

Sheaf Theory.

Motivation To obtain a finer 'topology' on a scheme, and generalise sheaf theory in this new situation.

Idea Treat more morphisms $(U \rightarrow X)$ rather than Zariski: open immersions as 'open subset'.

§ Presheaves and sheaves

A **class** \mathcal{E} of morphisms of schemes usually satisfies

- Isomorphisms are in \mathcal{E} .
- Closed under composition.
- Closed under base change.

With $\begin{array}{cc} \text{class} & \text{scheme} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{E} & X \end{array}$ we mention the full-subcat of Sch/X whose structure morphisms are \mathcal{E} -morphisms.

e.g. $E = (\text{Zar})$ open immersions.

$E = (\text{ét})$ finite étale morphisms.

$E = (\text{fl})$ flat and locally of finite type.

Fix X scheme, C/X full subcat of Sch/X . E class of morphisms s.t. C/X closed under fibre product,

and, $Y \rightarrow X$ in C/X . $U \rightarrow Y$ in $E \Rightarrow U \rightarrow X$ in C/X .

Def An E -covering of $Y \in C/X$ is a family

$(U_i \xrightarrow{g_i} Y)_i \subset E$, s.t. $Y = \bigcup g_i(U_i)$. An E -topology

on C/X is the class of all such coverings. An

E -site is the cat C/X together with an E -top.

Notation & example • $(C/X)_E$. X_E when C is clear.

• **small site** $X_E = (E/X)_E$ (analogue of a space)

• **big site** $X_E = (\text{LFT}/X)_E$. (analogue of cat of spaces)

• $X_{\text{Zar}} = (\text{Zar}/X)_{\text{Zar}}$.

• $X_{\text{ét}} = (\text{ét}/X)_{\text{ét}}$

• $X_{\text{fl}} = (\text{LFT}/X)_{\text{fl}}$.

Def A presheaf on a site $(C/X)_E$ is a functor

$(C/X)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$. A **morphism** of presheaves is

a natural trans.

\Rightarrow Presheaves on $(C/X)_E$. $\text{Psh}((C/X)_E) = \text{Psh}(X_E)$ is an abelian category.

Example (1) constant presheaf $P_M(U) = M$.

(2) $G_a(U) = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$

(3) $G_m(U) = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U)^\times$

(4) $F \in \mathcal{O}_X\text{-mod}$ $W(F)(U) = \Gamma(U, F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_U)$.

e.g. $W(\mathcal{O}_X) = G_a$.

\cdot X S -scheme. $W(\Omega'_X/S) \rightarrow \Gamma(\cdot, \Omega'_X/S)$.

is an isom for small étale site.

Def A presheaf P is a **sheaf** if

$\cdot (S_1)$ if $s \in P(U)$ and there is a covering $(U_i \rightarrow U)$

such that $\text{res}_{U_i, U} s = 0 \ \forall i$ then $s = 0$

$\cdot (S_2)$ if $(U_i \rightarrow U)$ is a covering, and (s_i)

$s_i \in P(U_i)$ is that

$$\text{res}_{U_i \times_U U_j, U_i} s_i = \text{res}_{U_i \times_U U_j, U_j} s_j \quad \forall i, j.$$

then exists $s \in P(U)$, $\text{res}_{U_i, U} s = s_i \ \forall i$.

Or equivalently

$$(S) \quad P(U) \rightarrow \prod_i P(U_i) \rightrightarrows \prod_{i,j} P(U_i \times_U U_j)$$

is exact.

Example Consider $P \in \text{Psh}(X_{\text{ét}})$ and $Y \rightarrow X$ a Galois covering with group G . $(Y \rightarrow X)$ is a covering, and

$$Y \times_X Y = \coprod_{\sigma \in G} Y_{\sigma}. \text{ Projections } Y \times_X Y \xrightarrow[p_2]{p_1} Y \text{ is then}$$

$$\coprod_{\sigma \in G} Y_{\sigma} \xrightarrow[(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)]{(1, \dots, 1)} Y,$$

and thus (S) becomes

$$P(X) \rightarrow P(Y) \xrightarrow[(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)]{(1, \dots, 1)} P(Y)^n.$$

If P is a sheaf then $P(X) = P(Y)^G$.

