Program Logics Hand-in 4

Zijun Yu 202203581

Octobor 2023

Exercise 1

We introduce m and apply HT-INV-OPEN and E- \triangleright , since $l \leftarrow (m+1)$ is an atomic expression (assuming that m+1 is a value), SFTS

$$\boxed{I \ n}^{l} \vdash \{\exists m'. \, \triangleright (m' \geq n \land l \hookrightarrow m') * (m \geq n)\} l \leftarrow (m+1)\{ ... \, \triangleright (\exists m.m \geq n \land l \hookrightarrow m) * \text{True} \}_{\mathcal{E} \setminus \iota} \quad (1)$$

We then use HT-EXIST and introduce m', SFTS

$$\boxed{I \ n}^{l} \vdash \{ \triangleright (m' \ge n \land l \hookrightarrow m') * (m \ge n) \} l \leftarrow (m+1) \{ \bot \triangleright (\exists m.m \ge n \land l \hookrightarrow m) * \text{True} \}_{\mathcal{E} \setminus \iota}$$
 (2)

Using HT-FRAME-ATMOIC with HT-STORE and other structure rules we have

$$\boxed{I \ n}^{\iota} \vdash \{ \triangleright (l \hookrightarrow m' \land m \ge n) \} l \leftarrow (m+1) \{ v.v = () \land l \hookrightarrow (m+1) \land m \ge n \}_{\mathcal{E} \setminus \iota}$$
(3)

Because the precondition in (2) implies the precondition in (3), we apply HT-CSQ, and we are left to show

$$v = () \land l \hookrightarrow (m+1) \land m \ge n \vdash \triangleright (\exists m.m \ge n \land l \hookrightarrow m) * True$$

This holds as we can take $\exists m$ to be m+1 and apply E- \triangleright and LATER-WEAK.

Exercise 2

$$\frac{P_2 \vdash \trianglerighteq Q}{P_1 * P_2 \vdash P_1 * \trianglerighteq Q \vdash \trianglerighteq (P_1 * Q)} \quad \frac{P_1 * Q \vdash \trianglerighteq R}{\trianglerighteq (P_1 * Q) \vdash \trianglerighteq \trianglerighteq R \vdash \trianglerighteq R}$$
$$P_1 * P_2 \vdash \trianglerighteq R$$

Exercise 3

HT-TOKEN-ALLOC

By HT-csq-vs, it suffices to show $S \vdash P \Rightarrow \exists \gamma . [\bar{T}]^{\gamma} * P$, which is equivalent to

$$S \vdash \Box(P \Rightarrow \Longrightarrow (\exists \gamma . \top T : *P))$$

Because $S \vdash True \vdash \Box True$, by Trans, it suffices to show

$$\Box True \vdash \Box (P \Rightarrow \biguplus (\exists \gamma . [\bar{T}_{\perp}^{\uparrow \gamma} * P))$$

Then by Persistently-intro, it suffices to show

$$\Box True \vdash P \Rightarrow \Longrightarrow (\exists \gamma . [\bar{T}]^{\gamma} * P)$$

which simplifies to

$$P \vdash \Longrightarrow (\exists \gamma . [\bar{\mathbf{T}}]^{\gamma \gamma} * P)$$

which can be derived from Ghost-alloc, *I, and UPD-frame.

HT-TOKEN-UPDATE-PRE

By HT-CSQ-VS, it suffices to show

$$S \vdash \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{S}} \end{bmatrix}^{\gamma} * P \Longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{F}} \end{bmatrix}^{\gamma} * P$$

By applying the same trick as in HT-TOKEN-ALLOC, it suffices to show

$$\left[\bar{\mathbf{S}}\right]^{\gamma} * P \vdash \Longrightarrow \left(\bar{\mathbf{F}}\right]^{\gamma} * P\right)$$

which follows from Ghost-update, *I, and Upd-frame.

HT-TOKEN-UPDATE-POST

By HT-CSQ-VS, what we are left to show is exactly the same as in HT-TOKEN-UPDATE-PRE.

Exercise 4

By HT-LOAD, we know that

$$\{ \rhd l \hookrightarrow n \} ! l \{ v.v = n * l \hookrightarrow n \}$$

By HT-CSQ, we further have

$$\{ \rhd l \hookrightarrow n \}! l \left\{ v. \left(v = n \lor v = n + 1 * \lceil F \rceil^{\gamma_1} \right) * l \hookrightarrow n \right\}$$

Then by HT-FRAME-ATOMIC and *I, we know that

$$\left\{ \triangleright \left(l \hookrightarrow n * \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{S}} \end{bmatrix}^{\gamma_1} \right) * \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{I}} \end{bmatrix}^{\gamma_2} \right\} ! l \left\{ v. \left(v = n \lor v = n + 1 * \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{F}} \end{bmatrix}^{\gamma_1} \right) * l \hookrightarrow n * \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{S}} \end{bmatrix}^{\gamma_1} * \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{I}} \end{bmatrix}^{\gamma_2} \right\}$$

Because $l \hookrightarrow n * \begin{bmatrix} \bar{S} \end{bmatrix}^{\gamma_1} \vdash \triangleright (l \mapsto n * \begin{bmatrix} \bar{S} \end{bmatrix}^{\gamma_1}) \vdash \triangleright I(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, n)$, by HT-CSQ, we have that

$$\left\{ \triangleright \left(l \hookrightarrow n * \left\lceil \bar{\mathbf{F}} \right\rceil^{\gamma_1} \right) * \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} \right\rceil^{\gamma_2} \right\} ! l \left\{ v. \left(v = n \lor v = n + 1 * \left\lceil \bar{\mathbf{F}} \right\rceil^{\gamma_1} \right) * \triangleright I(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, n) * \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} \right\rceil^{\gamma_2} \right\}$$

which is what we need to show.