to certain compatibilities.*

**Remark 1.1.3.** *Several remarks are in order.*

- (0) *In general this is not a bijection.*
- (1) *From easy to hard: archimedean, char  $p$  nonarchimedean, char 0 nonarchimedean. E.g., the conjecture is unknown for  $E = \mathbb{Q}_p$  and general reductive group.*
- (2)  $G(E)$  is a topological group and we require  $\pi$  to be smooth, i.e., any vector is fixed by some compact open subgroup.

---

Notes taken by Lin.

<sup>1</sup>The colors are chosen to be compatible with [SW20, Figure 12.1].

- (3)  $W_E$  is a modification of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{E}/E)$ .  $W_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C}^\times$ ,  $W_{\mathbb{R}}$  is the canonical extension of  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$  by  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ . For nonarchimedean  $E$  with residue field  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & I_E & \longrightarrow & W_E & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \subset & & \downarrow \subset \mapsto \text{Frob} \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & I_E & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(\overline{E}/E) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

The necessity of  $W_E$  instead of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{E}/E)$  can be already seen in local class field theory.

- (4)  $W_E$  is a topological group and we require  $\varphi_\pi$  to be continuous. However, it is not equipped with the subspace topology from  $\text{Gal}(\overline{E}/E)$ . Instead,  $I_E$  has the subspace topology from  $\text{Gal}(\overline{E}/E)$ , which is pro-finite, and is forced to be open in  $W_E$ , which is therefore locally pro-finite.
- (5)  $\varphi_\pi$  is the so-called  $L$ -parameter of  $\pi$ .
- (6) Part of the compatible requirements are about  $L$ -functions and  $\epsilon$ -factors. Lin knows nothing about them. Maybe someone can explain later?

## 1.2. What is geometrization?

**Answer 1.2.1.** Do global geometric Langlands on the Fargues–Fontaine curve, which behaves like a genus 0 curve in nonarchimedean geometry.

To explain what this mean, we need some basic notions in analytic geometry.

**Notation 1.2.2.** From now on, we restrict to the case  $E = \mathbb{Q}_p$ . There is no essential difference for general  $E$ , and the char  $p$  case is even easier.

**Analogy 1.2.3.** Tutorials on analytic geometry will be provided by Lin and Yuchen in the next weeks. For now, let us be satisfied by the following:

	algebraic geometry	analytic geometry
affine	$\text{Spec } R, R \in \text{CAlg}$	$\text{Spa}(R, R^+)$ , ( $R, R^+$ ) is a Huber pair: $R^+ \subset R \in \text{CAlg}(\text{Top})$ satisfying certain conditions
globalization	scheme as locally ringed spaces	(pre-)adic space as locally topologically ringed spaces
point	$\text{Spec } K, K \text{ is a field}$	$\text{Spa}(K, K^+)$ , ( $K, K^+$ ) is an affinoid field analytic if $K$ is nondiscrete nonanalytic if $K$ is discrete

**Notation 1.2.4.** In most cases, people make the canonical choice  $R^+ := R^\circ$  being the subring of power-bounded elements and write  $\text{Spa } R := \text{Spa}(R, R^\circ)$ .

**Remark 1.2.5.** Among all the (pre-)adic spaces, there is a class of objects, called perfectoid spaces, that are well-adapted to connect char 0 and char  $p$ . Affine perfectoid spaces are given by  $\text{Spa}(R, R^+)$  such that  $R$  is a perfectoid ring. Basic examples of perfectoid rings include  $\mathbb{F}_p((t^{1/p^\infty}))$ , which is the completion of  $\bigcup_n \mathbb{F}_p((t))(t^{1/p^n})$ , and  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cycl}}$ , which is the completion of  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty})$ . Any perfectoid ring is defined over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  although the latter itself is not a perfectoid ring. In fact, there is no final object in  $\text{Perfd}$ . As we will see, this is a feature rather than a bug.

