

1 Direct and Inverse Images of Sheaves

Suppose $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ is continuous, the **direct image** of a sheaf \mathcal{F}' on X'_E is defined to be $\pi_* \mathcal{F}'$, and the **inverse image** of a sheaf \mathcal{F} on X_E is defined to be $\pi^* \mathcal{F} := (\pi^{-1} \mathcal{F})^{\text{sh}}$. There are canonical isomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sh}(X_E)}(\mathcal{F}, \pi_* \mathcal{F}') \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{PSH}(X'_E)}(\pi^{-1} \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}') \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sh}(X'_E)}(\pi^* \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$$

which shows that π^* and π_* are adjoint functors, thus π_* is left exact and commutes with limits, and π^* is right exact and commutes with colimits.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ be a continuous homomorphism.

- For any sheaf \mathcal{F} on $X_{\text{ét}}$ and any $x' \in X'$, $(\pi^* \mathcal{F})_{\bar{x}'} \simeq \mathcal{F}(\overline{\pi(x')})$. In particular, if π is the canonical morphism $\pi : \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}} \rightarrow X$, then

$$\mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}} = (\pi^* \mathcal{F})_{\bar{x}} = \Gamma(\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}}, \mathcal{F})$$

- Assume that π is quasi-compact. Let $x \in X$, $\bar{x} = \text{Spec } \kappa(x)^{\text{sep}}$, f be the canonical morphism $\tilde{X} = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}} \rightarrow X$, and let $\tilde{X}' = X' \times_X \tilde{X}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X}' & \xrightarrow{f'} & X' \\ \tilde{\pi} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & X \end{array}$$

then $(\pi_* \mathcal{F})_{\bar{x}} = \Gamma(\tilde{X}', f'^* \mathcal{F})$ for any sheaf \mathcal{F} on X .

Proof. 1. Write $x = \pi(x')$, we may take $\bar{x} = \overline{x'}$ and so have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \bar{x}' = \bar{x} & & \\ & \swarrow u_{x'} & & \searrow u_x & \\ X' & \xrightarrow[\pi]{} & X & & \end{array}$$

Then $(\pi^* \mathcal{F})_{\bar{x}'} = (u_{x'}^* \pi^* \mathcal{F})(\bar{x}') = (u_x^* \mathcal{F})(\bar{x}) = \mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}}$. Meanwhile, for the second statement, $(u_x^* \mathcal{F})(\bar{x}) = \Gamma(\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}}, \pi^* \mathcal{F})$ since every étale neighborhood $\bar{x} \rightarrow U$ of \bar{x} factors through $\bar{x} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}}$ according to the definition of strict Henselization.

We may need a lemma to finish our proof of 2:

Lemma 1.2. Let X be a scheme and let $Y = \varprojlim Y_i$, where (Y_i) is a filtered inverse system of X -schemes such that the transition morphisms $Y_i \leftarrow Y_j$ are affine. Assume that Y_i are quasi-compact, let Z be an X -scheme of finite type, then any X -morphism $Y \rightarrow Z$ factors through $Y \rightarrow Y_i$ for some i . In other words, $\text{Hom}_X(Y, Z) = \varinjlim \text{Hom}_X(Y_i, Z)$.

Proof. The proof is clear when all X, Y_i, Z are affine as for every A -algebra C of finite type, every homomorphism $C \rightarrow \varinjlim B_i$ factors through some B_i . \square

Proof of 2. By definition $(f'^* \mathcal{F})(\tilde{X}') = \varinjlim \mathcal{F}(U')$, where the limit is taken over all commutative diagrams with $U' \rightarrow X'$ étale:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X}' & \longrightarrow & U' \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & X' \end{array}$$

On the other hand, from the definitions of π_* and stalks, we see that $(\pi_* \mathcal{F})_{\bar{x}} = \varinjlim \mathcal{F}(U_{X'})$ where the limit is taken over all such diagrams that come by base extension from a commutative diagram

with $U' \rightarrow X'$ étale:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \longrightarrow & U \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & X \end{array}$$

As $\tilde{X}' = \varprojlim U_{X'}$, where U takes over all affine étale neighborhood of x , every morphism $\tilde{X}' \rightarrow U'$ factors through some $U_{X'}$ for some étale neighborhood U of $x \in X$ according to lemma 1.2. Thus the two colimits are isomorphic. \square

Remark 1.1. If \mathcal{F} is a sheaf on $X'_{\text{ét}}$ defined by a group scheme G that is locally of finite-type over X' , then $(f'^*\mathcal{F})(\tilde{X}') = G(\tilde{X}')$.

