# **Haskell Coursework Report**

#### Q13

#### Screenshot:

```
*Main's sarch1 = findfransFrom 10 f1 [Pear, Plum, Pear, Apple]

*Main's search1 = findfransFrom 10 f1 [Pear, Plum, Pear, Apple]

*Main's search1 = findfransFrom 10 f1 [Pear, Plum, Plum, Pear, Apple]

*Main's search1 = findfransFrom 10 f1 [Pear, Plum, P
```

## Answer:

```
search1 = findTransFrom maxiter f1 f2
where maxiter = 10, f1 = [Pear, Apple, Plum], f2 = [Pear, Plum, Pear,
Apple].
```

- For question: What does the output of this function call mean?

The output for search1 is a  $(f2\_pos, (pos', tree'))$  pair in which  $f2\_pos$  is the position index of node in current tree' which outcome (fruit list) is equal to the target fruit list f2. (pos', tree') is a pair where pos' is position of node to be expanded next, tree' is a node list that stores all 3-tuples nodes that is in the tree.

In this question, output for f2\_pos is -1, which means that when the size of tree is right larger than maxiter (10), there is no node with outcome that is equal to target fruit list f2 ([Pear, Plum, Pear, Apple]). Output for pos' is 2 which means the next node to be expanded is the node with position index 2 in node list (tree'). That is, nodes in node list tree' with index 0 and 1 has already been expanded before size of tree exceeds maxiter (10). Output for tree' contains a list of nodes in the format of 3-tuples, including all the nodes that has been expanded before the expansion of tree stops (parent nodes) and all their child nodes in BFS order.

- For question: Has it found the solution? If so, what is it? If not, why not?

It has **NOT** found the solution. The first reason is that, as I mentioned above, output f2\_pos is -1, which means that no node with an outcome that is equal to target fruit list f2 ([Pear, Plum, Pear, Apple]) is found in current tree. Therefore, no position index of node can be returned, but only -1. Another reason is that, as the value of maxiter is set quite small, the expansion of tree

stops before a node with an outcome that is equal to f2 is discovered.

#### **Q16**

### Screenshot:

```
*Main | search2 = findTransFrom 10000 [Apple, Pear, Banana, Orange] [Apple, Pear, Apple, Banana]

*Main | transPath | search2 = findTransFrom 10000 [Apple, Pear, Banana]), ((Dup, 0), [Apple, Apple, Pear, Banana]), ((Exc. 1), [Apple, Pear, Apple, Banana])

*Main | search2 = findTransFrom 10000 [Apple, Pear, Banana, Orange] [Apple, Pear, Apple, Banana]

*Main | transPath | search2 |

[((Id, 0), [Apple, Pear, Banana, Orange]), ((Rm, 3), [Apple, Pear, Banana]), ((Dup, 0), [Apple, Apple, Pear, Banana]), ((Exc. 1), [Apple, Pear, Apple, Banana])]
```

## Answer:

For question: is it the same solution as given in Table 1?

My solution is the **same** as given in Table 1. My solution has 3 steps as shown above:

Step	Operation	Row
	Starting	Apple,Pear,Banana,Orange
1	Remove 4 <sup>th</sup> fruit	Apple,Pear,Banana
2	Duplicate 1st fruit	Apple,Apple,Pear,Banana
3	Exchange 2 <sup>nd</sup> fruit with its	Apple,Pear,Apple,Banana
	right neighbor	

The initial fruit list is set to <code>[Apple, Pear, Banana, Orange]</code>, which is the same as Table 1, as well as the target fruit list <code>[Apple, Pear, Apple, Banana]</code>. Also, <code>I</code> assign 10000 to <code>maxiter</code>, which is large enough to successfully find a node with outcome that is the same as target fruit list. Then, <code>I</code> make use of function "transPath" to show the path of transactions and fruit list at each stage of the solution, which is exactly same as table 1.