Prop^A P is a presheaf on étale or flat site on

X . Then P is a sheaf iff

(a) for any U in \mathcal{C}/X , $P|_U$ is a sheaf for U_{Zar} .

(b) for any covering $(U' \rightarrow U)$ with U and U' both affine, $P(U) \rightarrow P(U') \rightrightarrows P(U' \times_U U')$ is exact.

proof. Necessity is obvious.

To show sufficiency. (a) reduces (S) for $(U_i \rightarrow U)$ to $(U' \rightarrow U)$ where $U' = \prod U_i$ and (b) proves exactness of (S) for $(U_i \rightarrow U)_{i \in I}$ where I is finite

and U_i, U all affine.

Let $f: U' \rightarrow U$ an E -covering. f is open hence
 we can write $U = \bigcup U_i$, U_i affine, and

$f^{-1}(U_i) = \bigcup U'_{ik}$ finite union. Consider diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 P(U) & \longrightarrow & P(U') & \rightrightarrows & P(U' \times_U U') \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \prod_i P(U_i) & \longrightarrow & \prod_i \prod_k P(U'_{ik}) & \rightrightarrows & \prod_i \prod_{k,l} P(U'_{ik} \times_U U'_{il}) \\
 \downarrow \downarrow & & \downarrow \downarrow & & \\
 \prod_{i,j} P(U_i \cap U_j) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i,j} \prod_{k,l} P(U'_{ik} \cap U'_{jl}) & &
 \end{array}$$

Diagram chase complete the proof \square

Cor For any g -coh \mathcal{O}_X -mod \mathcal{F} , $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{F})$ is a sheaf
 on X_{fl} and $X_{\acute{e}t}$

e.g. G_a, G_m are indeed sheaves on $X_{fl}, X_{\acute{e}t}$.

Cor The presheaf defined by a commutative group
 scheme on X is a sheaf for fl , $\acute{e}t$ and
 fppf sites on X .

Example. Let $G_a = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[T]$. $G_{a,X} = X \times_{\mathbb{Z}} G_a$. Then
 $\text{Mor}_X(U, G_a) \cong \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U) = G_a(U)$. Thus G_a is
 a sheaf. So is G_m for $G_m = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[T, T^{-1}]$.

Example Fix $X = \text{Spec } K$ K a field. Fix geometric point $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$ and $G = \pi_1(X, \bar{x}) = \text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$.

$P \in \text{PSh}(X_{\text{ét}})$. K'/K finite separable. Write $P(K') = P(\text{Spec } K')$. $M_P = \varinjlim P(K')$. Then $G \curvearrowright M_P$ and $M_P = \bigcup M_P^H$ where $H \subset G$ runs through open subgroups of $G \Rightarrow M_P$ is a discrete G -mod.

Conversely, give a discrete G -mod M , define a presheaf F_M by (a) $F_M(K') = M^H$. $H = \text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K')$, (b) $F_M(\prod K_i) = \prod K_i$.

F_M is a sheaf: (a) of **Prop^A** is clear. It suffices to check (b) for $\text{Spec } L' \rightarrow \text{Spec } L$, both separable extension of K . Let L''/L Galois containing L' .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F_M(L) & \longrightarrow & F_M(L') & \rightrightarrows & F_M(L' \otimes_L L') \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F_M(L) & \longrightarrow & F_M(L'') & \rightrightarrows & F_M(L'' \otimes_L L') \end{array}$$

bottom row exact \Rightarrow top row exact.

The correspondence $F \leftrightarrow M_F$, $M \leftrightarrow F_M$ induces an equivalence between $S(X_{\text{ét}})$ and G -mod of discrete G -modules.