Corollary 1.3. 1. Let $i : Z \rightarrow X$ be a closed immersion, and let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf on $Z_{\text{ét}}$. Let $x \in X$, then

$$(i_*\mathcal{F})_{\bar{x}} = \begin{cases} 0 & x \notin i(Z) \\ \mathcal{F}_{\bar{z}} & x = i(z), z \in Z \end{cases}$$

2. Let $j : U \rightarrow X$ be an open immersion, $x_0 \in U$, $x = j(x_0)$, then $(j_*\mathcal{F})_{\bar{x}} = \mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}_0}$.
3. Let $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ be a finite morphism and \mathcal{F} a sheaf on $X'_{\text{ét}}$. For any $x \in X$, $(\pi_*\mathcal{F})_{\bar{x}} = \prod \mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}'}^{d(x')}$, where the product is taken over all x' whose image under π is x , and $d(x')$ is the separable degree of $\kappa(x')$ over $\kappa(x)$.

Proof. 1. For $x \notin i(Z)$, \tilde{Z} is empty. For $x \in i(Z)$, let \mathcal{I} be the sheaf of ideals defining Z , we have $\tilde{Z} = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,\bar{x}}/\mathcal{I}) = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Z,\bar{z}})$.

2. Clear.

3. Notice that $\tilde{X} = \text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{X,\bar{x}} \times_X X'$ splits into a disjoint union of $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X',\bar{x}'})$, the conclusion follows at once. \square

Corollary 1.4. If π is a finite morphism, then π_* is exact.

Proof. This is direct from the proposition. \square

We now consider the situation: X is a scheme, U is an open subscheme of X , and Z is a subscheme of X whose underlying set is the complementary closed subset $Z = X - U$. We denote the inclusion maps by i and j :

$$Z \xrightarrow{i} X \xleftarrow{j} U$$

If \mathcal{F} is a sheaf on $X_{\text{ét}}$, we get sheaves $\mathcal{F}_1 = i^*\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{F}_2 = j^*\mathcal{F}$ on Z and U , and a canonical map $\phi_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F}_1 = i^*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow i^*j_*\mathcal{F}_2 = i^*j_*j^*\mathcal{F}$. Define \mathbf{T} to be the category consists of tuples $(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \phi)$, where \mathcal{F}_1 is a sheaf on $Z_{\text{ét}}$, \mathcal{F}_2 is a sheaf on $U_{\text{ét}}$, $\phi : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow i^*j_*\mathcal{F}_2$ is a $Z_{\text{ét}}$ -sheaf homomorphism.

Theorem 1.5. There is an equivalence between the categories $\mathbf{Sh}(X_{\text{ét}})$ and $\mathbf{T}(X_{\text{ét}})$ under which $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}(X_{\text{ét}})$ corresponds to the tuple $(i^*\mathcal{F}, j^*\mathcal{F}, \phi_{\mathcal{F}})$.

Proof. Let T denotes the functor $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow (i^*\mathcal{F}, j^*\mathcal{F}, \phi_{\mathcal{F}})$. The quasi-inverse S of T can be defined by the following Cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \phi) & \longrightarrow & j_*\mathcal{F}_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ i_*\mathcal{F}_1 & \xrightarrow{i_*\phi} & i_*i^*j_*\mathcal{F}_2 \end{array}$$

We claim that S is the quasi-inverse of T .

$ST \simeq \text{id}$: It suffices to show that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & j_* j^* \mathcal{F} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ i_* i^* \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & i_* i^* j_* j^* \mathcal{F} \end{array}$$

is Cartesian. Since taking stalk is conservative and commutes with fiber products, we only need to check this diagram on stalk. For $x \in U$, the diagram becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

after taking stalks at \bar{x} , which is clearly Cartesian. Meanwhile, the diagram becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}} & \longrightarrow & (j_* j^* \mathcal{F})_{\bar{x}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}} & \longrightarrow & (j_* j^* \mathcal{F})_{\bar{x}} \end{array}$$

after taking stalks at \bar{x} .

$TS \simeq \text{id}$: Notice that i^* , j^* commutes with Cartesian diagram, we have the following Cartesian diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} i^* S(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \phi) & \longrightarrow & j^* S(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \phi) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ i^* i_* \mathcal{F}_1 & \longrightarrow & j^* i_* \mathcal{F}_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ i^* i_* i^* j_* \mathcal{F}_2 & \longrightarrow & j^* i_* i^* j_* \mathcal{F}_2 \end{array}$$

As $i^* i_*(-) = j^* j_*(-) = \text{id}$, $j^* i_*(-) = 0$, we may simplify the diagrams into

$$\begin{array}{ccc} i^* S(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \phi) & \longrightarrow & j^* S(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \phi) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ i^* j_* \mathcal{F}_2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

hence $i^* S(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \phi) \simeq \mathcal{F}_1$, $j^* S(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \phi) \simeq \mathcal{F}_2$, and the morphism ϕ is canonically the same. \square

Remark 1.2. We may now reformulate i^*, i_*, j^*, j_* with this identification as

$$\begin{array}{lll} i^* : & (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \phi) & \mapsto \quad \mathcal{F}_1 \\ i_* : & \mathcal{F}_1 & \mapsto \quad (\mathcal{F}_1, 0, 0) \\ j^* : & (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \phi) & \mapsto \quad \mathcal{F}_2 \\ j_* : & \mathcal{F}_2 & \mapsto \quad (i^* j_* \mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \text{id}) \end{array}$$

A sheaf \mathcal{F} is said to be **supported** on a subscheme Y of X if $\mathcal{F}_x = 0$ for all $x \notin Y$.