**Analogy 1.2.6.** Sanath will talk about the details about FF curves. For now, let us be satisfied by the following:

	Global Geometric Langlands for functional field	Geometrized Local Langlands
geometry	algebraic geometry over $\mathbb{F}_p$	“perfectoid geometry”
test objects	schemes $S \in \text{Sch}/\mathbb{F}_p$	char $p$ perfectoid space $S \in \text{Perfd}_p$
final test object	$\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p$	not exist $\text{Spa } \mathbb{F}_p \notin \text{Perfd}_p$
spaces	prestacks $\supset \text{fpqc-stacks} \supset \text{algebraic spaces}$	prestacks $\supset v\text{-stacks} \supset \text{diamonds}$
absolute curve	$X$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$	not exist/sci-fi
relative curve	$X_S := S \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} X$	$\mathcal{X}_S := \mathcal{Y}_S / \text{Frob}_S := (S \dot{\times} \text{Spa } \mathbb{Q}_p) / \text{Frob}_S$

**Question 1.2.7.** Wait, how dare you multiply a char 0 object  $\text{Spa } \mathbb{Q}_p$  with a char  $p$  object  $S$ !

**Warning 1.2.8.** There is a dot over the product sign in the notation  $S \dot{\times} \text{Spa } \mathbb{Q}_p$ , which means it is not a fiber product, at least not naively. For example,  $\text{Spa}(R, R^+) \dot{\times} \text{Spa } \mathbb{Q}_p$  is an open subspace of  $\text{Spa } W(R^+)$ , where  $W(R^+)$  is the ring of  $p$ -Witt vectors in  $R^+$ . In fact, it is the open subspace where the functions  $p, [\varpi] \in W(R^+)$  are invertible, where  $\varpi \in R^+$  is a pseudo-uniformizer. Whatever this means, we see  $S \dot{\times} \text{Spa } \mathbb{Q}_p$  is of char 0.

**Question 1.2.9.** Wait, if  $\mathcal{X}_S$  is of char 0, is it okay to study it using char  $p$  test objects? For example, when talking about Hecke modifications, you need a notion of Cartier divisors of  $\mathcal{X}_S$  relative to the base, but where is your base? It can't be  $S$  or  $S/\text{Frob}_S$  because they are char  $p$ .

**Answer 1.2.10.** No, at least not in the naive way. The correct way to relate  $\mathcal{X}_S$  to char  $p$  objects is via its associated diamond  $(\mathcal{X}_S)^\diamond$ , which we will explain now.

**Construction 1.2.11** ([FS21, Sect. 6.2]). For any commutative ring  $R$ , the  $(p)$ -tilt of  $R$  is

$$R^\flat := \lim(\dots \xrightarrow{\text{Frob}} R \xrightarrow{\text{Frob}} R \xrightarrow{\text{Frob}} R).$$

A priori this is only a multiplicative monoid. If  $R$  is equipped with a good enough complete topology, such as a perfectoid ring, then one can define a ring structure where the addition law is

$$(x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, \dots) + (y^{(0)}, y^{(1)}, \dots) := (z^{(0)}, z^{(1)}, \dots),$$

where

$$z^{(i)} := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x^{(i+n)} + y^{(i+n)})^{p^n}.$$

In particular, when  $R$  is a perfectoid ring, we obtain a char  $p$  perfectoid ring  $R^\flat$ . We say  $R$  is a untilt of  $R^\flat$ .

We define

$$\text{Spd}(R, R^+) := \text{Spa}(R, R^+)^\flat.$$

Using gluing, we can define  $X^\flat$  for any perfectoid space  $X$ .

**Remark 1.2.12.** For perfectoid ring  $R$ , we have  $(R^\flat)^\circ \simeq (R^\circ)^\flat$ .

**Example 1.2.13.** Any char  $p$  perfectoid ring  $R$  is the tilt of itself, and is the only char  $p$  untilt of itself. But there are char 0 untilts.