§ The cat of sheaves

Def A morphism of schemes $\pi: X' \rightarrow X$ is a **morphism of sites** $(C'/X')_{E'} \rightarrow (C/X)_E$ if

- $\forall Y \in C/X, Y_{(X')} \in C'/X'$
- $\forall E$ -morphism $U \rightarrow Y$ in C/X , $U_{(X')} \rightarrow Y_{(X')}$ is an E' -morphism.

Also **continuous morphism**.

Def Let $\pi: X_{E'} \rightarrow X_E$ be continuous, P' a presheaf on $X_{E'}$. The **direct image** $\pi_* P'$ on X_E is defined by $(\pi_* P')(U) = P'(U_{(X')})$. $\pi_*: PSh(X_{E'}) \rightarrow PSh(X_E)$ is a functor. The **inverse image** functor π^{-1} is the left adjoint of π_* .

Construction $(\pi^{-1}P)(U') = \varinjlim P(U)$, where U runs over all squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U' & \longrightarrow & U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X' & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \end{array}$$

Prop The functor π_* is exact and π^{-1} is right-exact. π^{-1} is left-exact if

- finite inverse limit exists in C/X , or
- π is in C/X and $C'/X' = (C/X)/X'$

Lemma The direct limit defining π^{-1} is cofiltered if finite inverse limit exists in C/X .

Prop If \mathcal{F} is a sheaf, then $\pi_* \mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf.

Stalk of a (pre)sheaf in étale site. A point: given a sheaf is given an abelian group. Not true for one-point scheme unless it is a spectrum of a separably closed field. A point is a geometric point.

Let x be a set-theoretic point in X , and $u_x: \bar{x} \rightarrow x \rightarrow X$ a geometric point.

Def Let $P \in \text{PSh}(X_{\text{ét}})$. The **stalk** of P at \bar{x} is $P_{\bar{x}} = (u_{\bar{x}}^{-1} P)_{(\bar{x})}$. $P_{\bar{x}}$ is independent of choice of $K(\bar{x})$

Remark • Taking stalk is exact

- $P_{\bar{x}}$ is a $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$ -module, $K = K(x)$.
- Let U be an étale nbhd of \bar{x} , there is canonical $P(U) \rightarrow P_{\bar{x}}$, $s \mapsto s_{\bar{x}}$.

- For P the sheaf defined by a group scheme G that is LFT over X , we have [see 3.3]

$$P_{\bar{x}} = \varinjlim G(U) = G(\varprojlim U) = G(\mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}}).$$

eg. $(G_a)_{\bar{x}} = \mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}} \quad (G_m)_{\bar{x}} = \mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}}^*$.

Prop Let $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Sh}(X_{\text{ét}})$. If $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ is nonzero, then there is an $x \in X$ and an \bar{x} -point of U s.t. $s_{\bar{x}}$ is nonzero.

Thm & Def For any presheaf P on $X_{\text{ét}}$ there is a sheaf P^{sh} on $X_{\text{ét}}$ and a morphism $\phi: P \rightarrow P^{\text{sh}}$ s.t.

for any sheaf \mathcal{F} there is natural isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{P^{\text{sh}}}(P, \mathcal{F}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Sh}}(P^{\text{sh}}, \mathcal{F}).$$

P^{sh} is the sheaf associate with P or the sheafification of P .

proof We prove for étale site.

For $\bar{x} = \text{Spec } K(\bar{x})$, where $K(\bar{x})$ is algebraically closed, P^{sh} is determined by $P(\bar{x})$ in an obvious way.

For general X . For each $x \in X$ choose $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$.

write $P_{\bar{x}}^* = (u_x^{-1} P)^{\text{sh}}$, i.e., the sheaf defined by $P_{\bar{x}}$.