Corollary 1.6. If $i : Z \rightarrow X$ is a closed immersion, then the functor $i_* : \mathbf{Sh}(Z_{\text{ét}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Sh}(X_{\text{ét}})$ induces an equivalence between $\mathbf{Sh}(Z_{\text{ét}})$ and the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Sh}(X_{\text{ét}})$ comprising those sheaves with support on $i(Z)$.

We now define the **proper direct image** $j_!$ along j and the **twisted inverse image** $i^!$ along i as:

$$i^!(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \phi) := \ker \phi, \quad j_!(\mathcal{F}_2) := (0, \mathcal{F}_2, 0)$$

By definition we have $\text{Hom}(j_! \mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{G}) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_2, j^* \mathcal{G})$, $\text{Hom}(i_* \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{G}) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_1, i^! \mathcal{G})$.

Remark 1.3. Generally, for an object $j : U \rightarrow X$ an object in \mathcal{C}/X for some site $(\mathcal{C}/X)_E$ and a presheaf \mathcal{F} , we may define its proper direct image $j_! \mathcal{F}$ to be

$$j_! \mathcal{F}(V) = \bigoplus_{\phi \in \text{Hom}_X(V, U)} \mathcal{F}(V_\phi)$$

which clearly defines the left adjoint of j^{-1} . For sheaves \mathcal{F} we define $j_! \mathcal{F}$ to be the sheafification of the proper direct image of \mathcal{F} as a presheaf, in this case $j_!$ becomes the left adjoint of j^* .

Let \mathcal{A} be a sheaf of rings on a site $(\mathcal{C}/X)_E$, write $\mathbf{Sh}(X_E, \mathcal{A})$ for the category of sheaves of \mathcal{A} -modules. If \mathcal{A} is the constant sheaf $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$, then $\mathbf{Sh}(X_E, \mathcal{A}) = \mathbf{Sh}(X_E)$.

For any pair \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 of sheaves of \mathcal{A} -modules, $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F}_2$ is defined to be the sheaf associated with the presheaf $U \mapsto \mathcal{F}_1(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}(U)} \mathcal{F}_2(U)$, $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$ is defined to be the sheaf $U \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sh}(U, \mathcal{A}|_U)}(\mathcal{F}_1|_U, \mathcal{F}_2|_U)$.

Proposition 1.7. We write $\mathrm{Bilin}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2; \mathcal{F}_3)$ for the sets of \mathcal{A} -bilinear maps $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_3$, then we have canonical isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_3) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F}_1, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)) \simeq \mathrm{Bilin}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2; \mathcal{F}_3)$$

Proof. As we may treat $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F}_2$ as the quotient sheaf of the free sheaf generated by $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$ regarded as a sheaf of sets by the subsheaf generated from bilinearity, the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_3) \simeq \mathrm{Bilin}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2; \mathcal{F}_3)$$

is clear. The proof that $\mathrm{Bilin}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2; \mathcal{F}_3) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F}_1, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2))$. \square

A sheaf \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{A} -modules on $X_{\text{ét}}$ is **pseudocoherent** at a geometric point \bar{x} if there exists an étale neighborhood $U \rightarrow X$ of \bar{x} and an exact sequence $(\mathcal{A}|_U)^m \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}|_U)^n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_U \rightarrow 0$ of sheaves on $U_{\text{ét}}$ with m, n finite.

Proposition 1.8. Let \bar{x} be a geometric point of \bar{x} .

1. For any sheaf of sets \mathcal{I} on $X_{\text{ét}}$, the stalk of the free sheaf of \mathcal{A} -modules $F\mathcal{I}$ associated to \mathcal{I} at \bar{x} is equal to $F\mathcal{I}_{\bar{x}}$, the free $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}$ -module associated with $\mathcal{I}_{\bar{x}}$.
2. If \mathcal{F}_1 is pseudocoherent at \bar{x} , then

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)_{\bar{x}} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}}(\mathcal{F}_{1\bar{x}}, \mathcal{F}_{2\bar{x}})$$

3. For any pair of sheaves \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 , $(\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F}_2)_{\bar{x}} = \mathcal{F}_{1\bar{x}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}} \mathcal{F}_{2\bar{x}}$.

Proof. 1. The stalk of the free sheaf of \mathcal{A} -modules is equal to the stalk of the free presheaf of \mathcal{A} -modules, hence

$$(F\mathcal{I})_{\bar{x}} = \varinjlim \mathcal{A}(U)^{\mathcal{I}(U)} = \mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\mathcal{I}_{\bar{x}}}$$

2. The conclusion holds for $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{A}$, hence holds for pseudocoherent \mathcal{F}_1 according to five lemma.

3. Tensor products commute with filtered colimits. \square