**Example 1.2.14.** The tilt of  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cycl}}$  is  $\mathbb{F}_p((t^{1/p^\infty}))$ .

**Theorem 1.2.15** (Tilting Equivalence). For any perfectoid space  $X$ , the functor  $Y \mapsto Y^\flat$  induces an equivalence between the categories of perfectoid spaces over  $X$  and  $X^\flat$ . This equivalence preserves (finite) étale covers.

**Definition 1.2.16.** For any pre-adic space  $X$ , define  $X^\diamond$  to be the prestack

$$X^\diamond : \text{Perfd}_p^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}, S \mapsto \bigsqcup_{S^\sharp \in \text{Untilt}(S)} \text{Maps}(S^\sharp, X).$$

**Theorem 1.2.17.** *Whatever it means, the underlying topological spaces of  $X$  and  $X^\diamond$  are canonically homeomorphic.*

**Example 1.2.18.**  $\text{Spd } \mathbb{Z}_p := (\text{Spa } \mathbb{Z}_p)^\diamond$  classifies all untilts;  $\text{Spd } \mathbb{Q}_p := (\text{Spa } \mathbb{Q}_p)^\diamond$  classifies all char 0 untilts.

**Example 1.2.19.** *If  $X$  is already a perfectoid space, then  $X^\diamond \simeq X^\flat$  by the tilting equivalence.*

**Example 1.2.20.** *For char  $p$  pre-adic space  $X$ , the functor  $X \mapsto X^\diamond$  is just*

$$\text{PreAdic}_p \rightarrow \text{Funct}(\text{PreAdic}_p^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}) \rightarrow \text{Funct}(\text{Perfd}_p^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}).$$

*This is because only char  $p$  untilts  $S^\sharp$  can map to  $X$ .*

**Example 1.2.21.** *By the tilting equivalence, if  $X$  is the quotient of  $R \rightrightarrows Y$  of perfectoid spaces connected by pro-étale maps, then  $X^\diamond$  is the quotient of  $R^\flat \rightrightarrows Y^\flat$ . Essentially, diamonds are defined to be such quotients. In fact, any nalytic pre-adic space, which means all its residue fields are not discrete, over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  can be written as such a quotient.*

**Remark 1.2.22.** *Yifei will talk about the pro-étale topology and explain why it is powerful.*

**Example 1.2.23.** *Unfortunately/fortunately,  $\text{Spa } \mathbb{Q}_p$  is not a perfectoid space but it has a perfectoid pro-étale cover  $\text{Spa } \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cycl}} \rightarrow \text{Spa } \mathbb{Q}_p$  whose Galois group is  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ . Hence*

$$\text{Spd } \mathbb{Q}_p \simeq \text{Spd } \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cycl}} / \underline{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \simeq \text{Spa } \mathbb{F}_p((t^{1/p^\infty})) / \underline{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times},$$

where  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}$  is the discrete group diamond.

**Theorem 1.2.24.** *For any char  $p$  perfectoid space  $S$ , we have*

$$(S \times \text{Spa } \mathbb{Q}_p)^\diamond \simeq S \times \text{Spd } \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

**Remark 1.2.25.** *For a char  $p$  perfectoid space  $S$ , a map  $S \rightarrow \text{Spd } \mathbb{Q}_p$  provides a char 0 untilt  $S^\sharp$ , which will provide a closed immersion  $S^\sharp \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_S := S \times \text{Spa } \mathbb{Q}_p$  once we know the precise definition of the target. This is a Cartier divisor and so is the composition  $S^\sharp \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_S$ . Also, the latter only depends on the composition  $S \rightarrow \text{Spd } \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \text{Spd } \mathbb{Q}_p / \text{Frob}$ . This suggests  $\text{Spd } \mathbb{Q}_p / \text{Frob}$  should be the moduli prestack of Cartier divisors on FF curves.*