Let $P^* = \prod_{\alpha} u_{\alpha,*} P_{\alpha}^*$ which is a sheaf. There is natural $\phi: P \rightarrow P^*$ induced by

$$P \rightarrow u_{\alpha,*} \circ u_{\alpha}^{-1} P \rightarrow u_{\alpha,*} (u_{\alpha}^{-1} P)^{sh}.$$

Let P^{sh} be the intersection of all subsheaves containing $\phi(P)$ in P^* .

Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P & \xrightarrow{\phi} & P^{sh} & \hookrightarrow & P^* \\ & \searrow & & & \downarrow \psi \\ & & F & \hookrightarrow & F^* \end{array}$$

where $P^* \xrightarrow{\psi} F^*$ is induced by ϕ and $F \hookrightarrow F^*$

since F is a sheaf. $\phi(P) \subset \psi^{-1}(F)$, thus

$P^{sh} \subset \psi^{-1}(F)$, and $\psi: P^{sh} \rightarrow \psi^{-1}(F) \rightarrow F$ is a morphism making diagram commute. If ψ_1 is also such a morphism, then $\ker(\psi_1 - \psi_0) \subset P^{sh}$ is a subsheaf containing $\phi(P)$, making $\ker(\psi_1 - \psi_0) = P^{sh}$ and $\psi_1 = \psi_0$. □

Remark. Thm 2 Def says that

$$(\cdot)^{sh}: PSh(X_E) \rightleftarrows Sh(X_E): U$$

is an adjoint pair.

- P and P^{sh} have same stalks.

Prop. $(.)^{sh} : PSh(X_E) \rightarrow Sh(X_E)$ is exact

• For étale topology.

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$$

being exact in $Sh(X_E)$ is equivalent to

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'_{\bar{x}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}''_{\bar{x}} \rightarrow 0$$

being exact for any geometric point \bar{x} .

Example. Let μ_n be the subsheaf of G_m such that $\mu_n(U) = n$ -th roots of unit in $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$. It is represented by $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[T]/(T^n - 1)$, thus a sheaf.

$$0 \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow G_m \xrightarrow{n} G_m$$

is exact in $PSh(X_E)$ and hence in $Sh(X_E)$. However,

$G_m \xrightarrow{n} G_m$ is rarely epimorphic in $Sh(X_{\text{zar}})$. On

the other hand, for a strictly local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) , as

long as $n \neq 0 \in K = A/\mathfrak{m}$, by Hensel lemma,

$$0 \rightarrow \mu_n(A) \rightarrow A^\times \xrightarrow{n} A^\times \rightarrow 0$$

it provides that $0 \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow G_m \xrightarrow{n} G_m \rightarrow 0$ is

exact in $Sh(X_E)$ if $n \neq 0 \in K(x)$ for any $x \in X$.

In $Sh(X_{\text{ét}})$ exactness holds even without restriction on residue field character. ($A \rightarrow A[T]/(T^n - a)$ is flat).

• Let X be an \mathbb{F}_p -scheme. Then

$$(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_X = X \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{F}_p[T]/(T^p - T)$$

Thus the constant sheaf $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_X$ is given by

$$(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_X(U) = \{a \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U) : a^p - a = 0\}.$$

Consider

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_X \rightarrow G_a \xrightarrow{F-1} G_a \rightarrow 0.$$

where $F(a) = a^p$. It is not exact in $Sh(X_{Zar})$ in general.

but is exact in $Sh(X_{\acute{e}t})$ (and hence $Sh(X_{F_1})$). ($A[T]/(T^p - T)$ is étale over A)

• Again let X be an \mathbb{F}_p -scheme. Let α_p be defined by $\alpha_p(U) = \{a \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U) : a^p = 0\}$. Then α_p is a sheaf represented by $\mathbb{F}_p[T]/(T^p)$.

$$0 \rightarrow \alpha_p \rightarrow G_a \xrightarrow{F} G_a \rightarrow 0$$

is always exact in $Sh(X_{F_1})$, but not in $Sh(X_{\acute{e}t})$ or $Sh(X_{Zar})$.