*In fact, as we have seen (or will see) in geometric Langlands, we use  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ -points on the curve to define Hecke modifications. Hence we should restrict our attention to  $S$  defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$  rather than  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . The effect is to change  $\text{Spd } \mathbb{Q}_p / \text{Frob}$  to  $\text{Spd } \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ur}} / \text{Frob}$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ur}} = \text{Frac}(W(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}))$  is the maximal unramified extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .*

We can finally define the moduli of divisors on FF curves:

**Definition 1.2.26.** *The moduli diamond of degree 1 closed Cartier divisors on FF curves is*

$$\text{Div}^1 := \text{Spd } \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ur}} / \text{Frob}.$$

**Warning 1.2.27.** *Unlike in algebraic geometry,  $\text{Div}^1$  is not the curve itself. They live in different characteristics. In fact, we do not have the absolute FF curve.*

**Remark 1.2.28.** *An amazing thing is this tilting/untilting game allows us to consider products of the “curve”, or in fact, consider  $\text{Div}^1 \times \cdots \times \text{Div}^1$ . Unlike the self product of  $\text{Spa } \mathbb{Q}_p$ , this product, which is taken in the category of diamonds, is not boring. Lin thinks this is essentially because  $\text{Perfd}_p$  lacks a final object.*

**1.3. Why Fargues–Fontaine curve?** Please read [FS21, Sect. I.11] (titled “The origin of the ideas”). For now, let me explain how the automorphic and Galois sides naturally appear in this geometrization picture.

For the Galois side:

**Theorem 1.3.1.**  $\pi_1(\text{Div}^1) \simeq W_E$ .

**Remark 1.3.2.** *Heuristically this follows from the definition  $\text{Div}^1 := \text{Spd } \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ur}} / \text{Frob}$ . Indeed,  $W_E$  is the extension of  $\mathbb{Z}\langle \text{Frob} \rangle$  by  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p} / \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ur}})$ .*

**Question 1.3.3.** *Wait, didn’t you say FF curves behaved like genus 0 curves?*

**Answer 1.3.4.** *Yes. But  $\mathcal{X}_C$  is not defined over  $C$  or any algebraically closed field. Instead, we have:*

**Theorem 1.3.5.**  $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}_C, \mathcal{O}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p$ .

For the automorphic side, we consider the v-stack  $\text{Bun}_G$  whose values  $\text{Bun}_G(S)$  classify  $G$ -torsors on  $\mathcal{X}_S$ . Taeuk will explain the precise meaning of the following:

**Theorem 1.3.6.**  $\text{Bun}_G$  has a stratification labelled by the poset  $B(G)$  such that each stratum is of the form  $\ast/H$ , where  $H$  is a group diamond which is an extension of a discrete group  $M(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  by a unipotent group, where  $M$  is an inner form of a Levi subgroup of  $G$ .

**Corollary 1.3.7.** *For any  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -algebra  $\Lambda$ , the category  $D(\text{Bun}_G, \Lambda)$  can be glued from categories  $\text{Rep}(M(\mathbb{Q}_p))$  for  $M$  being inner forms of Levi subgroups of  $G$ .*

Yifei will explain how to define  $D(-, \Lambda)$  and play with them.

#### 1.4. What can be translated from Geometric Langlands?

**Answer 1.4.1.** *Essentially any pure geometric constructions in Geometric Landlands can be or at least should be translated. Things already done in [FS21]: geometric Satake, Lafforgue’s automorphic-to-Galois construction via shitukas, formulation of categorical Langlands conjecture, the spectral action...*

**1.5. What else?** The story is not complete without talking about  $p$ -adic Hodge theory. After all, FF curves were born during the study of Fontaine’s period rings.

#### REFERENCES

- [FS21] Laurent Fargues and Peter Scholze. Geometrization of the local langlands correspondence. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.13459*, 2021.
- [SW20] Peter Scholze and Jared Weinstein. *Berkeley lectures on  $p$ -adic geometry*. Princeton University Press, 2020.